

# AD 403 803

### Reproduced by the DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



## UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

403 803

63 3 4

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract Nonr-2121(16)

Project NR 052-415

FINAL REPORT - PART I



403803

Ţ

HYDROGEN TRACER STUDIES ON THE REACTIONS OF URANIUM

#### HYDRIDE WITH AQUEOUS OXIDIZING AGENTS

by

John B. Hunt and Henry Taube

Prepared for Publication in

Inorganic Chemistry

The University of Chicago

Department of Chemistry

Chicago 37, Illinois

December 14, 1962



Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

#### (Contribution from the George Herbert Jones Laboratory, University of Chicago)

#### Hydrogen Tracer Studies on the Reaction of Uranium Hydride with Aqueous Oxidizing Agents

John B. Hunt and Henry Taube

#### Summary

The reaction of UD<sub>3</sub> with concentrated HCl in H<sub>2</sub>O forms HD as the principal gaseous product. When the concentration of the acid is reduced to ca. 9.5 M<sub>2</sub>, reaction is much slower, and H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are the chief gaseous products. Little D is lost to the solvent by exchange, although this does become a feature of the results at still lower acidity. The rates of the reaction are very sensitive to the anion which is present. When Ce(IV) for example is the oxidizing agent, the D<sub>2</sub> originally contained in the solid is liberated essentially quantitatively and no other gaseous product is formed. These and related results are interpreted on the assumption that a barrier layer, presumably UO<sub>2</sub>, governs the rate and mechanism of the reaction.

The main reactions of the salt-like hydrides NaH and LiH<sup>1</sup> with  $D_2O_2$  can be expressed by equations of the type:

$$MH + D_2O = HD + M^+ + OH^-$$
.

<sup>(1)</sup> H. Beutler, G. Brauer and H. O. Junger, Naturwiss., <u>24</u>, 347 (1936).

Also in the reaction of the complex hydride  $\text{LiAlH}_4^2$  with  $D_2O$ , HD is the dominant gaseous product.<sup>3</sup> Preliminary experiments<sup>4</sup> with the metal-like

(2) I. Wender, R. A. Friedel and M. Orchin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>71</u>, 1140 (1948).

(3) The reaction of  $LiAlH_4$  with  $D_2O$  has been recommended as a way to prepare pure HD. However, when  $KBH_4$  is the reactant, there is a substantial admixture of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  in the gases. W. L. Jolly and R. E. Mesmer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 4470 (1961).

(4) U. Agarwala, J. B. Hunt and H. Taube, J. Chem. Phys., <u>32</u>, 1567 (1960).

hydride  $UH_3$  showed that the mechanism of dissolution for it can be quite different from that implied by equation 1. In 11 <u>M</u> DCl, the reaction of  $UH_3$  is slow and yields a gas in which  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  rather than HD are the dominant species, and the principal reaction taking place under these conditions is described by the equation

$$UH_3 + 4D^+ = U^{+4} + 3/2 H_2 + 2D_2$$

In very concentrated DC1 the reaction is rapid, even violent and under these conditions HD becomes the principal gaseous product.

In this paper, a more detailed investigation of the isotopic course of the reactions of uranium hydride with acids is described, and the study has been extended to include other oxidizing agents as well. For them, even the stoichiometry is a matter of considerable interest because all of the reagents used have the capacity to oxidize hydrogen; thus it is of interest to learn to what extent hydrogen is evolved by their action. Preliminary results for CeH<sub>3</sub> and TiH<sub>2</sub> which are of interest for comparison purposes are also described.

2

#### Experimental

<u>Reagents and preparations.</u> --Uranium metal used in the preparation of the hydrides or deuteride was first treated with 8 N  $\text{HNO}_3$  to remove the oxide coating, then washed with distilled water and dried in vacuo. UH<sub>3</sub> was prepared from massive metal in an apparatus like that described by Spedding <u>et al.</u><sup>5</sup> The hydrogen tracer experiments are more economically done using

 $UD_3$  in a solvent of normal isotopic composition, and all of the experiments after the preliminary ones were done in this way. The uranium was of the highest purity and was used in the form of foil.  $D_2$  was purified by passing it through a liquid air trap and then absorbing it in uranium metal. It was released from the metal by heating the solid to 650° while the weighed sample to be converted to the deuteride was maintained at 250°. The deuterium used for reaction was contained in a closed volume, and for the later preparations, the amount of  $D_2$  consumed was measured by a gas burette so that the composition of the deuteride could be calculated. The UH<sub>3</sub> or UD<sub>3</sub> prepared by us was undoubtedly in the beta modification.<sup>6</sup>

(6) B. M. Abraham and H. E. Flotow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>77</u>, 1446 (1955).

Titanium and zirconium hydrides were obtained from Metal Hydrides, Inc. The sample of cerium hydride was prepared by heating Ce (99.5% pure) in a stream of dry hydrogen for 4 hours.

