COMPONENT PART NOTICE

2. 5

ENLIGHTING COMPTLATION O

[

4

2

	THIS PAPER IS A COMPONENT PART OF THE FOLLOWING COMPILATION REPORT:					
for S	TITLE: <u>The Aerospace</u>	Environner and Commu	stat High Al	titudes and :	<u>Ets Implicat</u>	ions f
Electy Neth	omagnetic Wave Propagation Ponel Symposium Held in The Hague. The Erlands on 2-6 June 1986. To order the complete COMPILATION REPORT, USE <u>AD-A185</u> 880 = <u>1</u> .					
	THE COMPONENT PART IS PROVIDED HERE TO ALLOW USERS ACCESS TO INDIVIDUALLY AUTHORED SECTIONS OF PROCEEDING, ANNALS, SYMPOSIA, ETC. HOWEVER, THE COMPONENT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE OVERALL COMPILATION REPORT AND NOT AS A STAND-ALONE TECHNICAL REPORT.					
	THE FOLLOWING COMPONENT PA	ART NUMBERS CO	MPRISE THE COMPI	LATION REPORT:		4
:	AD#: POOS 692	thru	ad#:	7/3 :	= 22	Ę
	AD#:		AD#:		1 03	Į
	AD#:		AD#:	Tot	1-22	e juner dis
	Accesia NTIS DTIC Unanno Justific By Dist.ibu Avi Dist	CRA&I TAB Dunced ation tion/ allability Codes Avail and/or Special				And a second s
	DTIC FORM 463			OPI:	DTIC-TID	
	•		•			

POLAR-AHRORAL CHARGING OF THE SPACE SHUTTLE AND EVA ASTRONADY By William N. Hall Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Danacom AFB, Massachusetts 01731 Philip Leung Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Psadena, California 91''9 Ita Katz Gary A. Jongeward John R. Lilley, Jr. S-Cubed, Inc., L Jolla, California 92038 Joseph E. Wanavicz Jeffrey S. Thayer SRI Internations1, Inc., Menlo Park, California 94025 N. John Stevens TWW, Inc., Redondo Beach, California 90278

SUMMARY

Spacecraft charging in low-altitude polar orbit has recently become recognized as a significant environments. interaction, The same conditions needed for spacecraft charging at geosynchronous orbit are also found at times in the low-altitude polar-auroral environment. The required conditions are high fluxes of energetic electrons, low plasma densities, and darkness. The energetic electrons are found in very bright active suro-ras. Plasma donsities are occasionally low enough in polar regions but, more important-ly, a large bldy such as the Shuttle sweeps out the ambient ionospheric plasma to produce a cavity in its waks. The FOLM charging code has been used with measured parameters for energetic suroral clactrons and plasma densities to evaluat) polar orbit charging. The Shuttle can be expected to charge at times to thourands of volts while the softronet during extraoming-activity (FVA) can charge to herdreds of volts. The suitied problem, is being evaluated. Laboratory test results with "bo presented" charging and radiated radio frequency electromegretic interference (IMI) were measured from the ardiated radio frequency electromegretic interference (IMI) were measured from the arc discharges. Such EMI could cause potentially dangerous EVA equipment anomalies. Ground tests of subsystems and the complete EVA equipment system are needed. Orbital tests to validate model predictions and understanding of polar orbit Shuttle Wake charging will be proposed.

INTRODUCTION

- N. L

;

The advent of manned polar orbit flights from Vandenberg AFB increases the importance of environmental considerations which have not been significant to previous Shuttle missions. Takesge over intense duroras may result in polar-auroral charging and subsiquent are discharge. Recent measurements on Air Force polar-orbiting meteorological sutsilities confirm that charging does occur and provide values for the environmental parameters contributing to the charging (1). The concern is primarily for arc-discharge effects on the existing extravableular activity (KVA) equipment and, through the "quipment, for the astroneuts. The auroral radiation responsible for surface charging is too low in energy to be a direct radiation harard to the astronauts or their equiparent. The Shuttle is not considered to be at risk from arc-discharge because it was designed to withstand direct lightning strikes and has extensive redundancy; e.g., five computers. It has previously passed through the fringes of the high geomagnetic latitude areas during 50 and 57 degives inclination flights from the Kennady Space Center with no reported problems.

An additional factor that has not been fully evaluated is that the Shuttle and the astronaut on EVA, who can be considered an independent spacecraft, make up a system of electrically isolated spacecraft. They have a wide disparity in size and are immersed in the ionospheric plasma. Our previous experience in both geosynchronous and polar orbits has been with individual isolated spacecraft.

