UNCLASSIFIED

AD 297 333

Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

CATALOGED BY ASTIA AS AD NO 297333

297 333

GIIIIIID

GENERAL DYNAMICS | CONVAIR

Report No. 8926-106

Material - Corrodents and Corrosion Products -Solid Rocket Propellant Residues

Composition and Removal

G. N. Kruse, E. E. Keller, W. M. Sutherland

2 November 1959



Published and Distributed under Contract AF 33(657)-8926 MÓBEL DATE

REPORT_HO.

Report No. 8926-106

Material - Corrodents and Corrosion Products -Solid Rocket Propellant Residues

Composition and Removal

Abstract

Solid propellant combustion products resulting from firing 2.5 inch rockets from F-102 airplanes were found to consist of carbon, various organic materials, and magnesium or magnesium oxide. Twenty-nine materials were tested to determine their effectivity in removing heavy combustion product deposits. Three of these materials, Rocket Cleaners X2-6, X2-9 and X2-11, manufactured by Rocket Chemical Company, San Diego, California, were found suitable for effective and thorough combustion product removal.

Kruse, G. N., Keller, E. E., Sutherland, W. M., Reference: "Chemical Analysis and Removal of Solid Rocket Propellant Residues," General Dynamics/Convair

Report MP 59-204, San Diego, California, 2 November 1959. (Reference attached).

S

STRUCTURES-MATERIALS LABORATORIES

REPOR	T_MP-59-204				
DATE_	2 November 1959				
MODEL	F-102				

Materials and

GROUP Processes Laboratory

LOIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

SAN DIEGO

TITLE

REPORT NO. MP-59-204

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND REMOVAL

ÓF

SOLID ROCKET PROPELLANT RESIDUES

MODEL F-102

CONTRACT NO. AF 33(600)-33695

CHECKED BY: E. E. Keller CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland Group Engineer NO. OF PAGES NO. OF DIAGRAMS REFERENCE APPROVED BY Structures & Materials Laboratoric NO. OF DIAGRAMS REVISIONS							
NO.	DATE	BY	CHANGE	PAGES AFFECTED			
- M. AMPROPER CONT							
				promote a second control of the Cont			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
							
				S AND			
· was marriaged times town							
				g gart gart ag en			

PREPARED BY

ANALYSIS.
PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse
CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland
REVISED BY

CONVAIR

PAGE 1
REPORT NO. MP-59-204
MODEL F-102
DATE 11-2-59

Report No. MP-59-204
Chemical Analysis and
Removal of Solid Rocket
Propellant Residues - F102

INTRODUCTION:

Firing of the 2.5 in. rockets from the doors of the F=102 results in deposits of solid propellant combustion products being formed in the rocket tubes, on the tube doors which contain the firing mechanism, and on adjacent areas of the fuselage.

As these deposits accumulate, the bore of the tube becomes smaller until this becomes hazardous. In the presence of moisture the deposits become electrically conducting, causing electrical insulators in the firing mechanism to have a low resistance. This electrolyte could also cause excessive corrosion.

OBJECT:

- A. To chemically analyze the solid rocket propellant residues resulting from the firing of rockets from the doors of F102 aircraft.
- B. To develop and test a suitable cleaner to remove the rocket residues from the rocket tubes and firing mechanism.

CONCLUBIONS:

- A. The rocket residues are composed primarily of carbon, various organic materials, and magnesium, and/or magnesium oxide.
- B. The cleaners judged to be the most effective are listed in Table III.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Before any of the solutions, which are effective in removing the rocket residue, are tested on service aircraft, further qualification and development testing should be conducted, to insure that the cleaners are not injurious to metal, finish, or worker.

THET SPECIDENS:

Rocket Blast Doors (Part No. 8-54598), heavily coated with a burned-on rocket residue, were furnished by the Service Engineering Group for testing. The doors were fabricated from 70758 and 20248 aluminum alloys with firing pins of stainless steel. The gaskets insulating the firing pins from the rocket were made from Teflon.

CONVAIR SAN DIEGO

ARALYSIS
PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse
CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland

ŘĚVIŠÉĎ ŠÝ

PAGE 2 REPORT NO. MP-59-204 MODEL F-102 DATE 11-2-59

PROCEDURE & RESULTS:

A. Analysis of Residue

Qualitative spectrographic analysis indicated the presence of magnesium, aluminum and copper with traces of tin, iron, silver, cadmium, lead and zinc.

Qualitative chemical analysis indicated the presence of carbon. Quantitative chemical analysis of the residue for magnesium indicated 4.5% magnesium.

The residue, which undoubtedly, is a mixture of decomposition products of the initial solid propellant, showed a well defined infrared spectrum. A sharp absorption at $2080\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of an azide group as this absorption is most probably due to the stretching frequency of -N=N=N-. The sample also showed an absorption at $2175\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ which is most probably attributed to an alkyl isonitrile and possibly an ethyl isonitrile group of the structure C2R5-N=C. These two sharp absorption bands appeared to be the most readily identified and most meaningful.

B. Cleaners for Removing Residue

A cleaner for the rocket residue should meet the following specifications:

- 1. Remove residue with minimum concentration.
- 2. Have pour point of -65°P or lower.
- 3. Be relatively non-flammable.
- 4. Be relatively non-toxic (both vapors and liquid).
- 5. Not soften finish.
- 6. Be non-corrorive to aluminum or steel.
- 7. Evaporate in air leaving little or no residue which would be conductive with moisture.
- 8. Non-conductive in liquid phase.
- 9. Rinse off with minimum of other solvents.
- 10. Not to be too expensive.

To test the effectiveness of various cleaning materials, the following screening tests were used.

