

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGED BY DDC
AS AD No. 408133

408 133

63-4-2

NOTS TP 3251
COPY 31

NOTES ON MURPHY'S METHOD

by

William R. Haseltine

Research Department

ABSTRACT. A mathematical justification is provided for some of the simpler versions of Murphy's method in nonlinear ballistics.

Released to ASTIA for further dissemination with out limitations beyond those imposed by security regulations.



U.S. NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

China Lake, California

April 1963

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

AN ACTIVITY OF THE BUREAU OF NAVAL WEAPONS

C. BLENMAN, JR., CAPT., USN
Commander

WM. B. McLEAN, Ph.D.
Technical Director

FOREWORD

This report was originally written to serve (and be distributed) as lecture notes for a talk given by the author as guest lecturer for a course in "The Free Flight Motion of Symmetrical Missiles," which was given by Dr. C. H. Murphy. This course and his talk, an intensive one-week one, has been given twice (fall of 1961 and 1962) at the University of California at Los Angeles, and once (fall 1962) when sponsored by the Naval Weapons Laboratory. The report is being published to make it more readily available to interested parties.

This report is transmitted for information only. It does not represent the official views or final judgment of this Station.

Released by
D. E. ZILMER, Head,
Mathematics Division
25 April 1963

Under authority of
T. E. PHIPPS, Head,
Research Department

NOTS Technical Publication 3251

Published by.....Research Department
Collation.....Cover, 4 leaves, abstract cards
First printing.....90 numbered copies
Security classification.....UNCLASSIFIED

NOTES ON MURPHY'S METHOD

Given the equation from Murphy, Ref. 1:

$$\ddot{z} + (H - \dot{\ell}/\ell - i\omega) \dot{z} - (M + i\omega T) z = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\ell = \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}$, and H , M , and T are real, may be functions of $|z|^2$, and ω is real, we wish to draw rigorously justified conclusions about the nature of its family of solutions.

In the first place, the origin $z = \dot{z} = 0$ is a singular point, a solution. The conditions for stability are well known:

$$\begin{aligned} H &> 0 \\ (\omega^2 - 4M) H^2 &> \omega^2 (2T - H)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with H , M , T evaluated at $z = 0$.

In the second place, it turns out that under certain conditions there is one (or more) solution of the form $z = re^{i\nu t}$, with r and ν constant, a periodic solution. We postpone discussion for a bit.

In the third place we are interested in the following situation, if it should occur:

There is a set of four real, smooth functions $\chi_i(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ each periodic in θ_1 and θ_2 with periods $1/\omega_1$ and $1/\omega_2$, respectively, and for each choice of θ_{10} , θ_{20} there exist two real, differentiable functions $\theta_1(t)$ and $\theta_2(t)$ such that $\theta_i(0) = \theta_{i0}$ and with $z = z_1 + i z_2$, $x_1 = z_1$, $x_2 = \dot{z}_1$, $x_3 = z_2$, $x_4 = \dot{z}_2$, $x_i(t) = \chi_i(\theta_1(t), \theta_2(t))$ is a solution of Eq. 1 and the only one with $x_i(0) = \chi_i(\theta_{10}, \theta_{20})$. If this should be true, we say that the vector function $\chi_i(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ defines a periodic surface of Eq. 1.

We know no way to establish the existence of such surfaces for Eq. 1 as it stands. If, however, we are willing to regard the nonlinearities and dissipative terms as small, definite results can be established. We therefore work with

$$\ddot{z} - i\omega\dot{z} - Mz + \epsilon[(H-\dot{\ell}/\ell)\dot{z} - (m+i\omega T)z] = 0 \quad (3)$$

where $M = \text{constant}$, and H, m, T depend on $|z|^2$ only, $m(0) = 0$. We shall use this form in hunting for periodic solutions (conical yaw) as well as periodic surfaces (steady mixed oscillations). Let $z = \rho e^{i\varphi}$, then Eq. 3 is equivalent to the pair:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\rho} - \rho\dot{\varphi}^2 + \omega\rho\dot{\varphi} - M\rho + \epsilon[(H+\rho\dot{\rho}/(1-\rho^2))\dot{\rho} - m\rho] &= 0 \\ \rho\ddot{\varphi} + 2\dot{\rho}\dot{\varphi} - \omega\dot{\rho} + \epsilon[H\rho\dot{\varphi} - \omega T\rho] + \epsilon\rho^2\dot{\rho}\dot{\varphi}/(1-\rho^2) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This set does not depend on φ itself. We look for a pair $\rho = \rho_0$, $\dot{\varphi} = \nu$ such that according to Eq. 4 (with $\dot{\rho} = 0$), $\ddot{\rho} = \ddot{\varphi} = 0$. The first is satisfied if

$$\nu^2 - \omega\nu + (M + \epsilon m(\rho_0^2)) = 0 \quad (5)$$

or

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} (\omega \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4(M + \epsilon m(\rho_0^2))})$$

(provided, of course, that this is real).

