

NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CEN BETHESDA, MD 20034



EFFECTS OF MICROSTRUCTURE, COMPOSITION, AND STRENGTH ON THE NIL DUCTILITY TRANSITION (NDT) TEMPERATURE OF HY-80 STEEL

by

Marcel L. Salive



RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT REPORT

Best Available Copy

Report 3722

ă,

いたいのうけつけん せつ

SEC - 43.4

January 1972



花

| UNCLAS Security Class | isitication | · · · · | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| - | DOCUMENT | CONTROL DATA - R | & D | البليور بيوانساني كالمريب إرتبالي |
| Security class | Hisation of title, body of abstract and in | ndexing annotation must be | entered when the overall report is | clussilied) |
| | e (comorate annor) | | 24. REPORT SECURITY CLASS | IFICATION |
| Naval Ship Research | and Development Center | · · · | UNCLASSIFIED | |
| Bethesda, Maryland | 20034 | | | |
| HEPORT TITLE | | | | |
| EFFECTS OF MIC | POSTRUCTURE COMPOSITION | AND STRENGTH O | A THE MUL DUCTU ITY TO A | NEITION (ND |
| | ROSTROCTORE, COMPOSITION | G AND STRENGTH U | N THE NIL DUCHLITY TRA | |
| | TEMPE | RATURE OF HY-80 S | TEEL | |
| DESCRIPTIVE NOTE | 5 (Type of report and inclusive dates) | ······································ | | |
| Final Report | | and the second | | |
| AUTHORIS) (First nai | ne, middle initial, last name) | | | |
| | | | | |
| N | farcel L. Salive | | | |
| NEPORT DAYS | | | OF DACES | |
| Lange Der Date | | 1 21 | 21 21 | |
| January 1972 Be CONTHACT OR GR | ANT NO | 131. 98. ORIGINATO | R'S REPORT NUMBER(5) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | |
| A PROJECT NO S | SF 35.422.212 | 3722 | · | |
| Т | Provision Flement 62512N | | (1,1) = (1,1) + (1,1 | |
| • | rogram memeric oubrury | | | |
| ¢. | | IND. OTHER RE | PORT NO(S) (Any other numbers in | at may be assign |
| ¢. | , | this report) | POHT NO(3) (Any other numbers in | ar may be assign |
| d. | ATEMENT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC | RELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | at may be assign |
| C. d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC | CRELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | at may be assign |
| C. d. 10 CISTHIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC | RELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | |
| C. d. 10 DISTRIBUTION STA 11 SJPPLEMENTARY | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC | CRELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | |
| C. d. 10 DISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY | APPROVED FOR PUBLI | RELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | PS) |
| C. d. 10 DISTRIBUTION STA 11 SJPPLEMENTARY 11 ANSTRACT | APPROVED FOR PUBLI | RELEASE: DISTRI | BUTION UNLIMITED | PS) |
| C. d. 10 CISTHIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY 11 ABSTRACT | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship | BUTION UNLIMITED | PS) |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY 11 ARSTRACT Steel fro | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc | RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILLITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI (543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S | IPS) hips)) was heat |
| e. d. 10 CISTHIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni | RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI 543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comma | PS) hips)) was heat |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY 11 ABSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in compositior | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni F and inclusion content, (2) variation | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A I ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI 543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a | PS) hips)) was heat preial variation and the relative |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPLEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC WOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A Il ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI 543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ | hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in compositior amount of isot strength obtain | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining wed after a one-hour 1150 F tempe | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI (S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comma h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observiench to prevent embrittlemen | IPS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCLMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in compositior amount of isot strength obtain from the temp | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining we after a one-hour 1150 F tempe ering temperature. | D RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship D steel, HY-80 (ASTM A Il ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI (543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ tench to prevent embrittlemen | (PS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining the dafter a one-hour 1150 F temper ering temperature. | D Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A I ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ iench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be | (PS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining ind after a one-hour 1150 F tempered ering temperature. Tempered 100 percent martensitie r cated to the measured Nil Ductilit | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc- te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ iench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be prevaure. The range of the var | hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JUPPLEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining ied after a one-hour 1150 F temper ering temperature. The importance are as follows: | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observiench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be sperature. The range of the var | IPS) hips)) was heat pretal variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JUPPLEMENTARY 11 ADSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were hnearly r effect on the f | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining red after a one-hour 1150 F tempered ering temperature. Tempered 100 percent martensitie r clated to the measured Nil Ductility ADT temperature are as follows: | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observiench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be operature. The range of the var | IPS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JUPPLEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mo y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining tend after a one-hour 1150 F tempered ering temperature. Tempered 100 percent martensitie r elated to the measured Nil Ductility Variable | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten Range | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI (543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ iench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be iperature. The range of the var Effect on NDT | hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan table and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCLMENTARY 11 AlistMACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni r and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or bainit red after a one-hour 1150 F temper ering temperature. Tempered 100 percent martensitie r elated to the measured Nil Ductility NDT temperature are as follows: Variable Producer | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten Range (Coded 1 or 2) | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI (S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observiench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be uperature. The range of the var Effect on NDT -21.9 F | IPS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JUNCEMENTANY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or bainit ued after a one-hour 1150 F tempe ering temperature, lempered 100 percent martensitie r elated to the measured Nil Ductilit NDT temperature are as follows: Variable Producer Prior Austenitic Grain Size | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship D steel, HY-80 (ASTM A I ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc- te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu- nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten Range (Coded 1 or 2) (3 to 9.5) | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ lench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be operature. The range of the var Effect on NDT -21.9 F -19.2 F/ASTM C. S. No. | hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a were linearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC NOTES m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Me y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or bainiti ied after a one-hour 1150 F tempe ering temperature. tempered 100 percent martensitie r elated to the measured Nil Ductiliti variable Producer Prior Austenitic Grain Size Percent Bainite | C RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship Steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc- te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten Range (Coded 1 or 2) (3 to 9.5) (0 to 76 percent) | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ iench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be operature. The range of the var Effect on NDT - 21.9 F - 19.2 F/ASTM C. S. No. 0.62 F/Percent Bainite | hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JPPCEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were linearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining ied after a one-hour 1150 F tempered hermally produced ferrite or baining ied after a one-hour 1150 F tempered ied af | Range (Coded 1 or 2) (3 to 9.5) (0 to 69 percent) | BUTION UNLIMITED Systems Command (NAVSHI Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observ tench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be sperature. The range of the var Effect on NDT - 21.9 F - 19.2 F/ASTM C. S. No. 0.62 F/Percent Bainite - 0.23 F/Percent Ferrite | IPS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |
| d. 10 CISTRIBUTION STA 11 S JUPPLEMENTARY 11 AUSTRACT Steel fro treated to stud in composition amount of isot strength obtain from the temp Using a t were hnearly r effect on the N | APPROVED FOR PUBLIC MOTUS m 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mc y the effects on the drop weight ni i and inclusion content, (2) variation hermally produced ferrite or baining hermally produced ferrite or baining hermal | RELEASE: DISTRI Naval Ship o steel, HY-80 (ASTM A ductility transition (N on in microstructure suc- te in a tempered marten er followed by water qu nicrostructure as a base y Transition (NDT) ten Range (Coded 1 or 2) (3 to 9.5) (0 to 76 percent) (0 to 69 percent) (0 to 32 percent) | BUTION UNLIMITED G MILITARY ACTIVITY Systems Command (NAVSHI S43-65, and MIL-S-16216G (S DT) temperature of (1) comme h as prior austenitic grain size a sitic matrix, and (3) the observiench to prevent embrittlemen line, the variables found to be aperature. The range of the var Effect on NDT - 21.9 F - 19.2 F/ASTM C. S. No. 0.62 F/Percent Bainite - 0.23 F/Percent Ferrite 5.4 F/Percent Pearlite | IPS) hips)) was heat ercial variation and the relative red variation in t while cooling most significan iable and its |

Higher order terms and a steraction terms did not significantly improve the relationship, nor did consideration of inclusion content of the steel. Other than hydrogen, no alloying or trace elements were found to correlate with the measured NDT temperature of this steel.

DD 1 HOV 611473 (PAGE 1)

S/N 0101-807-6801

ŝ

ŝ

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification 氰

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

| | KEY WORDS | | LIN | K A | LIN | кв | LIN | K C |
|---|-----------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|------------|
| n yn generellen a yn ar gellefer yn a'n alle ar gellefer yn gener gellefer a fer a fan an ann affar arfar an an | | | ROLE | ΨT | ROLE | w 5 | ROLE | ₩ T |
| Nd Ductility Transition Temp NDT Temperature | erature | | | | | | | |
| Nt-Ct-Mo Steel HY-80 Steel | | | | | | | | |
| Composition | | | | | | | | |
| Microstructure Austenite Bamite Ferrite Pearlite | | | | | | | | |
| Gram Size | | | | | | | | |
| Inclusions | | | | | | | ļ | |
| Strength | | | l | | | | | |
| Tensile Yield | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ĺ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ļ | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | • | | | 1 | |

14 A- 5 (24)

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER BETHESDA, MD 20034

EFFECTS OF MICROSTRUCTURE, COMPOSITION, AND STRENGTH ON THE NIL DUCTILITY TRANSITION (NDT) TEMPERATURE OF HY-80 STEEL

by

Marcel L. Salive



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

January 1972

Report 3722

畜

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Page |
|--|
| ABSTRACT |
| ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION |
| INTRODUCTION |
| LITERATURE SURVEY |
| GRAIN SIZE EFFECT |
| Ferritic Grain Size |
| Austenitic Grain Size |
| Microstructure |
| Fracture Path |
| MICROSTRUCTURE |
| COMPOSITION |
| STRENGTH |
| EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES |
| SUMMARY |
| MATERIALS |
| HEAT TREATING PROCEDURES |
| Fully Quenched (Martensitic) |
| 875 F Isothermal Treatment (Semi-Bainitic) |
| 1200 F Isothermal Treatment (Semi-Ferritic) |
| TESTING PROCEDURES |
| Drop Weight NDT Tests |
| Tensile Tests |
| Compression Tests |
| Charpy Impact Tests |
| METALLOGRAPHIC PROCEDURES |
| |
| EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS |
| CHEMICAL COMPOSITION |
| METALLOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS |
| MECHANICAL PROPERTY TEST RESULTS |
| DISCUSSION 18 |
| EXCEPTENDENCE OF VARIABLES |
| SLIGHTARLY SIGNIFICANT VARIABLES AFFECTING NDT |
| PECHLTS OF RECRETSION ANALYSIS ON NDT |
| Composition and Producer |

畜

| - 2017년 1월 1997년 1월 1997년 1월 1997년 1월 19 1997년 1월 1997년 1월 19 | Page |
|---|------|
| Prior Austenitic Grain Size | . 22 |
| Microstructure | . 23 |
| Inclusion Content | . 24 |
| Strength | . 24 |
| Summary of Factors Controlling NDT Temperature | . 25 |
| CONCLUSIONS | . 28 |
| FACTORS AFFECTING THE NDT TEMPERATURE | . 28 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | . 30 |
| APPENDIX A – REAGENTS USED TO DEVELOP PRIOR AUSTENITIC GRAIN BOUNDARIES | . 53 |
| APPENDIX B – ETCHING TECHNIQUES FOR IDENTIFYING ISOTHERMAL PRODUCTS | . 55 |
| APPENDIX C – PHOTOMICROGRAPHS OF MICROSTRUCTURES | . 59 |
| APPENDIX D – CHARPY V-NOTCH IMPACT PROPERTY CURVES | . 83 |
| APPENDIX E – TIME-TEMPERATURE COOLING CURVES FOR DROP WEIGHT SPECIMENS WHEN TRANSFERRED FROM 1640 F FURNACE TO MOLTEN SALT BATHS AT EITHER 1200 F OR 875 F | .115 |
| REFERENCES | .119 |
| | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | • |
| Figure 1 – Location of Material Used in This Study | . 31 |
| Figure 2 – Layout of Drop Weight Test Specimens | . 31 |
| Figure 3 – Location of Mechanical Property and Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Specimens Made from Tested Drop Weight Specimens | • 32 |
| Figure 4 – Location of Longitudinal Charpy V-Notch Impact Specimens Made from Special Specimen Blocks | • 32 |
| Figure 5 – Pnotomicrographs of the Inclusion Content of Coupon X-18 | • 33 |
| Figure 6 - Photomicrographs of the Inclusion Content of Coupon Y-1 | • 34 |
| Figure 7 – A Plot of the Difference between Calculated and Experimental NDT Temperature of Material Containing from a Trace to 69 Percent Ferrite versus Ferrite Content | • 35 |

畜

and the second second

| | | • | | | I | Page |
|--------|---|--------------------------|-----|------|----|------|
| Figure | 8 – Plots Showing the Relative Effect of Prior Austenitic Grain Size, Producer, Percent Nonmartensitic Isothermal Transformation Produce (Bainite, Ferrite and Pearlite), and Tensile Yield Strength on the NDT Temperature of a Low-Carbon Ni-Cr-Mo Alloy Steel Tempered at 1150 k | | . ' | | | |
| | | • • | • | •. • | | 35 |
| APPEN | NDIXES | | | · | | |
| Figure | C1 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-1 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • | • | | • | 60 |
| Figure | C2 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-2 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | ی میں میں میں وروز | | | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • • | | • | • | 61 |
| Figure | C3 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends X-3 and X-4 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | | | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • • | • • | • . | • | 62 |
| Figure | C4 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends X-5 and X-6 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • | • • | • | •. | 63 |
| Figure | C5 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends X-8 and X-9 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | ••• | • | | 64 |
| Figure | C6 Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends X-10 and X-11 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • • | | • | • | 65 |
| Figure | C7 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-12 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • | • | • | • | 66 |
| Figure | C8 – Effects of Prior Austenitic Grain Size on the Microstructure (1000X) | | | | | 67 |
| | | • | • • | • | • | 07 |
| Figure | C9 - Microstructure (1000X) c Specimens from Cropped End Number X-13 Austenitized at 1640 F, isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | • | | • | 68 |
| Figure | C10 – Effects of Prior Austenitic Grain Size on the Microstructure (1000X) of Cropped Ends X-15 and X-18 | • • | • | | | 69 |
| Figure | C11 - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-15 Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | | | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • | , | • • | • | 70 |

iv.

裔

裔

| - ⁴ | | | |
|----------------|--|-----|------|
| | | | Page |
| Figure C12 - | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cronned End Number V 16 | | |
| • | Austenitized at 1640 F. Isothermally Treased at 875 F and 1000 F | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | |
| | | • | 71 |
| Figure C: 3 | Refeate of Drive Ameteritie Contra Cine of Att | | |
| 1 12010 1 10 - | Compared to be VIG and VIG | | |
| | of Cropped Ends X-16 and X-17 | • | 72 |
| P: | | | |
| Figure C14 - | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-17 | | |
| 1 | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 12.0 F, | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • | 73 |
| ; | | | |
| Figure C15 - | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-18 | | |
| | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F. | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | _ | 74 |
| | | •• | |
| Figure C16 | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends V.1 and V.2 | | |
| riguie ero | Austanitized at 1640 E. Josharmally Treated at 975 E and 1200 E | | |
| • | Austennized at 1040 F, isothermany freated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | |
| | and then tempered at itsoff | ••• | 75 |
| | | | |
| Figure C17 | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number Y-2 | | |
| | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | 76 |
| | | | |
| Figure C18 | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Crepped End Number Y-4 | | |
| | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | 77 |
| | | | |
| Figure C19 | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends Y-5 and Y-6 | | |
| - | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F. | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | | 78 |
| | | | |
| Figure C20 | - Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends V-7 and V-8 | | |
| Ligure C20 | Austonitized at 1640 F. Jeatharnially Treated at 875 F and 1200 F. | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 E | | |
| | | ••• | 79 |
| 1: | | | |
| Figure C21 | - microstructure (1000x) of Specimens from Cropped Ends ¥-9 and ¥-10 | | |
| | Austenitized at 1640 F, isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | ••• | 80 |
| | | | • |
| Figure C22 | Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number Y-11 | | |
| | Austenitized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, | | |
| | and Then Tempered at 1150 F | • • | 81 |
| | | | |
| Figure DI | - Transverse and Longitudinal Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for | | |
| | Specimens Austenitized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Water | | |
| | Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour | | 84 |
| I | | | ••• |
| Figure D2 | Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized | | |
| | at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Isothermally Treated at 875 F for 152 | | |
| | Securds Water Ovenched and Tempered at 1150 F | | |
| | for 1 Hat. | | 03 |
| | successive a second | • • | 92 |
| | | | |

裔

裔

v

| ÷ • | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | \mathbf{P} | age |
| Figure D3 - | - Transverse and Longitudinal Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, <i>Isothermally</i> <i>Treated at 875 F for 1600 Seconds</i> , Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour | 98 |
| Figure D4 - | - Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Isothermally Treated at 1200 F for 3350 Seconds, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F | |
| | for 1 Hour | 02 |
| Figure D5 - | - Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, <i>Isothermally Treated at 1200 F for</i> 8500 Seconds, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour | 60 |
| Figure D6 - | Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 2000 F for 1 Hour, Transferred to 1640 F for 5 Minutes Minimum, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1100 F for 1 Hour | 11 |
| Figure D7 | Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 2000 F for 1 Hour, Transferred to 1640 F for 5 Minutes Minimum, Isothermally Treated at 875 F for 1600 Seconds, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour | 13 |
| Figure E1 | - Comparison of Actual Cooling Rates with Computed Cooling Rates for the Center of a 5/8 x 2 x 5 Inch Drop Weight Specimen | 17 |
| | LIST OF TABLES | |
| | | |
| Table 1 | - Producer's Reported Chemical Composition and Mechanical Properties | 36 |
| Table 2 | - Actual Chemical Composition of Coupons | 38 |
| Table 3 | Inclusion Content | 39 |
| Table 4 | - Prior Austenitic Grain Size | 40 |

| Table | 1 – Producer's Reported Chemical Composition and Mechanical Properties |
|-------|---|
| Table | 2 – Actual Chemical Composition of Coupons |
| Table | 3 – Inclusion Content |
| Table | 4 – Prior Austenitic Grain Size |
| Table | 5 – Percent Microconstituents Produced by Various Isothermal Treatments |
| Table | 6 - Results of Mechanical Property Tests |
| Table | 7 List of Variables and Correlation Coefficients Showing Highly Correlated Variables |
| Table | 8 – Results of Linear Regression Relating NDT Temperature to Prior Austenitic Grain Size |

畜

畜

| | | | Page |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------|
| Table 9 - Results of Linear R | Rression Relating NDT Temper | rature to | - |
| Prior Austenitic Gra | in Size, Percent Bainite, Percen | iacure to | |
| Ferrite and Percent | Pearlite | ······································ | - 51 |
| Table 10 - Results of Linear Re | gression Relating NDT Temper | ature to Producer, | |
| Prior Austenitic Gra | in Size, Percent Bainite, Percen | it Ferrite, | |
| Percent Pearlite and | Tensile Yield Strength | • • • • • • • • • • • • | . 52 |
| | | | |

118

APPENDIX

額

裔

| Table El | - Listing of a Computer Program Using the Instantaneous Film Coefficient Method | |
|----------|--|--|
| | of Sinnott and Shyne ⁴⁷ to Predict the Instantaneous Cooling Rate and Time- | |
| | Temperature Cooling Curve for a Low-Carbon Ni-Cr-Mo Alloy Steel | |
| | Specimen 5/8 x 2 x 5 Inches When Transferred from a Neutral | |
| | Salt Bath at 1640 F to a Neutral Salt Bath at 875 F | |

vii

ABSTRACT

Steel from 22 heats of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mo steel, HY-80 (ASTM A543-65, and MIL-S-16216G (Ships)) was heat treated to study the effects on the drop weight nil ductility transition (NDT) temperature of (1) commercial variation in composition and inclusion content, (2) variation in microstructure such as prior austenitic grain size and the relative amount of isothermally produced ferrite or bainite in a tempered martensitic matrix, and (3) the observed variation in strength obtained after a one-hour 1150 F temper followed by a water quench to prevent embrittlement while cooling from the tempering temperature.

Using a tempered 100 percent martensitic microstructure as a base line, the variables found to be most significant were linearly related to the measured Nil Ductility Transition (NDT) temperature. The range of the variable and its effect on the NDT temperature are as follows:

| Variable | Range | Effect on NDT |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Producer | (Coded 1 or 2) | -21.9 F |
| Prior Austenitic Grain Size | (3 to 9.5) | -19.2 F/ASTM G. S. No. |
| Percent Bainite | (0 to 76 percent) | 0.62 F/Percent Bainite |
| Percent Ferrite | (0 to 69 percent) | - 0.23 F/Percent Ferrite |
| Percent Pearlite | (0 to 32 percent) | 5.4 F/Percent Pearlite |
| Tensile Yield Strength | (61.5 to 124.7 ksi) | 0.68 F/ksi |

Higher order terms and interaction terms did not significantly improve the relationship, nor did consideration of inclusion content of the steel. Other than hydrogen, no alloying or trace elements were found to correlate with the measured NDT temperature of this steel.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This work was performed under the sponsorship of the Naval Ship Systems Command (NAVSHIPS), as part of Research and Development Project SF 35.422.212 Program Element 62512N.

ň.

畜

1

INTRODUCTION

At present there is a gap in the body of general metallurgical knowledge concerning the mechanisms that control the notch toughness, as measured by the drop weight nil ductility transition (NDT)* temperature of the low carbon, medium alloy, and quenched and tempered steels. Most prior studies have been limited to the process of characterizing specific classes of commercial steels by their expected NDT temperature frequency distribution range. No previous studies have been made of the interaction of chemical composition, mechanical properties and microstructure with the NDT temperature of any steel.

Studies of the notch toughness of higher alloy steels, such as those recently performed by U. S. Steel, have concerned themselves with the fracture mechanics or critical flaw size approach that is more appropriate for such higher strength, low toughness materials. Previous studies of the higher toughness steels, however, have always concerned themselves with the effect of variation in a single alloying element and usually only with its effect on strength or on some Charpy impact property. In addition, these previous studies have been concerned only with the effects on a normalized steel or on an oil quenched alloy steel of undetermined microstructure.

The normalized structural steels are gradually being replaced in critical, high toughness, low transition temperature applications by the median alloy steels such as HY-80 and HY-100. Therefore, it is important that the mechanisms controlling the interaction of composition, mechanical properties, and microstructure with NDT temperature be determined. This is so, particularly since the NDT temperature is one of the most important criteria for the selection of a steel for structural application under severe service conditions.