<sup>(5)</sup> F. H. Spedding, A. S. Newton, J. C. Warf, O. Johnson, R. W. Nottorf, I. B. Johns and D. Daane, Nucleonics, 4, No. 1, 4 (1949).

DCl was produced by adding PCl<sub>3</sub> to excess  $D_2O$  in a closed system. The gas was absorbed in  $D_2O$  at 0°C to produce DCl solutions.  $D_2SO_4$  and  $D_3PO_4$  solutions were prepared by mixing the corresponding anhydrides with  $D_2O$ .

 $Ce(HSO_4)_4$  was converted to  $Ce(DSO_4)_4$  by successive additions of  $D_2O$ in small amount followed by heating to dryness. TlCl<sub>3</sub> in  $D_2O$  was prepared by oxidizing a suspension of anhydrous TlCl with  $Cl_2$ , then removing the excess  $Cl_2$  with a stream of dry  $N_2$ . Anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> was prepared by the reaction of Cl<sub>2</sub> with Fe wire.

 $O_2$  enriched in O<sup>18</sup> was prepared by thermal decomposition of HgO. The O<sup>18</sup> enriched HgO was obtained by the reaction of HgCl<sub>2</sub> with O<sup>18</sup> enriched alkali.

<u>Isotopic analyses.</u> --Analyses for the  $H_2$ -HD-D<sub>2</sub> content of the gases were made with a Consolidated 21-620A Analytical Mass Spectrometer. A correction for the change in sample pressure during analysis was made by extrapolation to zero time, and for the contribution of  $H_3^+$  to the HD<sup>+</sup> peaks by extrapolation to zero pressure.<sup>7</sup> Isotopic ratios thus determined were

(7) I. Friedman, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 4, 89 (1953).

precise to 1%. The validity of the measurements of isotopic composition was tested by mixing measured volumes of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$ . A mixture calculated to contain 40, 4 mole per cent  $H_2$  analyzed as 40.5 mole per cent. To determine whether dilution by H occurred during the preparation and handling of the Drich solution, samples of hydrogen were prepared by the reaction of the acid

i

solutions with Mg. No appreciable dilution of  $D_2O$  was found to have taken place.

The analysis for the  $O^{18}$  content of the residue left by the reaction of acid with the hydride was done by the Anbar-Guttmann<sup>8</sup> method.

(8) M. Anbar and S. Guttmann, J. Appl. Rad. Isotopes, 5, 233 (1959).

<u>Chemical analyses.</u>  $-H_2$  was measured manometrically, as was  $O_2$ . When  $O_2$  was admixed with  $H_2$ , the amount was determined by noting the decrease in pressure attendant on absorbing  $O_2$  in alkaline pyrogallol or in acidic  $Cr^{++}aq$ .

The U content of the solutions resulting from the dissolution of the hydride in ceric sulfate solution was determined by reducing U to the tetrapositive state with a Jones reduction, then titrating U(IV) with Ce(IV).<sup>9</sup>

(9) I. M. Kolthoff and B. Belcher, "Volumetric Analysis," Vol. III, Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y., 1957.

Uranium hydride was analyzed by adding a weighed sample to an excess of ceric sulfate solution. The  $H_2$  evolved, the Ce(IV) consumed and the U contained in the solutions were determined. Uranium hydride is consumed completely and the stoichiometry of the reaction of the hydride with Ce(IV) conforms closely to the equation:

 $UH_3 + 6Ce^{+4} + 2H_2O = UO_2^{++} + 6Ce^{+3} + 4H^{+} + 3/2H_2.$ 

As evidence in support of this conclusion, the data of Table I are offered.

Table I

Dissolution of Uranium Hydride in Ceric Sulfate Solution

(Ce(IV), 0.219  $\underline{M}$ ; H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 1.0  $\underline{M}$ )

H/U for hydride	2.94	
Ce(IV) consumed, equiv./g. atom U	6.05	
$H_2$ evolved, mole/g. atom of U	1.48	

<u>Procedure.</u> --In the early stages of the research, the UH<sub>3</sub> which was prepared was stored in a sealed ampoule, and samples as needed were weighed and transferred in the N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere of a dry-box. The hydride is very reactive, and in spite of precautions taken in the transfer, different samples showed variations in composition, presumably owing to reaction during transfer. In view of this difficulty, the hydride for the later experiments was prepared separately for each run in a side arm of the vessel in which the dissolution reaction was carried out. To initiate reaction the reagent solution was admitted, under atmospheric pressure, into the evacuated vessel containing the hydride. A teflon-coated stirring bar was included in the reaction vessel. The vessel was fitted with a ground glass joint to facilitate connection to a vacuum train or the pressure measuring device. Reactions were carried out at ambient temperature.

#### Results

It is necessary for the presentation and discussion of the results to distinguish between hydrogen, as comprised by all species of atomic number one, and the different isotopic forms of the elements. When no differentiation

6

with respect to mass is called for, the terms "hydrogen" or "hydride" will be used, and formulas will be used to identify the isotopic species.

