

AD-786 513

THE EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL OCULAR
IRRITATION ON INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE

Roy H. Rengstorff

Edgewood Arsenal
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

August 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

TYPE	Other Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Off Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
DATE		<input type="checkbox"/>
REPORT NUMBER		
PROJECT TITLE		
PROJECT NUMBER		
PROJECT CODE		
PROJECT AND/OR SPECIAL		
PROJECT AND/OR SPECIAL		

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official statement or policy of any agency unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Disposition

This report when prepared is the property of the agency and is not to be distributed outside the agency.

Best Available Copy

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM								
1. REPORT NUMBER EB-SP-74013	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AD-780513								
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) THE EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL OCULAR IRRITATION ON INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Special Publication February to March 1972								
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER								
7. AUTHOR(s) Roy H. Rengstorff, O.D., Ph.D., LTC, MSC		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)								
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, Edgewood Arsenal Attn: SAREA-BL-RE Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project IW662606AD22								
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, Edgewood Arsenal Attn: SAREA-TS-R Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010		12. REPORT DATE August 1974								
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 11								
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED								
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA								
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.										
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)										
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Medical effects of riot control agents										
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)										
<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Riot control agents</td> <td>Eyes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CS</td> <td>Humans</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Intraocular pressure</td> <td>Animals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Irritants</td> <td>Rabbits</td> </tr> </table>			Riot control agents	Eyes	CS	Humans	Intraocular pressure	Animals	Irritants	Rabbits
Riot control agents	Eyes									
CS	Humans									
Intraocular pressure	Animals									
Irritants	Rabbits									
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)										
<p>Riot control agents (tear gases) cause intense eye irritation and reflex squeezing of the eyes. The intraocular pressure under these circumstances is unknown, but animal and human studies of ocular irritation and forces acting upon the eye indicate a transient increase in intraocular pressure which is not necessarily detrimental to vision.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151</p>										

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project 1W662606AD22, Medical Effects of Riot Control Agents. The work was started in February 1972 and completed in March 1972.

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," as promulgated by the Committee on the Guide for Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commander, Edgewood Arsenal, Attn: SAREA-TS-R, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010; however, DDC and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	5
II. EXTERNAL OCULAR IRRITATION	5
A. The Fifth Cranial Nerve and Neurohumoral Mechanisms	5
B. General Arousal Response	6
III. EXTERNAL FORCES ACTING UPON THE EYEBALL	6
A. Contraction of the Extraocular Muscles	6
B. Blinking and Squeezing the Eyes	7
IV. CONCLUSIONS	7
LITERATURE CITED	9
DISTRIBUTION LIST	11

THE EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL OCULAR IRRITATION ON INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE

I. INTRODUCTION.

Do chemical irritants used in riot control agents (also known as tear gases)* cause increased intraocular pressure? If the answer is yes, then is there a risk of visual loss from such an increase in intraocular pressure? The answers to these questions are important because the eyes are one of the major sites of actions of the chemical irritants that are being used increasingly for controlling mobs.¹ In addition to thermally-generated aerosols that cover a large area, sprays of these irritants mixed in a liquid and pressurized in a container are sometimes directed at the eyes of individuals.

No human or animal experiments have been reported where the intraocular pressure was measured during exposure to the chemical irritants in riot control agents, nor will I present any such new data in this report. Instead, the evaluation of changes in intraocular pressure caused by these irritants will be based on other studies which describe the effects of external eye irritation on intraocular pressure.

Other eye and vision effects of riot control agents on humans have been studied, and one of the behavioral effects is the reflex squeezing of the eyes following ocular contact with the irritant.^{2,4} For this reason, the effect of external forces acting on the eyeball is another consideration in evaluating changes in intraocular pressure, and I have included a review of pertinent studies.

II. EXTERNAL OCULAR IRRITATION.

A. The Fifth Cranial Nerve and Neurohumoral Mechanisms.

Perkins⁵ revealed that electrical stimulation of the ophthalmic division of the trigeminal nerve in rabbits had relatively little effect on intraocular pressure, but mechanical stimulation of the nerve was highly effective. Duke-Elder⁶ believes that the temporary rise in pressure is caused by the increased content of the eye brought about by accelerated aqueous formation and breakdown of the blood aqueous barrier which allows more proteins to obstruct the aqueous outflow. Furthermore, he likened the reaction to the axon reflex mediated by the peripheral branches of the nerve following sensory or noxious stimuli to the eye where some active histamine like substance is liberated.

