UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD311630

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

confidential

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; DEC 1957. Other requests shall be referred to Army Materiel Command, Alexandria. VA.

AUTHORITY

31 Dec 1969, DoDD 5200.10; AMC D/A ltr, 4 Feb 1975

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD 311630L

CONFIDENTIAL

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CAMERON STATION. ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA



NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

NOTICE:

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEAN-ING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.



30

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT 6-9-6A1(3)

OFFICE,	CHIEF OF ORDNANCE DECEMBER 1957 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•
	NATERIEL CONDAND BY THE ARM	
	MATERIEL RESEARCH STAFF,	
	INTURPOTTY OF PIPPONDON	

UNDER CONTRACT DA-36-034-A

National

the

affecting

any

1n law

contents

Section of its co

с. С within information

ໍ່

18,

Title United

Laws,

Espionage

the document

님

Defense

"This

States ; ;

contains

λq

an unauthorzied person is prohibited

revelation

the

transmission or

Its

to t

TBUUR

and 794. the

793

넝

the meaning

0F

DEVELOPMENT

3785(X) 105-MM HIGH-EXPLOSIVE-PLASTIC SHELL, M327 (T81E28) (U)

Prior to our entry into World War II, it was realized that the large-caliber and more heavily armored combat vehicles being used in increasing numbers would make it necessary to furnish armor-defeating ammunition to units that heretofore had been protected by reason of their being echeloned in depth. Consequently, the development of a monobloc shot for 105-mm howitzers was approved in July 1941.

At the time authorization for this development was given, it was stated that (1) this shot should be of the same general design as that of the British 6-pounder, (2) it should not have a windshield, (3) it should have the same weight as the high-explosive (HE) shell (33 pounds), and (4) it should have a tracer. Such a projectile was designed and tested but proved to be little better than standard 75-mm armor-piercing shot against 3-inch armor plate at an obliquity of 20°. By the same authority setting up the requirement for the monobloc shot, however, work had been going on to develop a high-explosive antitank (HEAT) shell. This shell was adopted as standard in February 1942 as the M67 and retained this classification until April 1957, at which time it was replaced by the M327 (T81E28) highexplosive-plastic (HEP) shell and made limited standard.

The Ordnance Corps first became interested in HEP shell in 1947. by which time British experiments had shown the feasibility of applying this principle in their "squash-head" shell to defeat armor. Unlike kinetic-energy shot, which pierce or punch their way through armor, or HEAT shell, which use a jet of ultrahigh-velocity fragments to gain entrance, HEP shell do their damage without necessarily penetrating the plate. This is made possible by a carrier with a thin

Other atata adpies Compand shall request through ΠΓΖ **RELATED TIR'S** 105-mm Howitzer, T96El Development of 105-mm Ammunition 105-mm HEAT Shell, T131 Series 105-mm HE Shell, M1 (Double-Wall) 105-mm Chemical Shell, M360 105-mm Colored Marker HE Shell, M1 105-mm Illuminating Shell, T107 105-mm Propaganda Shell, T107E2 105-mm (106-mm) Canister, T310 Series from DDC. 1-55 TIR 1-1-2J2 JUL 3 0 **1964** 1-57 **TIR 6-9** 4-57 TIR 5-9-5A2(4)11-55 TIR 6-9-7A5(1) V TIR 6-9-7A10 Dey Α AVAILABILITY NOTICE 11-54 TIR . 6-9-8A2 anny mund directly 10-56 9-55 TIR 6-9-8A6(2) U.S. military agencies TIR 6-9-9A2 TIR 6-9-10A1(3) 9-57 TIR 6-9-11A3(2) 6-56 105-mm (106-mm) Canister, T310 Series users this report EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC 1 -REGRADING: DOD DIR 5200.13 02257 DOES NOT APPLY oual ified Regrading Data Cannot Be Predetermined P.

TIR 6-9-6A1(3)

105-MM HEP SHELL, M327 (T81E28)

nose that crushes upon contact, thus allowing the filler to spread over the plate just prior to detonation. The explosion of the filler sets up shock waves that spall the back of the plate, thus producing a lethal missile from the very armor that is intended for defense (see TIR E). To further investigate the possibilities of this type of ammunition, in October 1948 approval was given for a subproject under a main project, which had been authorized in October 1945, for the development of armor-defeating ammunition. This same action approved the development of the T81 shell, which was to serve as a prototype that would be capable of being fired from 105-mm howitzers and the T5E2 105-mm tank gun (the development of this gun, however, was terminated in September 1949).