When hydrogen ion is the only oxidizing agent, U(IV) is expected as the product in the oxidation, and the reaction is expected to conform to the stoichiometry expressed by the equation

$$UH_3 + 4H^+ = U^{+4} + 7/2 H_2.$$
 (1)

The deviations from this equation have two causes: (a) not all the hydride is dissolved; (b) the hydridation of the uranium is incomplete. For the experiments in which the composition of the hydride is unknown, for want of a better basis, the per cent of theoretical hydrogen evolution is calculated with reference to equation 7. In the experiments for which the composition of the hydride was determined (as  $UH_n$  for example), 100% evolution of hydrogen is taken as conforming to the equation

$$UH_n + 4H^+ = U^{+4} + \frac{4+n}{2}H_2$$
 (2)

The experiments with oxidizing agents such as  $Ce^{+4}$ ,  $Ag^{+}$  etc. are discussed with reference to the equation

$$2H_2O + UH_n = n/2H_2 + UO_2^{++} + 4H^+ + 6e^-$$
 (3)

as representing the theoretical stoichiometry for the hydride half-reaction.

The time of reaction recorded in the tables is the total time elapsed from the time of mixing. At the time recorded all the gas was removed so that the succeeding sample, if any, represents the gas liberated in the next time interval. 1. <u>Hydrochloric Acid.</u> --In Table II we record some experimental results which serve to confirm the general nature of the results which were reported earlier. The reaction in 16 <u>M</u> DCl is rapid and HD is the predominant form of the gas evolved. At lower acid (cf. exp. 8), the reaction becomes much slower, and  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  are the dominant forms in the gas phase.

TUDIC II	Ta	lble	II
----------	----	------	----

Exp. No.	Time of Reaction, hr.	Ave. (DC1)	H <sub>2</sub> /HD	D <sub>2</sub> /HD	D/H
3		16	0.11	0.19	1.13
4	$1.7 \times 10^2$	16	0.18	0.40	1.32
2	$8.9 \times 10^2$	14.5	1.02	1.40	1.25
8	$5.2 \times 10^2$	9.0	4.9	14.5	

The Isotopic Course of the Reaction of UH<sub>3</sub> in Strong DCl Solution

The reaction of the hydride with hydrochloric acid solution is in no case complete, even when, as for experiments 3 and 4, the reaction is violent in the initial stages.

The results of a number of experiments using hydrochloric acid at 10 M or lower in concentration are summarized in Table III.

An attempt was made in two of the experiments at chemical analysis of the black residue. The analysis was done by adding the residue to ceric sulfate solution, determining the consumption of Ce(IV) in this step, and

Ħ
T A
ğ
ัษ

te e te e te menuel

and the second of the second

.

Stoichiometry and Isotopic Course of the Reaction of UD, in Aqueous HCl

				4	s.			
Exp. No.	U/H	(HC1), Ave. <sup>a</sup>	Sample <sup>o</sup> No.	Time, hr. <sup>b</sup>	Hydrogen Evolved % Theory <sup>c</sup>	н <sub>2</sub> /нр	D <sub>2</sub> /HD	Д/Н
6	ŀ	9.8	1	4.6 × 10 <sup>3</sup>	85	12.0	8.8	1.35
10	ł	9.8	7 7	0.25 0.50	10 5.7	17.8 16.2	9. 1 11. 8	1.91 1.36
			- τ,	20 44	40.5	11.8 7.0	7.0	1. 64 1. 36
19	2.91	9.5	1	288	83.4	11.2	7.8	1.41
12	;	9.4	3 2 1	5 25 49	71.3 11.3 0.2	15.1 11.5 6.5	11.0 7.2 2.9	1.36 1.56 2.1
13	2.96	9.5	7	0.05 48	4.3 90.7	6.9 9.6	3.6 6.6	1.80 1.42
15	2.94	4.7	1 2	$192 \\ 1.2 \times 10^3$	15.4 46.5	3.72 5.49	2. 02 2. 28	1. 67 2. 16
16 <sup>d</sup>	ł	4.7	1	68	88.2	9.88	7.10	1.37
17 <sup>e</sup>	2.62	2.2	- 2 C	0.17 14 168	17.5 30.4 43.7	19.2 18.1 11.7	7.7 7.7 4.2	2.4 2.5

<sup>a</sup>The change during an experiment in no case exceeded 4%

.

b The time in each case represents that elapsed from the time of mixing.

 $^{c}100\%$  theory corresponds to equation 1 (or 2 when initial D/U is known).

~

<sup>d</sup>5.2 M LiC1. <sup>e</sup>7.6 M LiC1.

then determining the U content of the solution. In calculating the average oxidation state of the uranium, it was assumed that hydride-hydrogen was liberated with no loss by ceric oxidation (cf. Table I). The average oxidation state of uranium in the residue from one of the experiments was calculated as 2.36 and as 2.20 for the other. The total hydrogen evolved was not measured; its isotopic composition was found to correspond to 98.2%  $H_2$ , even though the reaction medium was completely deuterated. The chloride content of the residues was found to correspond to less than 1 chloride for each 35 uranium atoms.

