UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD003306 NEW LIMITATION CHANGE TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; Sep 1952. Other requests shall be referred to Office of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5660. **AUTHORITY** ONR ltr, 26 Oct 1977

Reproduced by

Armed Services Technical Information Agency DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, OHIO

UNCLASSFIED

NO.3306

Mediated Generalization Among Synonyms*

Charles No Cofer and Thomas J. Ford University of Maryland

3306

Previous investigations have indicated that generalization of conditioning will occur from one word to another, even though the words in question possess as similarity of appearance or sound. Generalization thus has been shown to occur on the basis of synonymity, antonymity and a relationship described as a species—genus relationship (Razran, 10, Riess, 11, Goodwin, Long & Welch, 6, Foley & Cofer, 4, Cofer, Jamis and Rowell, 2, Wylie, 14, and others). Such findings have a good deal of importance in the understanding of language functions (1, of, Miller, 9) and in the understanding of stimulus equivalence. Generalization of conditioning of the kinds enumerated above is associated with a learned (quivalence of the stimulus words and has been nessed mediated generalization.

Name of the details of this process of mediated generalization among words remain to be explored. One detail is the subject of investigation of the present study. The problem that was investigated was this: Would generalization occur to synonyms of a word in amounts corresponding to the closeness of meaning of the synonyms to the original word? Although technically synonymity means close similarity in the meaning of two or more words, it seems likely that in general language usage one synonym may be regarded as more synonymous than others to a particular word. This gives rise to the possibility of a gradient of similarity among the synonyme of a given word and thus to the possibility of finding a gradient of amount of generalization to these several synonyms.

A further interest determined the specific form of this styly. McGinnica (8) has reported that the subjects give free associations faster to stimulus words chosen from an Allpert-Yornon value category on which they score high than to value category words on which they score low. It seemed possible that this finding reflected the operation of mediciated generalization, and the present study was considered to be an indirect test of this possibility.

The theory underlying the method of the present study may be briefly described. It assumes that the occurences of a word as a stimulus and of the response of seeing and reacting to it will immediately make more available or ready for response these words which bear some relationship to it (of. Skinner, l2). Thus, if the word fashion is seen and pronounced related words such as give and mode should become more available than otherwise and should comer in the overt verbal behavior of the subject under suitable circumstances. Further, in the example cited above, if attle is more closely synonymous to fashion than is mode, then give should receive a greater increment to its response strength and become more quickly available than mode.

This is Technical Report No. 19, prepared under Centract N7 ONR-197, T.O. III, between the Office of Wavel Research and the University of Maryland. It is an abbreviation of a Master's thesis prepared by Ford (3).

COPY

The method chosen to study this problem does not, strictly speaking, seat the above assumptions. It involves one further step, namely, that the first associative reaction to the synonym (style or mode) will occur more quickly than to words not associated with a prior stimulus word. On the basis of the results of this study, this last assumption is evidently erroneous, as the results to be presented are entirely negative.

TETHOD

In general, the method used in this experiment was as follows. A given utanderd word, say fashing, was presented, and the subject responded by pronouncing it.

Immediately following this, a "stimulis word" was presented, and the subject gave to it his first free association. The stimulus word was either a synonym (like style or mode) with a known degree of similarity to the standard word or a neutral word bearing no sementic relationship to the standard word. In addition, each utimulus word was presented (in another group of subjects) alone and the associative reaction time to the stimulus word in isolation was determined.

Source of Words for the Construction of Word Listes

The source of words was a word list constructed by Hangen (7). The total list consists of 400 two-syllable adjectives differentiated into eighty groups of six words each which are related in meaning. In each group a "standard word" is compared with five of its synonyme along four word discussions: (a) similarity of meaning (b) closeness of associative connection (a) vividness of connection and (d) familiarity. Thus, the total list may be considered as consisting of 400 werd pairs.