In June 1949 the Office, Chief of Ordnance, furnished the contractor with a suggested design and the specifications for it. This shell, the basic T81, was to have a muzzle velocity of 1,550 feet per second when fired from a howitzer, and was to be capable of withstanding a maximum chamber pressure of 30,000 pounds per square inch. The basic components were a large-diameter base plug with a central orifice for holding a base-detonating fuze; a converted N84Bl 105-mm base-ejection, smoke-shell body (with the walls thinned down and the nose cut off); and a thin, drawn, ogival steel nose. The plug was screwed into the base of the projectile, into whose opposite end the nose was butt-welded. This design was agreed upon in July 1949 by representatives of Picatinny Arsenal and the contractor, who also concurred in the opinion that the investigation of the T81 should concern itself with the shape, length, material, thickness, and the hardness of the nose; also, to be considered were methods of affixing the nose and body together and the means of attaching a windshield if such an item were to be used (see accompanying chart). In addition, it was deemed advisable to make each modification differ from the basic design with respect to only one of the features listed above and to make the modifications in pairs with each of the modified designs varying from the T81 in different respects, such as a longer or a shorter nose and a longer or a shorter body.

In April 1950, the first firing tests of shell with the basic design were held at Aberdeen Proving Ground. The results showed that this two-piece shell could defeat 6 inches of brittle armor with a Charpy value of 8 foot-pounds, but not an equivalent thickness of rough armor having a Charpy value of 52 foot-pounds. It was felt, however, that the test was a success because it demonstrated the desirability of devoting additional work to the development of HEP shell. All of the subsequent shell of the T81 series, up to and including the T81E17, were two-piece models made from converted smoke shell and were designed to meet the same requirements that were established for the T81.

During the course of development, it was found that, because of its ductility, the nose of the two-piece shell tended to bulge in the region adjacent to the brazed joint when fired at muzzle velocities of about 1,300 feet per second. In an effort to find a solution to this problem, a meeting was held in October 1950, at which time it was decided that one-piece shell should be fabricated. The most practicable method of manufacture, it was agreed, was to draw the shell body and nose in one piece to form the internal contour, and then spin the nose shut to form the ogive. In order to conserve time and

- 2 -

105-MM HEP SHELL, M327 (T81E28)

TIR 6-9-6A1(3)

.

materials, the initial work was done on the T165Ell 75-mm shell, which was being developed for light tank guns. Tools and processes that proved successful were to be modified, as needed, for use in the production of 105-mm models.

After some initial one-piece shell had been fired, it was decided that such a design would be advantageous for all calibers, not only because its terminal-ballistic characteristics appeared to be at least as good as those of the best two-piece shell and its muzzle velocity higher, but also because it would cost less to produce the one-piece item; it could be fabricated with a greater degree of uniformity; the equipment on hand could be used to better advantage; and there was a larger supply of material available for its manufacture than was on hand for two-piece shell.

By November 1951 efforts were being made, wherever possible, to replace two-piece shell with the one-piece kind. The required performance characteristics for the T81E18 were as follows:

1.	Chamber pressure	- 30,000 pounds per square inch
2.	Design pressure	 - 33,600 pounds per square inch (112 per cent of operating pressure)
3.	Muzzle velocity	- 2,000 feet per second
4.	Terminal effect	- the ability to defeat a minimum of 5 inches of armor plate (35 to 40 Charpy) at obliqui- ties of 0° through 60°
5.	Accuracy	 comparable to that of the HE shell up to a range of 2,000 yards

The T81E18, having the same general shape as the T81E17, had an ogival nose and a square base, but, in place of a conventional, recessed base plug, it had a flanged plug with a flat copper gasket. This type of base closure had been tried on the 75-mm shell and proved to be an effective as well as an economical seal. Ballistically, this shell was to match the T131 105-mm HEAT shell, which was designed to have a muzzle velocity of 2,000 feet per second. Tests of the T81E18 indicated that it could spall 5-inch plate at 60° at striking velocities varying from 1,725 to 2,025 feet per second; no spalling occurred at striking velocities below 1,650 feet per second. Its probable error was 0.19 mil vertically and 0.50 mil horizontally at 1,000 yards when fired at a muzzle velocity of 2,050 feet per second as compared to 0.32 mil and 0.62 mil, respectively, for the M1 105-mm HE shell with a muzzle velocity of 1,550 feet per second.

