THERMOELECTRIC VAPOR PRESSURE OSMOMETRY

by

Arnold Adicoff and Warren J. Murbach

Research Department

<u>ABSTRACT</u>. The thermoelectric vapor pressure osmometer is established as a satisfactory instrument for the determination of the vapor pressure lowering of solutes in solutions. The calibration constant, a_1 , of the instrument is demonstrated to be independent of the nature of the solute and can be calculated from a knowledge of the system geometry and measurable thermodynamic and transport parameters.

Experimental results are evaluated in terms of the change in resistance of the thermistor and the concentration of solution. It is necessary to use a second-degree equation in concentration plot to fit the data. Evaluation of the coefficient of the second-order term requires the incorporation of a heat-of-mixing term in the form of the Van Laar equation. The concentration-independent term β for the heat of mixing is obtained for fourteen solute-solvent pairs.



W 648377

U.S. NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION China Lake, California March 1967

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED.





This work was undertaken to provide a theoretical basis for a solute characterization method used in evaluation of prepolymers useful in propellants. The report describes studies performed under Bureau of Naval Weapons Task Assignment RMMP-22-066/216-1/R001-06-01, P.A. #2, FY 1965 and 1966, and Naval Ordnance Systems Command Task Assignment 033-129/200-1/R001-06-01, P.A. #2, FY 1967.

This report is transmitted for information only and does not necessarily represent the official views or final judgment of the Station.

Released by WILLIAM S. McEWAN, Head Chemistry Division 8 December 1966

ii

Under authority of HUGH W. HUNTER, Head Research Department

NOTS Technical Publication 4247

1

INTRODUCTION

Interest in a rapid and precise method for measuring the numberaverage molecular weight of low molecular weight polymers and prepolymers has increased within the last few years. The thermoelectric technique has become popular in the range of molecular weights of polymers below 10,000. Ebullioscopic and cryoscopic methods require difficult differential techniques for the highest sensitivity and are often tedious and time-consuming. These methods are complicated by a limited choice of solvents, foaming, coprecipitation, solvation, and molecular stability. The thermoelectric method on the other hand is rapid, requires small samples, and permits a wide choice of solvents. In addition, this method was recently reported to have been extended to polymers of 40,000 molecular weight (Ref. 1).

It, therefore, became of interest to determine the extent to which a popular, commercially available instrument for thermoelectric determinations could be used as a research tool, and, if possible, determine the necessary conditions for its proper use as such a tool.

A number of authors (Ref. 1-14) have utilized the method of Hill (Ref. 15) to measure either vapor pressure differences or, from these differences, molecular weights of solutes.

A paper by Tomlinson and co-workers (Ref. 12) has reviewed vapor pressure osmometry and calculated thermodynamic efficiencies for a number of solvent systems, and Van Dam (Ref. 13) has optimized a thermoelectric vapor pressure osmotic system using thermocouples and a detailed analysis of the mass and heat transport to measure molecular weights up to 14,000. Van Dam has estimated the upper limit of this method to be 30,000 with an accuracy of 10%.

Calculations of molecular weight using the vapor pressure osmometer are based upon a calibration of the instrument with a purified, low molecular weight solute of known molecular weight. This constant is often called the calibration constant and has been calculated from dimensions of the instrument, thermal balance factors such as solvent evaporation from the cell, thermal conduction, solute diffusion through the drop, and diffusion of solvent through the cell atmosphere by Tomlinson (Ref. 12). The calibration constant is called d by Tomlinson and K by Burge (Ref. 5). In this report, the calibration constant is designated by a₁. A derivation is presented below.

Equation 1 is the conventional Clausius-Clapeyron equation

$$\frac{d \ln p}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H}{RT^2}$$
(1)

where p is the vapor pressure of solvent, T is the absolute temperature in degrees Kelvin, R is the gas constant in kilocalories per mole per degree, and ΔH is the heat of vaporization of the solvent in kilocalories per mole. For small changes in temperature and vapor pressure, Eq. 1 can be rewritten in transposed, approximate form as Eq. 2.

$$\Delta T = \frac{RT^2}{\Delta H_v} \frac{\ln P}{P_0}$$
(2)

where $\Delta T = T - T$, T is some reference temperature, and p is the vapor pressure of pure solvent at T.

The variation in the resistance, r_* in ohms, of a thermistor with temperature can be written as Eq. 3 (Ref. 4 and 8).

$$\frac{d \ln r}{dT} = -\frac{B}{T^2}$$
(3)

This equation was carefully verified by Müller and Stolten (Ref. 8) and by the authors of this report (Fig. 1). The constant B is the semiconductor constant with the dimensions of degrees Kelvin. For small changes in resistance and temperature, Eq. 3 can be approximated, rewritten, and transposed as Eq. 4

$$\Delta T = -\frac{T^2}{B_2} \ln \frac{r_2}{r_{2,q}}$$
(4)

where $r_{2,0}$ is the resistance of the solution thermistor at T₂.

Since Eq. 2 and 4 refer to the same temperature change, these equations yield Eq. 5

$$\ln \frac{r_2}{r_{2,0}} = -\frac{B_2 R}{\Delta H_y} \ln \frac{p}{p_0}$$
(5)

To use Eq. 5 in a two-thermistor system with one bead for pure solvent and a second bead for solution it should be understood that the equation is only valid when the semiconductor constants and resistances of the thermistors are carefully matched. Since the system is kept at a constant temperature, this requirement can be eased if the semiconductor constant, B_2 , of the solution thermistor having a resistance.



through the latent of



 r_2 , is such that, over the temperature-cycling period of the instrument, $r_1 - (r_2 + r_{CB}) < |0.02 \text{ ohm}|$, where r_{CB} is a variable balancing resistor used to compensate for $r_1 \neq r_2$ at T_0 . The variable resistor r_{CB} is selected to be temperature insensitive. A variation in B between the two thermistors of 319°K is permissible as long as the temperature variation during cycling at any one point is less than 10^{-3} °K for the period of weasyrement.