Spacecraft cherging is the result of the combination of several factors; a strong source of energetic electrons and insufficient neutralizing plasms. Darkness is the a contributing factor, perticularly in low polar orbits, since photoelectrons resulting from solar ultraviolet irradiation conneract charging. Auroral electrons producing intonse antores can provide an adequate supply of electrons with the requisite energy. Experimental measurements in the Shuttle payload bay on STS-3 and STS-5 have shown large plasms density reductions in the payloed bay in the wake orientation computed to the ambient plasma (1, 3). The gost intense suroras normally occur at local midulght hours which inverimely are in derkness.

The threat to astronauts due to susceptibility of the existing EVA equipment to algotrepagneric interference (ENI) is difficult to assess. "A are concerned because a wrong link has providedly been made between satellite charging and subsequent arc discharge (4) and operational upsets and failures, even the loss of a satellite (5), at geographiconous stitudes. Charging can also cause deterioration of apsecraft esterials and cab enhance contamination due to the deposition of undesirable materials on critical surfaces (6).

34-1

Equipment problems that might be direct hasards to the astronauts are not the only reason for investigating the susceptibility of the EVA equipment to charging and arcdischarge. Equipment failures to sub-systems such as the communications link would not be a danger to the astronaut but might cause concellation of planned operations. An example is the recovery of the Teal Ruby spectraft that has been announced as being under consideration. Reacheduling of such an operation would not woild not will cont millions of dollars but could result in years of delay due to the prasext Shuttle schedule pressure.

The measurements by the Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (AFGL) Space Particles Environment Branch of the charging of the Air Force Defense Nereotological Sateilite Program (NRSP) spacecraft and the environmental conditions which contribute to it will be reviewed. Spacecraft charging computer code wasults will be compared with the charging levels measured. These codes will then be used to predict the potentials to which the Shuttle and the EVA astronaut will charge if severe charging conditions are encountered. New laboratory results will be presented that show the fabric materials in space suits will charge and produce are discharges when subjected the electrons with the kame energies as measured in auroras. Tests are planned to investigate charging of EVA equipment in a simulation chamber and the susceptibility of EVA equipment to arc-discharges.

POLAR-AURORAL SATELLITE CHARGING

Charging of poler-orbiting Air Force DMSP satellites has receively been documented (1). Few examples of spacecraft in the ionosphere sharging bernud a faw woltt have previously appeared in the literature partly because of two viritations of itstrugents used to detect particles and plasmas. This impasse has been overcome with the launch of the DMSP satellites designated F6 and F7. Each satellite carries the 4FGL SSJ/4 electrons and ion analyzer instrument, which measures precipitation electrons and ion detect restruments. A generous generic literous and ion detector allows the application of a technique regularly used to identify the degree of charging for satellites at geostationary orbit. A large count rate should be even in an energy channel centered wear the spacecraft charged to a mogative potential.

A preliminary 3earch of early DMSF/76 satellite measurements shows that such charging events frequently appear at the time that intense inverted-V auror.1 electron structures are measured, as shown in Figure 1 (7). The upper portion shows the measurements from two channels of the particle spectrometer showing the greater than 5 keV and greater than 10 keV auroral electrons. The Catellite was traveling from the center of the polar cap to lower latitudes and passed over a strong suporal display. Below is shown the value to which the frame of the spacecraft charged, reacting a maximum of -462 V in the second peak. It can be seen that the spacecraft that the spacecraft was charged at this time was verified using the SSIE thermal plasma probe on the same vebicle. The SSIE date emphasized the important contribution of decreased plasma density in order for the DMSF to charge to values of hundreds of volts. Modeling studies using the FOLAR code indicate that dielectric surfaces on the wake ride of the vebicle could charge to many times this value. These results clearly establish the existence of polar-auroral spacecraft charging.

COMPUTER CODE HODELING OF FOLAR-AURORAL CHARGING

Comparison of measured and calculated charging

Spacecraft charging computer code models developed by the Air Force and MASA have been used to analyze the charging conditions which the DMSP encountersed (8). The derivation of the methods used have been described elsewhere and will only be sugmarized here (9). The charging codes predict that the DMSP would charge to -542 V, in close agreement to the -462 V measured. The calculation used the arbitut placem parameters and the survey charging currents measured on DMSP at the time the charging occurred.