When it was found that the T131 HEAT shell could not withstand a muzzle velocity of 2,000 feet per second, the requirement was lowered



()



- 3 -

DESIGN SUMMARY

				REASON		R E	CONSIDERATION	ERAT	N			
		[-	~		•	-	9	-		6	l	
	DESCHILLION	·	ľ	ľ	·			ŀ	ľ			
			T	M CON	¥		FILEB	Ĩ	N N			(Number below concepted to Neets to MM)
	An 184401 105-ww smole theil body fit-											1. Teres aptime armor place indicated these the basic define armor better results than the TWIGL. Thus, all later models had treel more.
Ē	red with an anwealed, 1010 steel, 12 gate, ogival shaped mose - aver-all tength, 15.55 mehes; as-fited weight, 20.51 poundes Hose lemeth, 5.2 imches.	×	×	×								2. Tests indicated that the edival most was better than the bemispherical of convex moses.
												 A true comparison could not be made because of dissimilar firing condi- tions.
THE	Like the basic T01 but with a 0,115- itch thick, ameraled copper, ogival shaped most.	×										 Tests against armer place indicated that the basic design was superior. Reag all later models had steel monets.
79162	Like the basic TB except for a beai- aphreited more - over-all lough 15.55 inches; as fired weight, 25 pounds.		×	×								 Terrs ladicated that a besingherical seaso suc inferior to an edivit seaso. There was a slight inducation that, in general, the heaper sease sus su- pariar to the shorter.
TBIE 3	Like the 701 axcept for a convex more - over-all length, 15.55 inches; as-fired weight, 25.5 pounds.		×									2. Testa indicated that a contex mose use inferior to an egival mose.
TBNEA	Like the basic TBN except for a shorter sous (4.0 inches) and a longer body to give sume over-all length of 15.55 inches - as-fired weight, 35.5 pommede.			×								 There we is a separat difference in the results. This shell was not consistent in spalling 3, 4, 5, or 6-inch armar.
Taics	Like the basic fit except the mase longth is 6 scens - ever-all langt, 15.6 inder: an-fleed weight, 21.9 pounds.			×	×	×						 Burr was an approved fulformers for cale predict, main swalling as an element of the state of the second former is control of the second second former is control of the second second former is control of the second second former is second to the second second for an and per second second second for an and per second second for an and per second second for an and per second second second for an and second second second second the satisfy of the second second second for a second second second second second second for a second second second second second for a second second second second second second second second second second second second for a second second
73466	Like the hasic Tal encope the mass lawer has a inchest - ever-all lawer, 15.35 inchest siz-fired wight, 22.5 permits.			×								3. There was no apparent difference in the reader. This shall was not consistent in publish 3, 4, 5, or Guinch atmet.
Tawer	Libe the TBIEJ erropt it has a dwrtila steel vindshield breased on the mose - sver-all length, 22,36 indoes,		×									 No tests were conducted imagenetics from the standard and a tendency to field up between the filler and the arter.