Hangen obtained scale values for each of the 400 pers by having four groups of eighty judges each scale these pairs in regard to one of the four word dimensions using a seven point scale with equal appearing intervals. The final scale value assigned to each word pair was the median of eighty judgments. The scale was constructed too se that the total range of scale values was from 405 (maximum) to 6.5 (minimum). The list was assumed to be suitable for this experiment since the assignment of scale values was based on the judgments of undergraduate students registered in the Introductory Paychology course at the State University of Issue.

On the basis of the distribution of scale values for each of the four word dissessions; Rasges concluded: "The present word list was compiled to sample the dissession of similarity of meaning and does not nover the full range of the other dissessions (7, p.459). For this reason, only the scale values along the dissession of similarity of meaning were considered in the selection of word pairs from this list. The reliability of this scale of similarity of meaning, bases on a Pearson Product-moment correlation between ratings of two independent, randomly chosen groups of forty judges is reported by Hasges to be "(1)1. Fiducial limits (1 per cent), 0.59 and 0.93" (7. p.457).

The present experimenters assigned frequency ratings from the Theradiko-Lorge (13) word list to all the words in Hasten's dist.

The highest Thermdike Lorge frequency rating is that of "AA", indicating one hundred or more occurences of a word in every one million words in standard English reading matter. The next highest rating is "A", indicating that a word occurs at least fifty times per million words. Haagen's word list contained a group of twenty-nince synonym pairs in which the stimulus word of the pair was rated "AA", and twenty-five synonym pairs in which the stimulus word of the pair was rated "A". It was decided that from these two groups of words, an initial a priori selection of words for use in the various experimental treatments would be made.

Construction of the Gradients of Similarity of Meaning.

In the construction of the gradients, certain arbitrary decisions were made in regard to the selection of word pairs. These were: (a) a standard word scald be selected for only one gradient, and could appear only once within that gradient (b) the differences between the scale values of degree of similarity of meaning of the word pairs would be approximately equal.

From the twenty-five pairs of synonyms rated "A", fifteen synonym pairs were selected to approximate a gradient of similarity of meaning increasing in increments of 0.1 and 0.2 scale units. The range of this gradient was from 0.9 to 4.5. This list of synonym pairs with their corresponding ratings of similarity of meaning is presented in Table 1.

From the twenty-nine synonym pairs rated "AA", it was arbitrarily decided to select ten synonym pairs to approximate a gradient with a difference between successive scale values of 0.4. The range of the finally constructed gradient was from 0.9 to 4.8 in scale units. This list of ten synonym pairs with their corresponding degrees of similarity of meaning is presented in Table II. Up to this point in the construction of the gradients, all the requirements of the design were satisfied except that of equal availability of response to the stimulus words under control conditions. Even though a group of words may occur with equal frequency in the English language, the availability of associations to them may differ greatly. If then this were the case with the stimulus words in the two gradients, the effects, if any, of the independent variable could not be validly interpreted.

Free associative reaction times to the stimulus words presented individually were taken as the measure of availability of responses to the stimulus words in Table 1 and 11. If a group of words has equal availabilities of response, then the free associative reaction times to them should be comparable.

prior associative reaction times were obtained for the fifteen stimulus words enter "A" in familiarity. Subjects were five male and five famile undergraduate atualists enterled in the introductory reychology course at the University of Mary-lend. Cartlett's test of homogeneity of variance as described by Edwards (3, p.196) was applied to the data, and a uni-square value of 85.10 was obtained. Chi-square with fourteen degrees of freedom is 39.141 at the Ol level of confidence.