Thomas⁷ produced an acute transient rise in intraocular pressure in anesthetized rabbits and dogs by various methods: intracranial mechanical stimulation of the fifth cranial nerve, interruptions of the anterior ciliary vessels and aqueous and vortex veins, injecting air and methylcellulose into the anterior chamber, paracentesis of the anterior chamber with and without mechanical irritation of the iris, and topical application of diisopropyl fluorophosphate (DFP).

* Some examples are chloroacetophenone, also known as CN, and chlorobenzylidene malononitrile, also known as CS.

External ocular irritation from chemicals was also studied. Injecting small amounts of chloroform beneath the conjunctiva in rabbits consistently resulted in a 10- to 20-mm Hg rise in ocular pressure. Similarly, topical application of nitrogen mustard produced a 5- to 15-mm Hg rise in ocular pressure. The effects of trauma, induced by repeated blows to the eye of anesthetized rabbits, also produced rises (10 to 20 mm Hg) in intraocular pressure. The author proposed that these methods increased the intraocular pressure by a neurohumoral mechanism; i.e., by release of a lipid extracted from the iris by Ambache,⁸ irin. Since then irin has been classed as a prostaglandin, and these substances have produced similar ocular effects.⁹

Chiang¹⁰ studied the intraocular pressure response in anesthetized rabbits after topical application of hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, and ammonium hydroxide. The acid caused a gradual rise in intraocular pressure which was maximum within 10 to 30 minutes. The alkali solution caused a biphasic response: a rapid initial rise followed by a gradual secondary rise in intraocular pressure. Again, it was concluded that the intraocular pressure response was due to a common neurohumoral mechanism.

B. General Arousal Response.

Collins¹¹ has shown that other more subtle sensory stimuli, of low intensity, have evoked an increase in intraocular pressure. His study demonstrated how evoked intraocular pressure could be produced by low intensity sound, light, movement, temperature changes, and odor. The amplitude of the pressure increases was as great as 10 mm Hg in the eyes of unanesthetized rabbits. Continuous monitoring of the intraocular pressure was accomplished by a passive radio transducer (2 mm thick and 6 mm in diameter) which was implanted in the eye. The results showed that the latency of onset of the rise in pressure was too short (0.4 second) for humoral regulation, and the rate of rise was too fast (5 mm Hg per second) to be produced by aqueous secretion or outflow resistance. The author, therefore, tested some vascular and muscular phenomena as possible mechanisms and found that the intraocular pressure response was not due to an active vascular mechanism or any passive reflection of changes in blood pressure, nor was there any activity by the retractor bulbi muscles that might account for the changes. Further tests revealed the mechanism for these responses to be the contraction of the smooth muscle of Mueller. This muscle contraction seems to be α -adrenergically mediated through the sympathetic nervous system; the author¹² concluded that it was activated during a general arousal response to a sensory stimulus.

III. EXTERNAL FORCES ACTING UPON THE EYEBALL.

A. Contraction of the Extraocular Muscles.

Many early animal studies have shown that stimulation of the third cranial nerve causes a rise in intraocular pressure.¹³⁻¹⁶ Stimulation of the rectus muscle of a dog with acetylcholine causes a rise in intraocular pressure of 30 mm Hg.¹⁷ These effects are eliminated by sectioning the nerve or using drugs to paralyze muscle contraction.¹⁸ Wessely¹⁹ stimulated the motor nerves at the base of the brain and found that the abducens was half as effective as the oculomotor, and the trochlear was only one-tenth as effective in producing an increase in intraocular pressure. Two recent experiments on the extraocular muscles confirm their influence

on intraocular pressure: (1) an electrical current across electrodes on the skulls of dogs showed an increased intraocular pressure and a simultaneous contraction of the extraocular muscles,²⁰ and (2) injection of succinylcholine in cats and rabbits caused the contraction of the extraocular muscles with a corresponding increase in the intraocular pressure.²¹

In one of the early human studies, Hine²² performed tonometry on 26 children as they converged their eyes. His results showed an increase in intraocular pressure from 2 to 10.5 mm Hg, average 4.9, which he attributed to the effect of mechanical pressure from the extraocular muscles. Glaser²³ administered edrophonium chloride (Tensilon) to 15 patients with myasthenia gravis and produced a rise in intraocular pressure; the same treatment caused no change in the intraocular pressure of normal subjects.