CONFIDENTIAL

ľ

0

0

CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN SUMMARY

				REA	REASON	FOR	CONSIDERATION	IDERA	NOLL		
BCSIGN	DESCRIPTION	-	~	•	•	3	•	-	•	2	LEMARCS
		INDRE NAL		NLIGHT) Martin		×	NLIM N		ł	Į	(Rentors beine conserved in faces in Laff)
THE	Like the TalkS current that the addre is "an-drawn" - over-all fragin, 15.55 Inches: an-fired weight, 13.5 powman.				×						4. There was apparently no large differ- ence in contact areas at 60° shipper ty.
180	Like the Third's except that the eqive is care-hardened to a depth of 0.003 to 1.000 inchest issues and or - over-all 1.000 inchest issues: an-fired weight, 11.3 penda.				×						4. There was apparently as large differ- ence in contact areas at 60° shippu- 57.
THEN	Like the THLS except it has a 7-date ative - antr-all locate, 15,55 lackes; at-fired weight, 23,7 pamale.					×					 It uss sectors that the Thullo us sector to the Thullo us factors to the Thull is period. It us contract the the the the the the factor to a thin man.
194511	Lite to Mills and the bear					×					 It was special that the WILL was special to be a the WILL was relative barrent, before the contra- relation to shift of the other to contract the shift of the other to distant of the list of the other to distant of 1111ar present.
THER	Libe the THLEJ encope it has a cart distant windshind and stituching ring as the "we - ever-all longity, 21, 79 inches; as-fired wight, 21, 5 penda.		×								 In firing tonis wer conducted innu- mer as tonis vich shall hring con- rest and us as a fortist of the second state of the second united afficial distribution.
NGHL	Life in 1935 be vich Literal gener ten in the boys and a wege ring to ten the boys and a wege to fait- are pooling action.									×	A This reliability different dation and provide the state of the state of the state provide the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the
THEF	Like the THIET except the hemiopherical more is Likebus leng - over-all length, 13.15 lacket.			×							3. New of these shall wro fired.
THEFT	ithe the THIS compt the mass is to bable 180, "second line", 184, but iteless to first with, 26, but		×	×							
J]			l S	CONFIDENTIAL	DE	E	F		

CONFIDENTIAL

•

.

,

DESIGN SUMMARY

				REASON		Б.	CONSIDERATION	DERA	N			
10.510	ne er bistion	-	~	m	•	5	6	F		6	₽	
		NOSE MATEMAAL	× ×	New J	Non an				ł	LENERN OF NORE ANNEAL		(Numbers bolies correspond to Nana to Mill)
Tøleit	Staniar to the f01116 ercept the mane in beging the f01116 ercept the mane in beging the stand bengin, 35,4 pamain, litches an fired bengin, 35,4 pamain,		×				×					 This shell prived to be tax spatter- tists of the lister, jugated to the original of the lister, jugated to ever the relativity of the tax of the lister of the lister of the original of the list comparison of the ever the list list comparison of the ever the list list comparison of the original terms of the lister of the relativity of the lister of the list of the lister of the list list comparison of the relativity of the lister of the list of the lister of the list list comparison of the relativity of the list list comparison of the relativity of the list list comparison of the relativity of the lister of the list of the lister of the list list comparison of the relativity of the lister of the list of the lister of the list list control of the relativity of the lister of the list of the lister of the lister of the list of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lister of the lis
TBIEIG	Same general shage as the TRLEF but Same general shage as the TRLEF but coper canner - vor-21 but and Ant taken; as-fired wright, uprovalance) 21.9 penned.										×	(B) This shall was designed to be a built listic constant was the built of the warrant shally with use the built of a maniparticle of a built of the attention of the built of the built of the built of the built warrant full walled and particle of the full walled and particle of the built officet.
THEFA	Lide De TALLA arcest the orier is an Lide de TALLA arcest the orier is an Artifica angest, 1.1.9 and.										×	D. This shell we designed to be effec- tive to remain weight of all of the first and an analysis with a shell of the first and all of the shell of the first and all of the shell of the first with a shell of the shell of the shell of a symbol the shell of the shell of a symbol the shell of the shell of a symbol of the shell of the shell of a symbol of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell of the shell
TauErs	Libe che 1912] but vich a thidher (9- Libe che 1912] but vich a thidher (9- 1826) 1826a										×	M. This projection was derigned to da- training the proving the proving the second the second programments are set of 1. the origination of the second ordered of direction and approximation of second price.
TBIEZO	Like the TB1E19 except for a leager (12-inchi agive and shorter body to achieve the same over-all leagth of 17.56 inches - as-fired weight, 24.3 pounds.										×	10. This shell we designed as a press- tion is the over that the energiece 1112 proved manifactory. Hen were fabricated sizes a mathicetery energiece shell we developed.
THEE	Similar to the T8120 except the Beat- till action of the conversed anote shell is removed and the ugive is thick- and marter - ever-all length, 15.32 inches: ar-fired wight, 24.3 peemds; ogive length, 10.3 inches.										×	An this shall we designed to increase the strong that stability of the Million. It was consultat, never- thermore of the manufacturers per- tensions of the Million barry-million thall.
THREEDC	Perign calls for certing two TBIRD and is mill and and a submatter and the free of an mill, and the year the start that and the formed the perbarate a per formed the period and - sub-all length, 16,04 periods.										×	M. This shall we designed as the realit of the poor sharing of the WHEN. For were sharing of the we define that the WHEN or WHEN would be satisfuctory.