The residue from experiment 18 was collected, washed in  $N_2$ -saturated dilute HCl, transferred to a glass plate and dried in vacuo. After drying, it was placed in the beam of an X-ray diffractometer. In Table IV, the peaks observed between 25° and 77° for 2 $\Theta$  are shown and are compared with those reported for UO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Table IV

#### Powder Diffraction Pattern for Residue (CuKa Source; 25° < 2 $\Theta$ < 77°)

Observed	28.3	32.7	47.0	55.7	58.5	68.6	75.9
Reported <sup>10</sup>	28.26	32.74	46.97	55.75	58.4	68.59	78.30

(10) H. J. Garrett and R. E. Brocklehurst, Wright Air Development Center Technical Report 57-381, p. 10 (1958). Sulfuric acid. --UH<sub>3</sub> was found to react vigorously in 9.4  $\underline{M}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the hydride was completely consumed in 16 hr. at room temperature. Some reduction at least of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> took place, as evidenced by the odor of H<sub>2</sub>S which became apparent when the reaction vessel was opened. The isotopic composition (H<sub>2</sub>:HD:D<sub>2</sub>) of the hydrogen formed was found to be 0.81:1.00:3.23.

A sample of UD<sub>3</sub> prepared in situ was brought into contact with 2.0 M  $H_2SO_4$ . The reaction began vigorously, but it virtually ceased within a few minutes, and after 16 hr. only 0.80% of the total hydrogen expected was evolved ( $H_2$ :HD:D<sub>2</sub> = 2.96:1.00:1.88).

<u>Phosphoric acid.</u> -- The reaction of  $UH_3$  with 85%  $D_3PO_4$  began vigorously and appeared to be complete after 26 days. The hydrogen evolved constituted 89.2% of that expected assuming that the hydride was  $UH_3$  ( $H_2:HD:D_2$ = 0.63:1.00:2.4).

<u>Hydrofluoric acid.</u> --The reaction of UD<sub>3</sub> with 1.4 <u>M</u> HF is vigorous, and the bulk of the UH<sub>3</sub> was found to be consumed in a few minutes. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 14 hr., and although a copious precipitate, presumably UF<sub>4</sub>, was present, it appeared to contain no black residue. The ratio H<sub>2</sub>:HD:D<sub>2</sub> was measured as 1.73:1.00:1.25 giving H/D = 1.27. The results at 4 <u>M</u> HF were not substantially different and in this experiment H<sub>2</sub>:HD:D<sub>2</sub> = 1.60:1.00:0.97 with H/D = 1.43.

#### B. <u>The Reaction of Uranium Hydride in</u> Solutions of Various Oxidizing Agents

A differentiation of the results obtained when hydrogen ion is the only oxidizing agents, and those obtained when other oxidizing agents are present seems appropriate for two reasons. All of the oxidizing agents which were used except hydrogen ion are capable of oxidizing hydrogen and it is a matter of interest to learn whether hydrogen can be liberated when the hydride reacts in such solutions; in addition, the majority of the oxidizing agents  $(UO_2^{++})$  and hydrogen ion are the only exceptions) under the conditions used have the capability of oxidizing uranium to the +6 state. In fact, striking differences in behavior are noted when on the one hand hydrogen ion is the only oxidizing agent, and when on the other, stronger oxidizing agents are used. The results obtained with a number of oxidizing agents are summarized in Table V.

No residue was left in the experiments using  $\text{FeCl}_3$  or Ce(IV) as oxidizing agents, and the visual evidence suffices for the conclusion that the dissolution was complete in these experiments. The reaction with  $\text{FeCl}_3$  in concentrated acid was very rapid and was substantially complete in 5 min. The reactions in alkaline  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and with Ce(IV) in  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  are also very rapid, and the long reaction time was chosen only to ensure that reaction was really complete and to gain some assurance that rapid equilibration of hydrogen with the product solution does not take place. With  $\text{TlCl}_3$  as oxidizing agent, TlCl is formed, and we judge from the white color of the final solid that oxidation was complete also in this case. Likewise, we judge from the yellow color of the uranyl peroxide residue that oxidation with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  was complete. When  $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{\frac{1}{6}}$  is the oxidizing agent, reaction is vigorous, and a brown sludge, presumably uranyl ferro- or ferri-cyanide is produced. In spite of the vigor displayed by the reaction in the early stages, the process was apparently not complete in the reaction mixture left for 12 hours; however, since recovery

Table <b>\</b>	7
----------------	---

Stoichiometry	and Is	otopic	Course	of the	Reaction	of Uranium
Hydride with Various Oxidizing Agents						