Charging of an Individual Spacecraft

The charging codes show that charging increases as spacecrift ('to increases. The Shuttle can be expected to charge even more than DKDF. A previous study (8) compared spheres covered with teflon, a typical spacetreft surface material. A Shuttle-sized object will charge to -3345 V and an astronaut-sized object to -172 V for an ambient for density of 125 ions/cubic cm and a waster surface that that which charged DMSF to -462 V. Secondary and backscattered electrons resulting from incident energetic electrons are over of the fundamental factors controlling spacetrift charging. A change in the surface material, with resultant change in secondary and backscattered electron yields, will change the potential to which a spacetrift will charge. More recent results (9) used the actual characteristics measured for the Shuttle thermal tile-materials (10).

Charging Calculation Parameters

The values of the energetic autoral and pliams values measured by UMSP/F7 st 49,843s UT on 26 Nov 83 will be used for the charging calculations in the rast of this section. The ambient ion plasma density was 125 isna/cubic on and the emergetic suroral electrons were modeled by a Marwallian distribution with 10.1 keV characteristic energy and characteristic density of 3.9 electrons/cubic on (). Folds charging cede calculation results show that the Shuttle would charge to -3290 Volté using time parameters.

2

34-2

ł

Charging of Multiple Spacecraft

ŧ

The charging codes were used to calculate the charging of the multiple spacecraft system consisting of the Shuttle and the astronaut conducting nearby EVA in the wake of the Shuttle (9). Figures 2 and 3 show the models of the EVA astronaut and Shuttle urad in the FOLAR charging code calculations. Figure 4 shows the combined, multiple grid model. The contrast in size is clear. Figure 5 shows the space potentials for the combined system. It can be seen that the potential contours show little effect of the presence of the EVA astronaut at distances equal to several times his size.





Figure 1. Top: Auroral Electron Fluxes greater than 5 and 10 keV. Bottom: Fotential of DMSP.

Figure 2. EVA astronaut model used in charging code calculations.



SHUTTLE AND ASTRONAUT MODELS



figure 3. Shuttle model used in charging code calculations.

Figure 4. Combined multiple grid model of Shuttle and SVA astroneut.

Difference Charging of Shuttle and EVA Astronaut

The term "difference cherging" will be used in discussing the difference in potential between nearby spacecraft. This is to avoid confusion with differential charging which fraditionally has been used to describe the situation where different surface shements to the same spacecraft are at different potentials.

The calculation of the difference charging of the Shittle and KVA intronaut took advantage of their large disparity of size. For this case it was shown that the distance from the smaller spacecraft at which the space potential is dominated by the largor spacecraft is related to the square root of the product of their sizes. This distance is approximately 3 meters for the KVA astronaut and the Shuttle (see Figure 3).

The space potential at a locavion cines to the Shuttle, compared to the location of the sheath edge where the ambient thermal ions are first affected by the Shuttle, will be symmetrized equal to the Shuttle potential of -3290 V. The ambient ions involved





Figure 5. Potential contours of Shuttle and EVA astronaut from POLAR code calculation.

POTENTIAL CONTOURS, VOLTS

Figure 6. Time history of charging of astronaut model surface elements from NASCAP code calculation.

with the bilancing of the energe_ic auroral electron current to the Shuttle will have acquired an energy due to this when they first feel the effects due to the presence of the second spacecraft, the EVA astronaut, who is also attracting ions to balance the energetic auroral electron current.

The smaller spacecraft can be considered to be immersed in an energetic ion beam, rather than moving with orbital velocity in an ambient thermal ion plasma. The ion collection situation for the EVA satroraut is similar to a probe in a monoenergetic ion beam, where the beam energy is due to the potential of the larger spacecraft. The scale of Figure 5 does not clearly show that the Orthofabric (outer surface consisting primarily of Teflon) which covers most of the Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU) is charged to approximately -4140 V, much greater than the -172 V which the astronaut charged to under similar conditions when not near any other object. The difference in potential between the Shuttle and the astronaut is 850 V.

Differential Charging of the EVA Astronaut

The NASCAP charging computer code was used to calculate the charging of the detailed model of the EVA astronaut shown in Figure 2. The time history of the charging of the surface elements identified is shown in Figure 6. It can be seen that several of the elements charge up to within 10% of the final value within 0.1 seconds. Other elements charge more slowly and still have not reached their equilibrium value at 8.3 seconds, the time at which this calculation run terminated. The differential charging between surface elements of different materials develops more slowly than the absolute charging. The Kapton and Teflon surface elements of the glove have the largest differstial charging for the elements whow in this vun. At the end of the run, the Teflon potential is only 67% of the Kapton potential. The differential charging was within 10% of this in 3 seconds (Teflon at 77% of Kapton). These locations are significant since they are adjacent surface elements of the astronaut's gloved hand which would be used to grasp objects. The details of the potential contours around the RVA astronaut are shown from the side in Figure 7 and from the front in Figure 8.