Application of Raoult's law, Eq. 6, for the variation of vapor pressure with composition

$$\frac{p}{p_0} = (1 - X_2)$$
 (6)

where X_2 is the mole fraction of solute, is made in Eq. 5

$$\Delta r_2 = -\frac{B_2 R r_{2,0}}{\Delta H_2} \ln (1 - X_2)$$
(7)

in which it is assumed that $\ln r_2/r_{2,0} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \Delta r_2/r_{2,0}$. For dilute solutions, Eq. 7 reduces to Eq. 8

$$\Delta r_{2} = \frac{B_{2}Rr_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}} \cdot X_{2} = \frac{B_{2}Rr_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}} \cdot \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}} = \frac{B_{2}RM_{1}r_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}10^{3}} \cdot m_{2} \quad (8)$$

where n_1 is the number of moles of solvent, n_2 is the number of moles of solute, M_1 is the molecular weight of the solvent, and m_2 is the molality of the solute.

If the solution density, ρ_{g} , is assumed to be very nearly equal to the solvent density, ρ_{1} , then Eq. 9 is obtained where C is the molarity of the solution.

$$\Delta r_{2} = \frac{B_{2}RM_{1}r_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}\rho_{1}10^{3}} \cdot C$$
 (9)

that is, $\Delta r_2 = a_1 C$ where $a_1 = \frac{B_2 R M_1 r_{2,0}}{\Delta H_1 \rho_1 10^3}$

It was of interest, therefore, to establish the degree to which a₁ is a constant for a number of solutes of differing molecular weights. It was also of interest to explore to some degree the significance and mode of plotting data customarily obtained during a molecular weight determination. To achieve this end, a number of pure model compounds are used covering a range of molecular weights from 135 to 1,238. To

- 4

÷.

-- -

<u>,</u>=

obtain compounds in the higher molecular weight range, use was made of the reaction of a diisocyanate with good samples of the available fluorinated alcohols.

EXPERIMENTAL

SOLUTES

1 P. 1

Boyers [1, 1, 1]

中国の

ականանը է մետներերի շներությունը է ու որ որ միսգես<mark>ին</mark>իներից անը

ուս ներեն Դենեսի

offered adding to the star

Acetanilide (National Bureau of Standards Microanalytical Standard 141) was used as received. 1,3-Dinitrobenzene (Eastman Kodak Co.) was recrystallized five times from ethanol-water (2:1); m.p. 91.3-91.5°C. Benzil (Fisher Scientific Co.) was recrystallized twice from absolute ethanol; m.p. 95.3-96.0°C. 2,4,6-Tribromoaniline (Eastman Kodak Co.) was recrystallized three times from 95% ethanol; m.p. 121.0°C. Toluene-2,4-dicarbamates were prepared by direct reaction of toluene-2,4-diisocyanate (TDI) and the appropriate alcohol. The synthesis of bis(1,1,7trihydroperfluoroheptyl) toluene-2,4-dicarbamate illustrates the general procedure. Pure 1,1,7-trihydroperfluoro-1-heptanol (36.5 grams, 0.11 mole), freshly distilled TDI (8.7 grams, 0.05 mole), and a few crystals of ferric acetylacetonate were mixed in a 125-ml Erlenmeyer flask protected with a drying tube. After the exothermic reaction had subsided, the mixture was heated on a steam bath for 1 hour. After cooling, the resultant crystalline mass was crushed under 50 ml of carbon tetrachloride, collected, and washed with two 50-ml portions of carbon tetrachloride. The product was then repeatedly recrystallized from the appropriate solvent to a constant melting point. The data for the dicarbamates are presented in Table 1.

SOLVENTS

Toluene (reagent grade), acetone (electronic grade), and 1,2-dichloroethane (technical grade) were all obtained from Baker and Adamson Products and were used without further purification.

1,2-Dimethoxyethane (Eastman Kodak Co.) was dried over CaH_2 and LiAlH₄ and then distilled from fresh LiAlH₄ through an Oldershaw column. A middle fraction was collected; b.p. 81.7°C/710 mm.

INSTRUMENTATION

All measurements are made with a vapor pressure osmometer (Model 301A) manufactured by Mechrolab, Inc., Mountain View, California. In this instrument the temperature of the solvent-vapor chamber is held constant to ±0.001°C or better by means of a mercury thermostat preset

			NHC COR	~							
-						Ar	Analysis, Z	8, X			
æ	Recrystallization solvent	Me p. Be De	Formula		Calculated	ated			Found	Ind	
				υ	ж	íų.	Z	υ	21	ĵu,	N
CH3 (CH2) 5	Acetonitrile- 	84.3-85.1 ^b	C21H34N204	66.63 9.05 7.40 66.82 9.07	9.05	•	7.40	66.82		• • • •	7.45
CF ₃ (CF ₂) ₂ CH ₂	CF ₃ (CF ₂) ₂ CH ₂ Acctonitrile	119.9-120.7 ^c	.9-120.7 ^c C ₁₇ H ₁₂ F ₁₄ N ₂ O ₄ 35.55 2.11 46.32 4.88 35.84 2.15 46.15 4.80	35.55	2.11	46.32	4.88	35.84	2.15	46.15	4.80
H (CF2) 6 CH2 H (CF2) 10 CH2	1,2-Dichloroethane 102. Benzene-ethyl 153.	7-103.4	$ C_{23}H_{14}F_{24}N_{2}O_{4} 32.95 1.68 54.39 3.34 32.80 1.66 54.07 3.52 C_{31}H_{14}F_{40}N_{2}O_{4} 30.06 1.14 61.37 2.26 29.96 1.28 61.20 2.27 C_{31}H_{14}F_{40}N_{2}O_{4} 30.06 1.14 61.37 2.26 29.96 1.28 61.20 2.27 C_{31}H_{14}F_{40}N_{2}O_{4} C_{31}H_{14}F_{40}N_{2} C_{31}H_{14}F_{2} C_{3$	32.95 30.06	1.68 1.14	54.39	3.34	32.80 29.96	1.28	54.07	3.52
	acetate (1:1)										
b All me. b Litera	All melting points were determined using a Kofler hot stage. b Literature m.p. 83°C (Ref. 16).	termined usir 16).	ng a Kofler hot		•						
C Previo	Previously prepared by R. A. Henry of this Station. m.p. 117-118°C. F. 46.32%.	A. Henry of t	chis Station. H	1. p. 11	7-118	°C. F.	46.3	22.			

6

TABLE 1. Properties of Toluene-2,4-dicarbamates

, NHCOOR

CH₃

to $37\pm0.2^{\circ}$ C. The sensing elements are two, well-matched, bead thermistors. The variation of the resistance of the thermistors with temperature is measured over a range of about 2° C in the vicinity of 37° C. These data are plotted in form of $\log_{10} r vs. 1/T$ as seen in Fig. 1. The matching of these thermistors is listed in Table 2. The Leeds and Northrup d.c. null detector amplifier, which is driven by a d.c. Wheatstone bridge, proves to be extremely stable over long periods of operation and requires only infrequent and small adjustments to pull zero.

