

ON SUBOPTIMIZATION: AN EXAMPLE

5

669291

70

By J.M. Danskin

CNA Research Contribution No. 22

This research contribution does not necessarily represent the views of CNA or the U.S.Navv It may be modified or withdrawn at any time

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

CONTRACT NONR 3732(00)



Research Contribution

Center for Naval Analyses THE FRANKLIN INSTITUTE WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

> Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springheid va 2215?

.

4

CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

40° W - Boulevard Ar higton Virginia 22209 Area code 703 JAckson 4-9400

(CNA)131-68 17 MAY 1968

From: Vice President for Administration, CNA To: Distribution List

Subj: Center for Naval Analyses Research Contribution No. 22; forwarding of

Encl: (1) CNA RC No. 22, "On Suboptimization: An Example"

1. Enclosure (1) is forwarded as a matter of possible interest.

2. In certain allocation problems concerning groups of systems, it is possible to allocate by distributing within each system and then combining the results. This paper shows that while this method is correct for pure maximum problems and for cases in which the overall problem is a game, it is not true for Max-Min problems.

3. Research Contributions represent the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Center for Naval Analyses or the U. S. Navy. They are not reviewed in detail. They are distributed in this form to stimulate thought and facilitate research in given problem areas.

4. The enclosure has been approved for public release.

5. Registered users of the Defense Documentation Center services should request additional copies from that agency.

CARL B. AMTHOR

DISTRIBUTION:

ADMIN, DDC (20) USNA ANNA (2) SUPT NAVPGSCOL (2) PRES NAVWARCOL (2) IDA RAND RAC CNA RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION NO. 22

Center for Naval Analyses

ON SUBOPTIMIZATION: AN EXAMPLE

By J.M. Danskin

 l_{i})anvi

17 June 1966

Work conducted under contract NONR 3732 (00)

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified Requestors may obtain this report from DDC. It has been approved for Public Release. Distribution of this document is unlimited.

ABSTRACT

1.425

In certain allocation problems concerning groups of systems, it is possible to allocate by allocating within each system and then combining the results. This paper shows that while this method is correct for pure maximum problems and for cases in which the overall problem is a game, it is not true for Max-Min problems.

> -i-(REVERSE BLANK)

Suppose

$$H(\mathbf{x},\xi) = F(\mathbf{x}) + G(\xi), \qquad (1)$$

where x and ξ are vectors satisfying $\sum_{i} + \sum_{j} = 1$, $x_{i} \ge 0$, $\xi_{j} \ge 0$. Suppose the pair x^{0}, ξ^{0} maximizes (1). Let $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{0} = \alpha$. It is a trivial fact that then x_{i}^{0} maximizes F(x) subject to $\sum_{i} = \alpha, x_{i} \ge 0$. It follows from this in particular that the solution for (1) can be found by solving the problem for F(x) for $\sum_{i} = \alpha$ and the problem for $G(\xi)$ for $\sum_{j} = 1 - \alpha$, and then turning through all values of α . This process is sometimes called "suboptimization". The idea is that if one solves the problem for the whole, one gets the solution for the parts, and vice-versa.

This "suboptimization principle" also holds when the maximum problem for $H(x,\xi)$ is replaced by a game problem

$$H(x,\xi,y,n) = F(x,y) + G(\xi,n), \qquad (2)$$

where the first player seeks to maximize subject to $\sum_{i} + \sum_{j} = 1, \quad x_{i} \ge 0, \quad \xi_{j} \ge 0, \quad \text{and the second player}$ seeks to minimize subject to $\sum_{i} + \sum_{j} = 1, \quad y_{j} \ge 0,$ $n_{j} \ge 0.$ Suppose that there is a pair of pure strategy solutions (x^0, ξ^0) and (y^0, ξ^0) for the two players respectively and suppose that $\sum x_i^0 = \alpha$, $\sum y_i^0 = \beta$. Let the value of the game defined by H be v, and suppose that $G(\xi^0, \eta^0) = \gamma$. Then, for any x satisfying $\sum x_i = \alpha$, $x_i \ge 0$,

 $F(x, y^0) = H(x, \xi^0, y^0, \eta^0) - G(\xi^0, \eta^0) \le v - \gamma$,

and for any y satisfying $\Sigma y_i = \beta, y_i \ge 0$,

$$F(x^{0}, y) = H(x^{0}, \xi^{0}, y, \eta^{0}) - G(\xi^{0}, \eta^{0}) \ge v - \gamma$$
.