There has long been an interest in the structural use of high toughness, low transition temperature steels, and more recently with the higher strength, quenched and tempered steels such as the ASTM A543-65 (Class I and Class II), MIL-S-16216G, HY-80, HY-100, and HY-130; these steels are currently being used for the shells of pressure vessels and hulls of oceanographic vehicles. The steel used in this study is a quenched and tempered reclium alloy steel having an 85,000 psi yield strength and the following nominal percent composition: 0.16 C, 0.36 Mn, 0.015 1, 0.015 S, 0.25 Si. 2.75 Ni, 1.50 Cr and 0.35 Mo. This steel is commonly classed as a low carbon nickel-chrome-molybdenum alloy steel.

LITERATURE SURVEY

The impact transition temperature is some arbitrary point in the temperature range over which the fracture characteristics of a metal with a body centered cubic lattice, and sometimes with a hexagonal close packed lattice, exhibit a marked loss in ductility. This change is evidenced by (1) a large drop in the every required to produce fracture. (2) a marked change in the fracture surface appearance from a dull, fibrous-looking transcrystalline shear fracture associated with high energy absorption to a bright, faceted cleavage or intercrystalline fracture associated with low energy absorption, and (3) a decrease in the amount of lateral contraction at the bottom of the notch used to initiate the crack, or a decrease in the amount of lateral expansion at the "hinge" point of the impact specimen opposite the notch. The temperature range over which this transition from ductile to brittle behavior occurs is sensitive to test conditions such as strain rate, notch accuity, and residual or applied stress levels, as well as the toughness of the material at the time of testing; as a result the transition range is usually determined by using a standardized impact specimen and test method to test the material at a series of temperatures.

裔

豪

^{*}The NDT is the temperature of initial transition from plane strain to mixed-mode fracture and represents the temperature at which very small flaw sizes suffice for dynamic fracture initiation. The NDT temperature is generally not indexable to the sharply falling Charpy energy curve.

The "transition temperature" then is defined as that temperature in the transition range at which some specific condition occurs such as:

1. The fracture appearance transition temperature, FATT, is the temperature at which the specimen is 50 or 100 percent fibrous and is called the 50 percent FATT or the 100 percent FATT, respectively.

2. The fracture temperature is the temperature at which the Charpy V-notch (C_V) impact specimen absorbs some specific amount of energy. A common energy value used to characterize mild steel ship plate is 15 ft-lb; this criterion would be referred to as the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb transition temperature.

3. The temperature at which the drop weight impact test specimen exhibits no ductility is the NDT temperature, and is the temperature of initial transition from plane strain to mixed-mode fracture. The NDT temperature represents the temperature at which very small flaw sizes suffice for dynamic fracture initiation. The drop weight NDT temperature is not generally indexable to some specific point on the sharply falling Charpy energy curve.

An extensive body of literature exists on the effects of microstructure, composition, and strength on the impact transition temperature. The data are mainly concerned with the effects of alloy additions to plain carbon steels, or alloy steels having 0.30 percent or more carbon, whose microstructures are frequently not specified other than as resulting from a normalizing treatment, and these data often ignore the austenitic grain size of the steel prior to cooling. These studies are seldom based on the drop weight nil ductility transition temperature.

GRAIN SIZE EFFECT

畜

Changes in grain size have been reported to have a detrimental effect on the ductile to brittle fracture transition temperature of steels.¹⁻³² Some authors report the effect of change in the average grain diameter, otherz in terms of the change in ASTM micrograin size. Some authors report grain size in terms of: (1) ferritic grain size as measured on a polished and etched section; (2) prior austenitic grain size, measured using the McQuaid-Eho test method; (3) prior austenitic grain size as measured using an etheral picric acid etch to outline the prior au tenitic grain boundaries on a polished specimen; and (4) fracture grain size as determined by comparing a specimen broken at low temperature and exhibiting a crystalline fracture appearance to the fracture grain size c_{-a} set of specially prepared specimens used as a standard.

The various methods of reporting grain size are not independent. The ASTM micrograin size is related to the average grain diameter as follows:

1. The ferritic grain size is highly dependent upon the prior austenitic grain size and to a lesser degree upon other factors 2, 4-7, 13, 17-19, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31 such as:

a. Cooling rate from the austenitizing temperature. The slower the cooling rate the coarser the resultant ferrite due to fewer nucleation sites and more time for these sites to grow.

b. Temperature at which transformation from austenite to ferrite, or some other product, takes place. The higher the temperature the fewer nucleation sites and therefore larger grains.

c. The amount of ferrite grain growth that takes place after transformation while cooling, tempering or stress relieving. Longer times allow growth of some grains at the expense of smaller or less favorably oriented neighbors.

¹ sterences are listed on page 119.

d. The quantity and dispersion of inclusions, oxides and nitrides that may inhibit ferritic as well as austenitic grain growth.

e. Deoxidation practice.

2. The fracture grain size of embrittled material is usually the same as the prior austenitic grain.^{11, 12} The strong dependence on prior austenitic grain size, all other things being equal, is due to the fact that the austenite to ferrite reaction nucleates at the prior austenite, gamma, grain boundary. The finer the prior austenitic grain the greater the grain boundary surface area and thus the more nucleation sites available for the gamma to ferrite transformation. Slower cooling rates or longer times at temperature allow growth of existing grains either from existing gamma or at the expense of other smaller ferrite grains.

Most of the investigators who have reported on the effects of grain size have used the Charpy V-notch impact test to determine the fracture transition temperature; 1, 3, 4, 7-11, 13-21, 23, 24, 29-31 only a few have used the Charpy keyhole specimen, 2, 6 the drop weight impact test specimen, 21, 24, 32 or the crack or tear test specimen.⁶ How the fracture transition temperature is defined has a marked effect on the magnitude of the shift in transition temperature caused by changes in grain size.²⁶ Some of the definitions of fracture transition temperature used in the literature are as follows:

1. For Charpy V-notch and keyhole specimens

en an mainteach an Filling an think a shear a s

a. Level of impact energy absorbed was frequently used to determine the transition temperature.^{7, 8, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 24} The values used ranged from 8 to 40 ft-lb, with perhaps the most common value being 15 ft-lb. The 15 ft-lb level is commonly used for plain carbon steels because it is the level found by the National Bureau of Standards and the Ship Structures Committee to characterize the susceptibility of plain carbon steel ship plate to brittle fracture. The higher values are associated with quenched and tempered alloy steels.

b. A specific amount of fibrous fracture appearance on the broken surface of the impact specimen is sometimes used to define the fracture transition temperature.^{4, 8, 16, 21, 23, 26, 27} This is usually referred to as the fibrous fracture appearance transition temperature (FATT), and 50 percent fibrous fracture is the most commonly used value.

c. An amount of lateral expansion or contraction in the deformed area of fracture is occasionally used to define the transition temperature,²¹

d. The inflection point or sharp drop in the energy absorbed versus temperature curve is used.^{3, 20}

c. A mean energy level such as the mean energy absorbed at +100 and -196 C has set the transition temperature,⁴ as has the temperature at which the Charpy energy absorbed is one-half the maximum energy absorbed at upper energy shelf,²⁴ and as "... the middle of the temperature range over which an impact value of 40 ft-lb was obtained."²

f. Sometimes just a visual comparison is made of energy absorption versus test temperature curves for one material or condition as opposed to another.¹

2. For drop weight impact test specimens, the transition temperature is defined as the highest temperature at which the crack from the brittle crack starter weld will propagate across the surface of the specimen and around the edge on at least one side.^{21, 24, 32}

3. The crack arrest or tear test transition temperature is the temperature at which a running crack is halted or arrested by the material. In a specimen having a thermal gradient, the crack runs toward the warm

Ŕ.

Charpy Impact Tests

All Charpy V-notch impact tests were performed in accordance with ASTM Standard E 23-64 using a pendulum type of impact machine with 268 ft-lb capacity and a striking velocity of 16.85 ft/sec. Energy losses due to friction were negligible (less than 1.5 ft-lb) over the full range and therefore were disregarded. A Type A Charpy V-notch (simple-beam) impact test specimen $0.394 \times 0.394 \times 2.165$ in. was used for these tests.

Most Charpy specimens were cut parallel to the 5 in. dimension of the drop weight test block and thue were transverse to the major direction of plate roll (see Figure 3). Transverse Charpy specimens were selected for this study so that if banding or nonmetallic inclusions were present in the test specimen, they would be oriented in such a way as to offer the least resistance to crack propagation and so as not to act as crack arresters and thus mask the properties being studied. However, a few coupons were large enough to provide extra material to make a few oversize $5/8 \times 2 1/4 \times 5 1/4$ in. specimen blanks (see Figure 4). These blanks were heat treated and used to make a set of longitudinal, 2.165 in. dimension parallel to the major direction of plate roll, Charpy V-notch impact specimens. Each specimen was identified before notching on both 0.394 \times 0.394 end faces with the drop weight specimen number, test direction and specimen number. All Charpy specimens were notched so that the notch was perpendicular to the plate surface through-the-thickness of the plate.

The Charpy V-notch specimens were machined to closer tolerances than recommended by the ASTM in order to minimize scatter in test results; the permissible variations used were as follows:

| Adjacent sides | 90 deg ±30 min |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cross-section dimension | ±0.001 in. |
| Length of specimen (L) | ± 0.002 in. |
| Centering of the notch $(L/2)$ | ±0.001 in. |
| Angle of notch | 45 deg ±22 1/2 min |
| Radius of notch | $\pm 0.005, -0.002$ in. |
| Dimensions to bottom of notch | ±0.001 in. |
| Finish requirements | 32 μ in, all over |

Specimens were cooled to test temperature using a liquid bath in an insulated container. Specimens were removed from the bath and centered in the test machine using self-centering tongs similar to those shown in ASTM E 23; the tongs were chilled in the low temperature bath with the specimens for at least 15 min and were immediately returned to the bath each time after they were used. Bath temperatures were controlled using a dummy specimen with an embedded thermocouple. The values of energy absorbed by the specimen reported herein are usually the average of two specimens broken at the indicated temperature; individual specimen results are plotted on the energy absorbed versus test temperature curves.

METALLOGRAPHIC PROCEDURES

Metallographic specimens were cut from the undeformed areas of the drop weight test specimen blank and far enough from the crack starter bead to be sure that no alloying elements had diffused into the specimen. Longitudinal and transverse specimens were prepared and mounted in transoptic mounting resin. After the specimens were mounted, 1/8 in, of specimen surface was machined off in a lathe under coolant using a sharp cutting tool. The surface was progressively ground using 180-, 240-, and 600-grit silicon carbide papers. least 45 minutes prior to test by manually stirring the bath and adding dry ice or liquid nitrogen as required. Gloves were used to handle the specimens by the heat treating loop welded to the end (5/8 \times 2 in, face). Interpretation of the specimen fracture as to "Break" or "No Break" was as recommended in ASTM E208. The only "No-Test" specimens encountered in these tests were a few that were cut a little thin, less than the recommended 0.62 ± 0.02 in, thickness. These specimens were not included in the measurement of the NDT temperature.

Tensile Tests

All tensile tests were performed in accordance with ASTM Standard E8-65T, Tension Testing of Metallic Materials. A small size specimen, 0.250-in. diameter with a 1-in. gage length, proportioned to the standard Type 1, 0.505-in. diameter tension test specimen was used. The specimens were taken parallel to the original direction of plate roll, thus the overall length of the specimen was limited to 2 in. since this is the size of the P-3 drop weight specimen in that direction (see Figure 3). The 2-in. overall length was achieved by slightly reducing the length of the threaded ends of the specimen.

The specimens were tested in hydraulically loaded universal testing machines calibrated in accordance with ASTM Standard E 4-64, Verification of Testing Machines, using proving rings. The testing machines were found to indicate the correct load within ± 0.5 percent in the loading ranges used in these tests. Self aligning, spherical bearing devices were used to ensure axial loading of the test specimens. Load-strain curves were recorded for all specimens using an averaging, 1-in. gage length, microformer strain indicator (extensometer), and an autographic recording device calibrated over the range of the expected yield. Specimens were tested at a speed of 0.002 in./in./min or less, up to and slightly beyond the yield point. The 0.2 percent offset method was used to determine the yield strength. The values reported are the average of two specimens.

Compression Tests

影

All compression tests were performed in accordance with ASTM Standard E 9-61. The specimens tested were 1/2-in, diameter by 2-in, long cylinders made with special care to ensure that the ends were parallel to each other within 0.002 in, and perpendicular to the long axis of the specimen within 0.005 in. The specimens were taken parallel to the original direction of plate; thus they were machined parallel to the 2-in, dimension of the drop weight specimen they were cut from (see Figure 3).

The specimens were tested using hydraulically loaded testing machines calibrated in accordance with ASTM Standard E 4-64, Verification of Testing Machines. The testing machines were verified using proving rings and found to indicate the correct load within ± 0.5 percent in the loading ranges used in these tests.

All compression tests were run using a subpress with a spherical seated bearing block at the end of its shaft to ensure full contact with the end of the specimen and to ensure true axial loading. Hardened steel bearing blocks with smooth, ground, parallel faces were used in contact with the ends of specimen to prevent possible damage to the faces of the subpress. The ends of the specimen were greased with lubriplate to eliminate end restraints. Because of the shape of the specimen it was not necessary to use a jig to prevent lateral buckling. Load-strain curves were recorded for all specimens using an averaging, 1-in, gage length, nilcroformer strain indicator (compressometer) and an autographic recording device calibrated over the range of the expected yield. Specimens were tested at a speed of 0.002 in./in./min or less up to and slightly beyond the yield point. The 0.2 percent of/set method was used to determine the yield strength. The values reported are the average of two specimens.

14

875 F Isothermal Treatment (Semi-Bainitic)

Specific 1 blanks that were treated isothermally at 875 F to ultimately give a microstructure consisting of a mixture of tempered bainite and tempered martensite were treated as follows: 1640 F - 1/2 hr - transferred to 875 F - held 152 (or 1600) sec-BQ; -110 F - 1/2 hr; temper 1150 F - 1 hr - BQ. Additional specimen blanks available from coupons X-12, X-13, and X-15-X-18 were treated as follows: 2000 F - 1 hr - transferred to 1640 F held 5 min (minimum) - transferred to 875 F - held 1600 sec - BQ; -110 F - 1/2 hr; 1150 F - 1 hr - BQ.

1200 F Isothermal Treatment (Semi-Ferritic)

Specimen blanks from all coupons were isothermally treated at 1200 F to ultimately give a microstructure of tempered martensite and tempered ferrite. In several cases a little pearlite was found to have been formed during the isothermal treatment. These coupons were treated as follows: 1640 F - 1/2 hr - transferred to 1200 F - held for 3350 sec (or extra sets of specimen blocks from coupons X-1, X-2, X12, X-13, X-15-X-18 and Y-2, Y-4, and Y-11 were also held for 8500 sec) - BQ; -110 F - 1/2 hr; 1150 F - 1 hr - BQ. There was insufficient material to give any specimens with a coarse austenitic grain size the 1200 F isothermal treatment.

TESTING PROCEDURES

In general an attempt was made to adhere to standard ASTM testing procedures. In a few cases it was necessary to make slight deviations from standard practice to take into account the specific conditions of these tests; any such deviations will be indicated below.

Drop Weight NDT Tests

畜

All drop weight tests were performed in accordance with ASTM Method E 208-66 T, using the type P-3 specimen which is $5/8 \times 2 \times 5$ in. The specimens were supported as a simple beam and subjected to an impact toad of 259 ft-lb produced by dropping a 223-lb weight 1 ft 2 in.; this drop energy was found to consistently erack the crack starter weld bead and to cause the specimen to consistently contact the anvil stop.

As stated previously, the specimens were prepared with one, as rolled, 2×5 in. face. The Hardex-N crack starter bead was deposited in the center of this face prior to heat treatment of the specimen so that the heataffected zone created by deposition of the weld bead would not interfere with the correct measurement of the NDT temperature of the base material. After final heat treatment, the crack starter weld beads were notched as required in ASTM E 208, using a thin abrasive disk. The combination of the isothermal treatments and the subsequent 1150 F temper was not found to prevent proper functioning of the crack starter bead; upon impact, a good crack formed in the bead in every case.

A group of four or five, usually five, specimens was given a selected heat treatment and used to determine the NDT temperature. Since tests were conducted on a known material with a known thermal history, the NDT temperature was determined within 10 F by three to five tests, the usual number being four. Prior to testing each specimen was placed in a large insulated liquid, isopentane, low temperature bath with at least 1 in. of liquid all around the specimen. A thermocouple embedded in a dumniy specimen was attached to an automatic recorder and used to control the bath temperature. The bath temperature was maintained for a period of at

13

ŝ

the table lists the identification numbers assigned to each coupon. Coupons made by the largest producer have a number starting with an X and coupons made by the other producer have been assigned a number prefixed with a Y. To obtain the maximum use of each coupon and to make specimen location as uniform as possible for all specimens, specimens were taken from adjacent to the original plate surfaces (see Figure 1). In fact, to minimize machining costs, the original plate surface was made one of the 2×5 in. surfaces of the drop weight specimen blank. The specimen blanks were $5/8 \times 2 \times 5$ in. with the 2-in. dimension in the direction of plate roll and the S-in. dimension parallel to the plate surface and perpendicular to the direction of plate roll (see Figure 2). This is the size of specimen required for subsize drop weight NDT temperature tests in ASTM Method E 208. Each specimen blank was coded on the end with the appropriate number, location, test direction, and specimen number. These specimen blocks were used for drop weight tests, after that the broken specimens were used to make mechanical property test specimens.

HEAT TREATING PROCEDURES

The $5/8 \times 2 \times 5$ in. drop weight specimen blanks were prepared for heat treatment by (1) welding handling loops onto one of the $5/8 \times 2$ in. faces, (2) depositing a brittle crack starter bead on the 2×5 in. surface of the specimen that represented the original plate surface of the specimen using a 3/16-in. diameter Hardex N hardfacing electrode, (3) normalizing the specimens by heating to 1650 F, holding for 1 hour and then aircooling, and (4) sandblasting the specimen prior to final heat treatment.

The final heat treatment of the drop weight specimen blanks consisted of austenitizing in a neutral salt bath at 1640 F for 1/2 hour and then either water quenching in a brine solution or quenching into an isothermal neutral salt bath, holding for a prescribed time and then brine quenching. After quenching, all specimens were immediately given a low temperature (about -110 F) treatment in a bath made of acetone and chunks of dry ice; all specimen blanks were given at least 1/2 hour in this bath to ensure that they came down to temperature and that all retained austenite was transformed to martensite by the treatment. All specimens were subsequently tempered in a circulating air furnace at 1150 F for 1 hour at temperature and then water quenched in a brine solution (BQ). In a few cases, where sufficient material was available, a few sets of drop weight specimen blanks were austenitized at 2000 F for 1 hour in a circulating air furnace, then transferred to the 1640 F salt bath for 5 minutes, minimum, to standardize the temperature differential between the isothermal bath and the specimen prior to immersion. All furnace and bath temperatures are manually controlled using potentiometers to within ± 5 F. Specimens were heat treated by suspending them by their loop from a fixture that allowed them to be treated as a group. This fixture held the specimens 1 1/2 in. apart and suspended them vertically in the salt baths to permit circulation of the salt.

Fully Quenched (Martensitic)

Specimen blanks that were fully quenched to form a fine prior austenitic grain size to ultimately give a tetapered martensitic microstructure were treated as follows: 1640 F - 1/2 hr - BQ: -110 F - 1/2 hr; temper 1150 F = 1 hr - BQ. Specimen blanks from coupons X-12, X-13, and X-15-X-18 which were quenched from a coarse prior austenitic size were treated as follows: 2000 F - 1 hr - 1640 F 5 min (minimum) - BQ; -110 F - 1/2 hr; temper 1150 F = 1 hr - BQ.

under a wide variety of conditions, and tempering treatment. Increases in strength produce corresponding increases in impact transition temperatures unless other parameters are adjusted to compensate for the increased strength level.^{27,35} Conversely, a decrease in strength brought about by a long time temper is no guarantee that the impact transition temperature will improve, since for a wide variety of quenched and tempered steels a 100 hour stress relief will reduce the strength and at the same time increase the transition temperature; this is attributed to a susceptibility to temper embrittlement.³⁸

In bainitic steels, increases in strength brought about by refining the bainitic-ferrite microstructure will have an adverse effect on impact transition temperature unless compensation is made by refining the prior austenitic grain size also.²⁷ The dependence of the impact transition temperature of bainitic steels on prior austenitic grain size is attributed to the fact that the upper limit on the size of the bainitic-ferrite plates is the prior austenite grain diameter.²⁷ Tempering bainitic steels at a temperature above the temperature at which the bainite formed improves its impact transition temperature by lowering the strength.⁴²

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

SUMMARY

戳

The general test program followed in this study can be summarized as follows. Test coupons were collected from commercially produced plates made by the two major producers of a low carbon, nickel-chrome molybdenum steel.* These coupons were selected to represent the broad range of chemical composition to which this steel is melted in commercial practice. These coupons were slabbed to convenient size, analyzed for chemical composition, heat treated, and tested. All heat treating and testing was performed on $5/8 \times 2 \times 5$ in. drop weight blanks heat treated so as to eliminate the effects of variation in hardenability, plate thickness, and mill heat treatment. Isothermal heat treatments were used to produce controlled microstructures, and a uniform tempering temperature of 1150 F, slightly above the minimum value permitted by the specification, was used. The isothermal holding times and temperature were tentatively selected to produce approximately 25 percent ± 10 percent of each nonmartensitic product, bainite or ferrite, for an average composition. Microstructures produced by a given heat treat were measured for each coupon using microspecimens taken from the broken drop weight test specimens; mechanical properties were measured on these same test specimens.

MATERIALS

Coupons were collected over a period of years from plates made during actual mill runs at the plants of the two major producers of dissisteel and represent only a small fraction of heats melted during this period. The coupons were obtained after final heat treatment from the end of the plate when the plate was cropped to ordered size. All coupons were cut from material adjacent to the ordered plate. The actual coupons selected were chosen by the investigator on the basis of the producer's chemical analysis to represent a fairly broad range of the chemical compositions used for this steel in commercial mill practice. A total of 27 coupons representing 22 heats were collected; 16 coupons were from one producer and 11 coupons from the other. Table 1 summarizes the heat numbers, mechanical properties, and chemical compositions reported by the producers; in addition,

[•]Commonly called HY-80 steel and made in accordance with ASTM Specification A543-65 and Military Specification MIL-S-16216G (SHIPS).

