AD743908

Centract #00014-57-A-0298-0005 NR-371-018

The same and the second state of the second state of the second state of the

Microwave Physics Branch Bailistic Research Laboratoric: U.S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground

PROXIMITY EFFECTS FOR PARALLEL RECTANGULAR CONDUCTORS IN NON-TRANSMISSION-LINE MODE



By Rehert W. Burton

February 1972



This document has been approved for public release and sale, its distribution is unlimited.

Technical Repert No. 629

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Narvard University - Cambridge, Massachusetts Reproduced by

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

31

And the second second

Se inty Classification			والمراجع المروري ويوار المتحد المتقار المتحد المحدود المراجع			
DOCUM	ENT CONTROL DATA	- R & D				
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	and indexing Annotation Aux	20. REPORT S	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Harvard University		Unc	lassified			
Cambridge, Massachusetts 0213	etts 02138		26. GROUP			
REPORT TITLE						
PROXIMITY EFFECTS FOR PANON-TR' NSMISSION-LINE MOI	ARALLEL RECT DE	ANGULAR	CONDUCTORS IN			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive de	106)					
Interim technicil report						
Robert W. Jrton						
. REPORY DATE	78. TOTAL N	O OF PAGES	78. NO. OF REFS			
February 1972	37		6			
- ONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINA	TOR'S REPORT NUN	48ER(\$)			
1100014-07-A-0298-0005	(
	629					
c. `	SD. OTHER R this report	EPORT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned			
d.						
0 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT						
This document has been approved unlimited.	l for public releat	se and sale; Services Ele (Adm. Serv	its distribution is			
This document has been approved unlimited.	l for public releat ''Joint through Resear Resear	se and sale; Services Ele (Adm. Serv ch, Air For ch or U. S	its distribution is ectronics Program rice - Office of Nava ce Office of Scientifi Army Elect. Comm			
This document has been approved unlimited. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Additional applications of electrical variation in ohmic losses due to p corrected estimates of efficiency proximity effects on the current of identical parallel rectangular cro distance and cross-section. The mode. In the light of experimental verified for analyzing relative pr separation distances.	l for public releat	se and sale; Services Élé (Adm. Services Élé (Adm. Services Élé (Adm. Services) ch or U.S. such as mu can signific estigates ex associated of ctors as a fit ted in a non- rder theory t the extrem	its distribution is ctronics Program vice - Office of Nava ce Office of Scientifi Army Elect. Comma lititurn loops, antly affect un- perimentally the hmic losses for two unction of separation transmission-line is developed and es of conductor			

And a first of the second second second second

er same

يتعطيك ويستعيد والارداد والمناقل والمناك والمعالية والمعارف والمستحاف والمستحاف والمساطعا والمساطين والمناكب والمستحسنات

1

KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK .		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	F
				{		
Proximity effects		-				
Parallel rectangular conductors						ł
Non-transmission-line mode					{	
Experiment and first-order theory						
			<u>⊦</u>			
			I İ			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
						I
					İ	
				l		ł
				{		
					1	
		ł				
					Í	
						ļ
	•					
1/						
ORM 1473 (BACK)	L	Ilacia		4	L	
•07•4821		Security	Classifi	cation		R •

and man a sec.

- Shines

CLASSER LACE LAND COM.

and allow a stand of the stand of the

Office of Naval Research

the main and a sure

:

Contract N00014-67-A-0298-0005 NR-371-016

Microwave Physics Branch Ballistic Research Laboratories U. S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground

PROXIMITY EFFECTS FOR PARALLEL RECTANGULAR

CONDUCTORS IN NON-TRANSMISSION-LINE MODE

By

Robert W. Burton

Technical Report No. 629

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

February 1972

The research reported in this document was made possible through support extended the Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University by the U.S. Army Research Office, the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the U.S. Office of Naval Research under the Joint Services Electronics Program by Contracts N00014-67-A-0298-0006, 0005, and 0008.

> Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Harvard University · Cambridge, Massachusetts

PROXIMITY EFFECTS FOR PARALLEL RECTANGULAR CONDUCTORS IN NON-TRANSMISSION-LINE MODE

By

Robert W. Burton*

Division of Engineering and Applied Physics Harvard University · Cambridge, Massachusetts

ABSTRACT

In applications of electrically short antennas such as multiturn loops, variation in ohmic losses due to proximity effects can significantly affect uncorrected estimates of efficiency. This paper investigates experimentally the proximity effects on the current distributions and associated ohmic losses for two identical parallel rectangular cross-section conductors as a function of separation distance and cross-section. The system is operated in a non-transmission-line mode.

In the light of experimental results a first-order theory is developed and verified for analyzing relative proximity effects at the extremes of conductor separation distances.

^{*}The author is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, U. S. Air Force Academy, Colorado.

I. Introduction

A strong interest exists in determining the radiation efficiency of electrically small or minute antennas. In such cases the ratio of radiated power to ohmic losses is a significant parameter affecting calculations of efficiency. The efficiency of power transfer is given by

$$\Sigma ff. = \frac{1}{1 + L_0/P_r}$$
 (1)

where

L₀ = system ohmic losses P_r = radiated power

In general ohmic losses are a function of the operating frequency, the macroscopic properties and geometry of the conducting material, and proximity effects due to the presence of other current-carrying elements. For a given system, ohmic losses are typically calculated by integrating the square of the current distribution of an isolated conductor and multiplying it by an appropriate equivalent resistance. More often than not a uniformly distributed current is assumed, or better a theoretically calculated or experimentally measured current distribution for an isolated conductor is employed.

In electrically small multiturn loop antennas, however, proximity effects may dramatically alter the transverse current distribution of the isolated conductors. Recently Smith⁽¹⁾ showed theoretically that the errors in calculating the total power radiated by an electrically small two-turn loop made from a circular cross-section conductor can be as large as 30% if proximity effects are neglected.

For rectangular conductors (Fig. 1) the determination of proximity effects is far from straightforward. However, some insight into the problem is given by $Cockcroft^{(2)}$. In this paper Cockcroft reviews the approach as suggested by $Strutt^{(3)}$ that the rectangular conductor be approximated by an ellipse of large eccentricity wherein at high frequencies as pointed out by $Kelvin^{(4)}$ the problem of the distribution of the fields becomes analogous to the electrostatic problem. Cockcroft applies this analogy directly to the rectangular conductor using the Schwartz-Christoffel transformation. Briefly stated, Cockcroft showed that the a. c. resistance per unit length, R, in ohms per meter of an isolated rectangular conductor is given by

$$R = \frac{2f(B/A)}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega\mu\rho}{8\pi AB}}$$
(2)

where ρ is the resistivity in ohm-meters, ω the angular frequency; $\mu = \mu_r \mu_0$ the absolute permeability with $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ henry/m; A and B the dimensions of the conductor; and f(B/A), a shape factor involving elliptical integrals. More recently King⁽⁵⁾ examined the current distribution and impedance per unit length of a very thin isolated strip. The case for analyzing proximity effects between two parallel conductors, however, involves intractable integrals and an exact analytical solution cannot be found although numerical evaluation might be considered a useful substitute.

*Cockcroft's formula has been converted to MKS units.

-2-

FIG. 1

فللمد كالبلاغات مريس فالمكر المرامع ومراجع ومحمول ومراجع المراجع مرمعهم المراجع مرمعهم المراجع مراجع مراجع مراجع والمراجع
GEOMETRY OF PARALLEL RECTANGULAR CONDUCTORS



In this paper a series of experimental measurements of surface current distributions are taken over two identical parallel rectangular conductors carrying equal currents, as a function of separation distance and cross section. Relative ohmic losses due to proximity effects over the range of measurements are calculated and generalized to a significant set of cross-section geometries and separation distances. From these observations a first-order theory, which extends the experimental data to include very small separation distances, is formulated and compared with the analytical solution developed by Cockcroft.