The second is satisfied if, simultaneously

$$H\nu - \omega T = 0 \quad (6)$$

To investigate the behavior in the neighborhood of such a periodic solution, we shall, temporarily set $\rho = \rho_0 + x_1$, $\dot{\rho} = x_2$, $\dot{\varphi} = \nu + x_3$ and expand system 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \begin{cases} 2\rho_0 \nu x_3 - \omega \rho_0 x_3 \\ + \epsilon \begin{bmatrix} -Hx_2 - mx_1 - m'\rho_0 x_1 \\ -\frac{2\rho_0 \nu}{2\Omega} m'x_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ + O(x^2) \end{cases} \\
\rho_0 \dot{x}_3 &= \begin{cases} -2\nu x_2 + \omega x_2 \\ + \epsilon [-H\rho_0 x_3 - H'\rho_0 \nu x_1 + \omega \rho_0 T'x_1] \\ + O(x^2) + \epsilon \rho_0^2 \nu x_2 / (1 - \rho_0^2) \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with $2\Omega = \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4(M + \epsilon m)} = 2\nu - \omega$. It is well known that for a system such as

$$\dot{x} = Ax + O(x^2)$$

where x is a vector and A a constant matrix, that the behavior of the solutions near $x = 0$, including stability criteria, is characterized by the roots of the 'secular equation' $\det |A - \lambda E| = 0$, at least when $\det |A| \neq 0$. For simplicity, and to be able to compare directly with pages 26 and 27 of Ref. 1, we will specialize to $H = \text{constant}$, $m = 0$. The secular equation is then (we also neglect $\epsilon \rho_0^2 \nu / (1 - \rho_0^2)$)

$$\lambda^3 + 2\epsilon H \lambda^2 + [4\Omega^2 + \epsilon^2 H^2] \lambda - 2\epsilon \omega \Omega \rho_0 T' = 0 \tag{8}$$

The periodic solution is stable if and only if the real parts of all three roots of Eq. 8 are negative, which in turn is true if and only if (neglecting the ϵ^2 term),

$$\begin{aligned}
H &> 0, \quad \omega \Omega \rho_0 T' < 0 \\
H + \omega \rho_0 T' / 4\Omega &> 0
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(of which the first happens to be a consequence of the second and third). We can even find approximate values for the roots

$$\lambda_1 \cong \epsilon \omega \rho_0 T' / 2\Omega$$

$$\lambda_{2,3} \cong \pm 2i\Omega - \epsilon(H + \omega \rho_0 T' / 4\Omega)$$

Equations 65 and 66 of Ref. 1 lead to only two roots:

$$\lambda_1 = \epsilon \omega \rho_0 T' / 2\Omega$$

$$\lambda_2 = - \epsilon(H + \omega \rho_0 T' / 4\Omega)$$

Now let $\nu_1 = \omega/2 + \Omega$, $\nu_2 = \omega/2 - \Omega$, $4\Omega^2 = \omega^2 - 4M$, and suppose $\Omega \neq 0$. Make the transformation

$$z = r_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + r_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$$

$$\dot{z} = i r_1 \nu_1 e^{i\varphi_1} + i r_2 \nu_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$$

with the understanding that neither r_1 nor r_2 is zero. Just as in Ref. 1, we obtain, setting

$$\theta_1 = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2, \quad \theta_2 = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$$

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \epsilon \Theta_1(r_1, r_2, \theta_2) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_2 = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \epsilon \Theta_2(r_1, r_2, \theta_2) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\dot{r}_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{\nu_1 - \nu_2} R_1(r_1, r_2, \theta_2) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\dot{r}_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{\nu_1 - \nu_2} R_2(r_1, r_2, \theta_2) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$R_1 = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(-H\nu_1 + \omega T)r_1 + \begin{bmatrix} (-H\nu_2 + \omega T)\cos\theta_2 \\ -m\sin\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} r_2 \\ &+ \frac{r_1 r_2 (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \sin\theta_2 (r_1 \nu_1 + r_2 \nu_2 \cos\theta_2)}{1 - \delta^2} \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$R_2 = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(H\nu_2 - \omega T)r_2 + \begin{bmatrix} (H\nu_1 - \omega T)\cos\theta_2 \\ +m\sin\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} r_1 \\ &- \frac{r_1 r_2 (\nu_1 - \nu_2) \sin\theta_2 (r_2 \nu_2 + r_1 \nu_1 \cos\theta_2)}{1 - \delta^2} \end{aligned} \right.$$