TABLE:

The Initially Constructed Gradient of Similarity of Neening Composed of Standard Words with Stimulus Words Occurring at Least Fifty Times, but not so Frequently as One Hundred Times Per Million Words in the English Language

a w ∽ Code	Standard Word	Stimulus Word	Degree of Similarity of Meaning
60 age 275 89 (22 up ge se sel	المقا المقا المقا المقا المقا المقال	ويوها سوأيس سوادات والاستعاد	त्रक एक क्षेत्र पूर्ण क्षेत्र कर कर कर है। यह एक एक क्षत्र क्षत्र कर कर कर है।
1	Sapred	Holy	0.9
2,	Cautiou±	Carodu?.	1.1
3	Michell	ELLL	1,2
4	Memmoth	Giant	1.4
5	Ferencyt	Logding	1.4
6	Constant	Steady	1.5
. 7	Middle	Contral	1.6
8	Little	Tiny	1.8
• •	Pleasant	Friendly	2.0
10	Empty	Hollow	.2.4
11	Hidden	Secret	8.7
12 -	Complete	Perfect	3.4
13	Sullen	Silent	3.9
14	Beloved	Preferred	4.2
15	Former	Ancient	405

TABLE II

The Initially Constructed Gradient of Similarity of Meaning Composed of Standard Words with Stimulus Words Occurring One Hundred Times or More For Million Words in the English Language

Code	Standard Word	Stimulus Word	Degree of Similarity of Meaning
		والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	pa aga dar an
1	Hurel	Country	0.9
. 2	Double	Paired	1.4
3	Unelear	Clouded	1.8
4	Spoken	Talking	2.3
5	Liquid	Flowing	2.7
6	Winding	Ciroling	3.0
Ÿ ·	Ardent	Burning	3.4
8	Royal	Ruling	3 .9
9	Arthons	Open	4.7
rð.	Ilremt	Crydng	4.8

Analysis of Variance of the Free Associative Reaction Time to Seven Stimulus Words Occurring Fifty to One Hundred Times Per Million Words

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	ar	Mean Squares	<i>\$</i> 1	f •02
Between words	1.4661	8	. 2444		
Between Subjects	7.9460	9	-8829	3.3142	2.78
Interaction	14.9877	54	.266 4		
Total	23.7998	69			
•					

Table IV

The Final Gradient of Similarity of Meaning Composed of Standard Words with Stimulus Words Having a Thorndike-Lorge Rating of "A", and Having Equal Response Availability

Code	Standard Word	Stimulus Word	Degree of Similarity of Meaning
1	Wieked	Byil	1.8
8	Maximoth	Giant	1.4
3	Little	Tiny	1.8
4	Pleasant .	Friendly	2.0
5	Empty	Hellow	8.4
6	Bullen	Silent	3 o 9
7	Former	Ancient	4.5

The read don times to the words 'hely', "careful", "leading", 'steady", "bentral", "so ret", "perfect', and "preferred" appeared by inspection to contribute most of the variability. The data collected on these words were discarded, and Bartlett's test for homogenesty of variance was applied to the data of the remaining seven words. A ship quare value of 9.565 was obtained; this aquare with six degrees of freedom is 12 592 at the .05 level of confidence. Hence the variance for these words was someidered to be assentially homogeneous.

The significance of the differences among the mean associative reaction times to the seven words was tested by analysis of variance with a double classification. The purpose was to demonstrate a Null hypothesis in regard to the differences between the means. The results are presented in Table III, and show no significance of the differences.

The final "A" gradient, as reproduced in Table IV, was thus composed of seven standard words with seven stimulus words equated in terms of frequency of occurrence in the English Language and in availability of response.

Free associative reaction times were obtained for the tens timulus words rated "AA" in familiarity. Subjects were five male and five female undergraduate students enrolled in the Introductory Psychology course at the University of Maryland. Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance as described by Edwards (3, p.196) was applied to the data, and a chi-aquare value of 38.04 was obtained. Oni-square with nine degrees of freedom is 21.600 at the .01 level of confidence. The reaction times to the words "paired", "circling", and "ruling" were selected out as contributing most of the variability. The Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variance applied to the data of the remaining seven words yielded a chi-aquare value of 11.192; chi-square with six degrees of freedom is 12.393 at the .05 level of significance. A null hypothesis in regard to the differences in variances of the seven words had been demonstrated.