II. Experimental Apparatus and Error Analysis

The basic experimental apparatus (Fig. 2) employs a 100 KHz CW oscillator with an external shielded loop probing system. The rectangular conductors are of sufficient length to be considered infinitely long in the sense that field contributions from current-carrying feed cables were kept less than 5% of the average probe reading from opposite sides.

The particular geometry of a rectangular conductor does not lend itself to the conventional approach of field probing using internally mounted probes with the consequence that an externally mounted shielded loop probe system (Fig. 3) was designed and raked across each conducting face. The probe is constructed of 0.021 inch O. D. coaxial cable formed into a 1/16 inch by 1-1/2 inch rectangle. A uniform probe separation was accomplished using a 1/32 inch radius teflon bearing. The measure of isolation between the conductors and the probe system was derived by raking the probe first in one direction sud then the other (Fig. 4). Excellent agreement exists except where the probe penetrates the high

-4-



A HAVEN

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

FIG.2





field at the edges of the conductor. In this area contributions from the current on the adjacent conductor face asymmetrically distort the probe readings. The overall error from this distortion generates an error of less than 3% in the calculations.

In practice a rectangular loop probe senses field contributions derived from currents (I_g) sampled over an area larger than that directly below the probe. The area of sampled current as a function of probe height, geometry and distance from probe centerline is given by

$$I_{s}(x, h_{2} - h_{1}) \approx \int_{h_{1}}^{h_{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{I(x)y}{\pi(y^{2} + x^{2})} dx dy$$
 (3)

with y, h_1 , h_2 , x defined as in Figure 5.

Assuming I(x) varies at most linearly over the sampled range, fifty percent of the probe (Fig. 3) readings are derived from currents up to 1/16 inch away from the probe centerline; and 85% from currents within 1/4 inch of the centerline⁽⁶⁾.

The results of this integration effect in the measurement of surface currents is most rignificant as the probe approaches the edge. If there were no contribution from he adjoining side, the actual current at the edge would simply be twice the measured value. In such a case with a uniform circumferential current distribution, the correction factor by which the measured values must be multiplied to give the actual surface current would be

Correction Factor
$$\approx 2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(s/h)$$
 (4)



as derived from (3) for an infinitely small probe at height, h, and located at a distance s from the edge.

A more accurate representation of the current distribution near the edges would be a rising exponential, the maximum value of which would again be twice the measured value if there were no contribution from the adjoining side and of the same form as the correction factor (4) but weighted by the exponential. Numerical integration of the weighted correction factor shows that the probe reading at the edge is essentially derived (90%) from currents present up to a distance 3h from the edge.

At the edge, however, the probe does in fact measure currents from the adjoining vertical side according to

$$I_{s}(y, h_{2} - h_{1}) \approx \int_{h_{1}}^{h_{2}} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{I(y)}{2\pi(h - y)} dy dy$$
 (5)

where I(y) is the current distribution on the adjoining side (the lower half of the y-z planes) and (-y) is the distance measured down from the edge as shown in Fig. 5.

The sum total of the contributions to the measured readings therefore is one half of the sampled current given by (3) plus the sampled current on the adjacent side as given by (5). The cumulative effect of these contributions i; such that the measured currents at the edge are not less than 85% of the actual value. This error translates into an error of less than 3% in computing relative ohmic losses as described by (6).

III. Experimental Results

Merticize section and the

Surface currents were measured for three conductor cross sections (1-1/2 in. by 3 in., 3/4 in. by 3 in., and <math>1-1/2 in. by 1-1/2 in., two conductor orientations, and a range of conductor separations f:om 1/2 inch to infinity. The results are presented in Figs. 6-19 The experimental data for the surface current are normalized to the total current flowing in a single conductor such that the integral of the surface current over the four sides A, B, C and D is unity for each of the five cases investigated. No phase shifts in the current distribution were noted. Because there exists a small contribution from the horizontal current-carrying feed wires, the relative position of the oscillator is noted. It is easy to observe that this contribution adds to the probe reading on the near side and subtracts on the far side. Typically this i asymmetry results in a current on side A with an amplitude approximately 10% higher than on side C.