Vania for the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the

COMPOSITION

ţ,

ħ

The effects of compositional changes in tempered martensitic and lower-bainitic microstructures on impact properties are threefold: first, the basic impact fracture transition temperature is shifted due to the presence of trace impurity elements;^{23, 36, 37} second, the susceptibility to embrittlement at low tempering temperatures, 500 to 800 F, may be affected;^{10, 37} and third, there may be susceptibility to temper embrittlement during either long time tempering treatments or slow cooling instead of quenching from the tempering temperatures.^{9, 10, 22, 23, 34, 36, 37, 41} In addition, the composition changes may be detrimental to impact properties if they increase the strength markedly or if they tend to retard softening during tempering.^{27, 35, 37}

Tests on special high purity quenched and tempered steels have shown that certain minor impurity elements, some of which are invariably present in commercial steels and for which many are not routinely analyzed, such as P, Sb, As, Sn, and N₂, are primarily responsible for embrittlement.^{23, 36, 37} The high purity steels show very low impact transition temperatures and very little susceptibility to embrittlement as a result of usually detrimental tempering treatments. Susceptibility to low tempering temperature embrittlement is increased by Mn, P, Si, Cr, As, Sn, N₂, not affected much by Ni, Cr, and Mo, and seems to be inhibited by Al.^{10, 37} The most detrimental effects were observed for P and N₂.³⁷

Susceptibility to temper embrittlement due to either long tempering times in the region from 900 to 1100 F or slow cooling from the tempering temperature is increased by $C_{,9,22}$ Mn, ³⁴, ³⁶, ³⁷ P, ¹⁰, ²³, ³⁶, ³⁷, ⁴¹ Si, ³⁶, ³⁷ Ni, ³⁴ Cr, ³⁴ As, ³⁶, ³⁷ Sb, ³⁶, ³⁷, ³⁹, ⁴¹ and Sn, ²³, ³⁶, ³⁷, ³⁹ not affected much by N₂. Bi, Cu, Co, Ge, Ga, and Zr, ³⁶, ³⁷ and inhibited by Mo, ¹⁰, ³⁴, ³⁶, ³⁹ and W.³⁹ There was no evidence in studies of a quenched and tempered Ni-Cr-Mo steel that there was any interaction between regular alloying additions and trace elements that exaggerated their total effect. ³⁹, ⁴¹ For some hardened steels, there is evidence from the impact transition temperature and the fracture appearance that some embrittlement occurred during the initial tempering at an elevated (1112 to 1202 F) temperature. ³⁷, ⁴¹

A study of a quenched and tempered Ni-Cr-Mo steel that was not deliberately given an embrittling treatment shows that P, Sb, and Sn have a detrimental effect on impact transition temperature. As had little or no effect, and Al additions were actually beneficial.³⁹ Phosphorus was the most damaging, and the effect of As, which had been shown to be detrimental to deliberately embrittled steel, showed little effect in the not deliberately embrittled steel. The effect of Al shifted from detrimental in embrittled material to beneficial in nonembrittled material; the benefit of Al additions was attributed to a decrease in the prior gamma grain size. Other effects reported are that the optimum carbon content in quenched and tempered steels is about 0.38 percent and that this amount optimizes both NDT temperature and maximum Charpy V-notch shelf energy.⁴⁰ Sulphur is reported to have no effect on impact transition temperature but to lower the maximum Charpy shelf energy.¹⁰

B, C, Mn, Ni, and Cr additions can be detrimental to the impact properties of low-carbon bainitic steels if they reduce the austenite decomposition temperature and as a result either increase the strength excessively or produce a mixed microstructure of bainite and martensite.²⁷ Secondary hardening elements like Mo and V have a tendency to reduce impact properties of low-carbon bainites, in that they increase the hardness of the initial microstructure and they tend to precipitate carbides which retard softening during tempering.²⁷

STRENGTH

The effect of strength level on impact transition temperature is complicated by the dependency of strength on the interaction between composition, grain size, microstructure produced by the transformation of austenite In martene tic steels transgranular and intergranular fracture refer to austenitic grains present prior to quenching and not to the ferritic grain structure developed by tempering the martensite.^{11,12,23,29,36,37,41} The relative amount of transgranular and intergranular fracture developed by the impact fracture of a tempered martensite depends on the following:

1. The relative temperature in the fracture transition region. The lowest temperatures tend to give more intergranular fracture.¹¹

2. The amount of temper embrittlement. Certain combinations of temperatures and long holding times, will increase the tendency to intergranular fracture if the material is susceptible to temper embrittlement.¹²

3. Blue brittleness, associated with a 500 F tempering temperature. This tends to give an intergranular fracture and shows a brittle appearance over a considerable temperature range and which slowly disappears at higher temperatures without ever exhibiting an abrupt transition.¹¹

4. Nonembrittling tempering causes fracture to show a progression from transgranular fracture after a 500 F temper, and a return to the transgranular fracture mode after a 1200 F temper. Tempers from 550 F to 1200 F exhibit brittle fractures composed of a mixture of intergranular and transgranular brittle partings.¹¹

5. High purity tempered martensite will exhibit only transgranular cleavage when it breaks in a brittle way whereas steels containing 0.04 atomic percent phosphorus or tin showed at least some intergranular fracture along the prior austenitic grain boundaries with the proportion increasing with grain size and with embrittling treatments.²³ Phosphorus containing steel, 0.023 weight percent in a 0.3 C, 3.0 Ni, 0.75 Cr steel, tended to show more intergranular fracture than the same steel doped with 0.074 weight percent Sn.

Summarizing, the impact fracture path is characterized by the transgranular or intergranular mode relative to the ferritic grains in plain carbon steels having a microstructure consisting of ferrite or ferrite and pearlite, and to the prior austenitic grains in quenched and tempered martensite which shows some degree of temper embrittlement. The impact properties of bainitic steels are separated into two distinct types, with the high temperature bainitic structures behaving like ferrite-pearlite structures and low temperature bainitic structures behaving like martensitic structures; the impact properties of the low temperature bainite improve with decreasing prior austenitic grain sizes.²⁷

MICROSTRUCTURE

The general effect reported in the literature is for tempered bainitic steels to have impact properties intermediate to those of tempered martensitic and tempered pearlitic steels of the same hardness, with the tempered martensitic steel having the lowest impact transition temperature and the highest level of energy absorbed above the transition temperature.^{27, 33, 42} The fine bainitic structure responds to tempering like a quenched steel: the transition temperature steadily decreases with increasing tempering temperature.^{27, 35} Composition of low carbon bainitic steels has little effect on the impact properties if similar microstructures having the same grain size and same hardness are compared.²⁷ In order to get adequate impact resistance in bainitic or martensitic steels, they must be tempered beyond the stage where maximum secondary hardening takes place; secondary hardening due to the presence of other alloying elements has a deleterious effect on impact resistance.²² Even after tempering, a portion of upper bainite is more detrimental to the impact properties of an otherwise martensitic microstructure than is a portion of lower bainite.⁴²

Ŕ

Ř

side of the specimen. These tests are not used extensively and apparently are seldom used to evaluate the effect of grain size on the transition temperature.

Other parameters associated with the material, and its treatment and microstructure have been reported to have an interaction with the grain size to change the magnitude of the shift in the impact transition temperature. These factors are: impurity level and composition changes; 5, 7, 8, 19, 23 commercial size heats as opposed to laboratory size heats or melts; 9, 31 straining or cold deformation; 5, 12, 26 thermal treatments that cause a secondary effect to overshadow grain size effects, retention of austenite, 3 temper embrittlement 10, 15, 20, 23, 26 and growth of grains; 2, 5, 7, 23 and microstructure changes. 1, 3, 14, 17, 20, 22, 26, 27 The effect of each on the apparent shift in transition temperature with grain size ($\Delta T/\Delta GS$) may either be positive or so negative in nature as to make grain size appear to have no effect.

As a result of these complications, each reported value of $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ must be evaluated in terms of the specimen used; the criterion defining transition temperature; the composition, including impruity levels; source of the material, lab versus production melts; thermal treatment; straining; microstructure; and the range over which grain size was actually varied. If this is done, a few general observations may be made on the specific effect of changes in grain size on the impact transition temperature. For convenience, this report will always refer to grain size as the ASTM micrograin size. The following equation represents the relationship between the number of grains per square inch (n) and ASTM micrograin size (N) : $n = 2^{(N-1)}$.

Ferritic Grain Size

Ē

The ferritic grain size is commonly used in the literature to report the effects of grain size on $\Delta T/\Delta GS$. There are three reasons for this: first, ferrite is the major room temperature equilibrium phase in the hypoeutectoid plain carbon steels used in most of the studies reported in the literature; second, ferrite with varying amounts of carbide is the primary room temperature microconstituent of quenched and tempered alloy steels; and third, the final ferritic grain size is readily measured using standard metallographic techniques.

Changes in the size of the ferrite subgrain size are reported to affect the impact transition temperature, ^{18, 31} An increase in the sub-boundaries, a decrease in the ferritic subgrain size, raises not lowers the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb transition temperature (20 F/per ferrite grain size number). The ferrite subgrain boundaries examined with an electron microscope show a lower dislocation density than the boundaries associated with the true ferrite grain boundary.³¹ While these authors were puzzled by this effect, a possible explanation must be pointed out. Current dislocation theory says that the subgrain structure is caused by a preferential alignment of dislocations having like signs. As the number of dislocations increases, the subgrain size would be expected to decrease and the strain in the grain increase. The usual effect of straining is to raise the transition temperature for ductile to brittle fracture. In fact, temper embrittlement has even been attributed to strain developed across prior austenitic grain boundaries as a result of the contraction of the ferrite lattice when dissolved alloying elements are removed from solution in ferrite by a reaction with existing minor carbide phases.¹² Thus it is logical to expect that as the ferritic subgrain size gets smaller indicating increasing dislocation densities and higher strains in the lattice, the impact transition temperature would increase as opposed to the usual decrease in $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ associated with a finer true ferritic grain size.

References 2, 6, 7, 13, 17, 18, 24, 28, and 31 show that a decrease in the ferritic grain size of a plain carbon steel sufficient to increase the ASTM grain size number one unit will reduce the transition temperature 22 F on the average, the effect ranging from an increase of 9 F to a decrease of 39 F. The 9 F value,

ľ,

Reference 31, is undoubtedly due to the normal variation in the measurement of transition temperature of ± 10 F reported by Gross²¹ and to the small variation in grain size, less than one unit.³¹ The -39 F value for $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ is difficult to rationalize since the author reports neither the type of impact specimen he used nor the criterion used for determining the transition temperature.

These $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ values seem to be independent of carbon content in these plain carbon steels. It is reported that of the factors: ferrite grain size, pearlite island size, pearlite spacing and amount of procutectoid ferrite, as it influences pearlite carbon content; only the ferrite grain size showed any degree of correlation with the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb transition temperature.¹⁷ The $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ value's dependence on test method is seen in Reference 24 where the author reports a value of $-18 \text{ F}/\Delta GS$ based on the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb energy transition temperature and $-11 \text{ F}/\Delta GS$ based on drop weight NDT.

Austenitic Grain Size

Increases in the prior austenitic grain size have usually been associated with increasing impact transition temperatures. 1, 3, 4, 8-14, 16, 19, 23, 27 In fact, as temper brittleness increases in martensitic-ferrite, the fracture paths become increasingly intergranular and follow the prior austenitic grain boundaries. 11, 12, 29, 36, 37, 41 The relationship between the Charpy V-notch 10 ft-lb and 50 percent FATT transition temperatures and prior austenitic grain size was linear for hardened 0.84 and 0.95 C steels. ¹⁶

It was reported for tests on SAE 1045, 2340 and 3140 having various microstructures and tempered to the same hardness, that increasing the prior austenitic grain size increased the impact transition temperature of air cooled and tempered (pearlitic) material $(\Delta T/\Delta\gamma GS \text{ from } -25 \text{ to } \approx -120 \text{ F})$ but had the opposite effect (+5 to +20 F) on quenched and tempered material.³ The slight improvement in the quenched and tempered steels as austenitic grain size increased was attributed to the increase in hardenability as the grain size increased and to the resultant increase in the amount of martensite formed during quenching. The impact fracture transition temperature of the tempered material structure was always better, i. c., lower than that of the tempered pearlitic structures. Increasing gamma grain size also increased the notch sensitivity of the pearlitic microstructure.³ In a later study it was reported for 0.80 percent C plain carbon steel that as the hardness was increased, pearlite spacing decreased, the impact transition temperature went up, more so for fine than for coarse prior austenitic grain size material; in fact, there was a minimum in the pearlite spacing (hardness) versus impact transition temperature relation for coarse material whereas the finer prior gamma grain size material showed a continuous increase.¹⁴

Prior austenitic grain size is also reported to have a controlling effect on the mean impact energy absorbed transition temperature of 0.12 C bainitic steels, but the effect is lessened as the strength increases with increased dispersion of carbides.²⁷ The prior austenitic grain diameter, which is directly related to either the rolling finishing temperature or the reheating temperature used during austenitizing, has been shown to strongly affect the average Charpy energy impact transition temperature of 70,000 psi tensile strength bainitic steel, with the transition temperature increasing in an S shaped relationship with increasing prior austenitic grain diameter.²⁷ The transition temperature of the bainitic steels reportedly ranged from -76 F for an austenite grain diameter of 3.9×10^{-4} in. to 140 F for a 22.3 $\times 10^{-4}$ in. diameter.

Grain refiners are frequently cited as and demonstrated to have a beneficial effect on lowering the impact transition temperature^{4, 5, 7} and even materials which are not specific grain refiners, such as lead, but which react to inhibit grain growth, will show a beneficial effect, especially when added to normally coarse grained steels.⁸

6

Presidential and the second of the second

鯬

Petch is reported ³⁰ to have shown that the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb transition temperature (T) of ferritic steels is linearly related to the natural logarithm of the square root of the reciprocal of the mean grain diameter (d) in the following way: $T = A + B \ln (D^{-1/2})$. If one uses $n = 2^{(N-1)}$ where n is the number of grains per square inch and N is the ASTM grain size number, and notes the fact that n is proportional to d^2 , one can rewrite the Petch relationship in terms of the ASTM grain size and show that impact transition temperature should be a direct linear function of N, $T = A_1 + B_1$ (N).

An investigation of unhardened C1095 and C1080 plain carbon steels shows that a coarser prior austenitic grain size raises the impact transition temperature, regardless of the temperature at which the austenite is transformed to pearlite.⁸ In addition, it was shown that while lower transformation temperatures raised the ultimate tensile strength, it also lowered the impact transition temperatures by refining the microstructure. The data below shows the observed range of change in Charpy V-notch impact transition temperature ΔT per unit change in prior austenitic grain size, $\Delta T/\gamma GS$ and demonstrates the dependence of $\Delta T/\gamma GS$ on both the criteria used to measure ΔT and the steel composition.

| Steel | C _V (10 ft-lb) | C _V (50 Percent FATT) |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| C1095 | 46-35 F/γGS | 17–19 F/γGS |
| C1080 | 27-29 F/γGS | 33–23 F/7GS |

Studies of the efferences in the higher transition temperatures associated with thicker plates are more closely related to differences in the ferrite grain size resulting from rolling finishing temperature and cooling rate than to differences in chemical composition.¹³ The finer the grain size, the higher the ASTM grain size number, the lower the impact transition temperature. The magnitude of the effect of grain size on $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ depends on the method used to measure grain size; based on ferrite grain size the $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ for ship plate was $-14 \text{ F}/\Delta GS$ and based on fracture, which may partially reflect prior austenitic grain size, $11, 12, 29, 36, 37, 41 \Delta T/\Delta GS$ was $-9 \text{ F}/\Delta GS$.¹³

In a study of the effects of impurity level on the way changes in prior austenitic grain size influenced Charpy V-notch 100 percent FATT transition temperature of a 0.3 C, 3.0 Ni, 0.75 Cr steel, it was observed that the relative effect of grain size was strongly influenced by both impurity level and thermal treatment.²³

| | As Oil Quenched and Tempered at 1112 F | Oil Quenched and Tempered Plus 168 Hours at 842 F |
|------------------------|---|--|
| High Purity | $-4.1 \text{ F}/\Delta\gamma GS$ | $-5.9 F/\Delta\gamma GS$ |
| Plus 0.023 Percent P* | $-13.6 \text{ F}/\Delta\gamma GS$ | $-19.8 \text{ F}/\Delta\gamma GS$ |
| Plus 0.074 Percent Sn* | $-28.5 \text{ F}/\Delta\gamma GS$ | $-42.3 \text{ F}/\Delta\gamma GS$ |

These data show that the impact transition temperature of high purity alloys is affected by changes in gamma grain size; that tin is more detrimental than phosphorus at equal atomic percents; and that the detrimental effect of increasing prior austenitic grain size is greater after an embrittling treatment than after a simple tempering treatment.

*Each about 0.04 atomic percent.

畜

Microstructure

畜

The interaction of microstructure and grain size appears to be rather straightforward; the finer the microstructure for any given prior austenitic grain size, the lower will be the impact transition temperature. The finer the ferrite grains in ferritic steels,^{2, 4-7, 15, 18, 22, 24, 26, 28, 32} the finer the pearlite colonies and the finer the interlaminar ferrite-carbide spacing, 1, 3, 14, 16, 17 the finer the bainitic-ferrite^{20, 22, 27} and the finer the martensitic-ferrite,^{3, 22, 27} the lower will be the impact transition temperature. It should be remembered that the finer the prior austenitic grain size,^{2, 6} the lower the rolling finishing temperature,¹³ the faster the cooling rate,^{2, 6, 28} and the lower the temperature of transformation from austenite,^{8, 28} the finer will be the resultant final grain size.

Because of the increasingly acicular nature of the ferrite grain size as the microstructure is changed from polygonal ferrite to bainitic-ferrite and then to martensitic-ferrite, it becomes increasingly difficult to make a change in ferritic grain size and as a result, ferritic grain size can hardly be considered a variable.²² As a result of the increasing acicular nature of the microstructure and the fracture path following the embrittled prior austenitic grain boundary, 11, 12, 29, 36, 37, 41 one finds the tendency is for researchers to report the effects of grain size on $\Delta T/\Delta GS$ in terms of prior austenitic grain size when dealing with tempered bainitic and martensitic steels.^{3, 20, 22, 27} Researchers also tend to report ferritic grain sizes when dealing with plain carbon steels where the structure is essentially ferrite or some mixture of ferrite and pearlite.

For 0.02 percent carbon, plain carbon and alloy steels there is reported to be a slight increase in Charpy V-notch transition temperature due to the acicular shaped ferrite grains over what might otherwise be expected from simple changes in ferrite grain size alone.²⁰ This may be due to the fact that the identical grain sizes were developed by a combination of furnace and air cooling from different austenitizing temperatures, which means that prior gamma grain size differences or embrittlement during cooling may have caused the differences rather than simply the differences between polygonal and acicular ferrite grains.

For 0.32 percent carbon, plain carbon steel, it was found that of ferrite grain size, pearlite island size, pearlite spacing and amount of procutectoid ferrite as it affects pearlite carbon content, only the ferrite grain size showed any degree of correlation with the Charpy V-notch 15 ft-lb transition temperature.¹⁷ As the pearlite spacing is decreased in a 0.80 percent carbon, plain carbon steel, the hardness is increased and the Charpy V-notch 8 ft-lb transition temperature increased, more so for fine than for coarse prior austenitic grain size materials.¹⁴ In tests on SAE 1045, 2340, and 3140 having various microstructures tempered to the same hardness, the Charpy V-notch transition temperature of tempered martensite was always lower than that of the tempered pearlitic structures.³

Fracture Path

The sudden decrease in impact energy shown by the truly ferritic, low carbon, little or no alloying steels is accompanied or brought about by a marked increase in the amount of cleavage fracture and by a marked reduction in the plastic deformation accompanying fracture.^{6, 7, 13, 15, 20} The cleavage fracture of the ferrite grains initiates at the ferrite or ferrite-pearlite grain boundaries.²⁰ The properties of the ferrite rather than the pearlite control notch toughness in killed and semikilled steels.³¹ The low temperature brittle fracture in these ferritic steels is primarily transcrystalline cleavage of the ferrite grains, though there has been reported an increased tendency to intergranular brittleness with increasingly coarse grains as the oxygen content is raised allove 0.003 percent. 15, 18, 20

8

裔

Final polishing was performed in two stages using 60-cycle automatic vibratory polishers. The semifinal polishing bowl was covered with bleached silk cloth and a slurry of Linde A, distilled water, and aerosol wetting agent. The final polishing bowl was covered with Gamal cloth and a slurry of Linde B, distilled water, and aerosol. All specimens were lightly etched in 2 percent Nital and repolished several times during the final stages of polishing to ensure the removal of any smeared structure and correct development of the microstructure.

The inclusion content of the specimens was measured in both the longitudinal and transverse, through-thethickness planes in accordance with ASTM Standard E 45-63, Determining the Inclusion Content of Steel. Microscopic Method B was used to determine the length of the longest inclusion, the number and average length of all inclusions over 0.005 in. long and the background rating including all inclusions less than 0.005 in. long. The vibratory polishing technique was found to leave the inclusions intact and in the specimen.

The prior austenitic grain size was measured on fully-quenched specimens taken from the quenched and tempered fully martensitic specimens in accordance with ASTM Standard E 112-63, Estimating the Average Grain Size of Metal, using the intercept or Heyn procedure. The prior austenitic grain size was revealed by the use of the etching reagents described in Appendix A. Comparisons made on several different specimens from different melts showed that both etches indicated the same prior austenitic grain size.