Thus the components x^0 and y^0 are optimal strategy solutions for F; and similarly ξ^0 and η^0 for G.

It follows that games can be solved piece-by-piece. One picks pairs α,β and solves the games separately. One then runs through all pairs with $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le 1$; this will surely lead to a solution of the overall game.

The object of this paper is to show that this suboptimization principle does not always hold for Max-Min problems which are not games.

Suppose that

$$F(x,y) = x_1 e^{-y_1} + .9x_2 e^{-y_2/x_2}$$

-2-

and

$$G(\xi,\eta) = \xi e^{-\eta/\xi}$$
.

Here $x_1 + x_2 + \xi = 1$, $y_1 + y_2 + n = 1$, and $x_1, \xi, y_1, n \ge 0$. If we solve the problem Max Min $H(x, \xi, y, n)$ for x, ξ, y, n

$$H(x,\xi,y,n) = x_1 e^{-y_1} + .9x_2 e^{-y_2/x_2} + \xi e^{-n/\xi}$$

subject to the above side conditions, we get (for the methods see Chapter V of [1])

$$x_1 = .465$$
 $x_2 = 0$ $\xi = .535$

Now consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & Min & & & & x_1e & & + .9x_2e & & \\ & & & & & x_1 + x_2 = .465 & & & y_1 + y_2 = .615 & & & & & (3) \end{array}$$

The solution to this problem turns out to have both x_2 and y_2 positive. In fact, it is

$$x_1 = .434$$
 $x_2 = .031$
 $y_1 = .575$ $y_2 = .040$
 $-3-$

The return to x at this point for the game (3) is .248. At $x_1 = .465$ the value is .226. The reader will easily verify that the y-solution is correct against $x_1 = .434$, $x_2 = .031$ by checking the derivatives with respect to y_1 and y_2 , which are both approximately -.248. These calculations are carried out to slide rule accuracy.

It follows that for the above problem one would never have arrived at the solution to the overall problem by grouping the first and the second system together, optimizing, and then bringing in the third. The three variables must be considered simultaneously.

The meaning for operations research or economic analysis is clear; one cannot, in the presence of conflict, be assured of arriving at a solution for the whole by considering the parts one at a time.

[1] Janskin, J. M., The Theory of Max-Min, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1966. None