(10)

with $\delta^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_1r_2 \cos \theta_2$, m , H and T functions of δ^2 . The right-hand sides of all four equations are independent of θ_1 , so we may consider the last three alone. Θ_i and R_i are of period 2π in θ_2 . Let

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H \, d\theta$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H \cos \theta \, d\theta$$

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T \, d\theta$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T \cos \theta \, d\theta$$

We find that

$$\overline{R}_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} R_1 \, d\theta = - (H_1 \nu_1 - \omega T_1) r_1 - (H_2 \nu_2 - \omega T_2) r_2$$

$$\overline{R}_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} R_2 \, d\theta = (H_1 \nu_2 - \omega T_1) r_2 + (H_2 \nu_1 - \omega T_2) r_1$$

Suppose we can find a pair r_{10}, r_{20} such that both $\overline{R}_1$ and $\overline{R}_2$ are zero. We can then apply a theorem of Poincaré (see theorem 5.2 of Ref. 2) to the effect that, (subject to certain differentiability conditions) if also the determinant of the matrix $\frac{\partial \overline{R}_i}{\partial r_j}$ is not zero, there is an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_1$ a solution does exist for which r_1 and r_2 are periodic functions of θ_2 , and therefore of the time, θ_2 increasing (if $\nu_1 > \nu_2$) strictly monotonically.

The $z_1, z_2, \dot{z}_1$ and $\dot{z}_2$ calculated from this $r_1(\theta_2), r_2(\theta_2)$ and the first of Eq. 10 define just such a periodic surface as we were looking for.

Let the matrix $\partial \overline{R}_i / \partial r_j = A$. It can be shown that the character of the family of solutions sufficiently near such a periodic surface is determined by the roots of $\det |A - \lambda E| = 0$ in the usual way.

Applying these results to the case discussed on pages 28 to 30 of Ref. 1, one obtains identical conclusions.

We see that the methods of Ref. 1 are thoroughly justified, in a perturbation theory sense, insofar as they deal with the 'singular points' of the 'amplitude plane' and their neighborhoods, subject to a minor modification when the critical point is on an amplitude axis (r_1 or r_2 zero).

It is possible to extend this treatment to cover the cases of strongly nonlinear moments, but small yaw, discussed in Ref. 3. It is even possible to include all the kinematic nonlinearities (such as the ℓ/ℓ term) in the zeroth approximation as well. One proceeds as follows: Write the equation

$$\ddot{z} - [i\omega + \ell/\ell] \dot{z} - \ell Mz + \epsilon [H\dot{z} - (m + i\ell\omega T)z] = 0$$

Then set $z_1 = \sin\rho \cos\varphi$, $z_2 = \cos\rho \sin\varphi$, and finally $\dot{\varphi} = \dot{\psi} \cos\rho$. One obtains a pair of equations for $\ddot{\rho}$ and $\ddot{\psi}$, which are essentially those of Ref. 4. We can search for a steady state solution of this pair just as before. We can even take $\epsilon = 1$ and get an answer. This procedure, and the calculation of stability criteria is carried out in Ref. 4.

Now define

$$u = \cos\rho$$

$$v = -2 \int_0^\rho M \sin\rho \, d\rho = 2 \int_1^u M du$$

$$h = \dot{\rho}^2 + \dot{\psi}^2 \sin^2 \rho + v$$

$$q = \dot{\psi} \sin^2 \rho + \omega u$$

h and q are closely related to C_1 and C_2 , respectively, of Ref. 3. We will find that both h and q are of order ϵ , and both are well behaved functions of $\dot{u}$, u , h and q . Furthermore

$$\dot{u}^2 = (1-u^2) (h-v) - (q-\omega u)^2 \equiv F(u, h, q)$$

If on the interval $[-1, 1]$, $F(u, h, q)$ has two simple roots separated by an open interval on which $P > 0$, then if h and q were constant, u would have a periodic solution $P(t, h, q)$ of period $1/\nu(h, q)$. Use that one for which $u(0) = u_1$ = least of the pair of roots.