Figure 7. Side view of potential contours around EVA astronaut from MASCAP code calculation. Figure 8. Front view of potestial conteurs around EVA astronaut from MASCAF code selculation.

Application to Operational Planning

The recent results of the POLAR and NASCAP computer code modeling of the Shuttle and E (A cstronaut are applicable to polar-crbit EVA in several ways:

a. There is little difference in charging of the EVA astronaut between a ram position or a wake position if he is close to the Shuttle. A distance of 2 metere was considered a conservative value. Consurvative means that the statument is unequivoral for distances of 2 meters or less and probably holds for greater distances, dying addy as the distance approaches the sheath boundary at 10-20 meters.

b. Computer runs illustrate the short time periods unsided for development of absolute (ENU frame potential relative to space potential) and differential (one place on the EMU relative to a different place) charging. For the conditions measured on DMSP, absolute charging is within 90% of ultimate within 0.1 seconds. Differential charging is within 90% of ultimate within several seconds.

c. Differential charging can be, conservatively, as much as 30% of the greatest value. For this same example, the spacesuit Orthofabric (lefton) surface is at -4140 V and the Lexan face mask is at -6150 V, a difference of 2010 V.

LASOKATORY TESTS OF SPACE SUIT MATERIAL

ENU fabric material provided through AFGL has been tosted at Jet Propulsion Lab oratory (JPL) and SRI International. The ENU fabric consists of an outer layer of Orthofabric, five layers of aluminized Mylar for insulation and a Neoprena costad Nyion rip-stop layer.

Space Suit Material Tests at Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Samples with areas of 10, :00 and 1000 sq. cm. were itradiated with a 15 $y_d \bar{y}$ monoenergetic electron beam with current density in the range of 1-5 m4/sq. cm. In the experimental apparatus shown in Figure 9. Several nodes of discharge were idvatified which can intermingle or occur simultaneously:

a. Glow is associated with stitching exposed to the charging source. Gove started, the glow occurs continually. It acts, in a way, as a dissipative mechanism. The existence of glow discharge does not prevent the occurrence of the other discharge modes.

b. Blowoff discharge of the Orthoffabric outer layer, as illustrated in Figure 10A, is a discharge to the residual plasma, equivalent to space conditions at orbital altitudes, in the vacuum chamber. The peak current mensured is not significantly deumadent on the areas of this group of samples. The typical rise time and pulse width over 1° me and 25 ms. The peak current of 0.2 A measured with this apparatus was relationing lot compared to values for homogeneous dielectric materials, such as Teflon soft Synat, where peak currents have been as largu as 50 Å.

c. Direct discharge of the aluminized Mylar (second) layer, under 'he Orbofsbric outer layer, to the grounded metallic sample bolder, at illustrated in Figure 108. The Woven structure of the fabric bllows electrons to penetrate through the first layer to the second. In enother tast, a meter connected directly to the conducting aluminized layer showed a steady state current of 40% of the incident beam current. The arcdischarge current is proportional to the sample area to the 0.4-0.7 power. A peak pulse current of 0.5 A for the 100 sq. cm. sample and 2.2 A for the 1000 sq. cm. sample was measured in the conductor which grownds the metallic sample holder. The rise time for a typical direct discharge was 2-4 nm and the pulse width was 5-10 nm, unticeably less than for the blowoff discharge. Pulses with faster rise times are more likely to cause undesirable effects on electronic circuits.







Figure 9. Experimental apparatus for spacesuit material tests at JPL.

Sec. -----

Figure 10. Types of spacestit saturial arc-discharges: A. Direct. B. Elowoff.

34-3

A STATE

A direct discharge from the aluminized Mylar layer was easily distinguished from a blowoff discharge by the difference in the polarity of the current pulse and the difference in the waveforms. Preliminary analysis of radio frequency (RF) radiation measure-ments indicate that the power radiated increases with sample size.

Tests were made at room remperature and with liquid nitroger cooling. The glow appears brighter at 100 degrees Kelvic, compared to laboratory ambient temperature. Characteristics of the blowoff and allocat discharges and the frequency of occurrence of discharge did not show a temperature dopendence.

The resul's of these tests demonstrate the importance of a full-up chamber test. Problems about a ground return for the test sample measurements will be avoided by using the complete EVA equipment assembly. The interrelationship of fabrics, grounds, and electronic assemblies will have high fidelity. The results can be expected to be more representative of the expected in-orbit arc-discharges that will be encountered under polar-surger constraint constance.