Thermistor	Resistance, ohms	Temperature coefficient, ohm/ohm/°C	Semiconductor constant B, °K
Reference	6,064	-0.0416	4,004
Sample	6,016	-0.0419	4,030

TABLE 2.	Resistance-Temperature Characteristics of
	VPO Thermistors at 37°C

When measurements were attempted with a volatile solvent such as acetone, solvent was lost from the sample syringe because of capillary attraction between the ground surfaces of the plunger and barrel. This loss of the solvent resulted in a slow increase in the observed Δr value when replicate measurements were made on a sample solution. To overcome this difficulty the instrument was equipped with gas-tight syringes each having a Teflon-tipped plunger fabricated by the Hamilton Co., Whittier, California. These syringes have the same general dimensions as these supplied with the instrument and, therefore, no modification of the syringe holder was required. Using the new syringes, sample solutions can be left in the thermal block for several hours without a detectable drift in the Δr values. The improved syringe is shown in Fig. 2.

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

i nulli

ġ

Solutions used in measurements of Ar are prepared immediately before use by direct weighing of the solute into a 25-ml volumetric flask. Measurements are carried out under as nearly identical conditions as possible. Syringes are placed in the thermal block and allowed to come to chamber temperature over a period of at least 15 minutes. The technique employed in zeroing and balancing the instrument is essentially the same as that suggested by the manufacturer. Subsequently, a drop of the test solution is placed on the sample thermistor and readings of Δr are taken at intervals up to 6 or 8 minutes to establish an optimum reading time. At least four readings are taken at each concentration of the test solution.

?



FIG. 2. Gas-tight Syringe With Teflon-tipped Plunger.

Calibration runs are made at concentrations up to about 0.04 mole/ liter in acetone and in 1,2-dichloroethane and to about 0.07 mole/liter in toluene and in 1,2-dimethoxyethane.

CALCULATIONS

The calculations were done on an IBM 7094 digital computer using a program written in FORTRAN IV language. The program was arranged to yield the least-squares best estimates of the first, a_1 , and second, a_2 , virial coefficients of the quadratic expression

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{C}^2 \tag{10a}$$

as well as the calculated values of the dependent variable, $\Delta r'$, the vertical deviations of the observed points from the sample regression line, $\Delta r - \Delta r'$, and the standard error of estimate, $s_{v|x}$.

When $a_2 = 0$, Eq. 10a reduces to Eq. 9.

8

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A careful examination of the representative calibration data for the four solvent systems, that is, toluene (Fig. 3), 1,2-dichloroethane (Fig. 4), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (Fig. 5), and account (Fig. 6), plotted in the form of Fq. 9, reveals a curvature indicating the necessity of a consideration of the data in the form of a higher order concentration equation as Ec. 10a. Table 3 presents the data obtained for a number of solutes in four solvent systems with the various measured constants defined by Eq. 10a. This form was used rather than that of Eq. 10b

$$\frac{\Delta \mathbf{r}}{C} = \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \vec{c} \tag{10b}$$

(Ref. 17) since plotting the data in the form of Eq. 10b has, in several cases, tended to give the plotted line an upward curvature for data obtained at lcw concentrations. In addition, an attempt was made to establish whether the use of an additional constant, a_{-} , as in Eq. 11

$$\Delta r = a_0 + a_1 C + a_2 C^2$$
 (11)

could improve the fit of the data by allowing this constant to assume nonzero values (Ref. 18). Some consideration was also given in preparing the computer program to introduce a third concentration dependent term as in Eq. 12.

$$\Delta \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{C}^2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{C}^3 \tag{12}$$

The analysis of these data indicated that no significant improvement was obtained in the standard error of estimate by assuming a_0 , $a_3 \neq 0$. In fact, a better fit of the data is obtained by requiring that $a_0 = 0$.

EVALUATION OF CONSTANTS a; AND a?

A good thermodynamic treatment of solutions (Ref. 19) can be used to evaluate the virial coefficients, a_1 and a_2 . First, it should be noted that Eq. 6 is a special case of Eq. 13

$$\frac{p}{p_0} = (1 - X_2) \exp(\Delta h_0 / RT)$$
(13)

where Δh_0 , the heat of dilution, is zero for ideal solutions. Incorporating this term and defining Δh_0 by Eq. 14



FIG. 3. Calibration Curve for 2,4,6-Tribromoaniline in Toluene at 37°C. Reading time: 3 minutes.

hillin .

.



FIG. 4. Calibration Curve for Benzil in 1,2-Dichloroethane at 37°C. Reading time: 3 minutes.

 $\Delta h_{o} = \beta \frac{2}{\nu_{2}}$ (14)

where β is the Van Laar heat of mixing and $\overline{\nu}_2$ is the volume fraction of solute, Eq. 15a and 15b can be derived in a manner similar to the derivation of Eq. 9.

$$\Delta r_{2} = \frac{B_{2}Rr_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}} \left[\frac{M_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{10^{3}}} C + \left(\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2\rho_{1}^{2}10^{6}} - \frac{\beta M_{2}^{2}}{RT_{0}\rho_{2}^{2}10^{6}} \right) C^{2} \right]$$
(15a)

$$\Delta r_{2} = \frac{B_{2}Rr_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}} \left[\frac{M_{1}}{\rho_{1}M_{2}10^{3}} C_{w} + \left(\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2M_{2}^{2}\rho_{1}^{2}10^{6}} - \frac{B}{RT_{0}\rho_{2}^{2}10^{6}} \right) C_{w}^{2} \right] (15b)$$

where C_w is the weight concentration of solute in grams per liter.



FIG. 5. Calibration Curve for Benzil in 1,2-Dimethoxyethane at 37°C. Reading time: 6 minutes.





FIG. 6. Calibration Curve for Bis(1,1,11-trihydroperfluoroundecyl) toluene-2,4-dicarbamate in Acetone at 37°C. Reading time: 3 minutes. The filled circles represent data obtained 2 months later.

=

febrei hieferie mesje delemmin beter men van verse een est and astrolitie

••••

. . . .