The significance of the differences among the mean associative reaction times of the seven "AA" words was evaluated by an analysis of variance with a double classification. The results are presented in Table V, and the differences are seen not to be significant.

The final "AA" gradient as reproduced in Table VI was thus composed of seven standard words with seven stimulus words equated in terms of familiarity and availability of response.

Construction of the Series of Word Pairs Unrulated in Meaning.

The construction of this series of word pairs was accomplished by random reassignment of the standard words presented in Table I to the standard words presented in Table IV, and by random reassignment of the standard words in

Table V

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	d#	Noan Square	D '	F.05	P
Between Vords Between Subjects Interaction Total	2.0331 4.6562 10.6105 17.2998	6 9 54 69	.3389 .5174 .1965	1.7847 2.6331	2.29 2.07	.05 .05

Table VI

The Finel Gradient of Similarity of Meaning Composed of Standard Words with Stimulus Words Having A Thorntike Lorge Rating of AA man Having Equal Response Availability

Code	Standard Word	Stimulus Word	Degree of Similarity Of meming
* ~ * *			
1.	Rural	Country	0.9
2	Unclear	Clouded	1.6
3	Spokez	Talking	2.3
4	Liquid	Floring	2.7
5	Ardens	Burning	3.4
6	Artless	Open	4.7
Ÿ	Urgaert	Orying	4.8

Table VII .

Series of Word Pairs Unrelated in Meening With Stimulus Words Having a Thorndiks-Lorge Rating of "A"

Code	St eederd Word	Stimulus Word	
		Rv11	
1	Former		
2	Coise t ess t:	Giant	
3	Suller	Tiny	
4	Espty	Friendly	
5	Court 2 ous	Hollow	
6	Beloved	Silent	
7	Sacred	Anchest	
	. د. د مسیسی ـــــــ	الت التاج التي والتناج التناج التناجية التي التي التي التناج التي التي التي التي التي التي التي التي	

Table II to the stimulus words in Table VI, with the requirement that no word pair thus constructed could be related in seaning. The following randomizing procedure was followed for both the "A" at "AA" groups; (a) standard and stimulus words were assigned code numbers: (b) cards were drawn with replacement from a numbered deck to determine the point of entry into a table of random numbers; (c) the standard word with code number corresponding to the first digit read from the table was assigned to the first stimulus word, etc. The two groups of word pairs unrelated in meaning, (here for referred to as the "A" and "AA" sories), constructed by this procedure are presented in Table VIII.

In addition to these two groups, eight words unrelated to each other, or to any of the words selected for this study were selected from Hangen's word list to serve as practice words. The eight words were divided into four pairs and one word of each pair was exlected and designated as the standard word. These practice word pairs are in Table IX.

Table VIII

Series of Word Pairs Unrelated in Meaning with
Stimulus Words Having a Thorndike-Lorge
Rating of "AA"

Code	Standard Word	Stimulus Word
1	Royal	Country
2	Double	Clouded
3	Rural	Talking
•	Unclear	Floring
5	Spoken	Burning
6	Urgent	Open
7	Ardent	Crying

Table IX
Unrelated Word Pairs Used as Practice Words

Standard Mord	Stimulus Word
Clever	Faulty
Guarded	Inert
Ruetis	Swellen
Vacan's	Nimble
•	•

Apparatus.

A presentation device was employed to expose the stimulus words described in the preceding sections. The subject set approximately three feet before a vertical plywood shield, five feet long and four feet high, which fully concealed the experimenter and the apparatus. Contraily located on this shield was an aperture six inches wide and five inches high illuminated by a flyorescent tube. Behind this shield, a frame which held a card six and one-half inches long and six inches wide could be manually moved along a fixed horizontal track to expose the card through the aperture. The atracture of the trame, the track and the cards was such that a word would appear in the same position in the aperture from trial to trial. Cards were rapidly and quietly placed in and removed from the frame, and the total operation of the card slide was achieved with minimum distraction to the subject.