B. Blinking and Squeezing the Eyes.

A recurrent problem in measuring intraocular pressure in humans is the anxious patient who, unintentionally, causes an increased lid tension against the eye. Levene²⁴ observed two patients with unioocular paresis of the orbicularis muscle and found that intraocular pressure on the affected side was lowered by 2 to 3 mm Hg. The average blink of the eyelids in dogs was found to increase the intraocular pressure 5 mm Hg.²⁵ Comberg's²⁶ human studies showed that ocular pressure increased from 18 to 70 mm Hg during a hard lid squeeze.

Miller²⁷ studied the lid pressure against the eye in 10 normal subjects using a molded scleral contact lens with an inner rubber balloon connected to a pressure transducer. He found that the average reflex blink of the eyelids produced a 10-mm Hg pressure against the eye, and a hard lid squeeze resulted in pressures as high as 51 mm Hg.

Garner's²⁸ tonographic studies showed increased intraocular pressure from digital pressure against the eye, squeezing the eyes, and sneezing. Levene and Hyman²⁹ monitored the intraocular pressure as digital scleral compression through the upper lid was being produced. As a result, ocular pressure was elevated up to 60 mm Hg.

Recently,³⁰ direct manometric measurements of intraocular pressure in an unanesthetized man were made before the eye was removed because of ocular tumor. During accommodation the pressure increased about 4 mm Hg; turning the eyes or blinking caused increases of 10 mm Hg; and squeezing the lids shut increased the pressure to over 100 mm Hg.

IV. CONCLUSIONS.

Two questions were asked in the introduction of this report. In reply to the first question "Do chemical irritants used in riot control agents cause increased intraocular pressure?" The evidence from related studies indicates that the answer probably is yes. The answer to the second question, "Is there a risk of visual loss from such an increase in intraocular pressure?" is a qualified no. The qualification is that there is always the remote possibility that an acute transient rise in intraocular pressure will provoke a glaucomatous attack in the eyes of individuals predisposed to glaucoma. The overwhelming evidence, however, is that normal eyes undergo

considerable transient rises in intraocular pressure every day without dangerous consequences. Finally, in evaluating the effects of an irritant, Duke-Elder⁶ made an important distinction: "In general, the intraocular pressure can undergo changes of two different types: (1) changes in the equilibrium between the factors responsible for the entry of the aqueous and those governing its escape and, (2) transient changes of intraocular pressure resulting from alterations in external forces acting upon the eyeball or from volumetric changes within it. The recognition of the differentiation between the two types of variation in the intraocular pressure is of the utmost importance for too frequently in the past the causation of long-term elevations of the intraocular pressure (as in glaucoma) has been erroneously inferred from experiments which merely demonstrate transient alterations."