2 6 · 3 cite

5

TABLE 3. Values of the Coeff	itcients in	the Coefficients in the Equation $\Delta \mathbf{r}$	m a ₁ C + a ₂ C ² at 37°C	7*C
Read	Reading time:	3 minutes.		
Solute	Formula weight	al, ohm-liter/mole	a2, ohm-liter ² /mole ²	s y x a nuno
	A. Acel	Acetone		
Acetanilide	135.16	450	-352	40°0
1.3-Dinitrobenzene	168.11	677	-357	0.03
Benzil	210.22	455	-377	0.04
2,4,6-Tribromoaniline	329.85	453	-416	0.01
Di-m-hexyl toluene-2,4-dicarbamate.	378.50	456	-457	0.04
buty1)	00 763	760	076	50 0
Columner 4 - GlCarDamates	07+4/0	074		^
toluene=2.4-dicarbamate	838,36	452	75.9	0.02
Bis(1,1,11-trihydroperfluoro-				
undecyl) coluene-2,4-dicarbamate.	1,238.44	455	-482	0.02
		AVe. 454		
₿•	1,2-Dichloroethane	oroethane		
Benzil	210.22	349	-657	0*08
C.	1,2-Dimet	1,2-Dimethoxyethane		
Benz11	210.22	431 ⁰	-392	0.12
	D. Tol	Toluene		
Acetanilide	135.16	299	-914	0.02
Benzil	210.22	302	-118	0.01
2,4,6-Tribromoaniline	329,85	302	-155	0.04
Bis(1,1,7-trihydroperfluoroheptyl)				<u> </u>
coluene-Z,4-dlcarbamate	05.028	Ave. 301	TT 6-	^^
^a Standard error of estimate.	b Reading	ng time: 6 minutes	es.	

From Eq. 15a, the coefficients a_1 and a_2 can be defined as

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{B_2 R r_{2,0} M_1}{\Delta H_0 \rho_1^{-1} 10^3}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{2} = \frac{B_{2}Rr_{2,0}}{\Delta H_{v}} \left(\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{2\rho_{1}^{2}10^{6}} - \frac{\beta M_{2}^{2}}{RT_{0}\rho_{2}^{2}10^{6}} \right)$$

From Eq. 9 and 15a the constant a_1 can be obtained in terms of measurable system constants. The thermistor constant B is determined from the data presented in Fig. 1. The straight line also establishes the validity of the thermistor resistance-temperature equation over the temperature range of interest. From the evaluation of a_2 , values of β can be calculated.

DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECTIVE HEAT OF VAPORIZATION

The value for the heat of vaporization to be used in Eq. 15a or 15b must be considered. The theoretical heat of vaporization gives values for a_1 that are small; the effective heat of vaporization $\Delta H_{v,eff,must}$ therefore, be less than the theoretical heat of vaporization. This problem has been considered in great detail in an excellent publication by Tomlinson and co-workers (Ref. 12).

Tomlinson considers a steady-state thermal balance in which the rate of heat gained by the thermistor through evaporation of the solvent from the cell and diffusion through the cell to the bead thermistor is equal to the rate of heat loss caused by conduction through the gas phase, radiation from the drop, conduction through the thermistor leads, and loss through the thermistor stem.

In this report, the treatment of Tomlinson is applied to calculate the thermodynamic efficiency, η_{calc} , from the various measured system constants. If the thermodynamic efficiency can be calculated, then the constant a_1 can be calculated. The difference in geometry and construction of the Tomlinson apparatus and that used in these experiments is sufficient to begin to evaluate the general nature of the treatment. It should be noted here that the Tomlinson thermistor was encased in a thin glass tube requiring a correction for the thermistor stem. Since no glass stem is used in the construction of the thermistor leads are stainless steel rather than platinum and therefore the appropriate stainless steel conductivity is used. Further, the drop size is variable

ակին ուսեսինին պետեսու ներելուներ

til tis stådhurse

ાકાલેના હોય આવેલી છે. જેવે શિખ

but is found to vary from about twice the diameter of the thermistor to about three times the thermistor diameter. At three times the thermistor diameter the drop appears almost ready to fall from the bead. Since it has already been demonstrated by a number of workers and verified by the authors of this report that the results are quite independent of the drop size, a value for the drop diameter of twice the thermistor diameter is assumed. In the thermodynamic efficiency calculations with the drop diameter assumed to be three times the thermistor diameter, the change in calculated thermodynamic efficiency is very slight.

Tomlinson defines the thermal efficiency η as the ratio of the measured instrument constant to the theoretical instrument constant where theoretical is defined to be the value obtained in the absence of any heat losses and diffusion effects. For the purposes of this report, n can be considered as al, exptl./al, theor. A further approximation was made in these calculations. The Tomlinson AS, the change in the shape factor caused by the proximity of the solvent and solution thermistors, was found to be very small for this system, that is, 0.015 cm, and was neglected. The agreement between the calculated and measured thermodynamic efficiencies is quite good as can be seen in Table 4. The apparatus constants used for these calculations are presented in Table 5. Attempts were made to preserve the notation of Tomlinson except where a conflict with other notations in this report arises. Table 6 presents the solvent constants. The general constants of Tomlinson are used as required. The agreement appears to be sufficiently good to state that the Tomlinson treatment of the thermal losses does account, quite well, for the observed thermodynamic efficiencies. In these calculations, the authors of this report have found little information concerning parameters such as thermal conductivity and diffusion coefficients for solvent vapors either pure or in the presence of air. The constants for ethyl acetate were substituted for those of 1,2-dimethoxyethane where needed (see Table 6). No transport information was found for 1,2-dichloroethane or for an analogous system.

The thermal conductivity of the solvent vapor-air mixture was calculated by the expression (Ref. 20)

$$k_{s-a} = \frac{\frac{X k M ^{1/3} + X k M ^{1/3}}{s s s - a a a a}}{\frac{X M ^{1/3} + X M ^{1/3}}{s s s - a a a a}}$$

In this expression, subscript s refers to solvent vapor at 37°C, subscript a refers to air at 37°C, and subscript s-a refers to the solvent vapor-air mixture at 37°C; and X is the mole fraction, k is the thermal conductivity, and M is the species molecular weight. For the purpose of these calculations, $k_a = 6.30 \times 10^{-5}$ cal/cm sec deg and the total pressure of the atmosphere was assumed to be 710 torr, the prevailing atmospheric pressure at the laboratory location.

Calculated and Experimentally Determined Heat Losses

TABLE 4.