Reactants	D or H/U	Time hrs.	Hydrogen, <sup>a</sup> % Theory	H <sub>2</sub> /HD	D <sub>2</sub> /HD
$UH_{3}; 0.9 \text{ M FeC1}_{3}$ in $D_{2}O$	Mar ver	72	89	32.3	0.03
UD <sub>3</sub> ; 1.2 <u>M</u> FeC1 <sub>3</sub> , 15 <u>M</u> HC1 in H <sub>2</sub> O	2.96	20	118	3.45	4.64
$\begin{array}{c} \text{UH}_{3}; \ 1.5 \text{ M} \ \text{TlCl}_{3} \\ \text{in } D_2 O \end{array}$		16	84.2	31.1	0.13
$UD_3$ ; 1.0 M Fe(CN) $_6^{\Xi}$ , 0.7 M HCl in H <sub>2</sub> O	2.93	12	89.5	2.0	68.3
$UD_3; 1.1 \underline{M} Fe(CN)_6^{\Xi}, 1.0 \underline{M} HC1 in H_2O$	2.42	95	93.9	2.0	49.0
UH <sub>3</sub> ; 1.0 <u>M</u> AgNO <sub>3</sub> in D <sub>2</sub> O		0.5	97	40.6	0.04
UD <sub>3</sub> ; 1.0 <u>M</u> H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1.1 N H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		16	96.0	0.66	33.1
UD <sub>3</sub> : 1.0 <u>M</u> H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>		14	69.5	0.5	26.4
$UD_3$ ; 1.0 M H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>4</sub> C1-NH <sub>3</sub> at pH 8.9	2.94	12	94.1	0.24	105
UD3; 0.22 <u>M</u> Ce(IV) 2 N H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>		15		0.39	29.9
UD <sub>3</sub> ; 0.22 <u>M</u> Ce(IV) 2 N H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	2.94	16	101	0.78	54.7

<sup>a</sup>100% theory taken as conforming to equation 3.

and the second of

of D was essentially complete for the mixture left to react for 95 hr., the reaction must have been complete in this case. The reaction of uranium hydride with  $AgNO_3aq$ . proceeds rapidly. Since recovery of  $H_2$  was approximately 96% of that assumed for a hydride of composition  $UH_3$ , it is likely that the hydride was completely consumed in this case. U(VI) appeared to be the main product of each of the reactions summarized in Table VI.

Several experiments were done with  $O_2$  present in the acidic solutions, but the observations will be described in some detail only for the experiment which was the most complete.  $UD_3$  (but with the initial composition not defined) was used in a medium 4.8 M in HCl and with  $O_2$  at 0.4 atm. pressure. After 5 days the hydrogen evolved corresponded to 74% of the theoretical as defined by equation 3 assuming n = 3; the ratio  $D_2$ :HD:H<sub>2</sub> in the gas was measured as 12.0:1.00:0.94. It is entirely likely that hydride was left in the copious black residue which remained, yet the average oxidation number of the U in solution exceeded 4, and was found to be 4.32. The black residue was separated, washed with HCl aq. and dried. Its oxygen isotopic composition was found to correspond closely to that of the medium which was of normal isotopic composition; the O<sub>2</sub> gas was 8.07-fold enriched in O<sup>18</sup>.

 $UO_2SO_4$  at 0.99 <u>M</u> in 1.4 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was also used as the oxidizing mixture acting on UD<sub>3</sub>. In 40 hr., reaction was only 4.6% complete. D<sub>2</sub>:HD:H<sub>2</sub> in the gas was measured as 4.70:1.00:2.00.

#### C. Other Hydrides

<u>Cerium hydride</u>. --The reaction of  $CeH_3$  in 10 N  $D_2SO_4$  was complete in 5 hr. Isotopic analysis of the gas showed the ratio  $H_2:HD:D_2$  to be 0.180:1.00:0.196 with D/H = 1.02. In an experiment using NaOD in  $D_2O$  as the reaction mixture, successive samples of gas were taken. Although the amount of gas in each sample was not determined it is of interest to record that the ratio D/H for successive samples changed from 1.19 to 4.8 to 5.1 for samples collected after 2 hours, after 4 hours more and after 16 hours more. When 1 M AgNO<sub>3</sub> in  $D_2O$  was used as oxidizing mixture reaction was again rapid--it was apparently complete in 15 min. --and in the gas evolved  $H_2$ :HD:D<sub>2</sub> = 7.38:1.00:0.093.

<u>Titanium hydride.</u> --No hydrogen was detected after a period of one year for titanium hydride in contact with 2.3 M TlCl<sub>3</sub>. The solution in the vessel was colorless, and no white precipitate of TlCl was observed. Nor was hydrogen detected after three days when the hydride was added to 0.27 M Ce(IV) in 2 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. In Table VI data are presented for two experiments concerned with the reaction of the hydride (presumed to be TiH<sub>2</sub>) with 15 M and 7.5 M DCl. The reaction was initially slow in both cases, but became vigorous after a few minutes. It was complete by the time the last sample was taken for 15 M DCl but not for 7.5 M.