0

CONFIDENTIAL DESIGN SUMMAN

≻	
ž	
A A	
SUMMARY	
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	i
0)	
Z	I
DESIGN	
S	
Ľ	

				R	REASON	Ę		SIDEF	CONSIDERATION			
of the lat	NSSCRIPTION.	-	8	3	Ŧ	5	9	2	8	6	õ	PC:NTm+
		NOSE MATERIAL	300 2414	NOME LENGTH	NAMENESS TRACTORES	THECHORY	STLLERS	BHELL LEBETN		LENGTN OF NORE	OTHER (SKE REMARKS)	(Rembers beine correspond to these to tell)
TBMEZI	Like the TOLEID except for a dispersion come in the mose.								×			6. No design study was mide.
TBIE22	Lite the TB1K20 except for a dispersion cose in the mose								×			9. No design study was made.
THE23	Like the T01E10 except that it is 1- inch longer - over-all length, 17,33 inches.							×				7. No tost roamits are available.
TBIESS	Like the 791210 oxcept it is annealed back to 5 inches from the tip of the nose.									×		 These Composition (1 filled shell press of composition (1 filled shell press of control of the shell shell the shell of the shell of the the Williss.
THEZS	Like the TB1210 except it is asserted buck to 7 inches from the tip of the buck.									×		 This shift, who filled with Comparison place as a shift of the size shift of the place and the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the fillen shift of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size shift of the size of the size of the size of the size of the size of the size of th
THIESS	Like the TA1210 except it is out inch longer and amented for 5 inches buck from the tig of the oute.						;			×		 Pie shell was a differing spaint right. These of spaintings and all rights. These of spaintings all takes or jections could before piece ar- by. at a strating wheely of 1,375 for.
TailE27	TOLE27 like the TOLE18 except it is 1-inch isoger.							×				 This shall, then leaded with Compar- sizing the una direction at striking without the ad from 1,115 or 1,475 the use at striking without at the same striking without at the laded with Compartition A1. It was income at the WIEM.
Talcza (ackr7)	The Milla, vith a wrrener flat coper gater sec as a second she of the anell - looded vith Comparition AJ.										×	An This shill we formerly the THILM, which we have peer the effective of theme torified with effective the THILM. Who for the for- the THILM. Who for a shift with the the THILM and the the for- tion of the third with the for- tion of the third with the for- the the the third with the the former of the third with the the the former of the the the the the the the former of the the the the the the the former of the the the the the the the the former of the

.

CONFIDENTIAL

~

.

0

.,.

TIR 6-9-6A1(3)

to 1,800 feet per second. This meant that, if the two shell were to match ballistically, the velocity of the T81E18 would also have to be lowered. This was not feasible, however, since it would result in too small a spread between the muzzle velocity of 1,800 feet per second and the minimum effective striking velocity of 1,650 feet per second; this spread, in fact, was so small that it limited the effective range to about 200 yards. In an attempt to meet the requirement, the design was changed to include an annealed nose. This shell designated the T81E18A - failed to spall 5-inch armor at 0° and 60° obliquity when striking at velocities of from 1,275 to 1,775 feet per second. As a result, consideration was given to the T81E19 and T61E20 two-piece shell and modifications of the latter (designs A, B, and C). Only the T81E19 was manufactured, and it proved to be unable to spall 5-inch armor at 55°.

To determine whether a dispersion cone might aid in increasing terminal effect, two designs - the T8LE21 and the T8LE22 - were considered. The T8LE21 was to be like the T8LE18 and the T8LE22 was to be similar to the T8LE20, but the two new designs called for a dispersion cone in the nose of each. No design study was made, however, so that no tests were run.