.

-

يا المراطع الم

.

		Tomlinson (Ref. 12)	(Ref. 12)		This work	vork
	Heat]	loss contributions	itions			
Solvent	Conduction through gas phase, c _v /ab	Radiation from drop, c _r /ab	Conduction through wire, c _w /ab	Efficiency. ⁿ calc.	al, theor, a ohm-liter mole	Efficiency, ⁿ exptl.
Acetone	0.0506	0.0178	9610*0	616.0	485	0•936 ^b
1.2-Dichloroethane.	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	••••	484	0.721
1.2-Dimethoxyethane.		0.063	0.069	0.738	601	0.717
Toluene	0.565	0.144	0.159	0.535	579	0.520
^a Calculated from equation		in Ref. 4.				

i 5 , 「ランドモン

- 0.93 has been reported for a Model 301 vapor pressure osmometer at 39°C (Ref. 1). ⁿexpt1. م

NOTS TP 4247

<u>NOTS TP 4247</u>

Constant	Value, cm
<pre>r_t (radius of thermistor bead) r_d (radius of drop) r_c (radius of cell) r_w (radius of stainless steel wire) l_c (length of cell) l_w (length of stainless steel wire) y (distance between thermistor axes) T₀ (absolute temperature of cell) k_w (steel)</pre>	2.10 0.005 1.00 3.00 0.40

TABLE	5.	Apparatus	Constants	Used	in	Calculating
	Th	ermodynami	c Efficier	icies A		ording
		to To	mlinson (F	lef, 12	2)	

a In units of degrees Kelvin.

In units of cal/cm sec deg.

Of the factors used in the calculations, the least reliable were of the system geometry. Fortunately the computation can be carried out in such a manner that the results are not very sensitive to the errors in measurement of the cell dimensions. In the equation for the thermal loss the largest term is the loss caused by conduction through the vapor. This term contains the geometrical or shape factor. The relative contribution of this term can be obtained by dividing this term by a term also containing the shape factor, that is,

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\frac{c_{r} + c_{r} + c_{w}}{1 + \frac{v_{r} + c_{w}}{ab}}}$$

which is a rearranged form of Eq. 33 in Ref. 12. The consequence, therefore, is that the relative contribution of the largest contributor term is independent of the shape factor and dependent upon thermal constants. It is possible to vary the shape factor by 10% and not affect the thermodynamic efficiency by more than 0.4% when the thermodynamic efficiency is about 90%. The lower the thermodynamic efficiency, the smaller is the effect of shape-factor error. This latter fact is in accord with the nature of the experiment, since the radial distance to the solventsaturated paper wall of the cell is different than the distance to the solvent-liquid cell surface. In addition, the distance to the liquid cell surface changes as successive readings are made. Further, the results of the calculations are rather insensitive with respect to the drop size, since only the smaller, radiant-heat-loss term is affected.

	Thermal conductivity, k _s x 10 ⁵ , cal/cm sec deg	2.91 ^d 2.74 ⁱ 3.79 ⁱ 3.79 ⁱ d Po. bote = 310 [*] K, d Po. on points and otnote c above
	Vapor pressure, p, torr	150 ^C 377.7 2.91 2.71 0986 ^h 125.7 2.71 0974 ^C 51.5 3.75 0974 ^C 51.5 3.75 0974 ^C 3.75 2.72 0974 ^C 51.5 2.72 2.72 2.72 10810 p (torr) = 7.2643 - 310 coefficient at T ₀ and p ₀ . 10810 p (torr) = 7.2643 - 310 cox chart intersection points 124. 24. 24.
nts at 37°C	Diff. coeff. into air D, cm ² /sec	0.7706 ^b 0.150 ^c 377.7 2.9 1.2287 ^b 2.125.7 2.7 0.3480 ^g 0.0974 ^c 51.5 2.7 2.7 0.8512 ^k 0.0974 ^c 51.5 3.7 2.7 0.8512 ^k 0.0974 ^c 51.5 2.7 2.7 0.8512 ^k 0.0974 ^c 51.5 2.7 2.7 acre equation D = D ₀ (T/T ₀) ² (p_0/p) where T = 31 p ₀ = diffusion coefficient at T ₀ and p ₀ . aure equation, log ₁₀ p (torr) = 7.2643 - stimated using the equation in footnote c in Ref. 27 using the equation in footnote c acetate in Ref. 24.
Solvent Constants	Density. di7, g/ml	Co.7706D 1.2287b 1.2287b 0.8512808 0.8512k 0.8512k 0.8512k 0.8512k 1.2287b 1.2287b
TABLE 6. So	Heat of vapor., ^{AH} v, cal/mole	e 58.08 $7,479^{\text{m}}$ 0.7706 ^b 0.150 ^c 377.7 2.91 ^d chloro- 98.97 8.014 ^e 1.2287 ^b methoxy- 92.13 9.004 ^d 9.004 ^d 1.2287 ^b 0.0936 ^h 125.7 2.74 ^d 92.13 9.13 9.004 ^d 0.68512 ^k 0.0974 ^c 151.5 3.79 ¹ e 92.13 8.518 ^f 0.0974 ^c 151.5 2.74 ^d e 92.13 8.518 ^f 0.0810 ^f 0.0074 ^d 151.5 2.74 ^d e 92.13 8.518 ^f 0.0974 ^c 151.5 2.74 ^d e 92.13 8.518 ^f 0.0974 ^c 151.5 2.74 ^d e 92.13 8.518 ^f 0.0974 ^d 151.5 2.74 ^d e
	Mol. wt., M, g/mole	 chloro- chloro- nethoxy- 98.97 nethoxy- 98.97 nethoxy- 98.97 nethoxy- 98.97 nethoxy- 98.97 99.12 90.12 90.12 92.13 92.14 92.13 92.14 92.15 92.15
	Solvant	Acetone

which a composite of the

NOTS TP 4247

ž

Ĵ

In this term the effect should vary as the square of the drop radius but this dependence is somewhat lessened, since a function of the radius also exists in the shape factor.