LITERATURE CITED

1. Rengstorff, R. H. Tear Gas and Riot Control Agents. A Review of Eye Effects. *Optom. Weekly* 60 (37), 25-28 (1969).
2. Rengstorff, R. H. The Effects of the Riot Control Agent CS on Visual Acuity. *Mil. Med.* 134 (3), 219-221 (1969).
3. Rengstorff, R. H., and Mershon, M. M. CS in Water: II. Effects on Human Eyes. *Mil. Med.* 136 (2), 149-151 (1971).
4. Rengstorff, R. H., and Mershon, M. M. CS in Trioctyl Phosphate: Effects on Human Eyes. *Mil. Med.* 136 (2), 152-153 (1971).
5. Perkins, E. S. Influence of the Fifth Cranial Nerve on the Intraocular Pressure of the Rabbit Eye. *Brit. J. Ophth.* 41, 257-300 (1957).
6. Duke-Elder, W. S. (editor). *System of Ophthalmology, Vol. IV. The Physiology of the Eye and of Vision.* pp 227-228, 301-309. C. V. Mosby Co., St. Louis, Missouri. 1968.
7. Thomas, R. P. Neurohumoral Factors in Experimental Glaucoma. *Am. J. Ophth.* 65 (5), 729-736 (1968).
8. Ambache, N. Properties of Irin, a Physiological Constituent of the Rabbit Eye. *J. Physiol.* 135, 114 (1957).
9. Levene, R. Glaucoma (Annual Review). *Arch. Ophth.* 81, 436 (1969).
10. Chiang, T. S., Moorman, R. R., and Thomas, R. P. Ocular Hypertensive Response Following Acid and Alkali Burns in Rabbits. *Invest. Ophth.* 16 (4), 270-273 (1971).
11. Collins, C. C. Evoked Pressure Responses in the Rabbit Eye. *Science* 155, 106-108 (1967).
12. Berlyne, D. E. *Conflict, Arousal and Curiosity.* McGraw-Hill, New York. 1960.
13. Bellarminoff, Pfluegers. *Arch. ges. Physiol.* 39, 449 (1886). Cited by Duke-Elder (6) p 281.
14. v. Hippel and Gruenhages, v. *Graefes Arch. Ophth.* 14 (3), 219 (1968). Cited by Duke-Elder (6) p 281.
15. Lederer, *Arch. Augenheilk.* 72, 1 (1912). Cited by Duke-Elder (6) p 281.
16. Levinsohn, V. *Graefes Arch. Ophth.* 76, 129 (1910). Cited by Duke-Elder (6) p 281.
17. Colle, J., Duke-Elder, P. M., and Duke-Elder, W. S. Studies on the Intraocular Pressure. I. The Action of Drugs on the Vascular and Muscular Factors Controlling the Intraocular Pressure. *J. Physiol.* 71, 1-30 (1931).

18. Schoenberg, M. J. Experimental Study of Intraocular Pressure and Ocular Drainage. *J. Am. Med. Assn* 61, 1098-1103 (1913).
19. Wessely, K. Ueber den Einfluss der Augenbewegung auf den Augendruck. *Arch. Augenheilk.* 81, (pt 1): 102-119 (1916).
20. Wood, W. B., Powers, M. F., and Hamilton, R. S. Effects of Transcranial Electric Currents on Intraocular and Cerebral Fluid Pressures. *Exp. Eye Res.* 6, 97-106 (1967).
21. Collins, C. C., Bach-y-Rita, P., and Loeb, D. R. Intraocular Pressure Variation With Oculorotary Muscle Tension. *Am. J. Physiol.* 213 (4), 1039-1043 (1967).
22. Hine, M. L. Some Observations with the Schiøtz Tonometer on the Normal Eye. *Trans. Ophth. Soc. U. K.* 36, 226-240 (1916).
23. Glaser, J. S. Tensilon Tonography in the Diagnosis of Myasthenia Gravis. *Invest. Ophth.* 6 (2), 135-140 (1967).
24. Levene, R. Glaucoma (Annual Review). *Arch. Ophth.* 81, 424 (1969).
25. Duke-Elder, W. S. The Metabolism of the Eye. *Arch. Ophthal.* 6 (1), 158 (1931).
26. Comberg, W., and Stoewer, E. Die Augendruck-steigende Wirkung verschiedener Muskelaktionen und ihre Bedeutung. *Z. Augenheilk.* 58, 103 (1926).
27. Miller, D. Pressure of the Lid on the Eye. *Arch. Ophth.* 78, 328-330 (1967).
28. Garner, L. S. Tonography and the Glaucomas. pp 131-149. Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, Illinois. 1965.
29. Levene, R., and Hyman, B. The Effect of Intraocular Pressure on the Facility of Outflow. *Exp. Eye Res.* 8, 116-121 (1969).
30. Coleman, D. J., and Trokel, S. Direct-recorded Intraocular Pressure Variations in a Human Subject. *Arch. Ophth.* 82, 637-640 (1969).