The additional models that followed the T81E22, up to and including the T81E28, were for the purpose of testing the effect of body length and nose length on terminal-ballistic performance. In addition, the noses of some of these models were to be annealed to various distances from their tips to see if terminal effectiveness was changed in any way thereby. The designation of the T81E18A was changed to T81E28 because it was felt advisable to assign new designations when the heat treatment used in the fabrication of any projectile was varied. A slight additional change was the employment of a narrower flat copper gasket, which was set in a recess in the shell base. It was found that, when loaded with Composition A3 in place of Composition C4, this projectile demonstrated a satisfactory terminal effectiveness at a striking velocity as low as 1,375 feet per second. Following user tests during the latter half of 1955, Continental Army Command (CONARC) recommended that the T81E28, having a muzzle velocity of 1,900 feet per second, be adopted as standard. This was approved by the Ordnance Technical Committee in April 1957, at which time the T81E28 was designated the M327. A muzzle velocity of 1,900 feet per second was chosen for the T81E28, because velocities higher than that affect stability adversely. It is believed that by redesigning the rotating band and by using inert nose pads developed in 1957 to prevent shock prior to the functioning of the fuze; it will be possible to fire the shell at higher velocities and improve the probability of a first-round hit. However, nothing has been done along these lines since there is now no requirement for the continued development of this shell.

Like the majority of HEP shell, the M327 differs structurally from conventional artillery shell by having a thin forward wall and ogive and by being loaded from the base end. A threaded steel base plug with a centrally threaded orifice for holding an M91Al basedetonating fuze closes off the rear of the projectile. The filler, 7.6 pounds of Composition A3, is further protected from the propelling gases by a flat copper gasket that seals the jointure between the

105-MM HEP SHELL, M327 (T81E28)

()

TIR 6-9-6A1(3)



CROSS SECTION OF 105-MM HEP SHELL, M327 (T81E28)

1.	Cartridge case	6.	Copper gasket
2.	Propelling-charge bag	7.	Felt washer
3.	Propellant	8.	Rotating band
4.	Primer		Fuze
5.	Tracer	10.	Felt disk
	ll. Explosive	charge	

shoulder of the base plug and the rim of the body. The fuze is sealed by a concentric, copper-backed, lead caulking ring. A pressed felt washer, between the filler and the face of the base plug, and a pressed felt disk, between the forward face of the fuze and the filler, reduce the shock imparted to the filler by setback. A single gilding-metal rotating band is pressed into a groove about the base of the projectile to complete the assembly.

A complete M327 round is assembled as a semifixed round consisting of the projectile, an M14Bl steel cartridge case, a single bagged propelling charge containing 58.15 ounces of M6 MP propellant, and an M28B2 percussion primer.

Terminal effectiveness tests have shown that 80 per cent of the. hits will defeat 5-inch rolled homogeneous plate (of 35 to 50 footpound Charpy value at -40° F and a Brinell hardness number varying from about 225 to 262), when striking at obliquities ranging from.0° to 60°. The remaining 20 per cent of the hits will produce hinged spalls or bulges on the rear face of the plate.

When the M327 was adopted as standard, it was stated that user tests of the Tl3lE3l l05-mm HEAT shell indicated that it may be more effective than the HEP shell for the defeat of armor but that its, present degree of accuracy is unacceptable. In keeping with CONARC's



TIR 6-9-6A1(3)

.

CONFIDENTIAL . 105-MM HEP SHELL, M327 (T81E28)

···· .

findings and proposals, quantity procurement of the M327 HEP shell is being held in abeyance pending the outcome of the development of the Tl31 series.

The following characteristics are for the M327 (T81E28) round only.

.

PRINCIPAL CHARACTERISTICS

Caliber Models of weapon in which	105 mm
used Cannon for SP howitzers Field cannon	M2A2, M4, M4A1, M49, T252 M2A1, M2A2
Projectile Weight, as fired	23.38 lb
Length with fuze	17.06 in
Charge Weight	Comp A3 7.6 lb
Stabilization Fuze	spin M91A1 BD
Cartridge case	M1481
Length Weight	14.64 in 5.9 lb
Propellant Weight	M6 3.64 lb
Primer	M28B2
Length of complete round Weight of complete round	29.08 in 33.45 lb
Performance Spalling of homogeneous	
armor 1,000 yd	5 in
2,000 yd Probability of hit	5 in
1,000 yd	
With range finder Without range finder	0.98 0.31
2,000 yd With range finder	0.17
Without range finder Probable error (H and V)	0.06 0.2 mil
Maximum tactical range (against armor)	2,000 yd
Muzzle velocity	1,900 fps



CONFIDENTIAL

-

•