Let $p(\theta, h, q) = P(\nu(h, q)\theta, h, q)$. Now set $u = p(\theta, h, q)$,
 $\dot{u} = \nu(h, q) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(\theta, h, q)$.

$\dot{h}$ and $\dot{q}$ are now functions of θ , h and q , and $\dot{\theta}$ may be calculated from

$$\nu p_\theta = \dot{u} = \dot{\theta} p_\theta + \dot{h} p_h + \dot{q} p_q$$

$$\dot{\theta} = \nu(h, q) - \frac{\dot{h} p_h + \dot{q} p_q}{p_\theta}$$

It can be shown that this expression is well behaved even at $\theta = 0$ and $1/2$ where $\dot{u} = \nu p_\theta = 0$. We have in fact obtained a system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta} &= \nu(h, q) + \epsilon \Theta(\theta, h, q) \\ \dot{h} &= \epsilon H(\theta, h, q) \\ \dot{q} &= \epsilon Q(\theta, h, q)\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

with Θ , H and Q periodic (of period 1) in θ . Theorem 5.2 of Ref. 2 may again be applied with entirely similar results.

A detailed discussion of the more general version of the problem is given in Ref. 5. Because it is possible to reduce the problem to third order (the last three equations of 10 or the set 11), the theory of periodic surfaces has not, in fact been needed, but only that of periodic solutions. On the other hand, if the right side of Eq. 11 or 3 is a constant $G \neq 0$, the full theory of periodic surfaces is required to deal with the question of steady mixed modes. A discussion of some simple cases with $G \neq 0$ is contained in Ref. 6.

REFERENCES

1. Murphy, C. H. "Prediction of the Motion of Missiles Acted on by Nonlinear Forces and Moments," J AEROSPACE SCI, Vol. 24 (July 1957), pp. 473-478. (Also Ballistics Research Laboratories, BRL Report 995, Oct 1956).
2. Diliberto, S. P. and G. Hufford. "Perturbation Theorems for Ordinary Differential Equations," in Contributions to the Theory of Nonlinear Oscillations, Vol. III, Princeton, N.J., Princeton University Press, 1956.
3. Ballistics Research Laboratories. The Effect of Strongly Nonlinear Moment on the Combined Pitching and Yawing Motion of a Symmetric Missile, by C. H. Murphy. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., BRL, August 1960. (BRL Report 1114).
4. U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station. Instability of Weapon A in Cross Winds, by W. R. Haseltine. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, September 1953. (NAVORD Report 2057, NOTS 751).
5. -----. Existence Theorems for Nonlinear Ballistics, by W. R. Haseltine. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, (NAVWEPS Report 8355, Pt. I, NOTS TP 3243) (to be published). (Also to be published in SIAM J).
6. -----. Existence Theorems for Nonlinear Ballistics, Pt. II: Effect of Gravity, by W. R. Haseltine. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, (NAVWEPS Report 8355, Pt. II, NOTS TP 3244) (to be published).

ABSTRACT CARD

U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Notes on <u>Murphy's Method</u>, by William R. Haseltine. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, April 1963. 8 pp. (NOTS TP 3251), UNCLASSIFIED. ABSTRACT. A mathematical justification is provided for some of the simpler versions of Murphy's method in nonlinear ballistics.	○ 1 card, 4 copies
U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Notes on <u>Murphy's Method</u>, by William R. Haseltine. China Lake, Calif., NOTS, April 1963. 8 pp. (NOTS TP 3251), UNCLASSIFIED. ABSTRACT. A mathematical justification is provided for some of the simpler versions of Murphy's method in nonlinear ballistics.	○ 1 card, 4 copies

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

- 7 Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons
 - DLI-31 (2)
 - R-12 (1)
 - RMMO-42 (1)
 - RR-25 (1)
 - RRRE (1)
 - RRRE-4 (1)
- 2 Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak
- 3 Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren
 - C. Cohen (1)
 - W. Kemper (1)
 - Technical Library (1)
- 4 Aberdeen Proving Ground
 - B. Karpov (1)
 - C. H. Murphy (1)
 - S. Zarodny (1)
 - Library (1)
- 2 Air Proving Ground Center, Eglin Air Force Base
 - F. F. Burgess (1)
 - Library (1)
- 10 Armed Services Technical Information Agency (TIPCR)
 - 1 National Aeronautics and Space Administration
 - (J. D. Nicolaides)