From the experimental data presented in Figs. 6-19, proximity effects on ohmic losses may be determined by integrating the square of the surface currents and comparing the results to the appropriate isolated conductor. In so doing, a normalized relative ohmic loss, L_n , may be defined for two identical parallel rectangular cross-section conductors carrying equal currents in terms of a conductor geometry (B/A), relative separation distance (d/C), and referred to the case of electrically isolated conductors.

$$L_{n}(B/A, d/C) = \frac{L_{0}(B/A, d/C)}{L_{0}(B/A, \infty)}$$
(6)



SURFACE CURRENT ON SIDE A WITH THE CONDUCTOR SEPARATION d AS PARAMETER (% INCH :: 3 INCH CONDUCTORS WITH % INCH SIDES PARALLEL)

FIG.6

12

و دوابر برخ و الرو سان الله و الرو المان الماري و المان المان المان المان و المان و المان المان المان المان ال





いていてい いちんちょう たいちょう

FIG.8

くろう ちょうしょう ちょうひょう ちょうちょう

















FIG. 16

「おいろいろ」「おいろ」というないできた。このできたのできたので、ないないできたのできた。

للكلا لالتفاصل هذيك فالكو معولكما للمالية توقيقا للكظمية الدمعا لاحمالك معاليك المكافئة فالكلكلا فرعواسها الكرموار والمعاومات والمتعادية





SURFACE CURRENT ON SIDE B WITH CONDUCTOR SEPARATION d AS PARAMETER (% INCH × 3 INCH CONDUCTOR WITH % INCH SIDES PARALLEL)

FIG. 18

لكمماكمان مالاستكثار سالا معاكلتها وحفائد بعاقبان الأجافان الأراء وكلامك كمماط تعاطينا كالماء أخصمت أخاف عاماناها فحاسك فالمسالك فت

A HISHKAMM

and High Sport A

24

Sight South Star and an Princip . Alter sin same and



25

ليعين والمعاملية والمشكلية والمشكر والمستعملية والمعاركة والمعاملية والمستعمل والمعارك فأستعاره والمستعمل وال

where C, the circumference of one conductor, is held constant.

Composite results for L_n normalized for constant circumference conductors are presented in Fig. 20 where the dashed lines represent a first-order theory developed in the following section which extends the experimental results to very small separation distances, i.e., d/C < 0.05.

IV. First-Order Theory for Determining Relative Ohmic Losses at the Limits of Separation Distance

In analyzing proximity effects for conductors of rectangular crosssection, experimental results show that as the separation distance decreases the current on the inside face (side D) gradually decreases and is distributed over the remaining sides. At d/C of 0.05 (that is, for example, a 1/2 inch separation of 1-1/2 in. by 3 in. conductors) approximately 95% of the current on the inside face has been redistributed to the other faces (see Figs. 9-11 or Figs. 14-16). Furthermore, for decreasing separation distances the current distributions on sides A and C tend towards the form of the current distribution on the left half of a conductor of dimensions 2A by B (see Figs. 9 or 11, for example). Although it is clear that such a redistribution of current is accompanied by changes in skin depth and varying influences of the corner, it is nonetheless useful to construct a simplified model of the process with an assumption that for changes of B/A by a factor of two the relative errors in determining proximity effects which arise from variations in skin depth are minimal and may be neglected.

nay initial a the sine that the design of the states of the second states in the states of the states in the second st