The percent of isothermal transformation product resulting from the isothermal treatments was measured for each treatment. The microspecimens were each etched in three reagents. Between each of the reagents, the specimens were rinsed and dried. The triple etch consisted of (1) a saturated pieric acid solution to develop the prior austenitic grain boundaries, (2) a one percent nital solution to develop the structure in the areas of tempered martensite, and (3) a 20 percent solution of anhydrous sodium metabisulfite for staining (darkening) the tempered martensite areas. The last etch leaves the areas of the tempered bainite or ferrite white, in sharp contrast to the darker areas of stained tempered martensite. The details of these etching solutions and how they were used are given in Appendix B. The percent of transformation product was estimated by superimposing a grid on the specimen and determining the percentage of the grid intersections that fell over each microconstituent. Because of the finely banded nature of the transformation product and the high magnification (500X i1000X) needed to resolve the structure, extreme care was taken to systematically traverse the specimen and read at least 10 areas so as to get a representative average value to report.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

The actual chemical compositions of the coupons tested in this study are listed in Table 2. These analyses were made by a commercial testing laboratory on material located adjacent to the original plate surface of the coupon. The material analyzed came from the top 5/8 in, of the plate but did not include any heat treating scale or decirburized plate surface which would have influenced the analysis.

Wet chemical analyses were performed for percent Carbon (C), manganese (Mn), phosphorus (P), silicon (Si), nickel (Ni), chrome (Ci), molybdenum (Mo), copper (Cu), and acid soluble and total aluminum (Al).

Spectrographic analysis was performed to determine the percent present of the following trace elements: vinadium (V), lead (Pb), tin (Sn), magnesium (Mg), cobalt (Co) and titanium (Ti). No values are reported for Pb, Sn, and Mg in Table 2 since they were not found to be present in detectable amounts. After several samples had been analyzed it was found that V and Co were present in negligible amounts and therefore, analysis for these elements was discontinued. The producers informed the author that the Co was a tramp element

畜

introduced by the nickel addition. The Co was left in with the nickel since it was too difficult to remove and since they found that it had essentially no effect on the hardenability or properties of the steel. The V was also present only as a tramp element but it came from a variety of sources that went into the make up of a given heat of steel.

Gaseous analysis was performed for oxygen (O_2) , hydrogen (H_2) , and nitrogen (N_2) . O_2 and N_2 are reported as a percent and H_2 as parts per million (ppm) in Table 2.

A review of Table 2 shows that in general the range of the alloying elements found in the samples selected for this study almost completely cover the full composition range permitted for nickel-chrome-molybdenum steels such as ASTM A 543 and HY-80. The only element that falls outside the combined range for the two steels is Mn; coupons Y-8 and Y-10 have 0.49 percent Mn. This is only 0.04 percent over the 0.45 percent (0.40 upper limit plus the allowance of 0.05 percent variation over the upper limit) maximum allowed for these steels.

METALLOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

驁

畜

The results of the metallographic analysis of coupons used in this study are given in Tables 3, 4, and 5. Table 3 presents the results of the inclusion analysis of these coupons at the mid-thickness and adjacent to the surface of each coupon in both the longitudinal and transverse directions on planes perpendicular to the plate surface through the thickness of the plate. The reporting method recommended in ASTM E 45-63 is used to describe the inclusion content. The length of the longest inclusion at 100X is reported in units equivalent to 0.005 in. on the specimen along with a superscript describing whether it is grouped (g), very disconnected (vd), or disconnected (d). The average length of all inclusions over one unit long and excluding the longest is reported with a superscript denoting the number of inclusions averaged. The background rating A, B, C or D corresponds to the sample photographs in E 45 with A being a rather clean steel and D a rather dirty steel. Figures 5 and 6 are photomicrographs (100X) of representative samples and will give the reader a better understanding of the significance of the data reported in Table 3.

The prior austenitic micro-grain size of each coupon is reported in Table 4. The grain size is reported for the as-received coupon and for the coupons after heat treatment. This table shows that the coupons austenitized at 1640 F had a slightly finer prior austenitic grain size than as-received coupons, and a slightly coarser grain size after the 2000 F treatment.

The percent of isothermal transformation products produced by the various isothermal treatments are summarized for each coupon in Table 5. The large variation in percent transformation product resulting from a given heat treatment reflects the large range in chemical composition and hardenability of these coupons. The high hardenability, rich composition coupons had the least transformation take place for a given isothermal treatment. Photomicrographs of typical areas of each coupon for each isothermal treatment are given in Appendix C. The dark areas are tempered martensite that has been darkened as described in Appendix B and the light areas are tempered isothermal products.

MECHANICAL PROPERTY TEST RESULTS

Table 6 summarizes the mechanical property test results for each heat treatment; the corresponding microstructures are also included for reader convenience. The table lists the longitudinal tensile and compressive properties, the NDT temperature, and the transverse Charpy V-notch impact properties at the NDT temperature, -120 F and +212 F (representative of the maximum energy shelf). All values reported are the average of two specimens. Also longitudinal Charpy data are reported for the few cases where it was measured. Appendix D contains the full Charpy V-notch curves for most of the coupons.* The Charpy curves show the energy absorbed, the lateral expansion at the notch after fracture and the percent fibrous fracture appearance of the fracture surface.

DISCUSSION

A comparison of Tables 1 and 2 shows that there are some significant differences between the producer's reported chemical composition of the heats and the actual composition of the coupons. The biggest differences are in C, Mn, P, and S with the coupon values generally higher than the producer's values. These variations are slightly more than what might be expected from normal variation in analytical results and from variation caused by the differences in the position of the sample in the heat of steel, and point up the need to check the actual composition of coupons being evaluated in laboratory experiments rather than to rely on the producer's data. Table 2 shows a good compositional range for the usual alloying elements and a fair spread for most of the rest of them.

Table 3 shows the mid-thickness and surface inclusion content of the coupons. In general, the material adjacent to the surface is cleaner than at the mid-thickness of the coupon. Even though a good range of inclusion sizes is represented in these samples, it must be pointed out that no large continuous inclusions were found.

Table 4 compares the prior austenitic grain size of the as-received material at mid-thickness to the prior austenitic, gamma grain size that resulted from the austenitizing treatments used in this study. While the as-received gamma grain size was fairly coarse, ranging from ASTM 8 to 4, the treatments used in this study bracketed an equally wide range in gamma grain size of ASTM 9.5 to 3.0,

The isothermal holding times referred to in Tables 5 and 6 are time in the bath, not actual holding times at bath temperature. Appendix E gives a comparison of the actual time-temperature history of $5/8 \times 2 \times 5$ in. drop weight specimens when they are transferred from 1640 F into either £75 or 1200 F salt baths and compares it to the coole g curves predicted using the method of Sinnott and Shyne.⁴⁷ The mid-thickness of the drop weight specimen is vithin 20 F of 875 F in about 120 seconds, a considerable portion of the 152 second treatment at 875 F but a reasonable fraction of the 1600 second treatment. The data indicate that the mid-thickness of the specimens is within 20 F of 1200 F in about 90 seconds, which is negligible compared to the 3350 and 8500 second holding times used at 1200 F. The important matter concerning holding times is that they produced a reasonable range in the amount of microgonstituents.

Table 5 reports the amount of bainite produced during the 875 F isothermal treatments and the amount of ferrite and pearlite produced by the 1200 F treatments. The balance of the austenite that was untransformed at the end of the isothermal treatment was completely transformed to martensite by the brine-water quench and

120 F treatment. The amount of bainite produced by the 875 F treatment ranged from 0 to 76 percent and varied with the hardenability of the particular steel and the isothermal holding time. As would be expected, the

畜

^{*}A few coupons (X-4) X-6 and Y-5 and Y-6) only had a pair of Charpy specimens tested at each of the three indicated temperatures, NDT, ~ 120 F and ± 212 F. This was not enough data to draw a meaningful Charpy data curve so they are not shown.

steels with the highest hardenabilities transformed the least. A similar effect was observed for the 1200 F isothermal treatment, the amount of ferrite ranging from 0 to 69 percent. Only four of the 1200 F treatments produced measurable amounts of pearlite, ranging from 4 to 32 percent; these steels were low hardenability, low Ni, and low Mn compositions. The fact that only four samples had pearlite in the microstructure must be considered during the subsequent discussion of the effects of microstructure on the NDT temperature. There are so few points that not much confidence should be placed on the estimated effect of pearlite as opposed to the other microconstituents. It must be pointed out that one normally would not find pearlite in the microstructure of this low-carbon, Ni-Cr-Mo alloy steel unless something were grossly wrong. Any discussion of the effect of pearlite is of academic rather than practical interest.

Table 6 gives a summary of the microstructure, tempered-bainite, -ferrite, -pearlite, and -martensite, iongitudinal mechanical properties, the drop weight NDT temperature, and transverse and longitudinal Charpy V-notch impact properties resulting from the various heat treatments. Because of the uniform 1150 F temper, the strengths reported here are more characteristic of an HY-100 steel rather than an HY-80 steel. The variations in strength reflect the variety of chemistries studied and the different initial hardnesses, the slightly different responses to tempering, and the different microstructures. The mechanical property data in Table 6, the chemistry data in Table 2, the inclusion content data in Table 3, the prior austenitic grain size data in Table 4 and the microconstituent data in Table 5 were combined to form the set which is the basis of this analysis. In summary, the 115 data points represent the 27 different coupons and constitute the sample used in this analysis.

INDEPENDENCE OF VARIABLES

When performing a regression analysis to determine the functional relationship between a dependent variable and more than one independent variable (in this case NDT temperature and such things as composition, microstructure and strength), it is obvious that the magnitude of each independent variable must be randomly distributed relative to the magnitude of each other independent variable; otherwise it will be difficult if not impossible to apportion a part of the observed changes in the dependent variable to one or another independent variable. In experiments where the investigator is melting his own material he can ensure independence between the independent variable he wishes to study by careful randomization in statistically designed experiments. In this case we have 27 coupons with a wide variation in chemical composition and mechanical properties. In this study to ensure independence, or at least to ensure that there was not a strong correlation between the variables considered, the data making up the sample (115 data sets) were run on a correlation matrix as described in Reference 43.

The results from this correlation matrix are summarized in Table 7, showing the cross-correlated variables with a correlation coefficient from 0.85 to 0.999. Table 7 gives a numbered list of the 83 variables considered and indicates which variables are related to another for three ranges of correlation. The identification number of the variable having the indicated level of correlation is listed next to each. For example V1-Sample Number* is strongly related (correlation from 0.900 to 0.949) to variables 10 and 15 (amount of copper and amount of cobalt). A look at Table 2 shows that this is indeed the case. Producer X has much less copper in his melts than producer Y and cobalt was measured only on coupons from producer X.

S.

畜

^{*}Sample number was derived by numbering the coupons from producer X in the one hundreds and those from producer Y as the two hundreds. For example, material from coupon X-3 was identified in the data set as 103 and material from coupon Y-11 as 211.

Of the individual alloying elements, V8-Amt. Cr is slightly related to V15-Amt. Co, related to V50-V7xV9-NixCr, the product of Amt. Ni times Amt. Cr, a variable representing an interaction effect, and highly related to V79-V8xV8-CrxCr, a second order effect in this variable itself. Table 7 shows that many of the interaction effects and higher order effects using a given variable are strongly correlated to that variable and may not be capable of being properly evaluated using these data. For example, the effect of total aluminum may not be separable from the effect of acid soluble A1 in these data, V11 and V12. Similarly, ultimate tensile strength, V27, tensile yield strength, V28, and compressive yield strength, V31 are so strongly correlated as to be difficult to evaluate individually, and thus each must be regarded as just a different way of quantifying the "strength" of the sample.

Of interest in Table 7 is the failure of most of the Charpy V-notch properties at ± 210 F, ± 120 F and even at the NDT temperature itself to correlate with the NDT transition temperature of this steel. It is not surprising that Gross²¹ was able to obtain only a ± 50 F correlation between Charpy V-notch and drop weight NDT transition temperatures for the variety of steels he was using, since the Charpy transition properties measured in the present study did not correlate very well with NDT temperature. Of the Charpy impact properties, only V36 -120 F Pct Fiber has a correlation coefficient greater than 0.47, and it was 0.82. Gross did not try to correlate this with NDT. The present study was not able to relate Charpy and NDT transition temperatures even though this steel (a) was a single grade, (b) had carefully controlled heat treatments, (c) had the drop weight specimen crack starter bead deposited before heat treatment to eliminate the effects of a heat affected zone under the brittle bead, and (d) that the Charpy specimens were taken from the drop weight specimen used to measure NDT.

SINGULARLY SIGNIFICANT VARIABLES AFFECTING NDT

The correlation matrix can perform an important function for the data analyst by giving an indication of those independent variables that by themselves have a linear relationship with the dependent variable. The correlation matrix, Table 7, showed that only three variables have a fairly high linear correlation with NDT temperature; they are:

- 1. V20 Prior Austenitic Grain Size, r = -0.78
- 2. V36 Percent Fibrous Fracture at -120 F, r = -0.82
- 3. V64 Gamma Grain Size x TYS, r = -0.77

The next seven best variables have correlation values with NDT temperature ranging between 0.4 and 0.48; the rest of the variables were lower. These seven variables and their values were:

1. $V33 - C_V$ Energy absorbed at the NDT Temperature, r = 0.48

- 2. V21 Percent Bainite, r = 0.47
- 3. V24 Percent Martensite, r = -0.43
- 4. V4 Amount of Phosphorous, r = 0.41
- 5. V37 -- C_V Energy Absorbed at +210 F, r = 0.41
- 6. V61 Tix N₂, r = -0.41

8

7. V45 · CrxN₂, r = 0.40

The correlation of come of these factors with NDT temperature is understandable. V20 – Prior Gamma Grain Size has been reported in the literature to be a significant variable affecting the transition temperature of

tempered martensitic and bainitic steels, ¹¹, ¹², ²⁹, ³⁶, ³⁷, ⁴¹, ⁴⁴ as has strength. ²⁷, ³⁵, ³⁸, ⁴², ⁴⁴, ⁴⁵ However, the correlation between NDT temperature and the interaction between austenitic grain size and tensile yield strength (*TYS*), V64, is more than likely due in this case to the dominating effect of changes in ASTM grain size number on a relatively constant 106 ± 10 ksi tensile yield strength and, as shown later, will not prove to be statistically or practically significant in the multiple variable analysis. The Charpy V-notch fibrous fracture appearance at -120 F, V36, has a strong correlation with NDT temperature. It is logical, since -120 F is close enough to the NDT temperature of most of these samples for the percent fibrous fracture appearance to give a good indication of the change in impact ductility. It is interesting to note that the Charpy V-notch energy absorbed at -120 F, V35, has only r = -0.37 in the correlation matrix, reflecting the fact that the producer's original mill rolling practice has introduced a large variability in the energy absorbed and markedly reduced its level of correlation with the NDT temperature.

The seven variables with correlation values between [0.40 and 0.48] are obviously not dominant factors affecting NDT temperature and require evaluation in terms of multiple regression to see if they still contribute significantly to the observed variation in NDT temperature when they are included with a more dominant factor such as prior gamma grain size. As will be subsequently shown, the inclusion of a singularly dominant variable in a multiple regression analysis will eliminate almost all of the variables that show a markedly lower singular correlation.

RESULTS OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON NDT

Almost 100 computer analyses were made using the regression analysis program XIRE and the statistical methods described in Reference 43 to evaluate the functional relationship between the NDT temperature, V32, and the other 82 variables listed in Table 7. In the initial computer analyses about 15 variables selected for their mutual independence were selected to determine their functional relationship to NDT temperature. The Charpy V-notch impact values were not included since they are just another aspect of the transition from ductile to brittle impact fracture characterized by the NDT temperature itself. One criterion for selection of significant independent variables was that the term coefficient, Bi in the computer output, consistently demonstrate that it was statistically significant, i.e., not likely to be zero in several regressions with a variety of other variables. As described in Reference 43, this requires that the term regression coefficient divided by the standard deviation of the coefficient, T in the output tables, be greater than some value of "t," Student "t," based on the degrees of freedom* (DF) for that particular run and a confidence level of at least 90 percent. Because of the large sample size (here 115 data sets) the usual value of acceptance was about |T| > 1.70 since small changes in a large value DF do not affect the value of "t" much. Another requirement for acceptance was that additional terms should significantly improve the functional relationship as evidenced by statistically significant improvements in the multiple correlation coefficient R and the standard deviation Sx.y, i.e., reduce the residual variance not accounted for by the terms in the regression equation. It was noted that if an additional term or terms significantly improved R it also significantly improved Sx, y; see examples 4-11 in Reference 43.

[•] DF = Sample size minus number of terms in regression, including the dependent variable.

a server and a server of the server of the

Composition and Producer

The results of many regression analyses can be summarized by saying that most of the alloying additions and alloy interaction terms failed to indicate a consistent and statistically significant relationship to NDT temperature. This is not surprising since the range, minimum to maximum, of each element present is a result of commercial melting practice specifically aimed at trying to stay within procurement specification limits^{*} as opposed to an artificially large range as would be the case in laboratory melts designed to explore the effect of a specific alloy addition.

The only element that displayed a measurable effect was hydrogen; Table 2 shows that it ranged from 0 to 12 ppm with a typical value of 0 to 2 ppm. As a result, even though hydrogen content is statistically significant, its total effect is small, about $2F/ppm H_2$, resulting in a 0 to ± 24 F change in NDT transition temperature.

The producer also turned out to be a statistically significant variable. The producer was coded as 1 for producer X and 2 for producer Y for the regression, and the results indicate that the NDT temperature of material made by producer Y is about 24 F less than that made by producer X, everything else being equal. In the sample of 115 data sets, 76 represent material made by producer X and 39 represent material made by producer Y. The reason underlying this observation is not simple chance and may be due to either some compositional difference, such as amount of P or Cu which by themselves were not consistently significant, or some difference in mill practice. In any case, the summation of differences is great enough to make the producer a significant variable that must be included in the regression analysis equation.

Prior Austenitic Grain Size

蘣

As indicated in Table 4, the prior gamma grain size represented in this sample ranged from ASTM 9.5 to ASTM 3, and was varied by changes in austenitizing temperature and holding time, in this study 1640 F for 1/2 hr and 2000 F for 1 hr, as well as by changes in composition from heat to heat. The regression analysis confirmed the importance of grain size reported in the literature and showed that adding a second order grain size term did not significantly improve the correlation.

It is interesting to note that these results indicate that the Petch linear relation between the 15 ft-lb Charpy V-notch transition temperature T and ferritic grain diameter d, i. e., $T = A_0 + A_1 \ln D^{-1/2}$, of ferritic steels has a linear corollary for martensitic steels and drop weight NDT temperature.^{30, 46} As shown previously, the Petch relationship can be expressed as a linear function of ASTM grain size (N), T = C + D (N). In terms of NDT temperature and prior austenitic grain size, it can be written NDTT = $B_0 + B_1$ (γGS); this is the form of the regression results given in Table 8. The correlation matrix and the regression analysis both indicate that this simple linear relationship will acount for about 80 percent of the observed variability in drop weight NDT temperature of the HY-80 steels studied.

An additional factor that must be considered when discussing prior austenitic grain size is that final gamma grain size prior to quenching reflects the interaction of a number of variables such as:

1. Austenitizing temperature. The higher the temperature above the upper critical, the coarser will be the gamma grain size with controlled grain size steels tending to retain finer grain sizes until their grain coarsening temperature is exceeded.

^{*}HY-80 steel is melted to ASTM A 543-65 and MIL/S-16216G (SHIPS).

2. Compositional variations. If, as in the present case, the austenitizing time and temperature are fixed, composition changes will cause the difference between the upper critical temperature (A_{C3}) and the austenitizing temperature to vary slightly. Composition changes that increase this difference will result in a coarser gamma grain size. Increasing C, Mn, Ni, Cu, N₂, B, Cb, and Zn or decreasing Cr, Si, Mo, Ti, W, V, Sn, Al, P, and Zr will tend to increase the prior austenitic grain size if the austenitizing treatment is held constant for a hypoeutectoid steel.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁴

3. The longer the time at the austenitizing temperature, the coarser the gamma grain size, especially at temperatures above the grain coarsening temperature for controlled grain size steels.

4. Deoxidation practice or addition of grain refiners such as Al, Si, V, Ti, Mo, W, and rare earth additions will affect or inhibit gamma grain growth.

Such compositional variations would account for the range in gamma grain size resulting from the 1640 F for 1/2 hr austenitizing treatment; Table 4 indicates that gamma grain size ranged from 7 to 9.5 for this treatment. No attempt was made to pinpoint a specific compositional variation for this difference in grain size, but a quick review of the chemistry data in Table 2 indicates that the two heats that resulted in grain size 7 (X-3 and Y-11) had the lowest nitrogen contents, 0.003 and 0.002 percent, respectively versus an average value of 0.007 percent. Whether or not this is the underlying cause for the difference can not really be settled from these experiments. However, it has been reported in the literature that Al additions combine with nitrogen to form aluminum nitrides that inhibit grain growth.⁵²

Microstructure

Ē

The previous studies reviewed herein showed that the tempered martensitic structure generally had the lowest transition temperature and that bainitic structures are intermediate to those of the same steel treated to produce martensite or ferrite. Hall indicates that grain size has an effect on transition temperature of the same order as that of degree of hardening.⁵¹ The data in Table 5 show that the steels tested had been treated to produce from 0 to 69 percent bainite with the balance martensite and from 0 to 67 percent ferrite with the balance martensite, except in four cases where there was some pearlite formed during the final part of the 1200 F treatment. Up to 32 percent pearlite was found.

Table 9 gives the regression results for the effects of percent microconstituents on NDT temperature allowing for the effect of prior austenitic grain size, expressed as ASTM grain size number; 100 percent tempered martensite is the implicit baseline. Linear regression terms are used since higher order and interaction terms were not found to statistically improve the relationship. The results show that: (1) bainite raises the NDT transition temperature about one-half a degree per percent; (2) ferrite lowers the NDT transition temperature about threefourths of a degree F per percent; (3) pearlite raises the NDT temperature about 5 F per percent; and (4) refining the prior austenitic grain size lowers the NDT transition temperature about 20.4 F per anit increase in ASTM grain size number. Thus, one sees that changes in prior austenitic grain size expressed in terms of ASTM grain size have an effect on the NDT temperature that is about an order of magnitude greater than the effect of percentage changes in microconstituents of the HY-80 steel studied here.

A question might arise as to whether there is a change in controlling effect on NDT temperature by the type of grain size, i. e., prior austenitic or ferritic, as the amount of isothermally produced ferrite increases. This question can be answered either by metallographic examination of the fracture path in the broken specimens.

ana ana ana ana amin'ny soratan'i ana amin'ny tanàna dia mampina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia kaominina dia

or by a graphical analysis of the difference between experimental and calculated values of the NDT temperature as a function of the ferrite content. The latter method has been selected to answer this question since such a graph can be quickly prepared from the back calculation data in the regression analysis computer output, whereas the former would require the laborious preparation of a series of metallographic specimens.

