AD-754 981

1923

RADAR TECHNIQUES FOR AIR FORCE APPLI-CATIONS IN AVOIDANCE OF BIRD-AIRCRAFT COLLISIONS AND IMPROVEMENT OF FLIGHT SAFETY

Sidney A. Gauthreaux, Jr.

Clemson University

Prepared ior:

Air Force Office of Scientific Research

December 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Tochnical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

Securit" Classification	D 754 981
	T CONTROL DATA - R & D Indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)
ST-GINA TING ACTIVITY /Corporate author)	28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Cleuson University	UNCLASSIFIED
Department of Zoology	26. GROUP
Clemson, South Carolina 29631	
RADAR TECHNIQUES FOR AIR FORCE APPL COLLISIONS AND IMPROVEMENT OF FLIGHT	ICATIONS IN AVOIDANCE OF BIRD-AIRCRAFT I SAFETY
I. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of seport and inclusive dates)	
Scientific Interim	
Sidney A. Gauthreaux, Jr.	
Sidney A. Buthicaux, SI.	
REPORT DATE	TA. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES TO. NO. OF REFS
December 1972	
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO AFOSR-71 -1974	SE. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
L PROJECT NO. 9777	
- 61102F	90. OTHER REPORT NOIS (Any other nucleors that may be assigned this report)
4 (0) 2) 2	AFOSR - TR - 73 - 0030
4 681312 D. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	
I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
TECH OTHER	Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard (NL) Arlington, Virginia 22209
TECH, OTHER During the year three major objective airborne radar systems for possible the FAA airport surveillance radar vicinity of rirports, and (3) an even ground control approach (GCA) radars landing corridors. The precision and along with the airport surveillance data to avoid bird/aircraft collision contact with the pilots and can ward their flight paths. //	Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard (NL) Arlington, Virginia 22209 wes were accomplished: (1) an evaluation of detection of birds aloft, (2) an evaluation of (ASR-4) for monitoring bird movements in the aluation of the precision approach radar (PAR) or s for monitoring bird movements through airport pproach radar or ground control approach radar radar were found to provide all the neccessary ons. Furthermore, the flight controllers are in n them of dangerous concentrations of birds in

:-

:

ŧ

AFOSR - TR = 73 = 0.030

December 1972

INTERIM TECHNICAL REPORT

AFOSR GRANT 71-1974A

RADAR TECHNIQUES FOR AIR FORCE APPLICATIONS

IN AVOIDANCE OF BIRD-AIRCRAFT COLLISIONS

AND INTROVEMENT OF FLIGHT SAFETY

FRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: DR. SIDNEY A. GAUTHREAUX, JR., DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631

INTRODUCTION

The following report contains a review of the accomplishments on my grant (AFOSR-71-1974A) from 1 December 1971 to 1 December 1972. During the year three major objectives were accomplished: 1) an evaluation of airborne radar systems for possible detection of birds aloft, 2) as evaluation of the FAA airport surveillance radar (ASR-4) for monitoring bird nevements in the vicinity of airports, and 3) an evaluation of the precision approach radar (PAR) or ground control approach (GCA) radars for monitoring bird movements through airport landing corridors. These areas of investigation were to provide badly needed glata on migratory and roosting movements of birds in an effort to reduce the hazards that birds pose to military aircraft and their pilots. The following cenort will document the progress that I have made along these lines.