-26-



In general when an imperfect conductor is excited by a unit alternating current, it exhibits internal power losses commonly referred to as ohmic losses. Ohmic losses generally are a function of the operating frequency, the geometry of the conductor, its macroscopic properties (which also may be frequency-dependent) as well as proximity effects. In the elementary case of an isolated conductor of unit length, area (A), and resistivity (ρ) carrying a unit direct current, the ohmic loss (L_{dc}) is given by

$$L_{dc} = \frac{\rho}{A}$$
(7)

The AC case becomes somewhat more involved because the current is no longer uniformly distributed throughout the conductor but decreases from a maximum at the conductor surface to 1/e of that value at the depth δ , the so-called skin depth. For an isolated imperfect conductor with a constant smooth cross-section (i. e., no corners or radii of curvature of the same magnitude as the skin depth) and at least several δ thick, the ohmic loss (L_{ac}) per unit length for a uniformly distributed current with a unit alternating current drive is given approximately by

$$L_{ac} \approx \frac{\rho}{C0}$$
(8)

where C is the conductor circumference.

Although clearly only an approximation to the actual case, it is greatly simplifying and quite accurate to approximate L_{ac} by (8) when comparing the relative ohmic losses between rectangular conductors of cross-section A by B and 2A by B. This situation is equivalent to a comparison of proximity effects between two rectangular conductors of

-28-

dimensions A by B with zero separation distance. In terms of (6) and the above approximation, L_n may be computed at the touching point for two conductors as follows

$$L_{n}(B/A, 0) = \frac{L_{0}(B/A, 0)}{L_{0}(B/A, *)} \approx \frac{L_{ac}(B/2A)}{2L_{ac}(B/A)}$$
 (9)

In terms of (8), L_n at the touching point may be rewritten as

$$L_{n}(B/A, 0) \approx \frac{\frac{\rho}{2(2A+B)\delta}}{\frac{2\rho}{2(A+B)\delta}}$$
(10)

and reduced to

$$L_{n}(B/A,0) \approx \frac{1+B/A}{2+B/A}$$
(11)

For the values of B/A used, the dashed lines of Fig. 20 represent a first-order theory extending the relative separation distance (d/C) to the limit of 0. For B/A = ∞ , it is assumed that B \approx C/2 and A \approx 2 δ ; similarly for B/A = 0, A \approx C/2 and B \approx 2 δ . Fig. 21 presents over the range of interest a comparison of the first-order theory with the Cockcroft theory in determining relative ohmic power loss per unit length for parallel rectangular conductors of constant circumference at zero separation distance.

Acknowledgements

The author is most appreciative to Professor R. W. P. King for suggesting the problem and to Dr. D. H. Preis and G. Smith for



providing helpful theoretical and experimental insight. All are members of the Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Gordon McKay Laboratory, Harvard University. This research was also sponsored in part by the F. J. Seiler Research Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command.

PUT IN THE PARTY

References

、「たいたいの」の「ないない」の「ないない」のできょう。

and a state of the surface of the second

- 1. G. Smith, "The Radiation Efficiency of Electrically Small Multiturn Loop Antennas", Technical Report No. 612, Division of Engineering and Applied Physics, Harvard University, June 1970.
- 2. J. D. Cockcroft, "Skin Effect in Rectangular Conductors at High Frequencies", Proc. of Royal Society, Vol. 122, No. A790, pp. 533-542, Feb. 4, 1929.
- 3. M. J. O. Strutt, "Eddy Currents in an Elliptical Cylinder", Ann. d. Physik, Vol. 84, pp. 485-506, Nov. 2, 1927.

- 4. W. T. Kelvin, <u>Math. and Phys. Papers</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1911, Vol. 5, p. 491.
- 5. R. W. P. King, "Current Distribution and Impedance per Unit Length of a Thin Strip", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, AP-14, No. 2, p. 252, March 1966.
- For a comprehensive discussion of probe systems see R. W. P. King, R. B. Mack, and S. S. Sandler, <u>Arrays of Cylindrical</u> <u>Dipoles</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1968, Chapter 8.