DETERMINATION OF THE VAN LAAR HEAT OF MIXING B

Some significance can now be attached to the shape of the Δr vs. C plots. During the course of the measurements it became apparent that these curves were very reproducible and showed a degree of consistency. Plotting the data in the form of either Eq. 15a or 15b accounted for the data in a satisfactory manner. In calculating the heat-of-mixing term the form of the Van Laar equation was chosen for the sake of convenience and simplicity. It is realized that other more complex expressions may yield to better mechanistic interpretation of the heat of mixing particularly for systems that give negative values of β . In this report, however, this problem is not explored further. The value of the heat of vaporization use. In the calculation of β is the quantity that the authors designated as the effective heat of vaporization ($\Delta H_{v,eff}$. = η_{expt1} . ΔH_{v}) and is the value that is calculated from the experimental thermodynamic efficiency.

For the evaluation of the constart, β , the required solute densities were determined in wetting systems that had no effect upon the crystal. The data for fourteen solute-solvent systems are presented in Table 7. The negative value of β for bis(1,1,7-trihydroperfluoroheptyl) toluene-2,4-dicarbamate appears to be real and was obtained in two separate series of experiments. The reason for the unique behavior of this carbamate is not known although it may be related to a unique stiffness and consequent chain configuration.

OPERATION NOTES

In the course of the measurements made with the thermoelectric vapor pressure osmometer, some consideration should be made of the reading time that should be used. For most purposes, as long as solvent evaporation is prevented by proper syringe design and the instrument electronics are operating very well, the reading time is not critical for most solvents. The instrument as first received was sensitive to reading time. Reproducible results were only obtained when the reading time was within a few seconds of the elapsed time. In addition, long reading times (6-8 minutes) were required. The sensitivity was eliminated by replacing all of the electronic tubes even though all tubes were found to be within their design limits. Certain solvents such as the halogenated ones appeared to cause a drift. This drift is attributed to a time-dependent chemical change in the solvent. N,N-Dimethylformamide and 1,4-dioxane are two other solvents that show tendencies to drift.

Van Laar Heats of Mixing Calculated from Second Virial Coefficients TABLE 7.

-

÷.

-20---

:

4

22

	Daneitu d37	_	Heat of mixing	Heat of mixing 8, kcal/mole	
Solute	s/ml	Acecone		1,2-Dichloro- 1,2-Dimethoxy- ethane ethane	Toluene
Acetantlide	1.208 ³	2.67	•	•	4.66
1.3-Dinitrobenzene	1.54 ^b	2.84	• • •	• • •	•
Benzil	1.242 ^C	1.24	1.76	1.20	0.37
2.4.6"Tribromoaniline.	2.590 ^C	2.41	•••	•	0.80
	1.098 ^c	0.36	•	•	•
Bis(1,1-dihydroperfluorobuty1) toluene-2.4-dicarbamate	1.714 ^c	0.31	•	•	•
Bis(1,1,7-trihydroperfluoroheptyl) toluene-2.4-dicarbamate	1.820 ^C	-0.02	•	• • •	0.27
	1,955 ⁸	0.11	•		• • •
a Determined by displacement of	ant of Dow Corning 200 Fluid (a_{3}^{37} 0.9535).	00 Fluid	(4 ³⁷ 0.9535).		

b Estimated from literature and X-ray crystallographic data. c Determined by displacement of water containing 0.1 wt. % 3M fluorochemical surfactant FX-176. NOTS TP 4247

-<u>*</u>-

. :

~ . .

÷ ÷

÷

ĽĴ

~. ₂

the walkers of the

. مۇرىيە

·~___

.

. . . . - **1**

, e ta**kankankanka**na ete.

Some additional evidence as to the changes occurring in the solvent may be inferred from data obtained with a properly functioning instrument where the calibration constant a_1 is plotted as a function of time. Some solvents require short but others require long reading times. The data for the variation of a_1 with reading time are seen in Fig. 7. It can be seen that 6 minutes were required for 1,2-dimethoxyethane before the constant varied in a slow manner. Very short reading times could be used for toluene and acetone. The changes were sufficiently rapid for 1,2-dichloroethane to enable the use of a relatively short reading time. A number of factors not under control, such as oxygen, moisture, and gas solubility may be responsible for this effect. It should, however, be noted that the ordinate is drawn to an expanded scale and, within the accuracy of most calculations used in this report, the variations of the constant are not great. Such calibration curves may indeed be required for very precise work.

...

When the instrument was used intermittently a small but disturbing amount of experimental scatter was encountered. When measurements were made when the instrument was kept operating continuously, this scatter disappeared. A careful examination of the causes of this scatter led to a consideration of the characteristics of thermistors. Again, the observation of Müller and Stolten (Ref. 8) should be emphasized and provision for continuous excitation of the thermistors should be made in order to do very precise, quantitative work.

It is of interest to note the form of the pressure dependence of the coefficient of diffusion D (Table 6, footnote c). From this relationship, it can be anticipated that as the mean-free path of the vapor molecules is increased or, alternately, as the gas pressure is lowered, an increase in the thermodynamic efficiency can be obtained. This relationship is verified in the results of Higuchi et al. (Ref. 6). can also be anticipated that since the solvent does have a finite vapor pressure and c_v , c_r , and c_v also have finite values, thermodynamic efficiencies of 1.00 will not be obtain At the present time, as indicated previously, the vapor transport process and radiative and conductive heat losses appear to be adequate to account for the observed phenomenon. Additional corrections for solution mass and heat transport do not appear to be warranted. Furthermore, it can be anticipated that some of the observed scatter in much of the published data may be attributed to variations in atmospheric pressure. For example, a variation of 25 mm will cause a 1.7% change in the thermodynamic efficiency of toluene.



FIG. 7. Variation of the Calibration Constant, a_1 , With Time for Benzil in Various Solvents.