Measurement of the speed of associative response was noticed by means of a chronoscope calibrated in hundredths of a second fixed with an electronic voice-key circuit. Then a cond in the presentation device was expected in the sporture, the frame holding the card closed a micro-switch. This switch, acting through the voice-key circuit, activated the caronoscope. The chronoscope was stopped when the subject responded to the atimulus by speaking his free association into a microphone connected to the voice-key circuit:

Each of the words listed in Tables IV and VI was printed in black India Ink on a white poster card which fit the card frame of the presentation device exactly. By use of a Lercy lettering set, pen number 6, and template number 350, the size of the print and amount of spacing was uniformly maintained for all words. In addition, the senter point of each word was located in the same position on each card, assuring minimum variability of word position between the cards.

The reaction times of each subject was recorded on simeographed force constructed for efficiency in recording and tabulation.

Operating Procedures

The experimental treatment employed to test the primary hypothesis was applied individually to twenty-five subjects. The order of presentation of the word pairs in the "A" and "AA" gradients presented in Tables IV and VI was randomly determined by the same randomizing precedure described above. Since a subject served only case in the experiment, the same random order of presentation was maintained for all subjects. To differentiate between standard words and stimulus words, the standard words were underlined on the presentation cards.

hach subject was sented comfortably before the presentation aperture and was research so hald the microphone connected to the voice key. Then the experimenter save the subjections from memory.

The voice-key was manufactured by Rulph Gorbrands, Scientific Instruction, 90 Rould one Arington. Massachusetts.

This is an experiment on the ability of persons to convey spoken messages in response to written orders over accommission systems. The presedure here may seem artificial to you in comparision with an actual communication situation; however, the basic principles are the same for this experiment and for the actual situation.

The microphone is attached to an instrument measuring the pitch of the voice. By this experiment, I would like to determine if changes in pitch occur under conditions of simple repetition of a message and under conditions of sudden change in conveying a message. I would like to have you respond into the microphone to words which I shall place in this opening. Some of these words will be underlined, like this (subject is shown a card). Some will not be underlined, like this (shown enother card).

When an underlined word appears in the windown, pronounce it into the microphone as quickly as possible; following every underlined, another word that is not underlined will be presented. You are not to pronounce this word. Instead, you are to pronounce the first word that you think of when you see the word that is not underlined.

Do you have any questions? Here are several practice words that you can try.

At this point the experimenter walked behind the shield, and made the following

Before presenting any word to you, I will say "ready", but you do not have to reply to this.

Following these instructions, the subject was presented with three practice word pairs which were unrelated in meaning. After this, the experimenter askeds

Is there anything you do not understand about what you are to de? Then when I say ready, the formal experiment will begin.

The subject was then presented with the seven word pairs in the "A" gradient and the seven word pairs in the "AA" gradient; a standard word always being followed by a synonymous stimulus word. The speed with which each subject prenounced each standard word was recorded as his simple reading reaction time. The speed with which each subject gave a free association to each stimulus word was recorded as his free association reaction time.

The experimental treatment employed to provide controls was applied to ten subjects individually. This involved obtaining the reading reaction times to the standard words and the free associative reaction times to the stimulus words of the "A" and "AA" series of unrelated word pairs presented in Tables VII and VIII. The order of presentation of these stimulus words, with their respective standard words was the same as the random order of the "A" and "AA" gradients. All other procedures including instructions, in this experimental treatment were identical with these of the first experimental treatment described.

Subjects.

Thirty-one college men and fourteen college women enrolled in the Introductory Psychology course and in a Social Psychology course at the University of Maryland volunteered to serve as subjects in this experiment. They were entirely maive with respect to the purpose of the experiment, but exhibited interest, and cooperated to the fullest extent.

RESULTS

The group mean and median free associative reaction times of the twenty-five subjects were obtained for each stimulus word in the "A" gradient. The major results are presented in Table X.