1. Removal of Residue

The cleaner was placed on the specimen by means of a stirring rod and allowed to remain for a maximum of five minutes and then was removed by wiping the specimen with a piece of cheesecloth. Results of these tests and the names of cleaning materials evaluated are listed in Table I.

ANALYSIS
PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse
CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland
REVISED BY

SAN DIEGO

PAGE 3 REPORT NO. MP-59-204 MODEL F-102 DATE 11-2-59

PROCEDURE & RESULTS: (Continued)

B. Cleaners for Removing Residue (Cont'd)

2. Low Temperature Tests

About 150 ml of the cleaner being tested was placed in a 250 ml beaker and submerged in an isopropyl alcohol and dry ice bath. A calibrated thermometer was placed in the cleaner to measure the temperature of the cleaner. The cleaner was observed for viscosity changes, precipitation or solidification. Only those materials which readily removed the rocket residues were tested for their low temperature properties. Test results are listed in Table II.

3. <u>Electrical Conductivity Properties</u>

The cleaner being tested was placed in a conductivity cell rinsed with the cleaner. The electrodes were placed in the solution and allowed to remain for two minutes before the readings were taken. An observer was used to read the ohms of resistance of the cell. Test results are listed in Table III. The results tabulated are only comparative in showing the differences between the various cleaners.

NOTE: Data from which this report was prepared are recorded in Materials & Processes Laboratory Notebooks, Nos. 3022, 3037 and 3047.

ANALYSIS PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse RÉVISED BY

CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland

SAN DIEGO

PAGE 4 REPORT NO. 1/2-59-204 MODEL F-102 DATE 11-2-59

TARLE I

	Cleaner	Results
1.	l gram chromic acid dissolved in 100 ml alcohol	No cleaning action
ž.	3 grams citric acid dissolved in 100 ml alcohol	11 11 11
3•	20 ml glacial acetic acid in 80 ml trichoroethylane	Removed residue with rubbing
4.	WD-40, manufactured by Rocket Chemical Co., San Diego	No cleaning action
۶.	Oakite Composition #56	<u>1</u>) 11 11
6.	Oakite Composition #117, manufactured by Oakite Products Co., New York 6, New York	11 11 11
7•	5 grams Phenol dissolved in 100 ml alcohol	Slight action on sur- face
8.	20 ml glacial acetic in 80 ml alcohol	Removed surface residue
9. 10.	TEC 807-12) manufactured by TEC Chemical Co.) 524-S. Monterey Pass Road, Monterey TEC 901-D) Park, Calif.	Slightly removed residue with hard rubbing.
11. 12. 13.	Rocket Cleaner x2-6) manufactured by Rocket	All three products removed residue effectively and completely.
14.	Carbon Disklfide	No effect
15.	Methylene Chloride	11 11
16.	Triethanolamine	
17.	Hydrogen Peroxide	Soften surface of residue
18.	Napthenic Acid	No effect
19.	Benzoic Acid in Alcohol	ft tt
20.	Aminoacetic Acid in Alcohol	ti n

' Analysis Prepared B

REVISED BY

PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland

CONVAIR

SAN DIEGO

PAGE 5 REPORT NO. MP-59-204 MODEL F-102 DATE 11-2-59

TABLE I (Continued)

	Cle	mer	بمدحج	and the same of th			Results
21.	Butyl Alcoho	51					No effect
22.	Ethylene For	mate					Soften surface of residue
23.	Aceto Acetic	Este	ř				Soften surface of residue
24.	5% Phosporio	e Acid	in	Ālc	ohol.		Soften surface of residue
25.	10% "	11	11	IŤ			Soften surface of residue
26.	20% "	11	11	11			Removed surface of residue
27.	5% "	n	ŧi	Íso	Propyl	Alcohol	Softened surface of residue
28.	10% "	11	**	11	11	**	Softened surface of residue
29.	20% "	**	11	19	11	11	Removed surface of residue

The alcohol used was a denatured alcohol composed of 95% Ethanol and 5% Methanol unless otherwise specified.

PORM 1018~

ANALYSIS
PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse
CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland

RÉVISÉD BY

CONVALI

SAN DIEGO

PAĞĒ

REPORT NO. 12-59-204 MODEL F-102

DATE 11-2-59

TABLE II LOW TEMPERATURE TESTS

Only those mixtures that removed the residue were tested.

Cleaner	Viscosity at -54°C (-65°F)		
20 ml Glacial Acetic Acid in 30 ml alcohol	It pours freely - not viscous		
TEC+807-12	White precipitate formed on bottom and sides of beakers at -30°C(-22°F)		
TEC-901D	White precipitate formed at =45°C (-49°F)		
Rocket x2-6	Will pour at -54°C (-65°F)		
Rocket x2-9	Will pour at -54°C (-65°F)		
Rocket x2-11	Will pour at -54°C (-65°F) *;		

20 ml Glacial Acetic Acid in Trichloroethylene

Thickened at -25°F.

^{*} The least viscous of the three rocket products.

ANALYSIS

CONVAIR

SAN ĎIĖĠO

PAGE 7

REPORT NO. MP-59-204 MODEL F-102

DATE 11-2-59

PREPARED BY G. N. Kruse

CHECKED BY W. M. Sutherland

REVISED BY

REGULTS:

TABLE III

ELECTRICAL COMDUCTIVITY PROPERTIES

The electrical resistances were as follow:

20 ml Glacial Acetic Acid in 80 ml Departured Alcohol	3.5 x 10 ⁵ ohms
Denstured Alcohol*	4.8 x 10 ⁶ ohms
Rocket Cleaner X2-6	1.5 x 10 ³ ohms
Rocket Cleaner X2-9	1.8 x 10 ³ ohns
Rocket Cleaner X2-11	1.9 x 10 ³ obse

^{*} Alcohol by itself was not effective as a cleaner but was tested and included as a reference for measuring conductivity.