23

Ę

가수나는 나는

REFERENCES

مسيعين وجشتها تنب

- Cantow, M. J. R., R. S. Porter, and J. F. Johnson. "Thermoelectric Determination of Polymer Molecular Weights," J POLYMER SCI, Vol. A2 (June 1964), pp. 2547-54.
- 2. Baldes, E. J. "Theory of the Thermo-Electric Measurement of Osmotic Pressure," BIODYNAMICA, Vol. 46 (May 1939), pp. 1-8.
- 3. Baldes, E. J., and A. F. Johnson. "The Thermo-Electric Osmometer; Its Construction and Use," BIODYNAMICA, Vol. 47 (May 1939), pp. 1-11.
- Brady, A. P., H. Huff, and J. W. McBain. "Measurement of Vapor Pressures by Means of Matched Thermistors," J PHYS COLLOID CHEM, Vol. 55 (February 1951), pp. 304-11.
- Burge, D. E. "Osmotic Coefficients in Aqueous Solutions. Studies With the Vapor Pressure Osmometer," J PHYS CHEM, Vol. 67 (December 1963), pp. 2590-93.
- 6. Higuchi, W. I., M. A. Schwartz, E. G. Rippie, and T. Higuchi. "A Thermoelectric Method for Thermodynamics Studies and Molecular Weight Determinations in Solutions," J PHYS CHEM, Vol. 63 (June 1959), pp. 996-99.
- 7. Kume, S., and H. Kobayashi. "Molecular Weight Measurement by Vapor Pressure Osmometer," MAKROMOL CHEM, Vol. 79 (1964), pp. 1-7.
- Müller, R. H., and H. J. Stolten. "Use of Thermistors in Precise Measurement of Small Temperature Differences," ANAL CHEM, Vol. 25 (July 1953), pp. 1103-06.
- 9. Neumayer, J. J. "The Determination of Molecular Weight Using Thermistors," ANAL CHIM ACTA, Vol. 20 (1959), pp. 519-23.
- 10. Pasternak, R., and P. Brady. An Instrument for the Fast and Precise Determination of Molecular Weights, 13th Chemical Engineeri¹; Congress, Frankfurt am Main, ACHEMA, 1961. Preprint.
- 11. Taylor, G. B., and M. B. Hall. "Measurement of Molecular Weight in Organic Solvents. Thermoelectric Method," ANAL CHEM, Vol. 23 (July 1951), pp. 947-49.

- T- T-

-#

12. Tomlinson, C., Ch. Chylewski, and W. Simon. "The Thermoelectric Microdetermination of Molecular Weight. III. Theoretical," TETRAHEDRON, Vol. 19 (June 1963), pp. 949-60.

y Treasures your any inclusive series

್ಷ---- ಪ್ರಮೊದ್ದ ಕಿನ್ಸಿತ್

- 13. Van Dam, J. "Determination of Molecular Weights by Means of Thermoelectric Vapor Phase Osmometry," REC TRAV CHIM, Vol. 83 (1964), pp. 129-40.
- 14. Wilson, A., L. Bini, and R. Hofstader. "Thermistor Micromethod for Molecular Weight," ANAL CHEM, Vol. 33 (January 1961), pp. 135-37.
- Hill, A. V. "A Thermal Method of Measuring the Vapour Pressure of an Aqueous Solution," ROY SOC LONDON, PROC, Vol. A127 (April 1930), pp. 9-19.
- Bossert, R. G. "Carbamates. I. From Monohydric Alcohols and Toluene-2,4-Diisocyanate," J ORG CHEM, Vol. 23 (June 1958), pp. 906-07.
- 17. Billmeyer, F. W., Jr., and V. Kokle. "The Molecular Structure of Polyethylene. XV. Comparison of Number-Average Molecular Weights by Various Methods," AM CHEM SOC, J, Vol. 86 (September 1964), pp. 3544-46.
- 18. Bonnar, R. U., M. Dimbat, and F. H. Stross. Number-Average Molecular Weights. New York, Interscience, 1958. P. 136.
- 19. Hermans, J. J. "Thermodynamics of Long-Chain Molecules," in Colloid Science. Vol. II. Reversible Systems, ed. by H. R. Kruyt. New York, Elsevier, 1949. Pp. 58, 64, 67.
- 20. Liley, P. E., Y. S. Touloukian, and W. R. Gambill. "Physical and Chemical Data," in Chemical Engineers' Handbook 4th ed., by John H. Perry. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1963. Sec. 3, p. 226.
- American Petroleum Institute Research Project 44. Selected Values of Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds. Pittsburgh, Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1954. Vol. V, Table No. 206k-E.
- 22. Brunel, R. F., and K. Van Bibber. "Density and Thermal Expansion of Liquid Organic Compounds Under Atmospheric Pressure," in International Critical Tables of Numerical Data, Physics, Chemistry and Technology, ed. by E. W. Washburn. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1928. Vol. III, p. 28.
- 23. Boynton, W. P., and W. H. Brattain. "Interdiffusion of Gases and Vapors," in International Critical Tables of Numerical Data, Physics, Chemistry and Technology, ed. by E. W. Washburn. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1929. Vol. V, p. 62.

ŗ

÷.

utantako ka n'ilo i igi

24. Liley, P. E., Y. S. Touloukian, and W. R. Gambill. "Physical and Chemical Data," in Chemical Engineers' Handbook 4th ed., by John H. Perry. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1963. Sec. 3, p. 206.

- 25. Dreisbach, R. R. Physical Properties of Chemical Compounds III. Washington, D. C., American Chemical Society, 1961. P. 131.
- 26. Palomaa, M. H., and I. Honkanen. "Ether-Like Compounds. XVIII. The Diether Diprimary Glycols," BER, Vol. 70B (1937), pp. 2199-205.
- 27. Emmert, R. E., and R. J Pigford. "Gas Absorption and Solvent Extraction," in Chemical Engineers' Handbook 4th ed., by John H. Perry. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1963. Sec. 14, p. 22.
- 28. American Petroleum Institute Research Project 44. Selected Values of Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds. Pittsburgh, Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1954. Vol. V, Table No. 5k-E.
- 29. -----. Selected Values of Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds. Pittsburgh, Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1952. Vol. III, Table No. 5d.
- 30. Abas-Zade, A. K. "Heat Conductivity of Some Organic Compounds in the Critical Region," DOKL AKAD NAUK, SSSR, Vol. 68 (1949), pp. 665-68.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to C. Howard Shomate of this Station for writing the computer program and for many helpful discussions, and to the Hamilton Co. of Whittier, California, for generously providing the gas-tight syringes.