Table X

Mean and Median Associative Reaction Times in Seconds of 25 Subjects to the Seven Synonym Pairs in the "A" gradient

Word Code*

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 .	
Scale Yalue	Highest 1-2	1.4	1.8	2.0	2.4	3.)	Least 4.5	
N	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
2 X Median	1.40 4 1.02	7.116 .95	1.020	1.415 1.81	1.639 1.08	1.301	. 913 . 67	·-
8	1.05	.66	.42	•66	1.59	.97	.35	

*Bee Table IV for Key.

The progression of mean reaction times for word pair 1, (highest in similarity of meaning), to word pair 7, (lowest in similarity of meaning), is completely at variance with the hypothesis of decreased speed of response as afunction decreased similarity of meaning between two synonyme. The distribution of median associative

reaction times, although showing a consistently smaller size then the distribution of means, does not differ in pattern from the random distribution of mean associative reaction times.

The group meen and median free associative reaction times of the twenty-five subjects were obtained for each stimulus word in the "AA" gradient. Table XX contains the major results. Here, as with the "A" gradient, the distrubution of mean associative reaction times shows no trend in the direction of decreased speed of associative response as a function of decreased similarity of meaning between two synonyms.

Group mean associative reaction times to the stimulus words rated "A" in familiarity were obtained from the data of the three experimental treatments. The results are presented in Table XII. It may be noted here that the group mean association time to stimulus words preceded by synonyms ("A" gradient), is greater than the group mean association time to stimulus words preceded by neutral or unrelated words, "A" series), and that both of these group means are greater than the group mean association time to the stimulus words presented individually ("A" group).

Mean and Median Associative Reaction Times in Seconds of 25 Subjects to the Seven Synonym Pairs in the "AA" Gradient

w.	md	C.	αď	-1
		•	-	

	1	2	8	4	5	6	7	_
Scale Value	Highest	1.8	2,3	2.7	3.4	4.7	Least 4.8	_
N	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
X Median	1.055 .97	1.566	1.230 1.16	1.099 1.07 454	1.168 1.13 .49	1.079 .85 .74	1.054 .80 .51	
*See Te	ble VI for	1.18	.70	434			AVG.	-

Table XII

Group Mean Associative Reaction Times to Stimulus Words
In the "A" Group, The "A" Series, and the "A" Gradient

Mode of Presentation				
التواد في المراهنية ويستهورون	Presented	Preceded by	Preceded by	
	Alono	Noutral Word	Synonym	
Ä	70	10	175	
- X	1.070	1.2207	1.2670	
8	.5620	<u>; 6835</u>	. 9327	

The significance of the difference between group mean association times to stimulus words in the "A" group and to stimulus words in the "A" series was evaluated by means of fisher's t technique. The finding difference between these two groups mean of 0.1441 produced a t value of 1.3417, which has a probability of chance occurrence greater than .05. This result indicated that preceding a stimulus word by an unrelated standard word does not increase the availability of responses to the stimulus word significantly more than the rely presenting the stimulus word alone.

The significance of the difference between group mean association times to stimulus words in the "A" gradient and to stimulus words in the "A" series was evaluated initially by an analysis of variance. This analysis is presented in Table XIII.

Table XIII

Analysis of Variance of Free Associative Reaction Times of
25 Subjects to Stimulus Words in the "A" Gradient and
of 10 Subjects to Stimulus words in the "A" Series.

Source of	Sum of	đg	Kom	7	T	P
Variation	Squares		Square		•05	
Between Groups	.2182	1	-2182	1.0587	4.13	>.05
Within Groups	7.0057	34	-2061			• •
Total	7.8239	35				

The estimate of within groups' variance employed as the error term in this analysis, contains among other sources of variability, individual differences in speed of reading and vocalising words. As explained in a preceding section the time required for each standard word was part of the data recorded.