<u>Zirconium hydride.</u> --The evolution of hydrogen from zirconium hydride was slow even in 15 <u>M</u> HC1. Since the hydride had been exposed to air, this behavior probably resulted from the coating of  $ZrO_2$  which was almost certainly laid down on the material. The gas collected after one week was barely sufficient for mass-spectrometric analysis. It showed the ratios  $D_2$ :HD:H<sub>2</sub> as 3. 1:1.00:1.08.

Tab	le VI
-----	-------

State + craw

-

Sample	Time, hr.	D <sub>2</sub> /HD	H <sub>2</sub> /HD	D/H	
		15 <u>M</u> DC1			
1	1	1.75	0.98	1.52	
2	2	1.71	0.94	1.55	
3	3	1.70	0.97	1.50	
4	4	1.80	0.97	1.56	
5	15	2.03	1.05	1.63	
		7.5 M DC1			
1	1	1.52	0.39	2.3	
2	2	1.68	0.39	2.5	
3	3	1.82	0.37	2.7	
4	4	2.07	0.33	3.1	
5	20	2.15	0.32	3.2	
6	21	2.25	0.31	3.4	
7	24	2.40	0.30	3.6	

Dissolution of TiH<sub>2</sub> in DCl Solutions

#### Discussion

The experiments of Table II confirm the conclusion that when concentrated (16 M) hydrochloric acid is the oxidizing medium, the dominant form of hydrogen is made up with one atom derived from the medium and the other from the hydride. They also lead to the conclusion that the H of the  $UH_3$  is largely transferred to the gas phase in the deuterated reaction medium so that little exchange of hydride with hydrogen of water takes place. This follows because for the reaction:

 $UH_3 + 3D^+ = U^{+3} + 3(H) + 3(D),$ 

the expected ratio D/H when no exchange occurs is 1.00. In experiment 3, the value of D/H observed is only slightly in excess of this, and a small excess is to be expected because some  $U^{+4}$  was almost certainly formed. In experiments 2 and 4, the reaction of  $U^{+3}$  to  $U^{+4}$  was certainly complete, and for this experiment the ratio of D/H is close to the theoretical value of 1.33 expected for complete oxidation with no exchange. Since the dissolution of the solid was not complete in any case, slight deviations from ideal stoichiometry are ascribable to this cause, but the amount of unreacted material could not have exceeded 10% in experiment 2 or 4.

Experiments 9, 10, 12, 13 and 19 agree on the conclusion that when the reaction medium acting on  $UH_3$  is ~9.5 M DCl, the principal forms of hydrogen become  $H_2$  and  $D_2$  rather than HD. In these experiments, too, the hydride-D is conserved: i.e. there is little exchange with the solvent. Thus, if it is assumed for experiment 19 that the hydride which has not reacted has the same composition as the starting material, the ratio of H/D computed for no D exchange is 4/2.91 = 1.38 compared to 1.41 observed. For experiment 13, in which consumption of UD<sub>3</sub> was most complete, theory and experiment compare as 1.36 and 1.44. For experiment 9, theory calls for H/D > 1.33 (where this is the value if the hydride has the theoretical composition UD<sub>3</sub>.00) and the experimental value is 1.35.

The variations in the ratios H/D with extent of reaction show no uniform behavior, and the rates of reaction also are not closely reproducible. At lower concentrations of HCl (see exp. 15 with HCl at 4.7 <u>M</u>) the reaction rate becomes much less. HD becomes a much more important component of the gaseous mixture, and the H/D ratio shows that there is extensive exchange of D in UD<sub>2</sub> with the environment.

Anions exert a profound effect on the results when hydrogen ion is the oxidizing agent. Thus, comparison of experiment 16 (4.7 M HCl, 5.2 M LiCl) with 15 shows that LiCl restores the behavior of the system to that characteristic of 9.5 M HCl as the reaction medium. Even in relatively dilute HF, the reaction of uranium hydride is quite rapid.  $H_2$ , HD and  $D_2$  are all present in approximately equal amounts. However, very little exchange of hydride-hydrogen with the solvent takes place. This particular result contrasts with the corresponding one obtained with 85%  $H_3PO_4$  as the reaction medium.

Of the oxidizing agents that are capable of oxidizing U all the way to the +6 state, Ce(IV) exemplifies an ideal type of behavior: All the hydride hydrogen appears as gaseous product, and very little besides this. Deviations from this ideal behavior will be referred to in the discussion of the results.

The results obtained in this study can be understood by postulating a barrier layer; in fact the results at 9.5 <u>M</u> HCl which show that hydridehydrogen and solvent hydrogen are kept effectively apart seem to be proof that a barrier layer exists under these conditions. Dissolution of the barrier layer and diffusion through it govern the behavior which we observed. Thus when very concentrated hydrochloric acid is the oxidizing agent, the barrier layer is thin, and perhaps non-existent for much of the reaction time so that reaction takes place largely by direct union of hydride with hydrogen ion.