AIRBORNE RADAR SYSTEMS

Initial work on the feasibility of using airborne weather radar vas undertaken at Shaw Air Force Base at Sunter, South Carolina. The radar, the APS-27, has specifications that suggest is would readily actect birds at ranges within five nautical miles. The radar was operational in RE-66 aircraft, but the cockpit of the aircraft was classified, and I was not permitted to use the units directly. Instead 35-ma film of the scope was provided to me for analysis, but this film was made when the aircraft was flying low (2,000 ft) over the ground. The film was unacceptable for my purposes since the exact settings of the radar were not recorded by the pilot. Film of the radar scope while the aircraft was at the end of the runway prior to takeoff was not made available. horefully, this test can be conducted shortly. The precision approach radar or ground control approach redar along with the airport surveillance radar was found to provide all the necessary data to avoid bird/aircraft collisions. Furthermore, the flight controllers are in contact with the pilots and can warn them of dangerous concentrations of birds in their flight paths.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

n sere at the series of the

a ba dani kana para na manananya masa na katan di tana dan sa kang da tana da tana na sa katan ta da tana ta da

AIRPORT SURVEILLANCE RADAR

The evaluation of FAA airport surveillance radar (ASR-4) was undertaken at the Greenville Municipal Airport and Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, in the fall of 1971. The ASR-4 is called the FPN-47 radar at Shaw Air Force Base, but it is the same equipment as that at the Greenville Municipal Airport. The unit is an S-band (2700 to 2900 megacycles) radar with a peak power of 425 kilowatts. The range resolution is such that targets as little as 615 feet apart at 6 to 10 mile ranges can be separated. The azimuthal resolution of equidistant targets is 2.25°. The maximum range is 60 · nautical miles, but birds rarely appear on the radar screen (PPI) beyond 30 nautical miles. The radar is equipped with a moving target indicator (MTI), sensitivity time control (STC), and two cross-section sensitivity (CSS) circuits. The MTI removes all ground clutter from the PPI, the STC and CSS circuits reduce receiver sensitivity and reduce the number of weak echoes displayed on the reder screen. The initial work during the fall of 1971 demonstrated that the ASR-4 can detect even small birds. Birds were present on the radar screen nearly every night except when hard rain covered most of the surveillance area. A Polaroid camera mounted on a tripod in the maintenance area in front of the maintenance PPI permitted me to record radar data without disturbing the flight controllers. Because the controls of the radar are in the main flight control room. I would request that certain settings be made when I took apphotograph. This was usually only a 2-3 minute interruption and fit in with normal control operations quite well. Simultaneous direct visual observations at night were made just behind the FAA building. On cloudless nights around the full moon period, I monitored the passage of birds with the aid of a 40% Questar directed to the noon. These data after analysis yielded quantitative estimates of the amount of bird migration taking place and the direction of novecent. On moonless nights I employed a portable ceilometer which projected a vertical beam of intense light. With binoculars (10 x 50) directed up the beam, I recorded the numbers and directions of birds as they passed through the field of the binoculars.

Table 1 shows some of the results of analysis of nocturnal spring migration data. As can be seen, the direct visual data agree very well with the radar data. I am now attempting to quantify the radar photographs in terms of the quantitative moon-watch data with the aim of having a graded series of bird echo densities on the ASR-4 radar and the equivalent quantitative migration densities (migration traffic rates). Such a series would greatly aid flight controllers in estimating the number of migrating birds aloft based on their density on the radar screen. Similar data were gathered from Greenville and Charleston, South Carolina this fall and are presently being analyzed.

2

Thus far this winter I have started gathering density information on roosting blackbirds in the vicinity of ASR-4 radars at airports in South Carolina. This project will help in quantifying the dot or flock echoes of birds that appear on the ASR-4. Preliminary analyses of duck and shorebird flocks indicate that they can be readily identified on the radar screen of the ASR-4 and some estimate of the number of birds in each flock is possible. The greatest shortcoming of the ASR-4 radar system is the lack of accurate altitude information on the bird echoes displayed on the radar screen.