Figure 7 is a plot of the difference between the experimentally observed NDT temperature and the calculated value (DF = Y - YC) using the regression results of Table 10 for those specimens which contained a measurable amount up to 69 percent of ferrite. This shows that there is a slight tendency for the calculated value of the NDT temperature to be high, but this tendency is essentially confined to those very fine prior austenitic grain, 8.5 to 9.5, specimens containing only a trace of ferrite. Examining this figure shows that there does not appear to be a systematic difference between experimental and calculated value as the ferrite (and pearlite) is increased to large amounts, until as little as 8 percent martensite remains in a matrix of 69 percent ferrite and 23 percent pearlite. See Figures C1, C16 and C17 in Appendix C for the photomicrographs of the predominately ferrite and pearlite microstructures.

Judging by the results of regression analysis of these data, it is likely that prior austenitic grain size remains the dominant factor controlling NDT temperature of this steel, even as the amount of ferrite is increased to over 50 percent and significant amounts of pearlite are found. This is confirmed also by the relative magnitude of the term coefficients for prior austenitic grain size and percent ferrite in Table 10, which show that a change of one ASTM grain size number has about 100 times as much effect in determining the NDT temperature as a change of one percent ferrite, -19 F/ASTM G. S. number versus -0.2 F/Percent Ferrite.

No attempt was made in the present study either to deliberately vary ferritic grain size or to deliberately vary the prior austenitic grain size before the 1200 F transformation, even though the prior gamma grain size ranged from ASTM 7 to 9.5. While Geil et al.⁴ have reported some data on the relative effects of ferrite and prior gamma grain size on the Charpy transition temperature of 0.3 C steels; they came to the conclusion that ferritic grain size is more important. It must be pointed out that they only changed the gamma grain size from 50 percent ASTM 4 plus 50 percent ASTM 6–7 to ASTM 7–8 with few 4–5 in three heats of an unkilled steel. Neither of these experiments has varied the prior gamma grain size enough to say with certainty that either predominantly controls transition temperature in ferritic steels. A definitive set of experiments remains to be done on the relative effects of prior austenitic grain size and ferrite grain size of the ferritic steels.

Inclusion Content

Examination of Table 3 and Figures 5 and 6 shows that the inclusions observed and measured in these samples were small and uniformly dispersed in a way that should not have affected the measured NDT temperature. This was confirmed by the regression analysis, which showed that the inclusion content of these samples did not correlate with the observed variations in NDT temperature of the HY-80 steel studied.

Strength

醔

蓊

Reference 44 shows that the strength level of HY-80 steel, varied by tempering at various temperatures for one hour and then quenching, has a strong correlation with the NDT temperature of the steel. The NDT temperature increases as the strength is increased. The tensile yield strengths measured for the 115 data sets that mak up the sample being analyzed have an average value of 106 ksi and a standard deviation of 10.2 ksi. Over such a restricted range a linear approximation should adequately describe the effect of strength on NDT temperature even though a higher order function would be required for a wider range.

Table 10 shows the results of linear regression analysis for the effects of producer, gamma grain size, percent microconstituents (bainite, ferrite and pearlite) and tensile yield strength in psi. These results show for these data, after accounting for the other variables, that tensile yield strength contributes to determining the NDT temperature only in a minor way, about 0.68 F per 1000 psi increase in tensile yield strength. This is slightly less than the 3 to 4 F per 1000 psi shown graphically in Reference 44 for the range in tensile yield strength (TYS) from 100 to 120 ksi for coarse and fine grained material respectively. The reason for this difference in $\Delta T/\Delta TYS$ between the two studies is that this study covers a slightly wider range in tensile yield strength, from about 86 to about 126 ksi, which includes the range from 80 to 100 ksi that Reference 46 shows has little or no effect on NDT temperature, as opposed to the 100 to 120 ksi range where tensile yield strength has about the maximum effect on NDT temperatures.

Analysis of other computer runs shows that higher order strength terms and terms expressing an interaction between austenitic grain size and either strength or microconstituents do not significantly improve the correlation for these sets of data Correlations based on ultimate tensile strength (UTS) show no statistical or practical improvement over those based on tensile yield strength.

The data in Reference 44 shows that strength level, as changed by variation in tempering temperature, has a larger effect on NDT temperature than is indicated in this study. This is due to the fact that in Reference 44 the strength was varied by tempering for one hour at temperatures ranging from 400 to 1330 F to produce a tensile yield strength ranging from 161 to 81 ksi in the tempered martensitic structure alone; in the present study the tensile yield strength ranged from only 107 to 122 ksi in the 100 percent martensitic structure tempered at 1150 F. Reference 44 also shows that the functional relationship between strength and NDT temperature cannot be represented over the full range of strength by a simple higher order polynomial and thus does not lend itself to regression; in fact, it would appear from Reference 46 that even higher order interaction terms are required to represent the interaction between strength and microstructure. Such a study is not warranted here since the graphs shown in Reference 44 clearly demonstrate the complexity of this interaction.

Summary of Factors Controlling NDT Temperature

Figure 8 gives a series of graphs showing various aspects of the function relationship between the significant variables and NDT temperature of HY-80 steel given in Table 10. The range of each variable shown in these plots is limited to approximately the range of the input data used to develop this relationship. These plots are intended to give the reader a visual understanding of the significance of the relationship and a feeling for the relative effect of small changes in each variable. Figure 8a shows the functional relationship between NDT temperature and prior austenitic grain size in terms of microconstituents and producer when the tensile yield strength is fixed at a typical value, 100 ksi. Figure 8b shows the functional relationship between NDT temperature and relative amounts of nonmartensitic microconstituents in terms of prior austenitic grain size for one producer and a fixed strength level, 100 ksi. In Figure 8c the relationship between tensile yield strength and NDT temperature is shown in terms of amount of nonmartensitic microconstituents and prior austenitic grain size; the range in tensile yield strength has been limited to the range observed experimentally in Table 6.

This study has shown that under carefully controlled conditions which eliminate the possibility of retained austemite and of temper embrittlement due to slow cooling after tempering, the dominant factor controlling the

裔
NDT temperature of a low-carbon, Ni-Cr-Mo steels* such as HY-80 is the prior austenitic grain size. The results in Table 10 and Figure 8 show that prior austenitic grain size has from four to 100 times as much effect on NDT temperature as either the percent nonmartensitic isothermal products, percent bainite, ferrite and pearlite, in a tempered martensitic matrix or the tensile yield strength. The significant variables and the relative effect they had on the NDT temperature of the Ni-Cr-Mo steel used in this study are:

| Variable | Range | Effect on NDT |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Producer | (Coded 1 or 2) | -21.9 F |
| Prior Austenitic Grain Size | (3 to 9.5) | -19.2 F/ASTM Grain Size Number |
| Percent Bainite | (0 to 76 percent) | 0.62 F/Percent B |
| Percent Ferrite | (0 to 69 percent) | - 0.23 F/Percent F |
| Percent Pearlite | (0 to 32 percent) | 5.4 F/Percent P |
| Tensile Yield Strength | (61.5 to 124.7 ksi) | 0.68 F/Ksi |

The regression analysis equation is expressed mathematically under Table 10.

The standard deviation (Sx, y) of this correlation is 18.3 F and the multiple correlation coefficient (R) is 0.90 with an associated degrees of freedom of 108. Higher order terms and interaction terms did not significantly improve the correlation.

The value of the standard deviation for the correlation reported here, Sx.y = 18.3 F is close to the theoretical limit of the best possible correlation based on the ± 10 F repeatability of the drop weight test determination of the NDT temperature and the repeatability of measurement of the supposedly fixed valued independent variables.** For example, a repeatability of $\pm 1/2$ in the ASTM prior gamma grain size measurements would indicate that the best possible value of Sx.y for the regression would be about ± 15 F. Because of the small contribution of the other variables to NDT temperature variation, small errors in their measurement would not contribute as much to the magnitude of the standard deviation for the regression as would an error in the measurement of the prior austenitic grain size. However, the effect of the errors associated with each of the independent variables plus the variation in the experimental determination of the NDT temperature indicates that the value of the standard deviation associated with the final regression equation given in Table 10, Sx.y = 18.3 F, is close to the theoretically best possible functional relationship between a set of variables and the NDT temperature; lower values can be attained but only by using a set of dummy variables that would have no true significance.

The producer who melted the steel and rolled out the plate is a significant variable when analyzing the variables affecting NDT temperature. This is attributed to basic differences in composition and mill practice that could not be quantified by themselves but are lumped together in this variable.

No chemical composition terms (other than amount of hydrogen) were found to consistently correlate with NDT temperatures, and the low level of hydrogen found in these tests, typically 0 to 2 ppm, results in

裔

^{*}ASTM A 543-65 and MIL-S-16216G (SIIIPS),

^{**} Regression analysis programs such as the one used to analyze these data⁴³ assume the error is associated with the dependent variable and that the independent variables are exact values. While this is not the true case, it is a commonly used assumption. The more rigorous technique has computational and analytical disadvantages that are discussed by Gross in Reference 21.

little practical effect on NDT temperature, 2 F per ppm H_2 . The failure of other alloying and trace elements to significantly affect NDT temperature is attributed to the effect of these elements on the prior austenitic grain size which in itself is the dominant factor controlling NDT temperatures measured in these tests. In addition, the alloying and trace elements affect the microstructure and strength through their influence on quantity and iype of transformation product produced by austenite decomposition, ferrite strength, temperability, secondary hardening and susceptibility to temper embrittlement, and through their effect on strength and microstructure exert an effect on the secondary factors that determine NDT temperature.

The steel used in these studies was relatively clean and free from inclusions. Inclusion content was not found to be a significant variable affecting the NDT temperature.

The range of tensile yield strength produced by this study was rather limited by the decision to use a single (1150 F) tempering treatment for all samples; the mean value was 106 ksi and ranged from 124.7 ksi for the highest strength tempered martensitic structure to 61.6 ksi for the lowest strength structure containing large amounts of tempered ferrite and pearlite and the least tempered martensite. Reference 44 indicates that the rather small effect of strength on NDT temperature (0.68 F/ksi) is related to the limited range of strengths obtained in this study. Reference 44 indicates that there should be an interaction between strength level and microstructure in their effect on the NDT temperature; this was not observed to be the case in the present study, again probably due to the limited strength range.

The order of magnitude of the effect of prior gamma grain size on the NDT temperature found in this study, $-19 \text{ F}/(\gamma GS)$, is of the same order of magnitude as that reported in the literature for both ferritic, bainitic and martensitic steels, in terms of ferritic and prior austenitic grain sizes respectively. In plain carbon steels a unit increase in the ASTM ferritic grain size, $\Delta \alpha GS$, will reduce the consistion temperature 22 F on the average with the change ranging from +9 to -39 F.², 6, 7, 13, 17, 18, 24, 28, 31 Drop weight NDT test of a ferritic steel gave $-11 \text{ F}/\Delta \alpha GS.^{24}$ Pearlitic steels⁸ (C1080 and C1095) and steels air cooled and tempered³ (SAE 1045, 2340, and 3140) had $\Delta T/\Delta \gamma GS$ ranging from -17 to ≈ -125 F per unit change in prior austenitic grain size. In a study of the effect of impurity, P and S_H level, $\Delta T/\Delta \gamma GS$ ranged from -4 to -42 F per unit change in prior austenitic grain size in a 0.3 C, 2.0 Ni, 0.75 Cr steel with the value increasing with impurity level and embrittling treatment.²³

The linear dependence of transition temperature of ferritic steels on ferrite grain size, $T = A_0 + A_1 \ln D^{-1/2}$, reported by Petch, ^{30, 46} and of pearlite, 0.84 and 0.95 C, steels on prior austenitic grain size reported by Gross and Stout¹⁶ is in agreement with the linear dependence of NDT temperature on prior austenitic (ASTM) grain size observed for the martensite HY-80 steel used in this study. Irvine and Pickering²⁷ report that the transition temperature of low-carbon bainitic steels increases in an S shaped relationship, third order, with increasing prior gamma grain diameter as varied by reheating to various austenitizing temperatures. Replotting their data on semilog graph paper shows that the transition temperature of low-carbon bainitic steel such as HY-80 also has a linear relation between NDT temperature and prior austenitic grain size as expressed in ASTM grain size numbers. This relationship is not affected by appreciable amounts of isothermally produced bainite and ferrite in a structure tempered at 1150 F.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are based on the results of a study on the effects on the NDT temperature of: (1) commercial variation in composition and inclusion content, (2) variation in microstructure, prior austenitic grain size and microconstituents produced by quenching and by partial isothermal transformation at 1200 and 875 F to produce ferrite and bainite prior to quenching, and (3) variation in strength level after an 1150 F temper for one hour followed by a water quench to prevent embrittlement while cooling from the tempering temperature.

The steel used in these studies is a high toughness, low impact transition temperature, quenched and tempered Ni-Cr-Mo steel of the type made to ASTM Standard A 543-65 and Military Specification MIL-S-16216G (SHIPS). Sixteen coupons were obtained from one producer and eleven coupons from another. The average composition of the steels used in this study are given below:

| 0.18 Percent C | 0.10 Percent Cu |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.36 Percent Mn | 0.032 Percent Acid Soluble Al |
| 0.016 Percent P | 0.040 Percent Total Al |
| 0.019 Percent S | 0.002 Percent Ti |
| 0.30 Percent Si | 0.014 Percent O ₂ |
| 2.75 Percent Ni | 0.007 Percent N ₂ |
| 1.51 Percent Cr | 1 ppm H ₂ |
| 0.38 Percent Mo | |
| | |

FACTORS AFFECTING THE NDT TEMPERATURE

The results of this study show that the NDT temperature of the low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mo steel tested is primarily determined by a single variable and that the other significant variables act in a secondary way. The conclusions drawn from this study are as follows:

1. Using a tempered 100 percent martensitic microstructure as the baseline, the significant variables acting to determine the NDT temperature measured in this study and their relative (from Table 10) effects are:

| Variable | Range | Effect on NDT |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Producer | (Coded 1 or 2) | -21.9 F |
| Prior Austenitic Grain Size | (3 to 9.5) | 19.2 F/ASTM Grain Size Number |
| Percent Bainite | (0 to 76 percent) | 0.62 F/Percent B |
| Percent Ferrite | (0 to 69 percent) | - 0.23 F/Percent F |
| Percent Pearlite | (0 to 32 percent) | 5.4 F/Percent P |
| Tensile Yield Strength | (61.5 to 124.7 ksi) | 0.68 F/Ksi |

The effect of a unit change in prior austenitic grain size on NDT temperature is one and one-half to two orders of magnitude greater than the effect of either a percentage change in the amount of isothermally produced bainite and ferrite or a 1000 psi change in tensile yield strength.

NDT Temperature (F) = -77 - 22 (Producer 1 or 2) -19.2 (γGS)

+ 0.62 (Percent B) - 0.23 (Percent F) + 5.4 (Percent P)

+0.68 (TYS in ksi)

讂

2. A linear function of the significant terms gives the best expression of the functional relationship between these variables and the NDT temperature since it was found that higher order and interaction terms did not significantly improve the correlation.

3. No chemical composition terms were found to consistently correlate with measured NDT temperatures in a statistically significant manner, except for the amount of hydrogen in the steel, and the low level of hydrogen found in these steels, typically 0 to 2 ppm; results in little practical effect on NDT temperature, 2 F per ppm H_2 . The failure of alloying and trace elements to significantly affect NDT temperature is attributed primarily to the fact that these elements interact with: (a) the austenitizing treatment to control prior austenitic grain size; (b) the transformation product; (c) temperability and final strength of the steel; and (d) basic susceptibility to embrittlement during normal tempering, as well as the fact that ranges in the amount of each element were so restricted by the specifications and commercial melting practice as to make it impossible to detect their relatively weak effect on a sound statistical basis.

4. The inclusion content was not found to be a significant factor affecting the NDT temperatures measured in these studies.

5. The weak correlation between tensile yield strength and NDT temperatures observed in this study, as opposed to the strong effect reported in References 44 and 45 is attributed to the limited range of strengths produced by the 1150 F temper used on all material in the present study.

6. The linear relationship between ASTM prior austenitic grain size and NDT temperature of the lowcarbon Ni-Cr-Mo steel used in this study is similar to the linear dependence between: (a) prior austenitic grain diameter and the transition temperature of low-carbon bainitic steels reported by Irvine and Pickering,²⁷ (b) prior austenitic grain size and the transition temperature of pearlitic 0.84 and 0.95 C steels reported by Gross and Stout;¹⁶ and (c) the logarithm of the ferritic grain diameter and the transition temperature of ferritic steels reported by Petch.³⁰

7. The results of these studies indicate that the steel producer is a statistically significant variable that can account for about 22 F of the observed variation in NDT temperature, depending upon which producer made the steel; this is attributed to a combination of small compositional differences, such as amount of P or Cu which by themselves were not consistently significant, and to differences in mill practice. In any case, these results indicate that the producer is a significant variable that should be considered in any analysis of variables affecting the NDT temperature of low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mo steels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This report is based on work carried out by the author in the course of his employment with the Naval Ship Research and Development Center, Bethesda, Maryland. Use of the data as a basis for a thesis was approved by the University of Michigan and NSRDC.

The author gratefully acknowledges the advice and guidance given by Professor M. J. Sinnott and members of his doctoral committee from the University of Michigan and by Mr. A. R. Willner of the Center whose encouragement and support made this work possible. Thanks are given to Mr. Daniel Embody of the Biometrical Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland, for his advice and guidance on the use of regression analysis programs. The contributions of J. Hogben, R. J. Langley and R. Martin and other members of the Center staff are especially appreciated.

鸖

畜







Figure 3b - Details of Layout of Charpy V-Notch Specimens

ŝ

裔

Figure 3 – Location of Mechanical Property and Transverse Charpy V-Notch Impact Specimens Made from Tested Drop Weight Specimens







Figure 5 -- Photomicrographs of the Inclusion Content of Coupon X-18





蠽



Figure 7 – A Plot of the Difference between Calculated and Experimental NDT Temperature of Material Containing from a Trace to 69 Percent Ferrite versus Ferrite Content



Figure 8b -- Functional Relationship between NDT Temperature and Microconstituents in Terms of Prior Austenitic Grain Size

Figure 8 -- Plots Showing the Relative Effect of Prior Austenitic Grain Size, Producer Percent Nonmartensitic Isothermal Transformation Produce (Bainite, Ferrite and Pearlite), and Tensile Yield Strength on the NDT Temperature of a Low-Carbon Ni-Cr-Mo Alloy Steel Tempered at 1150 F

ter ale Maria Maria Maria Relation de Carlo de Constante de Carlos de Carlos de Carlos de Carlos de Carlos de C

35

はまった お気し しつり ふえをみある

TABLE 1

奲

Producer's Reported Chemical Composition and Mechanical Properties

| 105#1 - #0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | r0C. | U | ž | ت ٩ | HEMICAL S | COMPO' | 51710. 51 | N • PCT N I | g | O X | COUPON SIZE TXWXL+IN+ | SPEC. 018. | MECH Stren Yield | ANICAL PR GTH•KSI TEMSILE | IOPERTIES ELONG. IN 2 IN. PCT | HRED. IN AREA.PCT | LONGITUDINAL Charpy V-NOICH Energy, FI-Lr |
|---------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | 655399 | | .16 .16 | •26 •25 •28 | 100 | 070. 020. | | 22 | 2.60 1.59 2.61 | 1.35 | *** | 2 x 2 1 x 9 û | TRANS Long. | 1 = 2 2 = 2 | 108.0 102.8 | 24.0 | 63 .8 73.0 | 101-108-108 90- 89- 92 |
| Ng 1 1 | 125686 | | •1• •15 | .30 .33 | .013 .015 .020 | .016 .017 .017 | • | • 5 • • 2 • • 1 8 | 2.85 2.83 2.83 | 1.53 1.58 1.50 | • * • • 38 • 39 | 6x18x126 | TRANS LONG | 80.8 80.7 | 100.7 100.8 | 26.0 24.0 | 1.01 2.1 | 96- 96-134 |
| ۲ - ۲ | 125686 | u C C C C C C | • : • | 01. 85. | 013 015 025 | .016 .017 .017 | | • 5 • • 5 • | 2.85 2.83 2.50 | 1.53 1.58 1.50 | | 1.62×18×118 | TRANS LONG. | 83 83 8 6 8 8 6 8 8 8 8 8 | 106.2 | 22.5 25.0 | 68.7 75.0 | 99- 97-97 110- 85- 85 |
| 87 1 14 | 665411 | ש ער מי ש | .16 .13 | * ñ * * | .016 .016 | 010- 010- 020- | | 28 | 2.85 2.85 2.85 | 1.56 | 9 - 0 4 4 4 • • • | 2x21x140 | TRANS Long. | 93.7 96.3 | 113.7 114.5 | 23.0 | 57.2 68.8 | 84- 87- 56 82- 92-102 |
| 5 - 1 | 665357 | <u>ب</u> بر بر | .17 .16 .16 | - 30 - 30 | .011 .015 .022 | .018 .019 .018 | | - 28 - 28 - 28 | 2.802.2 | 1.69 1.71 1.71 | 5 N N 3 7 7 | 2.13x17x133 | TRANS Long. | 91.3 85.1 | 112.0 | 22,0 | 63.9 71.5 | 110-106-112 110-110-124 |
| 9 - I | 66253 | ينا ير ك ر | · · · · | .32 | .013 .013 | .015 .015 .016 | | 23 | 2.82 2.80 2.85 | 1.70 1.75 1.75 | 0 vî # # # # * * * | 3 . 75x 18x76 | TRANS Long. | 85.0 80.1 | 104.0 101.2 | 22.0 22.0 | 69.] 74.3 | 124-130-120 |
| 40 + 14 | 660343 | ⊶iu. Cr | •1• •1• | .25 .26 .21 | .013 .015 .021 | -017 -016 -016 | | N # 00 N N | 2.76 2.76 2.74 | 1.52 1.50 1.38 | • 35 • 27 • 32 | 2x23x140 | TRANS LONG. | 92.0 87.5 | 107-6 105-7 | 24°0 24°0 | 69.6 63.9 | 113-117-114 121-125-113 |
| 6 - 1 | 10032 | -1 w. 02 | **** | 62° 82° | - 10 - 11 3 - 11 3 - 11 3 | .016 .017 .017 | - • • | 12 12 13 17 19 19 | 2.85 2.88 2.81 | 1.78 1.76 1.77 | • 36 • 36 | 1 -5x24x 100 | TRANS LONG. | 84.0 80.1 | 108.2 102.1 | 23.0 24.0 | 69.0 75.2 | 120-132-133 127-117-124 |
| 01-x | 65u178 | _ ار د ۲۵ | .16 .17 | .35 | -018 -012 | -017 -014 -015 | | | 3.00 2.91 2.90 | 1.62 1.68 1.58 | • 36 • 42 • 36 | 1.75%42%130 | TRANS Long. | 90,88 88,2 | 111.2 108.8 | 22.0 22.0 | 64.4 73.2 | 123-125-122 99- 91- 85 |
| 11-1 | # 67 15 I | باند. Cr | •15 •16 •17 | . 26 . 28 . 28 | 600. 600. | 510. 210. | - • • | n n n N N N | 2.95 2.90 2.89 | 1.50 | | 2.138368139 | TRANS LONG. | 83.1 80.1 | 192.0 194.9 | 26.0 26.0 | 66.6 71.6 | 85-82-89 99-99-94 |
| 1-12 | 68U369 | ۔) در 3 2 | .16 .17 .16 | .27 .29 .29 | 210. 110. | .018 .018 .018 | | 27 | 3.00 2.99 3.03 | 1.58 1.50 1.52 | -40 -33 | 1.5x28x96 | TRANS Long. | 91.6 90.7 | 109.2 108.5 | 2%.0 24.0 | 66.8 72.7 | 127-135-131 122-125-130 |
| 1-13 | 580369 | ب ر α | •16 •17 | 27 29 29 | 210. | .018 .018 .018 | | 27 | 3.00 2.99 3.03 | 1.58 1.50 1.52 | . 38 | 4 . 51 23 196 | TRANS LCNG - | 86+3 32•6 | 110.5 | 24.U 24.D | 68.5 73.8 | 611-201-511 |