1

At lower acid, say 9.5 <u>M</u> HCl, the barrier layer is thicker; its nature is such that  $e^-$  (or positive holes) and  $O^-$  move more freely than do U cations or hydrogen ion. As a result, electrons flow outward (or positive holes inward) through the layer reducing the external oxidizing agent. The uranium being more oxidizable than the hydrogen in uranium hydride is converted to a cation at the barrier-hydride interface and there it reacts with incoming  $O^-$ . The hydrogen is not oxidizable in contact with hydride and is liberated in elementary form. When Ce(IV) rather than  $H^+$  is the external oxidizing agent, the process is affected only to the extent that the reaction is more rapid because the barrier layer reacts with Ce(IV), and because Ce(IV) rather than  $H^+$  absorbs the electrons that flow through the barrier layer from the solid hydride.

In view of the identification made of the residue from the reaction of hydrochloric acid with uranium hydride, it seems entirely reasonable to assume, as was done by Waber, <sup>11</sup> that the barrier layer is composed of UO<sub>2</sub>.

(11) J. T. Waber, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, TID-7587 (1959), pp. 307-387.

In every major respect, the oxide has properties needed for a barrier layer acting as described in the previous paragraph. The volume change when  $UH_3$  changes to  $UO_2$  is only about 11%, and thus the strain resulting from the transformation should not be large.  $UO_2$  is a p type semiconductor, <sup>12</sup> requiring an activation of only 0.4 e.u. to free a positive carrier from an interstitial

<sup>(12)</sup> P. K. Willardson, J. W. Moody and H. C. Goering, J. Inorg. and Nuclear Chem., <u>6</u>, 19 (1958).

position, thus it seems reasonable that electron conduction (by positive hole transfer) would be more facile than proton transport. Self-diffusion<sup>13, 14</sup> and marker<sup>15</sup> experiments have shown that oxide ion and not  $U^{+4}$  ion is the

(13) J. Belle and B. Lustman, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, TID-7546, 1957, p. 442.

(14) A. B. Auskern and J. Belle, J. Chem. Phys., 28, 171 (1958).

(15) J. G. Schnizlein, J. D. Woods, J. D. Bingle and R. C. Vogel, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>107</u>, 783 (1960).

mobile species in UO<sub>2</sub>. The thermodynamic properties of UO<sub>2</sub> are such that though it is stable with respect to  $UH_3 + H_2O$ , it is unstable with respect to  $U^{+4}$  and  $H_2O$  in strong acid. The latter conclusion follows from the values of  $\Delta F^{O}$  for  $UO_2(s)^{16}$  and  $U^{+4}$  and  $H_2O^{17}$  which have been recorded. These

(16) E. G. Huber, C. E. Holley and E. H. Meyerhoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>74</u>, 3406 (1952).

(17) W. M. Latimer, "Oxidation Potentials," Prentice-Hall, Inc., 2nd edition, pp. 302, 39.

give as equilibrium quotient for the reaction

$$UO_2 + 4H^+ = U^{+4} + 2H_2O$$

the value of  $6 \times 10^3$ . Thus UO<sub>2</sub> would not be expected as an equilibrium product in  $1 \text{ M H}^+$ . The rates of dissolution are slow. and the many vagaries of the system can be ascribed to the differing rates of dissolution of oxide under different conditions.

Thus, the influence that the anions exert on the rate and isotopic behavior when  $H^+$  is the oxidizing agent may find an explanation in terms of differing rates of dissolution of oxide when different anions are present. It seems possible that the affinity which  $U^{+4}$  has for  $Cl^-$  is exploited in bringing about more rapid dissolution of  $UO_2$  when  $Cl^-$  is present. Similarly,  $F^$ and  $PO_4^-$  may keep the oxide layer very thin cr remove it altogether, in these cases exploiting the stabilities of the fluoride and phosphates of  $U^{+4}$ . The dramatic decline in rate which is observed as the reaction in dilute HCl progresses finds the reasonable explanation that since no ready means of dissolving the oxide is at hand, it continues to build up. The more rapid rates observed when oxidizing agents known to dissolve  $UO_2$  are present are ascribable to the fact that the barrier layer will be thinner in these cases.