To equalize the groups in regard to this source of variation, the significance of the difference between group mean association times to stimulus words in the "A" gradient and to stimulus words in the "A" series was evaluated by analysis of covariance, using these measures of reading reaction time of the subjects as the adjusting variable. This analysis, also produced an insignificant P ratio.

Adjusting for reading speed means that the differences in mean association times of the two groups cannot be accounted for by differences in mean level of ability to read and vocalize words, because the group mean association times were adjusted by the analysis to a common initial mean level of speed in reading and reacting to words.

The original unadjusted mean association times had an arror variance of 0.2061 When the part of the error variance attributable to variability in rading and increase in the precision of the analysis of more than twenty-one percent.

However, even with this increase in precision, and the assurance that

individual variability alone could not account for differences, the F ratio obtained by covariance was insignificant. Independent of individual variability in reading speed, the difference between the mean association time to atimulus words preceded by synonyms and to the same stimulus words preceded by neutral words was no greater than might be expected by chance fluctuation.

Group mean associative reaction times to stimulus words rated "AA" in familiarity were obtained from the data of the three experimental treatments. The results are summarized in Table XIV. In this table, as in Table XII, it may be noted that the group mean association time to stimulus words in the gradient is larger than the group mean association time to stimulus words in the series, and both of these, in turn, are larger than the group mean association time to stimulus words presented alone.

The mignificance of the difference between the group mean association time to stimulus words in the "AA" group and the group mean association time to stimulus words in the "AA" series was evaluated by means of Figher's t technique. The difference between the two group means was acceptable and the obtained t value of .4436 was insignificant below the .05 level of confidence. With 125 degrees of freedom, 2 at the .05 level is 1.970.

Table XIV

Group Mean Associative Reaction Times to Stimulus Words
In The "AA" Group, the "AA" Series, and The "AA" Gradient

	Mode of			
	Presented Alone	Proceed by Noutrel Word	Preceded by Synonym	•
N	70	70	175	
•				
X	1.0696	1.1236	1.1788	
8	o 497	~568	.7 09	

Table XV

Analysis of Variance of Free Associative Reaction Times of 25 Subjects to Stimulus Words in the "AA" Gradient & of 10 Subjects to Stimulus Words in the "AA" Series

Variation	Sum of Sources	df	Mean Square	Σ,	<u> </u>
retmaen Groups Athin Groups Total	.0992 6.9644 7 ₀ 0634	1 36 35	.0992 .,2048	రాజ్మ చేత	> ∙05

The initial evaluation of the significance of the difference between the group mean association time to stimulus words in the "AA" gradient and the group mean association time to stimulus words in the "AA" series was to analysis of variance. The results are presented in Table XV, and are seen to be insignificant.

The analysis of covariance technique as again employed, using the measure of reading and vocalizing speed of the subjects as the adjusting variable. The difference between the unadjusted and the adjusted error variance is that between a 1948 and a 1898 a difference of a 19750 representing an increase in precision of the original analysis of ever thirty-six per sent. In spite of this increased precision, however, a clearly insignificant of ratio of 1.00 was obtained. The hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the associative reaction times of subjects to stimulus words in the "AA" gradient and "AA" sories is highly tenable.

OCHCLUS ICHS

The results observed by the metimus employed in these study and not demonstrate any significant relationship between the amount of mediated generalization among synonyms and the degree of similarity of meaning between any given word and its synonyme. This lack of relationship was demonstrated with two independent lists of synonym pairs in which the words to which free associations were given were equated in regard to frequency of occurrence in the English Language and in availability of response under control conditions.

In addition, certain results that were expected in view of previous theoretical formulations (1) and experimental finding in regard to the operation of mediated generalization along various gradients were not demonstrated.