読みるう

Street - state

あるののなる

金田の思えた

Distantian of

やうからなちちなるというないでい

Security								
	Classification							
(Sourity classifi	DOCUMENT setter of title, body of obstroct and in	CONTROL DATA - I		Ge erezeli regart ia cheoidia	0			
ORIGINATING ACTIN	VITY (Corporate author)							
Center for Naval Analyses of the Franklin In:			Non	أساطيك الألالي ويستنا فستكرج الكريون ويعينوا موجد وتراشد فياوي المراجع				
S REPORT TITLE		······	Non					
On Subontimi	zation: An Example							
on outoptim	zation. An Example							
	S (Type of report and inclusive riskse							
	arch Contribution	,						
AUTHORIS) (Last net			······					
Danskin, J.M	ſ.							
. REPORT DATE		Te TOTAL NO OF						
17 June 1966		5						
SA CONTRACT OD GR.	ANT NO.		-					
	NONR 3732 (00)	CNA Research Contribution No. 22						
A PROJECT NO								
e			T NO(1) (Ant	after amine flat am is an				
		Bb OTHER REPORT HOTE (Any other numbers that may be assign this report.						
18 AVAILABILITY/LI			7 74 4					
Public Releas	uestors may obtain this	report from DDC	. It has	been approved for				
i done neredo	•••							
	NOTES	12 SPONSCRING M	LITARY ACT	WITY				
None	40 TES	Office of N	aval Rese	arch				
	NO TES	Office of N Department	aval Reset t of the N	earch ar y				
None	NG TES	Office of N	aval Reset t of the N	earch ar y				
None		Office of N Department Washington	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C	earch a'y •				
None Is Assynact In certain	n allocation problems co	Office of Na Department Washington	of syster	earch ary ns, it is possible to	Der			
None ABSTRACT In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None ABSTRACT In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None a Assynact In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None Assynact In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None a Assynact In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None a Assynact In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None Assynact In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None ABSTRACT In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	pe r			
None ABSTRACT In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None ABSTRACT In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None Addynacr In certain allocate by all shows that wh which the ove	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre rall problem is a game,	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			
None In certain allocate by all shows that wh	n allocation problems co locating within each sys ule this method is corre rall problem is a game,	Office of Na Department Washington oncerning groups tem and then con set for pure maxi	aval Reset t of the N 25, D.C of system bining the mum pro-	earch any	per			

é

٥

「「日本」を見たい、「日本」の「日本」の「日本」

, ,

(LINK A		LINK		LINK C		
KEY JOROS		ROLE	* T	ROLE	# T	ROLE		
suboptimization principle allocation Max-Min problems								
	UCTIONS				,			
1. ORIGINATING A. TIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.			requeste		-	ien of this		
 REPORT SECURITY CLASSI'ICATION: Enter the over- all security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. GRODP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- rective 5 200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital latters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classifica- tion, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis inmediately following the title. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. 	(3) (3) th ut (4) (4) re sh (5) if If the	Foreign announcement and disasmination of this sport by DDC is not authorized." U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of his report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC sers shall request through "U. S. mulitary agencies may obtain copies of this eport directly from DDC. Other qualified users hall request through "" "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qual- tied DDC users shall request through "" report has been furnished to the Office of Technica						
 Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered. S. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter tast name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement. 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. 7. TUTAL NUMBER OF PAGES. The titul page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing informations. 76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report. 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: It appropriate, outer 	cate this f 11. SUPP tory notes. 12. SPON the departs ing for) the 13. ABST sunmary of it may size port. If ad port. If ad the attached ft is h be unclass	Inct and e LEMENT (SORING I mental pro- e research RACT: E f the doct o appear o lattonal i d mighly des tified. Et	nter the s ARY NO dillitAH oject offi a and dev later an i iment ind elsewhere space is i imble thi ich parag	mmerce, for sale to the public, in price, if known. DTES: Use for additional explanation RY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of fice or laboratory sponsoring (pay evelopment. Include address. abstract giving a brief and factua discative of the report, even though re in the body of the technical re- is required, a continuation sheet all hat the abstract of classified repu- agraph of the abstract shall end w y security classification of the in				
the applicable number of the contract is grant under which the report was written. 85, 8, 8, 8, 9, PROJECT NUMBER: Entry the appropriate	formation in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S). (C). or (There is no limitation on the length of the obstract Hos ever, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.							
military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REFORT NUMBER(S) Enter the offi- cial report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number musi- be unique to this report. 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S). If the report has been	14 KEY 1 or short ph index entri selected a. ficrs, such geoget cod words but o	Y WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful term phrases that characterize a report and may be used as itries for cataloging the report. Key words must be last that no security classification is required. Idents ich as equipment model designation, trade name, militi code name, geographic location, may be used as key it will be followed by an indication of technical con- no ansignent of links, railes, and weights is optional						
usaigned any other report numbers ferther by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s). AU, AVAILABILITY, LIMITATION NOTICES. Enter any line- itations on further dissemination of the report, other than those								
D .52. 1473 (BACK)				None			-	
				Caracita	Cleasi	lication		