While it is necessary to invoke the dissolution of the barrier film as a factor in understanding the results, it seems necessary in addition to assume that attack by  $H_2O$  (to form  $UO_2$ ) and by  $H^+$  are competing processes. Reaction of the hydride with  $H_2O$  forms  $UO_2$ ; when the oxide film is kept from developing, direct reaction of protons from the solvent with hydride hydrogen becomes possible. The experiment with FeCl<sub>3</sub> in concentrated HCl shows that the direct action is not limited by hydrogen ion; for in this experiment, conducted with  $UD_3$ , the total amount of D recovered in the gas is considerably less than that brought in with  $UD_3$ , and it is entirely reasonable to assume that in this case the hydride-hydrogen is not protected from oxidation by the ferric chloride. The equation expressing the stoichiometry of the reaction of  $UD_{2.96}$  with FeCl<sub>3</sub> in concentrated HCl is as follows:

5.46 Fe(III) + UD<sub>2.96</sub> + 0.54 H<sup>+</sup> = 1.98 [D] + 1.52 [H] + U(VI) + 5.46 [Fe(II)].

The conservation of the hydride-hydrogen in the other experiments with oxidizing agents at lower concentrations of acid thus argues for the presence of a barrier layer in each of these cases. The effect which is the most difficult to understand is the exchange of hydride-hydrogen with solvent-hydrogen which is observed under some conditions. The extent of this exchange was quite large in the experiment with 4.7 <u>M</u> HCl. It appeared also in the experiment with  $H_3PO_4$  as oxidizing agent, to a small extent (probably) when  $Fe(CN)_6^{\Xi}$  is the oxidizing agent, and to a considerable extent in the experiment with  $O_2$  as oxidizing agent. The experiments with concentrated HCl as the oxidizing agent show that direct contact of solvent-hydrogen with hydridehydrogen by no means is sufficient to ensure the exchange. In these experiments, hydride-hydrogen was transferred almost quantitatively to the gas phase. It is possible that under some conditions the barrier layer is permeable to H and under these conditions the exchange takes place.

Two points in connection with the observations made with  $O_2$  as oxidizing agent seem worthy of special mention. The oxygen in the oxide layer was found to have been derived from the solvent rather than the  $O_2$ . Although the result is consistent with the hypothesis we have advanced, it does not provide additional support. Exchange of massive  $UO_2$  with solvent is known not to be rapid, but exchange at the surface as  $UO_2$  develops slowly may be rapid enough to ensure the isotopic identity of the  $UO_2$  and solvent oxygen. It appears fairly definite that the reaction of  $UO_2$  in 4.8 <u>M</u> HCl with oxygen present is more rapid than when HCl alone is used. In the experiment, the average oxidation state of U in solution was found to be 4.3. The enhanced reaction rate may result from the fact that the oxide layer contains oxygen in excess of the stoichiometry  $UO_2$ . The oxygen self-diffusion coefficient in  $UO_2.064$ has been shown to be 15.4 times as rapid as it is in  $UO_{2.004}$ .

(18) J. Belle and A. B. Auskern, "Kinetics of High Temperature Processes," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1959, pp. 44-49.

It is interesting to compare the reactions of  $MgH_2$ ,  $UH_3$  and palladium hydride with Ce(IV) in solution. When  $MgH_2$  is the reactant, Ce(IV) is not at all involved in the net change.

$$MgH_2 + 2H^+ = Mg^{+2} + 2H_2$$

When UH<sub>3</sub> is used, the metal is oxidized, but not the contained hydrogen

$$UH_3 + 6Ce(IV) + 2H_2O = UO_2^{++} + 6Ce^{+3} + 3/2H_2 + 4H^{+}$$

When PdH<sub>2</sub> reacts, the contained hydrogen, but not the metal, is oxidized.

$$PdH_n + nCe(IV) = Pd + nCe^{+++} + n/2H_2$$

The results obtained with cerium hydride and titanium hydride though meager, do lead to some definite conclusions. The experiment with  $D_2SO_4$  as oxidizing mixture shows that the sample of hydride used had nearly the theoretical formula CeH<sub>3</sub> and that the contained hydrogen is transferred almost quantitatively to the gas phase. This follows because D/H was found to be 1.02 and thus X in the equation

$$Ce H_X + 3D^+ = Ce^{+3} + 3[D] + X[H]$$

is at least 2.94. In alkaline solution, there is considerable loss of H to solvent. Furthermore, the proportion of deuterium in the gas evolved was found to increase with each succeeding sample, thus indicating exchange of the bulk hydride with the solvent. Reasoning similar to that applied to the reaction of  $D_2SO_4$  with CeH<sub>3</sub> when applied to the observation for titanium hydride with 15 <u>M</u> DCl shows that the stoichiometry of the reaction is close to the ideal equation

$$Ti H_2 + 3D^+ = Ti^{+3} + H_2 + 3/2 D_2.$$

(D/H is only slightly in excess of the ideal value of 1.5.) With more dilute HCl, the reaction is less rapid. Under these conditions, D/H is far in excess of the ideal value of 1.5. Since D/H increases with succeeding samples, progressive exchange of the hydride with the solvent is indicated. The experiments with strong oxidizing agents TICl<sub>3</sub> and Ce(IV) suggest that a strongly adhering coat of TiO<sub>2</sub> is formed. TiO<sub>2</sub> does not dissolve in the oxidizing mixtures, and in forming the oxide in the highest oxidation state, the oxidizing agent seals itself off from the hydride.

Acknowledgment. --This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract Nonr-2121(16).

\*