경험 여자 전문 물론들이 가락들이 있는 물건이 없다. NOTS TP 4247 INITIAL DISTRIBUTION 11 Naval Air Systems Command AIR-03A (1) AIR-535 (1) AIR-03C (1) AIR-5366 (1) AIR-310 (1) AIR-5367 (1) AIR-330 (2) AIR-604 (2) AIR-505 (1) 4 Chief of Naval Operations 6 Naval Ordnance Systems Command ORD-03 (1) ORD-03A (1) ŧ, ORD-033 (2) se o constituisti siinteenen kustata siinteenen kustata siinteenen kustata suomaa siinteenen kusta suomaa suom 1990 - Toomaa siinteenen kustata siinteenen kustata siinteenen kustata suomaa siinteenen kustata suomaa suomaa ORD-9132 (2) 3 Naval Ship Systems Command SHIP-0342 (1) SHIP-03421 (1) SHIP-6627T-Y (1) 3 Office of Naval Research Code 104 (1) Code 425 (1) Code 429 (1) 1 Naval Ammunition Depot, Crane (Research and Development Department) 1 Naval Ordnance Laboratory, Corona (Dr. Charles Haber) 2 Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak (Technical Library) 2 Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head Dr. Bodo Bartocha (1) 2 Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division, Code 6130 (1) Code 2021 (1) 2 Naval Underwater Weapons Research and Engineering Station, Newport 1 Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility, Kirtland Air Force Base (Code 401) 1 Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren (Technical Library) 1 Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Chicago 1 Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Pasadena 2 Army Ballistics Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground ORDBG-BLI (1) 1 Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir (STINFO Branch) 4 Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal (Redstone Scientific Information Center,

ţ

sili¹lus

er er nevedentijners over sementer edde bådners fyrnerendetneren vigthyrteligerender (fyrigerender)

2	Frankford Arsenal
	Propellants and Explosives Section, 1331 (1)
	Technical Library (1)
3	Picatinny Arsenal (Technical Library)
1	Radford Arsenal
1	Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant (SMUSU-R)
2	Headquarters, U. S. Air Force
	AFRSTD (1)
1	Aeronautical Systems Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
	(Directorate of Materials and Processes)
1	Air Force Flight Test Center, Edwards Air Force Base (FTRPL)
1	Air University Library, Maxwell Air Force Base
1	Systems Engineering Group, Deputy for Systems Engineering, Wright-
	Patterson Air Force Base (SEPRR)
20	Defense Documentation Center (TISLA-1)
	Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh (Reports Librarian)
	Bureau of Mines, Region IV, Bartlesville, Okla. (Project Coordinator)
	Lewis Research Center (Technical Library)
	Aerojet-General Corporation, Azusa, Calif. (Library), via NPRO
	Aerojet-General Corporation, Sacramento (Librarian), via SPOTR
	Aeronutronic, Newport Beach, Calif. (Division Librarian)
	Aerospace Corporation, Los Angeles (Library)
	Allegany Ballistics Laboratory, Cumberland, Md. (Librarian)
1	Allied Chemical Corporation, General Chemical Research Laboratory,
-	Morristown, N. J.
	American Cyanamid Company, Stamford, Conn.
	Applied Physics Laboratory, JHU, Silver Spring
	Arthur D. Little, Inc., Cambridge (Library)
	Atlantic Research Corporation, Alexandria, Va. (Library) Chemical Propulsion Information Agency, Applied Physics Laboratory,
2	Silver Spring
1	E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Explosives Department, Wilmington
1	(Assistant Director of Research)
1	ESSO Research and Engineering Company, Linden, N. J. (ARPA Contractor)
	General Dynamics/Astronautics, Space Science Laboratory, San Diego
-	(S. Kaye)
1	Hamilton Company, Whittier, Calif.
	Hercules Powder Company, Research Center, Wilmington (Library)
	IIT Research Institute, Chicago (Document Librarian for Department M)
	Jet Propulsion Laboratory, CIT, Pasadena (Library)
	Midwest Research Institute, Kansas City (Librarian)
	Linnesota Mining & Manufacturing Company, St. Paul (Libra-y)
	New York University, University Heights (Document Control - CJM)
	Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, New Haven, Conn. (Library)
	Princeton University, Forrestal Research Center, Princeton, N. J.
	Rocket Power, Inc., Research Laboratories, Pasadena, Calif.
	(Milton Farber)
-	

7977 (*

10

•

1 Rocketdyne, Canoga Park, Calif. (Librarian)

1 Rohm & Haas Company, Redstone Arsenal Kesearch Division (Librarian)

Security Classification			
DOCUMER (Security classification of title, body of abstract and	NT CONTROL DATA - R&D	red when I	he overall report is classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	and the second		T SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
J. S. Naval Oronance Test Stati	on	UNCLAS	SIFIED
China Lake, California 93555		5 GROUP	•
3. REPORT TITLE			
THERMOELECTRIC VAPOR PRESSURE O	SMOMETRY		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive de	ites)		
Research Report 5. AUTHOR(5) (Lest neme, first neme, initial)			
· · · ·			
Adicoff, Arnold; Murbach, Warre	nJ.		
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAG	;23	75. NO. OF REFS
Harch 1967	28	087 4184	30
ya eessaat en anan 2 mg.			
S. PROJECT NO.	NOTS TP 4247		
c. WEPTASK RMMP-22-066/216-1/R001-0	6-01 Sb. OTHER REPORT NO	X3) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
rtate iz			
ORDTASK ORD-033-129/200-1/R001-0	0-014 F.R. #2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Distribution of this document is	unlimited.		
			•
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITA Naval Ordnance	_	
	Naval Materie	-	
	Washington, D.		
13. ABSTRACT			
The thermoelectric vapor pres			
instrument for the determination			
solutions. The calibration const be independent of the nature of t			
of the system geometry and reasur			
Experimental results are eval			
the thermistor and the concentrat			
second-degree equation in concent			
coefficient of the second-order t			
mixing term in the form of the Va	m Laar equation. The	e conce	entration-independent
term 8 for the heat of mixing is	obtained for fourteen	n solut	te-solvent pairs.

DD FORM 1473 0101-807-6800

.

-

÷

1

ษณะศารณณฑฑิษณาศักร เว่น จะเรอร์ริยักษณฑิษณ์ สหส 15

Federe Mittalistentis

-

ինչ էի ինչերիներին, ու երեններին, որոշ է երեններին, ու երեններին, ու երեններին, ու երեններին, ու երեններին, ու

-- -

UNCLASSIFIED

KEY WURDS	LIN	K A	LIN	K 8		K C
KET WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	*T	ROLE	#1
W						
Vapor pressure osmometry						
Perfluoroalkyl toluene-2,4-dicarbamates						
Van Laar heat of mixing						
					1	
					1	
	·····				L	
INSTRUCTION	is is					

L. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURTY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

25. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES. Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

Sa. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

5b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(5): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not anthorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services. Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

4

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory roles.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enger the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring fpaying for) the research and development. Include address.

Ing for) the research and development. Include address. 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual

summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the mformation in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U)

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

UNCLASSIFIED