畜

25

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| 57 70 | 97 | 16 | ¥, 9 | | 16 | . 06 | ŝ | 73 - | . 9 | 8 | 0 | | * | S | F1 | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| 69- 1CD- | -96 | -16 | с – : 9 | | 92- | 196 | -26 | 11- 1 | - | -06 | -06 | | 109-10 | 12- 1 | S: +SS | |
| 91- 102- | - 16 . | 95 - | 9 9 | | -06 | - 69 | - 36 - | 2 | 87- | | 9 | - 5 6 | 109- | | 45- | |
| 7.62 6.83 | 67.9 62.9 | 63 • 5 68 • 3 5 | 68 . 3 71 . 4 | | ц. ¹ | | | | • • • | | | | | | | |
| 24.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 25.0 26.0 | | 26.0 | 27.0 26.0 | 23•0 25•0 | 25.0 26.0 | 24.0 26.0 | 24.0 | 25.0 26.0 | 25•0 26•0 | 28•0 28•0 | 25•0 26•0 | 25.0 27.0 | |
| 110.2 | 110.8 109.1 | 103- 3 108- 9 | 108.5 106.8 | | 104.5 106.5 | 107.9 | 103.4 | 106.9 | 109.0 | 109.5 107.6 | 104 - 1 102 - 5 | 105+0 108-9 | 108.4 | 1.05.0 | 103•5 99•0 | |
| 85.7 85.6 | 92.8 89.7 | 91•1 89•8 | 91.2 88.2 | | 87.4 90.5 | 86.4 91.9 | 86.2 82.8 | 84 8 86 6 | 88.5 87.1 | 0.00 | 85.1 82.1 | 85.1 90.6 | 10 # 80 80 80 80 | 85.1 90.6 | 81.6 80.1 | : |
| LONG. | TRANS LUNG. | TRANS Long. | TRANS LONG. | | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TR ANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | TRANS LONG. | |
| 2x 20 x 1 35 | •5126111• | 1.8x74x97 | 6x22x67 | | 691151148 | 2x15x161 | 75%15%103 | .62X15X75 | 258158155 | 22157121 | 1.5x 15x96 | 21151161 | 288-58109 | 2.51151168 | 3.62x15x158 | |
| | - 60 | •53 •52 | -53 -51 -52 | · . | . 12. | | . 35 . | . 37 | . 19 | 24. | 0. | 0** | | 2 | • 23 | |
| 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.65 1.65 1.65 | 1.63 1.65 1.65 | | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.10 | 1.55 | 1.49 | 1.54 | 1:67 | 1.67 | 1.47 | 1.53 | 2 * * T | |
| 2.87 | 2.8.2 | 2.83 | 2.83 | | 2.15 | | 2.11 | 2.17 | 2.81 | 2.15 | 2.74 | 2.7 | 2.76 | 2.80 | 2.85 | |
| \$ 5.5 | \$2.5 | 22. | 22 | | - 22 | | -22 | • 2 • | •26 | • 25 | .30 | .30 | - 25 | .27 | -20 | |
| | | | | | | | | | • 13 | .17 | .16 | . 16 | .15 | .23 | .17 | |
| .017 .016 .017 | -017 -016 -017 | 210. 10. | .015 .01* | | •20- | 110. | .026 | -022 | .023 | •20- | •025 | .025 | •025 | .023 | •025 | |
| 010 | 010 | 013 013 | • 10 • 10 | | 120. | 010. | .010 | 110. | 010. | 010. | 010- | .010 | -010 | 10. | C10. | |
| 22 | ~~~~ | | | | .22 | .26 | 61. | .35 | .31 | .32 | • 35 | • 35 | •2• | •2• | •25 | |
| 61. 19 | •19 •13 | •15 •15 •16 | . 15 . 15 | | .15 | | • | .17 | .17 | .17 | .16 | .16 | •16 | .16 | .15 | |
| سا الد | ے ہے ک | J L 2 | ∟اند 02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 660397 | 6 6 n 39 7 | N51533 | N51533 | | 42-171-44 | #-5#2-# | A2472-10 | A2480-4C | 42848-2 | 20730-5 | 9-0+561 | 1-0+561 | 2-66802 | 2-22212 | 22616-1 | |
| •1- | -15 | z-16 | (1-1 | | | ~ -1 | n -1 | - | s N | u L | | 80 1 | ¢ - > | 01-10 | 11-1 | |

裔

公司

TABLE 2

Actual Chemical Composition of Coupons

CHEMISTRY DATA

| SRAIN SIZE | (1 | 0<*8 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 0 | | 8.50 | 8.00 | 8.50 | 02 0 | | 9.50 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.00 | 9.50 | | 8.50 | 9.50 | 8.50 | |
|--------------------|------------|--------|------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|--------|-------|--------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| D(1) (50M | | 2.18 | 7.83 | 7.95 | 22 0 | | 8.96 | 5.71 | 8.41 | | | 13.83 | 10.27 | 7.62 | 7.58 | 8-00 | | 7.53 | 12.10 | 10-50 | • |
| AMT H(2) PPM | , | -1 | 0 | - | - | - | m | | - | c | 5 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | | • | 0 | 0 | | • |
| AMT . N(2) | | 0600. | .0060 | 0600- | | | .0060 | .0070 | .0090 | 0000 | | .00800 | .0110 | .0050 | -0060 | 0400- | | .0050 | .0070 | 0200- | |
| AMT. 0(2) | | .0110 | .0050 | 0150 | | | .0480 | .0200 | -0150 | | | .00060 | .0130 | -0130 | 0110 | | | .0100 | 0100 | | |
| AMT. CO | • | • | | | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | -0210 | | | >>>> | . CIIO | 0100 | | |
| AHT. V | | 0100. | -0020 | | | .0010 | • | • | | | 0000- | .0020 | .0030 | 0200- | 0400 | | | -0040 | 0900 | | 0000- |
| ANT. TI | | 0400- | -0010 | | | .0050 | .0050 | 0640 | | | .0010 | -0010 | 0200 | 0000 | | | 0100* | -0010 | | | • • • • |
| TOTAL AL | | 00+00 | C360 | | | •0420 | .0470 | 1557 | 0430 | | .0360 | .0530 | 04.40 | | | | | 0410 | | | 21/2. |
| AC ID Sol | | .0280 | 0000 | | 0620. | .0240 | 0220- | | | | .0320 | .0470 | | | | 0000. | 0450 | 0350 | | • 0.2 6 0 | .0640 |
| AHT. |) | 0.076 | | | 0.044 | 0.075 | 440.0 | | | | 0.048 | 0.041 | 10.0 | | | 0.034 | 0.049 | 090 0 | | 0.0488 | 101-0 |
| . THA | 5 | 0 36 0 | | | 066.7 | 0.310 | 022.0 | | | 0.00.0 | 0.310 | 015 0 | | | | 0.350 | 0.370 | 250 | | 005.0 | C.500 |
| ANT. | 5 | 1 450 | | | 1.470 | 1.800 | 072 1 | | 001.1 | 004-1 | 1.620 | 077 | | 1.440 | | 1.420 | 1.600 | | 1.010 | 1.550 | 1.540 |
| AHT. | | 500 | | | 2.760 | 2.930 | | | 2.110 | 2.150 | 2.810 | | | 2.070 | 2.930 | 2.850 | 2.750 | | | 2.833 | 2.780 |
| AMT. | 10 | | 01210 | 0.300 | 0.270 | 0.260 | | | 0.210 | 0.320 | 0.300 | | | 0.310 | 0-360 | 0.320 | 0.360 | | 0.300 | 0.300 | 0.310 |
| • 22. v | 0 | | | .021 | .020 | | | . 10. | 110. | .023 | 510 | | | c10 | .018 | -017 | 815 | | PID. | .018 | .022 |
| 4 M T . | 7 . | | -10- | .016 | .023 | | · · · · | 610. | .016 | -014 | 000 | | 610 | .013 | . Ŭ21 | .020 | 214 | | •10• | .017 | .019 |
| AHT. | Z | • | -280 | .380 | 1390 | | | • 300 | .29C | -370 | 1004 | | 044 | .390 | .360 | -34C | 076 |) | -37C | 004. | .390 |
| AMI. | U | 1 | 0.15 | 0.16 | | | 0.1.0 | 0-17 | •1 •0 | 0-20 | | | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | | 77.0 | c.16 | 0.18 | C.17 |
| SPEC. | - UZ | | 7- 1 X- | X- 2 | ۲ - ۲ | • • | 4 - X | X - 5 | x- 6 | X - 8 | • • | r 11 | 01 - X | X-11 | X-12 | x-13 | • | ¥-18 | x-15 | X-16 | X-17 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
|-------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|--------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|
| | GRAIN SIZE | 8.30 | 2.00 | 8.50 | 9.50 | R. 50 | | | | 8.50 | 8.50 | 8.50 | 7.00 | • |
| | D(I) 50N | 4.68 | | 3.87 | 13.29 | A. 14 | | | 1000 | 8.2.8 | 10.02 | 9.69 | 9.89 | , , |
| AMK | H(2) PPM | ~ | - | - | 12 | - | 4 P | - , | -1 | -4 | 0 | ~ | 10 | • |
| | ANT. N(2) | 0600- | 0600. | .0090 | 0600- | 0010 | | | •00990 | •0000 | .0070 | 00100 | - 0020 | |
| | AMT. 0(2) | .0040 | .0090 | .0160 | 0.040 | | | 0470. | •0520 | .0040 | 0400* | | | |
| | ANT. CD | • | J. | | | • | • | • | • | • | | , | • | • |
| | ANT. | .0020 | | .0010 |) | • | • | • | cloo . | .0030 | 0200- | | | |
| | ANT. TI | .0010 | 0400- | -0010 | | • | .0040 | 0400- | .0050 | .0020 | 00100 | | 0110. | 0100* |
| | TOTAL | .0250 | .0280 | 0360 | | 0020+ | .0360 | . C370 | • C4 70 | - C4 30 | 0450 | | 0240* | 0110. |
| 01.74 | SOL | .0220 | -0060 | 0420 | | 0,000. | .0150 | .0270 | .0270 | -0380 | 00000 | 0.000 | .0380 | 0010. |
| | AMT. CU | 0.160 | 0-120 | 0.120 | | 0.190 | 0.260 | 0.250 | 0.190 | 0-150 | | | 0.190 | 0.160 |
| | ANT. HO | 0.270 | 0.4.0 | | 0.2.0 | 014.0 | 0.340 | 0.350 | 0.550 | 0.170 | | 004-0 | C.380 | 0.390 |
| | ANT. CR | 1.200 | 045 1 | | 007 • T | 1.580 | 1.520 | 1.570 | 1.670 | 1.520 | | 1.400 | 1.450 | 1.400 |
| | AMT. NI | 2.150 | 004 0 | | | 2.910 | 2.890 | 2.170 | 2.750 | 2450 | | 2-130 | 2.700 | 2.190 |
| | AMT. SI | 0110 | | | 0-360 | 0.290 | 0.280 | 0.240 | 00100 | | | 0.305.0 | 0.330 | 0.290 |
| | ANT. S | 101 | | | 120- | .017 | .019 | 120- | .025 | | 0 7 7 4 | .018 | .018 | .022 |
| | AHI. | , 10 1 | | 0.00 | - 11- | .010 | .001 | | 000 | | | •01 5 | .021 | 020. |
| | AN I | 120 | | | • 29C | .370 | .320 | 192 | | | | .420 | .490 | .380 |
| | AMT. | | 12.0 | 61.0 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.19 | | | | | C.19 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| | SPEC. NO. | - | | 2-1-1 | ۲ ۳ | Y- 4 | Y- 5 | | 7 F | c | 8 | 6 - X | 7-10 | 11-7 |

音

蓄

TABLE 3

Inclusion Content

INCLUSION RATING AT THE SURFACE AND MID-THICKNESS (ASTM E45-63, METHOD B)

| SURFACE | TRANSVERSE INCLUSIONS BACK- LONGEST OTHERS GROUND | 3.74 1.14 A | 2.0 1.0 8 | 5.7 1.7' B | 2•0 • • • • • B | 6.0 ^d 3.6 ⁴ C | 1.5 1.0 1.0 A | 4.2 4 1.5 D | ¥ 0 • • 0 | • 7 • 0 • A | 1.3 . 0 B | 2.7 ⁴¹ 1.1 B | 2.2 ⁸ 1.2 ² A | | | | | •6 ⁻ •U A | | | | 2360 1.5' A | 1.5° •0 A | 5.4 ⁴ 1.3 ³ A | 2.5 d 1.32 C | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|-----|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|------|--------------|------|------|
| 80770M | AL BACK- GROUND | Ø | • | U | æ | ~ | < | ٥ | υ | IJ | ۵ | U | 0 | i L | ,, | ، ر | J | < | | | <` | • | - | • | | | בסו | Ð I | < | < | U | Ø |
| | I TUDIN IONS OTHERS | 1.63 | 1.04 | 1.54 | 1.23 | 0. | 2.01 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.13 | 1,310 | 1.631 | 10.1 | 1.616 | | | 2.1.5 | 1.36 | | | • • | 1.23 | 2.62 | | | | 1.2 | 1.2' | ç | 2,9 3 | 1.31 | • |
| | LONGEST | 3.04 | 1.05 | 3.5 | 1.94 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.70 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4 5 3 4 | | | | 2.1.42 | 6.0° | 2.1 | | | 3.04 | 1.9 | 4.2.4 | | | | 2.02 | 2.1 | 1,94 | 5.00 | 1.54 | 2.5 |
| | E BACK- GROUND | ~ | υ | 4 | U | e co | Ø | • | ٥ | Ø | U | | (- | C 1 | ، ر | Ð | Ø | • | | | ۵ | • | | (a | 0 4 | ر | U | < | < | U | ß | < |
| | KSVERSI IONS OTHERS | 1.2 | 1.001 | 1.5 | 1.33 | 0 | 1.96 | 1.54 | 1.02 | 1.53 | 1.59 | | 1 12 | | 1.00 | ¢ • | 1.53 | 1,13 | | | 2.78 | 1.1 | | | | 2.02 | 1.4 * | 0 | 1,15 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0' |
| HICKNESS | TRA INCLUS LQNGEST | 1.04 | 2.5 | 3.54 | 1.5 | | 6.0 | 2 7 4 | 3.58 | 2.04 | 0.0 | | | | | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 7 9 | | 7.44 | 6 0 4 | | | | | 3.2 | 1.04 | 1.24 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 3.04 |
| MID-TH | IAL BACK- GROUND | • | 6 | | - | (ৰ | | |) œ | - C | s c | , t | | D ' | υ | ۵ | U | œ |) | | U | | | (• | • ۲ | < | ന | υ | œ | 4 | U | < |
| : | GITUDIN IONS OTHERS | 1.22 | | 2 • 1 5 | 1.83 | | | 1 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 5 1 2 . [| 6 1 7 1 | 1 0 | | 0 0 | 1.2 | 2.14 | 1.65 |) | . ` | 2.15 | | 7 | | | | 1.13 | 1.61 | 1001 | | 1.64 | 1.74 |
| | LONGEST | 3 5 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.3 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 5 - 7 | | | 1 0000 | | | | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.04 | | | | 2.5 |
| | SPECIMEN NUMBER | | - ► 5 7 } | , | | u t < ≻ | | | | | | | X=12 | X-13 | X-18 | X-15 | X-16 | 71-7 | | | > | | , n 1 1 1 | | 4 = 4 | ۲ - 5 | γ- 6 | 7 - 7 | - × | - > | | 11-X |

TABLE 4

Prior Austenitic Grain Size

| SPECIMEN NUMBER | AS RECEIVED MATERIAL MID-THICKNESS | AUSTENITIZING TREATMENT # 1640 F-1/2 HR-W.Q. 2000 F-1 HR-W.Q. |
|--------------------|--|--|
| X- 1 | 6 | 8.5 million (1997). 1997 - State St |
| X- 2 | 6 | na sa kata na 8 mana ang kata na kata |
| X- 3 | 5 | |
| X- 4 | 6 | , 1 |
| X- 5 | 4 | |
| X- 0 | la de la companya de | n an tha an an th O ● an |
| X- 0 | 7 | |
| X- 9 X-10 | 0 | |
| X-10 | A state of the sta | 9.6 |
| X-11 X-12 | a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | 8.5 |
| Y-12 | о А. | |
| X=13 X=18 | Š, | and a second |
| X-15 | 7 | 8 • 5 |
| X-16 | 5 | 9.5 6 |
| X-17 | 6 | 8,5 6 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 1 | |
| Y- 1 | 7 | 8 ĕ5 |
| Y- 2 | 7 • • | 9.5 |
| Y- 3 | 7 | |
| Y- 4 | 5 | 9.5 |
| Y- 5 | 6 | 8.5 |
| Y⊷ 6 | 5 | 9.5 |
| Y- 7 | 5 | 8.5 |
| Y- 8 | 7 | 8.5 |
| Y- 9 | 5 | 8.5 |
| Y-10 | 7 | 8.5 |
| Y-11 | 4 | 7 |
| 1 | | |
| | | |

AFTER AUSTENITIZING AND QUENCHING, -120 F 1 HR, TEMPERED AT 1150 F 1 HR THEN WATER QUENCHED.

£.

| | | | | | 1. 24.1 | | |
|--|--------------|---------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Percent Micr | oconstituents | s Produced by | Varicus | Isothermal ' | Treatments | n an ann an Arraigh |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | the second se | | | | |
| OUT DIVAT AC AND | | | | an di Generation | | ~ | |
| TEMPERATURE | 1640 F | 1640 F | 1640 | Frida Ro | 164 | OF | 2000 F |
| TIME | 1/2 HR | 175 HR | 1/2 H | R | 1/2 | HR | 1. HR |
| and the second | | | | a Mariana. | | | |
| ISOTHERMAL | | . 1 | | | | e de la composition d La composition de la c | 2 2 |
| TEMPERATURE | 875 F | 875 F | 1200 | F | 120 | 0 F | 875 F |
| TIME | 152 SEC | 1600 SEC | 3350 5 | EC | 8500 | SEC | 1600 SEC |
| | | | | | | | |
| HICRO- | | | 199 | | | | |
| CONSTITUENTS | BAINITE | BAINITE | FERRITE PE | CARLITE | FERRITE | PEARLITE | BAINITE |
| • | · | ÷ . | . * | | | | |
| COUPON NUMBER | | , : | | | | | · |
| 1 | | | 12 · · | | | | |
| X- 1 | 29 | 54 | 31 | 0 | 67 | 11 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| X- 2 | 14 | 54 | TRACE | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| X-3 | 21 | | 31 | Q | | | |
| X-, 4 | 2 | | 11 | 0 | | | |
| X- 5 | 1 | | U U | .0 | | | |
| X- 6 X- 8 | 18 | | 5 | 0 | | | ÷. |
| x- 9 | 7 | | 26 | ō | | | |
| X-10 | 1 | | TRACE | 0 | ; | | \$ ² |
| X-11 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | · _ · | |
| X-12 | 1 | 50 | TRACE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| X-13 | 14 | 60 | TRACE | 0 | IRACE | | 5 6 / 5 C |
| X-15 | INALE | 21 | U N | . n | 0 | . 0 . | 58 |
| x-16 | n | 16 | TRACE | 0 | TRACE | 0 0 | 58 |
| x-17 | ō | 32 | 0 | ō | TRACE | Ō | 30 |
| 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ¥- 1 | 53 | | 50 | 32 | | | |
| ¥- 2 | 9 | 41 | 22 | 0 | 53 | 4 | |
| Y- 3 | 76 | 10 | 69 | 23 | | | • |
| Y- 4 Y- 5 | · 1 | 23 | 17 | 0 0 | 21 | U | |
| Y- 6 | í | | 12 | 0 | | | |
| Y- 7 | 1 | | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Y- 8 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Y- 9 | 6 | | Z | 0 | | | |
| T-10 V-11 | 77 | 63 | 1 | U 20 | | n | |
| | · | | | | ** ** ** ** ** | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |

TABLE 5

畲

TABLE 6

i

約回

Results of Mechanical Property Tests

1000 Table 6a - Specimens Austemutzed at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour ł

| I SYN ASIYEL | CHARPY V-NOTCH :KPACT PROPERTIES Not -120F +210F ENERGY ENERGY (FT- PCT. (FT- PCT. LBS) FIBER LBS) FIBER LBS) FIBER |
|--------------|---|
| | COMP. Vield NCT Sth. Temp (PSI) (F) |
| | PCT. TEN. EL. PCT. YIELO IN RED. STH. 2 IN (PSI) IN. AREA |
| | ISC- ISC- THERMAL THERAL ULT. HOLDING HOLDING TEN. TEMP. TIME STM. (F) (SEC) (PSI) |
| | PCT. PCT. MARTEN- |
| | SPEC. PCT. MC. BANITE |

| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100-0 | 00.00 | 00.001 | 100-0 | 100.0 | 100-0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 001 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100-0 | | | 100.0 | | ES | 0F | 57 | PCT. | F18ER | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.001 | | | | 2.001 | 2.021 | | 100.0 | |
|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------|----------|---------|------|---------|--|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|----------|--|----------|------------|-----|
| 66.0 | 90.0 | ė1.0 | 56.0 | 69.0 | 73.0 | 77.0 | 19.0 | 74.0 | 61.5 | 66.5 | 93.0 | 62.5 | 14.5 | 62-0 | 69.0 | | | | 61.0 | 0.84 | 52.0 | 56.0 | 42.5 | 38.5 | 5.5 | | | 64 . 0 | | ROPERTI | +21 | ENER | (FT- | L85) | | 103.5 | 114.0 | 0101 | | | | | 0.18 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 0.101 | 66.5 | |
| 100.0 | 72.0 | 43.5 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 93.5 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 85.5 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 0.46 | 0.001 | 100-0 | | | | 97.0 | 86.5 | 92.8 | 8-26 | 0.05 | 5.00 | | | | 12.5 | | IPACT P | 201 | RGY | PCT. | FIBER | | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.001 | | | 0.001 | 100.0 | 0.86 | 100-0 | 100.0 | 0*66 | |
| 49.5 | 62-0 | 39.0 | 46.0 | 69.2 | 64.0 | 62.5 | 60.0 | 66.5 | 45.5 | 58.0 | 83-0 | 80.5 | 10.0 | | | | | 0.44 | 53.5 | 42.0 | 46.0 | 51.0 | 0.14 | | | 0.00 | 0.40 | 40.5 | ONCITUD. | OTCH IP | -12 | EME | -1-J) | L85) | | 102-0 | 0.101 | | | 2.47 | 87.0 | 97.5 | 15.0 | 62.0 | 104.5 | £0.0 | |
| 24.5 | 37.0 | 43.5 | 57.8 | 57.8 | 57.5 | 34.0 | 35.0 | 59.0 | | 41.5 | | 11.0 | | | | | 34.0 | 33.0 | 70.5 | 26.0 | 57.8 | 57.8 | | | | 0 | 12.0 | 54.0 | | H-Y YA | | reY | PCT. | F18ER | | 10010 | 5 6 0 | | C • 1 × . | 100.0 | 75.5 | 72.0 | 56.0 | 100.0 | 49.5 | 48.5 | |
| 31.0 | 48.0 | 39.0 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 38.0 | 17-0 | 35.5 | 0-44 | 5.05 | \$ ° C \$ | | | | | | | 34.0 | 28.5 | 32.5 | 27.5 | 27.0 | 0.15 | | | | 35.5 | 26.5 | 35.0 | | CHAS | U.N. | FNF | ret- | I R S I | | 0.01 | | | 0,65 | 5*+8 | 64.0 | 70.5 | 56.0 | 17.0 | 67.5 | 37.0 | |
| -190 | -170 | -120 | -100 | 067- | -170 | 1 1 0 0 | 051 - | - 190 | | | | | 001 | | 017- | -180 | -210 | -190 | - 230 | -210 | 017- | | | | -210 | -190 | -230 | -150 | | | | NCT | TCMD | | | 0.11 | | | -180 | -190 | -190 | -210 | -180 | -210 | -230 | -220 | |
| 123334 | 118860 | 121686 | 123578 | 119830 | 535411 | | 11430 | | 17444 | 200021 | | 877671 | 667071 | | 132264 | 124535 | 122785 | 138652 | 125312 | 114012 | 1 20079 | 1 2 2 6 1 6 | 10071 | 96/921 | 120685 | 120598 | 121602 | 117168 | | | LOK0 | VIES D | | | | 111166 | 020121 | 12421 | 123228 | 126265 | 124506 | 132264 | 124533 | 122785 | 125312 | 126756 | I F |
| 71.0 | | | | 2 · · · · | | | | | | | | | 8.21 | | 14.5 | 71.9 | 10.2 | 70.3 | 72.0 | 4 B - 7 | | | | 65.5 | 71.8 | 74.2 | 11.1 | 71.3 | | | 5 | | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | ; | | | 72.7 | 72.6 | 73.4 | 74.5 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 72.0 | 66.5 | |
| 33.0 | | | 2 C 9 C 9 C | | | | 240 | | | , . , . , . | | 23.62 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 23°C | 22.0 | 21.0 | 22°C | 22.C | 0 00 | | | < 3. U | 21.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 23.C | 22.5 | | 1.72 | | | 5, | | | | 1•77 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.C | 23.0 | 22.0 | 21.C | 22.C | 21.0 | |
| 000211 | 030011 | | 071411 | C 70C 1 1 | | 100200 | 082301 | | 136111 | 911911 | 1/6411 | 112988 | 116000 | 112289 | 116883 | 116617 | 113686 | 122294 | 114600 | 807701 | | 1/2611 | 112413 | 117150 | 112100 | 111611 | 112000 | 109532 | | | | | 11660 | | | | 821411 | 114571 | 112988 | 116000 | 112269 | 115883 | 116617 | 113686 | 114600 | 117150 | |
| 100361 | 106621 | 265231 | | 076971 | C11871 | 261171 | 00/171 | 000000 | 926521 | 12821 | 127340 | 126275 | 130601 | 128074 | 130870 | 129743 | 125874 | 115325 | 123600 | | 111001 | 134161 | 130814 | 133000 | 127600 | 126527 | 128001 | 122955 | | | | | | HL | (154) | | 124850 | 127346 | 126275 | 130601 | 128074 | 130870 | 120743 | 125874 | 009121 | 133000 | |
| ¢ | 50 | 3 0 | 51 | 5 | 5 (| 5 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ø | ა | o | 0 | c | o c | | 2 | 0 | 0 | σ | Q | o | c | 9 0 | , | ļ | -201 | | HOLCING | TIME | SEC) | | o | 0 | o | c |) C | , ر |) c |) c |) (|) C | > |
| ſ | · · · | יר | 5 | o 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | n | ດ | 0 | 0 | G | c |) (| | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • c |) C | , | | 150- | THERMAL | HOLDING | TEMP. | | | 0 | 0 | a | Ċ | ı c |) (|) (|) (|) c |) c | 2 |
| | 100.0 | 100-0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.021 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100-0 | 100.0 | 100.01 | 100-0 | | | 5 · · · · | 100-0 | 100.01 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0-001 | | | | | | | | KARTEN- | 5116 | | 100.021 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1000 | | | | | | 100.0 | > |
| | • • | • | • • | د. | • 0 | • • | • 0 | | • • | • | ۰ د | • • | • • | • '' | • | 5 | | • • • | • | • | ; | •• | •0 | | .0 | | • | • | • | | | | | PCT. | PE ALL I TE | | •0 | ن | ċ | 5 | | • | | • | • | • • | : |
| | • | •• | •• | •• | •• | .0 | | • | • 0 | °. | • | ••• | 0. | •0 | | Ċ | | | • | • | • | •• | ••• | •0 | - 0 | | | | • | | | | | PC1. | FERRITE | | : | •0 | Ċ | | | • | 5. | : ; | • | • • • | 5 |
| | | ••• | ċ | ۍ د | •• | • | • | 5 | :0 | ċ | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | Ċ | | | | • | • | | •0 | | , c | • • • | 5 0 | | • | | | | | PCT. | BANITE | | • | .0 | Ļ | | • | | • • • | 3. | • • • | ; . | : |
| | x- I | x- 2 | ľ, | + -× | x- 5 | 4 - z | ж- Э | 6 -X | x-10 | 11-1 | X-12 | X-13 | £-18 | X-15 | ¥3-X | 1-17 | | • • | 7 | | 4 - 7 | ۲- 5 | ¥- 6 | + + + | - | | | 01-1 | 77 - 1 | | | | | SPEC. | •0 | | к-) , | X-12 | 1 - X | | | | 0 · · · | | | ~ ~ ; | |

ĥ

Table 6b - Specimens Austentized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Isothermally Treated at 875 F for 152 Seconds, when Austentized at 1640 F for 172 Seconds,

| - |
|--------|
| 70 |
| |
| |
| 2 |
| مذ |
| 2 |
| - |
| - |
| 5 |
| ġ. |
| ř. |
| 5 |
| |
| Ĕ |
| 5 |
| ž |
| Ĕ |
| ž |
| 2 |
| 5 |
| ŝ |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 2 |
| ن • |
| |
| 2 |
| |

| | ES | C. | ČT. | 11054 | 100.0 | 100-0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100,0 | 120.0 | 1.00.0 | 0.001 | | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.30.1 | 0-50 | 100.0 | 1001 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | ES | 201 | -1 <u>7</u> - | F18ER | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0-001 | |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| ISCLASHAL | PACT PROPERT | +2] ENER | (FT- | 1487 | 66.0 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 22.0 | | | | 14.0 | 65-0 | 78.5 | 95.5 | 69-0 | 19.0 | 64.0 | 65.5 | 65•0 | | 04.0 | | | 6.4 | 36.5 | 61.5 | 56.0 | 56.5 | | | ROPERS | +2+ | (FT- | L85) | 115.0 | 118.5 | 64.5 | |
| | | OF RGY | PCT. | F18EK | 69.0 | 68.0 | 19.0 | 80-6 | 0.00 | | 16.0 | 546 | 75.0 | 86.0 | 81.5 | 66.5 | 89.0 | 91.0 | 88.0 | 96.5 | 0.75 | | | | | 97.5 | 84.5 | 95.0 | 41.0 | | INUL | ALLE P | 20F Secv | PCT. | FIBER | 84.0 | 5.46 | 0.001 |) · · · |
| | DTCH 1H | -12 ENE | -1-1) | Les) | 42-0 | 63.5 | 36.0 | 46.0 | 22.0 | | | 0.00 | 47.1 | 67.0 | 70.5 | 54.0 | 66.0 | 59.0 | 55.0 | 44-5 | 52•5 | 52.5 | | 2 C | | 16.0 | 48.0 | 46.0 | 33.0 | | CONC THUD | OTCH 11 | 1 | (FT-) | (59) | 0-101 | 106.5 | 0.61 | |
| | M-Y Y4 | 2 | PCT. | F] 8 E R | 16.5 | 50.5 | 23.5 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 90°. | | | | | 50.0 | 26.0 | 34.0 | 33.0 | 43.0 | 57.0 | 18.5 | 34.5 | 0-14 | n • 9 m | | | | 42.5 | 22.5 | | - | N-V YQX | | PCT. | FIDER | | | 55.0 | 5.40 |
| | CHAR | NOT REFER | -1-1 | (50) | 0.06 | 54.0 | 38.0 | 26.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | ~• <u>~</u> | | | 49.0 | 52.0 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 35.0 | 39.0 | 33.0 | 25.5 | 36.0 | 28-0 | 32.0 | 28.0 | | 0.22 | 33.0 | 30.5 | | | CHAI | ON I | ENEI (FT- | 1921 | | 18.0 | 60.5 | 29.5 |
| | | , , | 1000 | (1) | -210 | -150 | -110 | 161- | -191 | 161- | -190 | | 017- | | 170 | - 220 | -210 | -210 | -190 | -170 | -240 | -170 | -210 | -210 | -210 | 077- | 077- | | -150 | | | | I | NOT | (F) | | -170 | -180 | - 190 |
| | | COMP. | STH. | (I S d) | 111571 | 1926 | 111957 | 118620 | 119614 | 115366 | 116132 | ELOEII | 120511 | 010471 | | 590061 | 115127 | 19687 | 120074 | 106646 | 125320 | 103014 | 132787 | 129051 | 124078 | 123508 | 261421 | 000121 | 112789 | | | | COMP. | 415LD | (PSI) | | 104142 | 116268 | 113196 |
| | | PC1. | | AREA | | | 73.1 | 6-71 | 72.9 | 15.8 | 13.9 | 76.1 | 76.0 | 12-0 | | 1.001 | | 1.47 | 0.07 | 6 02 | 72.1 | 13.1 | 6.9 | F.J | 73.3 | 61.9 | 1.17 | | 0.17 | | | | ۶1. | RED. | AREA | | 13.4 | 73 | 10.5 |
| | DCT. | | Ŧ. a | ź | | | 22.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23°C | 23.62 | | | | 30.00 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 22.C | 23.0 | 21.0 | 5.2°3 | 24.5 | 200 | | | 20 | | X (| 2 IN. | | 0.4 | 0.5% | 23.0 |
| | | TEN. | 715LD | (15d) | | 12220 | 07050 | 02036 | C9175 | 05253 | 101897 | -04594 | 16784 | 3550 | 66830 | 02789 | **** | 100387 | | 001001 | 116780 | 92124 | 19382 | 116466 | 109350 | 116201 | 113709 | 109761 | 278411 | 701001 | | | TEN. | VIELD | (PSI) | | 92530 | 107536 | 109128 |
| | | ULT. | TEN. | (154) | 1 10000 | | | 1 22008 | 27204 1 | 121291 | 116.65 | 2.321 | 1 5 4 4 4 7 T | 120058 | 12021 | 1183 | | 123355 | | 114085 | | 107480 | 134932 | 131526 | 126906 | 132600 | 128614 | 125896 | 129155 | 168611 | | | UL J. | TEN. | STH. | | 112337 | 123818 | 124374 |
| | | ISC- THEKMAL | HOLDING | SEC) | | 201 | 701 | | | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 127 | 201 | 157 | | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | 152 | | | THERMAN | HOLDING | 11#E | | 1600 | | 1600 |
| | | ISC- IMERMAL | HOLUING | (F) (| 1 | 875 | 875 | 518 | | 275 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | | 615 615 | | 518 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | 875 | | | ISO- THERMAL | HOLDING | TEMP. (F) | | 875 | 875 | 875 |
| | | | | KARTEN- Site | | 11.0 | 85.8 | 78-8 | 78° 7 | | | 63.5 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 85.9 | 5.99 | 5 6°3 | 100.0 | 100-0 | 1.0 | 0.16 | | * * * F O | | 98.6 | か。 日 6 1 5 | 93.7 | 96.0 | 73.0 | | | | | MARTEN- SIJE | | 46.0 | 46.J | 61.9 |
| | | | | PCI. | | ••• | • | • • | • | • | • • | • c | • - | | 0. | U | •0 | с. | •0 | . 0 | • | | • | | • • • | | | • | •• | ••• | | | | | PEARL ITE | | •0 | • • | •••• |
| | | | | PCT. | | ••• | | •• | • | ••• | ••• | •••• | | | | • • | • | ••• | . . | ••• | •0 | • | • | ••• | •• | | | | • | | | | | | PCT. FERRITE | | •• | ••• | |
| | | | | PCT. BANITE F | | 29.0 | 14.2 | 21.2 | 1.5 | 0.¢ | | < | 0.0 | : | • - | 1.41 | 0.7 | 0.7 | | • • | 53.0 | 0.6 | 76.0 | 0.7 | ~~~ | | • - | • | 0.4 | 27.0 | | | | | PCT. | | 0.44 | 53.7 | 8.04 9.95 |
| | | | | SPEC. | | | x- 2 | X- 3 | 4 X | X - X | 9 - X | 100 (1111) | - C | | | | | x-15 | X-16 | x-17 | Y- 1 | Y- 2 | ¥- 3 | + - X | ×- × | 0 P | | | Y-10 | - 11-1 | | | | | SPEC. | | x- 1 | X- 2 | N # 1 1 2 2 |

b. Bainites will exhibit ragged grain boundaries, with this becoming more pronounced at the lower transformation temperatures. In general, it may also be said that the bainites will not exhibit the large flat blocky areas of the ferrite but will be smaller and peppered with darker areas of martensite or precipitated carbides; this becomes more pronounced as the transformation temperature is lowered.

3. A smeared structure or an oxidized surface will make proper evaluation of the results of this technique impossible.

畜

ŝ

APPENDIX C

諙

ŧ.

PHOTOMICROGRAPHS

MICROSTRUCTURES

OF

Preceding page blank



Figure C1a ~ 29 Percent Bainite ~ 71 Percent Martensite = 875 F ~ 152 Sec



Figure C1b = 31 Percent Ferrite = 69 Percent Martensite 1200 F == 3350 Sec





Figure Cic – 54 Percent Bainite – 46 Percent Martensite 875.1 – 1600 Sec

Lignie CId 67 Percent Ferrite 11 Percent Pearlite 22 Percent Mattensite 120047 8500 Sec

Cropped Lnd Number N(1)

Eighte C1 — Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-1 Austentized at 1640 F, Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F

ŝ





Tigure C2a – 14 Percent Bainite – 86 Percent Martensite 875 F – 152 Sec

High

Figure C2b – Trace Ferrite – 100 Percent Martensite 1200 F – 3350 Sec



Equire C2c = 54 Percent Bainite = 46 Percent Martensite 875 U = 1609 Sec



Cropped Fnd Number X-2

Figure C $f = M_{12}$ - abucture (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-2 Austenitized at $f(f) = f(f)^{-1}$ -(termally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F





Figure C3a - 21 Percent Bainite - 79 Percent Martensite 875 F - 152 Sec

Figure C3b = 31 Percent Ferrite = 60 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 3350 Sec



Tigure C3c = 2 Percent Bainite = 98 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec



Figure C3d \sim 11 Percent Ferrite \sim 89 Percent Martensite $1200~F \simeq 3350~Sec$

Cropped End Number X-4



62





Figure C4a – 1 Percent Bainite – 99 Percent Martensite 875 F – 152 Sec

Figure C4b - 100 Percent Martensite 1220 F - 3350 Sec





Figure C4c = Trace Bainite = 100 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec



Figure C4d = 1 Percent Ferrite = 99 Percent Martensite 1220 F = 3350 Sec

Cropped Lad Number X-6

Figure C4 Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends X-5 and X-6 Austenitized at 1640 F, (sothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F

Ϋ́ε,

いたという言語になっていた。





Figure C5a – 14 Percent Bainite – 35 Percent Martensite 875 F – 152 Sec

Figure C5b - 5 Percent Ferrite - 95 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec



Cropped End Number X-8





Figure C5c 7 Percent Bainite - 93 Percent Martensite 875 F 152 Sec

Figure C5d = 26 Percent Ferrite = 74 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 3350 Sec

Cropped End Number X-9



蓋

e.



Figure C6a \sim 1 Percent Bainite \sim 99 Percent Martensite \sim 875 F \sim 152 Sec

Figure C6b - Trace Ferrite - 100 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec

Cropped End Number X-10



1 igure C6c 100 Percent Martensite 875 1 152 Sec

Reproduced from best available copy.







Ĩ,

彩

Figure C7a = 1 Percent Banife = 99 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec

Figure C7b - Trace Ferrite - 100 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3550 Sec





Lyore C7c = 50 Percent Bainite = 50 Percent Martensite 875.1 = 1600 Sec



Figure C7d > 1 Percent Lerrite = 99 Percent Martensite 12004 > 8500 Sec

Cropped End Number X-12

Figure C7 – Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-12 Austenitized at 1640 F. Isothermally. Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and ThenTempered at 1150 F.



Ē

霸

Figure C8a = 50 Percent Bainite = 50 Percent Martensite 875 F = 1600 Sec



Figure C8b - 63 Percent Bainite - 37 Percent Martensite 875 F - 1600 sec

Cropped End Number X-12



Figure C8c = 60 Percent Bainite = 40 Percent Martensite 875 4 = 1600 See



Figure C8d = 67 Percent Bainite = 3.3 Percent Martensite 875 h = 1600 Sec

Cropped End Number X-13

Figure C8 – Effects of Prior Austenitic Grain Size on the Microstructure (1000X) of Cropped Ends X-12 and X-13





Figure C9a = 14 Percent Bainite = 86 Percent Mattensite 875 F = 152 Sec

Figure C9b - Trace Ferrite - 100 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec



60 Percent Banite = 40 Percent Manensite 575.1 = 1600 See Eighte CNC

Trace Ferrite > 100 Percent Martensile 1200 F > 8500 Sec

Cropped 1 nd Number X-13







Figure C10a - 21 Percent Bainite - 79 Percent Martensite 875 F 1600 Sec

Cropped End Number X-18

Reproduced from best available copy.



Tipure C10c 27 Percent Baimte 73 Percent Martensite 8753 1600 Sec

N.S.



Ligure C10d = 58 Percent Bainite = 42 Percent Marjensite 875 I= 1600 Sec

Cropped Fnd Number X-15

Figure C10 = Effects of Phor Austenitic Grain Size on the Microstructure (1090X) of Cropped Ends X-15 and X-18

÷





Figure C11a = 1 Percent Bainite = 99 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec

Figure C11b - 100 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec



Figure CI1c 27 Percent Bunite 73 Percent Martensite 875.1 1600 Sec

Finure C11d = 100 Percent Martensite 1200 1 = 8500 Sec







Lippire Cl2c 16 Precent Barnie 84 Percent Martensite 875 1 1600 Sec

竇

Figure C12d — Trace Ferrite — 100 Percent Mattensite 1200 F — 8500 Sec

Cropped I nd Number X-16

71 -





Ť.



Figure C13a = 16 Percent Bainite = 84 Percent Martensite $875 \ F = 1600 \ Sec$

Figure C13b – 58 Percent Bainite – 42 Percent Martensite 875 F – 1600 Sec





Eigure C13c = 32 Percent Painite = 68 Pircent Martensite 275 F = 1600 Sec

Ligure C13d = 30 Percent Bainste = 70 Percent Mattensite 875 F = 1600 Sec

Cropped Ind Number X-17

- Jagure C13 - 1467 is of Prior Austening Grain Size on the Microstructure (1000X) of Cropped Ends X-16 and X-17



齹

Estars CE4c 32 Percent Banite - 68 Percent Martensite 8754 - 1600 Sec

Figure C14d = Trace Ferrite = 100 Percent Martensite = 1200 E = 8500 See

Cropped End Number X-17





Figure C15c = 21 Percent Bainite ~ 79 Percent Martensile -8754 = -1600 Sec

х. Н

ŝ

Engure C15d = 100 Percent Marten Ste 1200 E = 8500 Sec

Cropped Lnd Number X-18

Figure C15 — Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number X-18 Austenitized at 1640 F. Isothermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F.