PRECISION APPROACH OR GROUND CONTROL APPROACH RADARS

abound sel an avan de fan waarde maa kalenie beske af het waarde state state state state state state af het state af het state stat

and average the second and a construction of the second second second second second second second second second

At Shaw Air Porce Base and Charleston Airport in South Carolina these units are in operation in conjunction with the ASR-4 radars. These approach radars constantly monitor hazardous concentrations of birds in the sampled air space. The radars yield very accurate altitudinal information, but unfortunately do not sample altitudes above 5,000 feet. The resolution of the radars is such that individual birds can be seen, and flocks of birds appear so large as to constitute a problem when an aircraft is being vatched on the PPI. By initial findings with this unit suggest that it can be very valuable in warning pilots on landing approaches of birds in their line of flight. This unit and the ASR-4 comprise an excellent system for monitoring bird movements in the vicinity of busy airports and for issuing wernings of hazardous bird concentrations. Direct visual identification of birds displayed on the GCA radar is now underway and is expected to yield data that will aid flight controllers in identifying the types of birds displayed on the radar screens of the GCA units.

PAPERS PUBLISHES DURING THE GRANT PERIOD (GRANT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IN PAPER)

- Able, K. P. 1972. Fall migration in coastal Louisiana and the evolution of migration patterns in the Gulf region. Wilson Bulletin, 84(3):231-242.
- Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. 1972. Flight directions of passerine migrents in daylight and darkness: A radar and direct visual study. In: Animal Orientation and Navigation, Kational Aeronautics and Space Administration, SP-262:129-137.

Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. 1972. Behavioral responses of migrating birds to daylight and darkness: A radar and direct visual study. Wilson Bulletin, 84(2):136-148.

Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. 1972. Review: Studies of Bird Hazards to Aircraft by V. E. F. Solman. Auk, 89(3):678-679Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. 1972. The changing seasons. Spring Migration, 1972. American Birds, 26(4):731-736.

4

Hebrard, J. J. 1972. Fall nocturnal migration during two successive overcast days. Condor, 74(1):106-107.

PAPERS IN PREPARATION

お果 たいえ

х Б

and the state of the state

いいなななななない いちやうちゃう ちょうしゅうちょう

ack(11)

11

Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. The influence of winds aloft on the altitudinal distribution of migrating birds. Nature.

Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. The behavior of migrating birds in atmospheric frontal zones. Nature.

Gauthreaux, S. A., Jr. World wind patterns and the evolution of migratory routes in birds. Science.

PAPERS DELIVERED AT SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS

2

The influence of wind on the orientation and evolution of bird migration. Delivered at the 90th Annual Meeting of the American Ornithologists' Union at the University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota. 15-17 August 1972.

CONVENTS	moderately heavy	heavy	light	heavy	moderately heavy	very heavy Y	Light	light	heavy	moderately heavy	moderately heavy	moderately heavy	moderately heavy	moderately heavy	Light-medium	medium-light	medium	11 &ht	medium-moderately heavy	light-medium
DIR. VISUAL	30°	00	201°	011	289°	360°	2000	219°	7° 50°	20°-30°	353°	-00T	39°	79°	96°	350°	117 ¹⁰	123°	340°	60°-70°
 RADAR	°,CS	250	210c	140"	53iJc +300c	10'	220°	200°	15° 65°	25" - 30°		TO¢		360°-10°(10%) 80°-90°(high)	004. 1 00°	хо ^с	50°60°	1.800	320°-340°	50°° 60°
WIND (SURF)	270° 2 kts.	20° 6 kts.	220° 4 kts.	40° 9 kts.	240° 5 kts.	ດເລງແ	230° 6-8kts.	2000	360° 3 res. 160° 4-res.	.500 th kts.	350° 3 kts.	celm	40° h kts.	50° - 10 kts. 160° - 5 kts.	140° - 4 kt.s.	celin	60° 3-5 kts.	180° \$ kta.	90° 2-3 kts.	60°kts.
DATE	28 March	3 April	4 April ,	15 April.	' Irada TI	18 April	24 April	25 April	26 April	27 April.	29 April	30 April	1. May	3 May	4 May	7 May	. 10.5	9 May	No May	and the

Ļ

.

5

5