Theoretically, if generalization to synonyms is activated in a subject by the presentation of a given word, then synonyms of that the given word should be more available in the response repertoire of the subject than words unrelated to the given word. No significant differences is mean associative reaction time, however, were found between words preceded by their synonyms and words preceded by unrelated words. One result of this study may be interpreted as being consistent with theoretical expectation. From association to only one word, without reference to may a subject to adject a response from his entire response toports.

wire of the name of this result cannot be readily interpreted.

the class a splanation for the invigalitance of the present results in

relation to other experimental findings in this area. However, the following sage stion is offered so a possible explanations.

It may be recalled that the study by McGinnies (8) was designed for the purpose of demonstrating by free association techniques, results that had been obtained using measures of perceptual threshold. The particular value words employed in his study were clearly differentiated into specific categories, i.e. aesthetic words, religious words, economic words. Even with these great differences in value commotation between the six categories of words employed, only the difference between association times to words representing the two extrems opposite values of the subjects was significant.

It is felt that the range of the relationships between the members of the synonym pairs employed in the present study was extremely limited. It is believed, however, that these differences in similarity of meaning do exist, and that they are influential in the operation of semantic generalization. It is possible that the performance required of the subject in this experiment was of such a simple mature and that superficial responses could be given so easily, that generalization was never activated.

A more sensitive test of the relationship between similarity of meaning between synonyms and the amount of mediated generalization might be measurement of reactions under conditions where a subject could not readily give superficial or effortless responses. Such conditions might be these involving expiguous or vaguely perceptible visual stimuli or heavily masked auditory stimuli. Under these conditions, if the order of generalization among synonyme does occur as hypothesized in this study, a subject would be expected to perceive a word highly synonymous with a clearly presented standard word or reference more rapidly or at a lower threshold than a word vaguely synonymous with a clearly presented standard word. Future research along lines of the present study, but using as a dependent variable some measure of verbal reaction under ambiguous or subthreshold stimulus conditions might yield results which would permit a conclusive interpretation of the present problem.

MEST RENCES

- 1. Cofer, CoNo. & Feley, J. P. Jr. Mediated generalization and the interpretation of verbal behavior: I. Prolegomena. Parabol. Rev., 1942, 49, 513-540.
- 20 Cofer. U.N., Janie, M.G., and Rowell, M.M. Mediated generalization and the interpretation of verbal behavior: III. Experimental study of antonym gradients J. Experimental study of antonym gradients
- 3. Edwards, A.L. Experimental Design in Psychological Research. New Yorks dinchart, 1950.

- 4. Foley, John, Jr. and Cofer, C.N. Mediated generalization and the interpretation of verbal behavior: II. Experimental study of certain homophone and synonym gradients. J. exper. Psycholog. 1993, 32, 168-175.
- 5. Ford, T. J. An investigation of mediated generalization among synonyme along a gradient of similarity of meaning. M.A. Thesis, University of Maryland, 1958.
- 6. Goodwin- J., Long, L., & Welch, L. Generalization in Memory. J. exper Paychel., 1945, 35, 71-75.
- 7. Hangen, G. H. Synonymity, vividness, familiarity and associative value of 400 pairs of common adjectives. J. Paychol., 1949, 453-463.
- 6. McGinnies, E. Personal values as determinants of word association. J. Alm. 4 502. Psychol., 1950, 45, 28-26.
- 9. Miller, O. A. Januare and Communication. New York: McGrew-Hill, 2951.
- 10. Ragron, G.H.S. Aquantitative study of mouning by a conditional solivary technique. (semantic conditioning). <u>Egienge</u>, 1939, 90, 89-90.
- 11. Riess, B.F. Semmatic conditioning involving the galvanic skin reflex. I. greet. Parchol., 1940, 25, 238-240.
- 12. Skinner. B. F. The verbal summator and a method for the study of latent speech. J. Favohol., 1934, 2, 72-107.
- 13. Thorndike. E. L. and Lerge, I. The Teacher's Word Nock of 20,000 Words. New York: Teachers' College, Columbia University, 1944.
- 14. Wyere, R.C. Generalization of semantic condition of the garvanic skin respense. MeAo thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1940.