Figure C16a \sim 53 Percent Bainite \sim 47 Percent Martensite - 875 F - 152 Sec

Figure C16b = 50 Percent Ferrite = 32 Percent Pearlite 18 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 3350 Sec

Cropped End Number Y-1



į.

至

Lizone C166 – 26 Percent Bannie – 24 Percent Mattensite – 8754 – 152 Sec



Cropped I nd Number Y-3

Evene C16 — Microstructure (1600X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends Y-1 and Y-3 Austenitized at 1640 J. D. (hermally Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F.
畜



Figure C17a > 9 Percent Banite > 94 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec



Figure C17b = 22 Percent Ferrite = 78 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 3350 Sec

۲



Equire C17c = 41 Percent Runite = 59 Percent Martensite = 875.1 = 1600 Sec



Ligure C17d 53 Percent Ferrite 4 Percent Pearlite 43 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 8500 Sec

Cropped End Number Y-2

Figure C1.2 — Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped End Number V-2 Austenitized at 1646 F. Isothermally, Figure d at 875 F and 4200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F.

畜

i a



Ligure C18a - 1 Percent Bainite - 99 Percent Martensite 875 F - 152 Sec

Figure C18b - 7 Percent Ferrite - 93 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec











Cropped Lnd Number Y-S





(4) James C(9), (4) Poisson Rumite (99) Percent Martensite (1) Invary (4)9d (875.4), (152.8c)

Theury (19d = 12 Percent Ferrite = 88 Percent Martensite 1200 (F = 0.050 Sec

Cropped Ind Sunaber Y 6

10



14. A



Figure C20a - 1 Percent Bainite - 99 Percent Martensite 875 F - 152 Sec

Figure C20b - 3 Percent Ferrite - 97 Percent Martensite 1200 F - 3350 Sec



Eignite C20c = 1 Percent Bainite = 99 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec



Ligure C20d - 1 Percent Ferrite - 99 Percent Martensite 1200 fr - 3350 Sec

Cropped 1 nd Number Y-8







Figure²C21a = 6 Percent Bainite = 94 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec

Figure C21b = 2 Percent Ferrite = 98 Percent Martensite 1200 F = 3350 See

Cropped End Number Y-9



Envire C215 - A Pescent Bainite - 96 Percent Martensite 875 U - 152 Sec



Figure C21d = 1 Percent Ferrite = 99 Percent Mariensite 1200 I = 3350 Sec

1



Ensure C21 — Microstructure (1000X) of Specimens from Cropped Ends Y-9 and Y-10 Austenitized at 1640 F, Eothermally, Treated at 875 F and 1200 F, and Then Tempered at 1150 F.



Eigure C22a = 27 Percent Bainite = 73 Percent Martensite 875 F = 152 Sec



Figure C22b -- 3 Percent Ferrite -- 97 Percent Martensite 1200 F -- 3350 Sec



1 paire C22c = 63 Percent Bainte = 37 Percent Martensite 875.1 = 1600 Sec

Ligure C22d = 44 Percent Ferrite = 56 Percent Martensite 1200 E = 8500 Sec

Cropped Ind Number Y-11



APPENDIX D

畜

畜

CHARPY V-NOTCH IMPACT PROPERTY CURVES

Preceding page blank



harer Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour

54

19

¥.,





Figure D1 (Continued)

畜



裔

馪





畜





ř.

Figure D2 - Transverse Charpy Y-Notch Impact Peta for Specimens Austentified at 1640 F for 1/2 riour, Isothernally Treated at 875 F for 152 Seconds, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour

豁





畜

など



¥.99

÷.



家授

畜

Figure D2 (Continued)



-96

5. 5



Figure D2 (Continued)



Figure D3 Transverse and Longitadinal Charpy V-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Austenitized at 1640 F for 1/2 Hour, Isothermally Treated at 875 F for 1600 Seconds, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1150 F for 1 Hour

船





ř

Т.







龙田



Figure D4 (Continued)

103

畲









Figure D4 (Continued)

¥.67





Š.



Figure 1% - Transverse Charpy N-Notch Impact Data for Specimens Zustenitized at 2000 F for 1 Hour. Transferred to COUPON ICENTRICATION X-13 NET TOMPRATURE OF MARTINSTE CRAIN STAT ASTM 3.0 COUPON IDENTIFICATION X-16 NOT TEMPERATURE -1105 MARTENSITE 100.0% GRAIN SIZE ASTM 6.9 Q 02 181 m ß цю đ q d 160 190 IS & INSNE & L 2 TRANSVERSE 8 8 1640 F for 5 Minutes Minimum, Water Quenched and Tempered at 1100 F for 1 Hour 8 09 02 0 02 09 701 081 061 022 092 008-TEST TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES F ILST RWARRANDER IN DECREES F q à υģ o 0 a 礊 0 0 A LATERI, EXPANSION, MILSS O MERPOUS FRACTURE O ENERGY HE LES φin' △ LATERAL EXPANSION UNILS ○ \$ \$18ROUS FRACTURE □ EVERCY (57-13) ¢ àn `œ 9 101 Ē 3 8 8 8 8 ှိနှံ \$ 8 ŝ R B S. \$ R 8 8 8 2 HI II WARPY V KOTEM IN PACH IN MERCY IN THE PACH PERCENT VIBOUS VARCING APTERENCE VIRCENT VIBOUS VALA VILLS VILLAN VILLAN VILLAN VILLS 8 8 ¥ R R 2 דאונפאר באטאאינט איינים איינים איינים איינים הבעכנאו נופאטחז נאיכוראנ עריבאאאיני אייניפאר איינים איינים איינים COUPON NEWTH CUTCH X-12 WIT TEMPERATING - 21/6 WARTENITE 100,0 W Ó ាន ዋ 41 זן Ŷ ф COUPON IESNIFICATION X-15 NDT TEWPERATURE -1105 MARTEASTIF 100.05 GRAIN 522 ASTM 5.0 18 061 LA1 021 09 02 0 02 05 001 061 358 INSNESS 19 TRANSVERSE 8 05 22 0 22 08 001- 081- 081-EST REAPERATURY IN DECREES F TEST TENERATURE IN DECREES F do ø C 0g ď o C (ATSAL FLANSER, WRS) C (FTSHOUS FRACTAR D (MAGNICTS) A LATTRAL EXPANSION (MILS) O SATIBACUS FRACTURE O ENROVATION alma 1 2 ά 90 \$ 4 8 8.2 8 3 8 -.jg Ê 2 3 ×. Ŕ -12 9 い見 101 ¥ **.** Ŕ 8 2 8 8 8 8 \$ 3 ģ S 8 1 THE FURNEL EVENALON OF WILL MACENELISKOG INVELON VENING CHARDE & POLCE INFOLISTING OF THE EB CHARPY V NOTCH IMPACTEM KOV IN ST.LB PLUCKNT FIBHOUS PRANCE LATENE EXPANSION IN MILS LATENE EXPANSION IN MILS



藍

111

 $\dot{f}_{1}x$




113

T.S.

裔



ና የሳራ ላይ ትርጉ የአለም ይያለዋ የተዋንቋ ምድ አዲዮ ላይ የተሰያ የአለም የረታሪ የምድንም ምድ የሆ - በዓለም የ የአለም ለማስጠር የ የተዋንቋ የምድንም ምድ 41 FE ST

114

Figure D7 (Continued)

101

新

APPENDIX E

TIME-TEMPERATURE COOLING CURVES FOR DROP WEIGHT SPECIMENS WHEN TRANSFERRED FROM 1640 F FURNACE TO MOLTEN SALT BATHS AT EITHER 1200 F OR 875 F

INTRODUCTION

The actual time-temperature history was desired for the low-carbon Ni-Cr-Mo steel (5/8 \times 2 \times 5 in.) drop weight impact specimens used in these tests when they were transferred from a high temperature salt bath at 1640 F to a salt bath at either 1200 F or 875 F. In order to determine this thermal history a specimen was instrumented with a thermocouple at its center as recommended by Sinnott and Shyne⁴⁷ and the time-temperature history of the specimen and the bath were recorded at least every five seconds on a strip chart recorder. The experimental cooling curve is compared to the curve calculated using the method of Sinnott and Shyne.

SPECIMEN PREPARATION

A 0.087-inch diameter hole was drilled from one end, 2 1/2 inches deep into the center of the specimen. The hole was countersunk and tapped for 1/4--28 threads to a depth of 3/8 inch. A 7 inch length of 1/4 inch high pressure steel tubing was screwed tightly into the hole. An insulated 28-gauge Chromel-Alumel thermocouple was inserted in the tube and forced against the specimen at the bottom of the well. Another thermocouple was positioned in the low temperature salt bath adjacent to the specimen. Both thermocouples were attached to a multichannel recorder to alternately record the temperatures indicated by both thermocouples. The specimen was sand blasted between each run to remove all scale and salt and return it to a representative condition.

HEAT TREATMENT

濌

The specimen was suspended vertically in a high temperature salt bath and allowed to come to 1640 F. It was held at 1640 F \pm 15 F for 30 minutes, minimum. The \pm 15 F bath temperature tolerance was used here and for the low temperature baths because it represented the tolerance of the commercial temperature controller used in this test as opposed to the closer \pm 5 F manual control used for the actual specimen heat reatments. From 4 to 5 inches of the thermocouple tubing was kept below the surface of the molten salt to manuface heat losses from the tube.

The sprimen was transferred from the 1040 F bath to the low temperature bath within one or two econds. The specimen was quenched vertically into the center of the low temperature bath and mildly putated near the thermocouple recording the bath temperature. Multiple runs were made to allow for light variations in the bath temperatures between runs.

115

RESULTS

The results of the cooling rate studies are plotted in Figure E1 and are compared to the salt-bath cooling rate predicted using the instantaneous film coefficient method of Sinnot and Shyne⁴⁷ with the following parameters:

| Specimen Area | 0.200 sq ft |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Specimen Weight | 1.771 lb |
| Mean Specific Heat, C [*] 1640 to 1200 F 1640 to 875 F | 0.127 BTU/lb/F 0.128 BTU/lb/F |

Table E1 is a listing of the computer program used to predict cooling rates.

The variable names used in this program and their significance are given below:

ITB Temperature of low temperature bath, 875, 900 or 1200 F.

ITS Initial temperature of specimen, 1640 F

HF Instantaneous film coefficient based on the difference between the average surface temperature of the specimen and the bath during the time interval THETA.

QTH Average cooling rate in BTU/sec when cooling from M1S1 to MTS2.

THETA Time to cool from a temperature MTS1 to MTS2, seconds

SUMTH Total elapsed time to cool from ITS to MTS2, seconds.

The data shown in Usure E1 indicates reasonable agreement between experimental and predicted cooling rates. The low bath temperature experiments were run with an initial bath temperature of about 900 F rather than 875 F because of the characteristics of the commercial salt mix that was available in the salt bath when these tests were run.

The data show that it takes about 125 seconds for the center of the specimen to cool from 1640 F to 1210 F and about 140 seconds to cool from 1640 F to 885 F when a drop weight specimen is transferred to a 1200 F and an 875 F bath respectively.

*Based on data from U. S. Steel Corporation,



Į.

10





Figure E1b -- Cooling Rate from 1640 F to 875 F (Aves vie C_p = 0.128 BTU/lb/F)

Figure E1 -- Comparison of Actual Cooling Rates with Computed Cooling Rates for the Center of a 5/8 x 2 x 5 Inch Drop Weight Specimen

117

THETA HF=93.0*EXP(0.0015+((MTS1+MTS2)+0.5)-!TB)) AGITATED BY HAND QTH PRINT, MTS2, HF, QTH, THETA, SUMTH FHETA=(W*CP*(MTS1-MTS2)/QTH) QTH=HF*A*(MTS1-ITB)/3600.0 ****** щ IF(IT8-MTS2)15,20,20 15 MTS1=ITS-(M-1)+10 SUMTH=SUMTH+THETA DØ 20 M=1,80 MTS2=1TS-M+10 MTS2 20 CONTINUE END ITB=875.0 SUMTH=0.0 ITS=1640.0 PRINT, [^] PRINT, " W=1.7708 A**=.**19965 CP=.128 PRINT," 00 10 .20 02 0 50 60 70 80 06. 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290

"HT MUS

TABLE EI

Listing of a Computer Program Using the Instantaneous Film Coefficient Method of Sinnott and Shyne⁴⁷ to Predict the Instantaneous Cooling Rate and Time-Temperature Cooling Curve for a Low-Carbon Ni-Cr-Mo Alloy Steel Specimen 5/8 x 2 x 5 Inches When Transferred from a Neutral Salt Bath at 1640 F to a Neutral Salt Bath at 875 F

808

118

10 91

茶門

REFERENCES

1. Meklowitz, J., "The Effect of Temperature and Material Structure on the Fracture Properties of Medium-Carbon Steel," ASTM Proc., Vol. 49, pp. 602-617 (1949).

2. Hodge, J. M. et al., "The Effect of Ferritic Grain Size on Notch Toughness," Metals Transactions, pp. 233-240 (Mar 1949).

3. Sinclair, G. M. and Dolan, T. J., "Some Effects of Austenitic Grain Size and Metallurgical Structure on the Mechanical Properties of Steel," ASTM Proc., Vol. 50, pp. 587-616 (1950).

4. Geil, G. W. et al., "Influence of Nitrogen on the Notch Toughness of Heat-Treated 0.3 Percent-Carbon Steels at Low Temperatures," J. Res. of National Bureau of Standards, Vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 193-200 (Mar 1952).

5. Armstrong, T. N. et al., "Transition from Ductile to Brittle Behavior in Pressure Vessel Steels," Welding J., Vol. 31, pp. 371s-380s (Aug 1952).

6. Frazier, R. II. et al., "Influence of Heat Treatment on the Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperature of Semikilled Steel Plate," Trans. AIME, pp. 323-329 (Feb 1955).

7. Barrett, C. S., "Metallurgy at Low Temperatures – Edward DeMille Campbell Memorial Lecture," ASM Trans., Vol. 49, pp. 53–117 (1957).

8. Gross, J. H. and Stout, R. D., "Effect of Microstructure on Notch Toughness, Part II," Welding J., pp. 117s-122s (Mar 1955).

9. Schwartzbart, H. and Sheehan, J. P., "How Carbon Content Affects Impact Properties," Iron Age, pp. 85–89 (9 Aug 1956).

÷.

10. Schwartzbart, H. and Sheehan, J. P., "How Boron, Grain Size Affects Impact Properties," Iron Age, pp. 102-106 (16 Aug 1956).

11. Schwartzbart, H. and Sheehan, J. P., "How Fracture Type, Specimen Size Affect Impact Properties," Iron Age, pp. 107-110 (23 Aug 1956).

12. Mikus, E. B. and Siebert, C. A., "A Study of the Role of Carbon in Temper Embrittlement," Trans. ASM, Vol. 50, pp. 682-704 (1958).

1.3. Williams, M. L., "Correlation of Metallurgical Properties and Service Performance of Steel Plates from Fractures Ships," Welding J., pp. 4455-454s (Oct 1958).

14. Gross, J. H. and Stout, R. D., "The Effect of Microstructure on Notch Toughness -- Part I," Welding J., Vol. 30, pp. 481s - 485s (Oct 1951).

15. Rees, W. P., Hopkins, B. E., and Tipler, H. R., "Tensile and Impact Properties of Iron and Some from Alloys of High Purity," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 169, pp. 157-168 (Oct 1951). 16. Gross, J. H. and Stout, R. D., "The Effect of Microstructure on Notch Toughness - Part II," Welding J., Vol. 35, pp. 117s-122s (Mar 1955).

17. Gross, J. H. and Stout, R. D., "The Effect of Microstructure on Notch Toughness – Part III," Welding J., Vol. 36, pp. 72s-76s (Feb 1956).

18. Danko, J. C. and Stout, R. D., "The Effect of Sub-Boundaries and Carbide Distribution on the Notch Toughness of an Ingot Iron," Trans. ASM, Vol. 49, pp. 189-203 (1957).

19. Weaver, A. P., "Impact Characteristics and Mechanical Properties of Leaded and Nonleaded C-1050 and C-1141 Steels," Trans. ASM, Vol. 49, pp. 464-481 (1957).

20. Kottcamp, E. H. and Stout, R. D., "Effect of Microstructure on Notch Toughness – Part IV," Welding J., Vol. 38, pp. 435s-440s (Nov 1959).

21. Gross, J. II., "Comparison of Charpy V-Notch and Drop-Weight Tests for Structural Steels," Welding J., Vol. 39, pp. 59s-69s (Feb 1960).

22. Irvine, K. J., "The Development of High-Strength Steels," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 200, pp. 820-833 (Oct 1962).

23. Capus, J. M., "Austenite Grain Size and Temper Brittleness," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 200, pp. 922-927 (Nov 1962).

24. Boulger, F. W. and Hansen, W. R., "The Effect of Metallurgical Variables in Ship-Plate Steels on the Transition Temperatures in the Drop-Weight and Charpy V-Notch Tests," Ship Structure Committee Report SSC-145, AD 294827 (3 Dec 1962).

25. Gulyayev, A. P., "Effect of Chromium and Nickel on Toughness of Steel," Metal Science and Heat Treatment of Metals, pp. 491-493 (Nov-Dec 1962).

1

26. Newhouse, D. L., "Relationships Between Charpy Impact Energy, Fracture Appearance and Test Temperature in Alloy Steels," Welding J., Vol. 42, pp. 105s-111s (Mar 1963).

27. Irvine, K. J. and Pickering, F. B., "The Impact Properties of Low Carbon Bainitic Steels," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 201, pp. 548-531 (Jun 1963).

28. Irvine, K. J. and Pickering, F. B., "Low-Carbon Steels with Ferrite-Pearlite Structures," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 201, pp. 944–959 (Nov 1963).

29. Spektor Y. I., Sarrak, V. I. and Entin, R. I., "Brittle Failure and the Fine Structure of Steel," Phys. Metal. Mata and Mol. 18, n. 6, pp. 107–111 (1964).

30. Masubuchi, S., Nelstroe, R. E. and Martin, D. C., "Interpretative Report on Weld-Metal Toughness," Ship Structure Committee Report SCC-169 (Jul 1965). 31. Jolley, W. and Kottcamp, E. H., "A Study of the Toughness Differences Between Aluminum-Killed and Semikilled Steels," Trans. ASM, Vol. 59, pp. 439-456 (Sep 1966).

畜

32. Roper, C. R. and Stout, R. D., "Weldability and Notch Toughness of Heat-Treated Carbon Steels," Welding J., pp. 385s-392s (Sep 1968).

33. Hollomon, J. H., Jaffe, L. D., McCartily, D. E. and Norton, M. R., "The Effects of Microstructure on the Mechanical Properties of Steel," Trans. ASM, Vol. 38, pp. 807-844 (1947).

34. Taber, A. P., Thorlin, J. F. and Wallace, J. F., "Influence of Composition on Temper Brittleness in Alioy Steels," Trans. ASM, Vol. 42, pp. 1033-1055 (1950).

35. Irvine, K. J. and Pickering, F. B., "Low-Carbon Bainitic Steels," J. Iron and Steel Institute, pp. 292-309 (Dec 1957).

36. Steven, W., Balajiva, K., "The Influence of Minor Elements on the Isothermal Embrittlement of Steels," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 193, pp. 141-147 (Oct 1959).

37. Capus, J. M. and Mayer, G., "The Influence of Trace Elements on Embrittlement Phenomena in Low-Alloy Steels," Metallurgia, Vol. 62, pp. 133-138 (Oct 1960).

38. Pense, A. W., Stout, R. D. and Kottcamp, Jr., E. H., "A Study of Subcritical Embrittlement in Pressure Vessel Steels," Welding J., Vol. 42, n. 12, pp. 541s-546s (Dec 1963).

39. Baron, H. G. and Turner, S., "Effects of Residual Elements on Brittleness in Hardened and Tempered Forgings of Nickel-Chromium-Molybdenum Steel," J. Iron and Steel Institute, Vol. 203, pp. 1229-1236 (Dec 1965).

40. Lubahn, J. D. and Chu, H. P., "Optimum Carbon Content for Tempered Martensitic Steels," Trans. ASME, J. Basic Eng., pp. 1~7 (Mar 1968).

41. Low, Jr., J. R., Goodman, S. R. and Smith, C. L., "Grain Boundary Segregation of Impurities in Metals and Intergranular Brittle Fracture," Carnegie-Mellon University Report No. 031-727-1, ONR Tech. Report 1, (Mar 1969).

42. Hehemann, R. F., Luken, V. J., and Troiano, A. R., "The Influence of Bainite on Mechanical Properties," Trans. ASM, Vol. 49, pp. 409–426 (1957).

43. Salive, M. L. and Langley, R. J., "Documentation of XIRE -- A Multivariable Tape Regression Analysis Program with Rule-of-Thumb Guides for Interpretation of Results by Non-Statisticians," NSRDC Report 3702 (Aug 1971).

44. Willner, A. R. and Salive, M. L., "The Effects of Tempered Nonmartensitic Products on the Notch Toughness and Mechanical Properties of an HY-80 Steel," David Taylor Model Basin Report 1605 (Jan 1965). 45. Willner, A. R. and Salive, M. L., "Effects of Tempering Above the Lower Critical Temperature A_{C1} on the Properties of an HY-80 Steel," David Taylor Model Basin Report 2140 (Jan 1966).

'46. Dieter, G. E., Jr., "Mechanical Metallurgy," McGraw-Hill (1961) p. 121 and pp. 188-189.

47. Sinnott, M. J. and Shyne, J. C., "An Investigation of the Quenching Characteristics of Salt Bath," Trans. ASM, Vol. 44, pp. 758-774 (1952).

48. Seitz, F., "The Physics of Metals," McGraw-Hill, New York (1943).

畜

蓋

49. Craits, W. and Lamont, J. L., "Hardenability and Steel Selection," Sir Isaac Pitman and Sons, Ltd., London (1949).

50. Hollomon, J. H. and Jaffe, L. D., "Ferrous Metallurgical Design," J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1947).

51. Hall, A. M., "Nickel in Iron and Steel," J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1953).

52. Case, S. L. and VanHorn, K. R., "Aluminum in Iron and Steel," J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1953).

53. Comstock, G. F., "Titanium in Iron and Steel," J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1955).

54. Grange, ". A., Shortsleeve, F. J., and Hilty, D. C., Binder, W. O., Motock, G. S., and Offenhauer, C. M., "Boron, Calcium, Columbium and Zirconium in Iron and Steel," J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1957).

122