Space Suit Meterial Tests at SRI International

The arrangement chown in Figure 11 was used to investigate the behavior of a spacecraft suit element under extreme charging conditions typical of the Shuttle were in poler orbit. A section of EMU bleve roughly 8-inches long was placed over an insulating rod between a pair of sheet aluminus uprights (11). This simulaten a suit element placed in a charging environment with no effort made to electrically bond 1t to acjacent components or to provide internal electrical sonding of the layers making up the sleeve element. When the electron beam was turned on, sharp twinkles of light indicating electrical discharges (analogous to the glow observed at JPL) wers visually observed on various parts of the sleeve element, particularly along the stitching lines. Arcdischarges used also observed. A tytical RF electromagnetic signal radiated by the discharges is shown in Figure 12. The peak electric field was 2.5 kV/m, scamured approximately 15 cm from the contor of the glass bell jar used for the experiment. Figure 13 shows discharges on the sleeve.

SPACE SUIT CHARGING-ARC DISCHARGE TEST





SPACE SUIT CHARCING -

.

Figure 11. Experimental apparatus for ENU sleave tests at SRI.

ARC DISCHANGES ON SLEEVE ELEMENT

avc-discharge.



Figure 13. Arc-discharges on SKU sleeve element.

A sylindrical test sample, made from the same material and at the same time at the samples exted at JPL was slap tested. Discharge currents of up to 240 A have been measured. Notes were also made with a motallic cylinder inserted in the fabric sample to simulate the surface conductivity of a parapiring astronant. Figure 14 shows the radinted 4 and Figure 15 shows the discharge current wereforms for a succession of arcdischarges. The variability of successive arc-discharge profiles, with no change in operating conditions, was stable. The fact that successive discharges under otherwise identical conditions an wary by factors of the or more in rise time, duration, and explicate complicates the problem of testing for their affects.

34-6

1

1



Figure 14. Radiated RF waveforms from Figure 15. Discharge current waveforms arc-discharges on EKU fabric cylinder with internal metal liner.

NASA and the EMU manufacturer report that examination of EMU components after use shows that the aluminized mylar layers break up into islands which have imperfect electrical connections. The fact that the aluminized interior layers are not electrically bonded together and to the EMU metallic sections may increase the effects of arcdischarge. Electromagnetic fields with the magnitude and rise times observed are very effective in producing EMI. Apertures and other imperfections in the shielding of spacecraft systems can result in induced interference pulses in electronic circuits.

ELECTROSTATIC DISCHAR 3 TESTING

Í

Electrostatic Discharge Testing

The mechanism by which acc-discburge interferes with the operations of electronics is not well understood. Alternatives include:

u. Radiated PF electromagnetic interference. The external RF can result in continuous wave signals within the equipment that can damage components or cause logic circuits to change state.

b. Injection of current pulses to external locations on the equipment. The current pulse causes surface currents from the point of injection to the "ground" or return for the pulse. The pulsed surface currents cause pulses to be induced in conductors within the equipment which, again, can damage components or change logic circuit states.

As a result, there are few widely used methods for testing the susceptibility of spacecraft systems and subsystems to the effects of charging and arc-discharge. One established method is 'o use the Electrostatic Discharge test method in section 6.5.2.4.1 of Ailitary Standard (MIL-STD) 1541, Electromagnetic Compatibility Require ments for Space Systems (12). The standard calls for an arc-discharge at a distance of 30 cm as a test for radiated interference and a discharge directly to the test sample as a test for current pulse ausceptibility. An arc source schematic diagram, Figure 16, if aggested in the MIL-STD, sithough equivalent circuitry can be used.

Opinions on the welidity of the test vary widely. In practice, the test is often not performed on flight spacecraft because of apprehension that the test will cause latent damage that will later lead to spacecraft failure. Nost programs that have recently developed opace systems do not have full op prototypes available for testing. The MASA/JEL Voyager program was an exception (13). Performance of the MIL-SED 1541 current injection test caused subsystem failures. The spacecraft was then reasoned and a number of changes made which eliminated the test failures. The spacecraft was then reasoned and operational uppets during its planetary randermous but no subsystem failures occurred. JPL believes that the electrostatic discharge testing contributed significantly to mission spaces.

34-7





SCHAFFNER FLECTROSTATIC DISCHAD25

Figure 16. HIL-STD 1541 electrostatic Figure 17. Schaffner MSG-431 Electrostatic discharge test circuit schematic. Discharge Simulator schematic.

Electrostatic Discharge Test Equipment

Implementation of the MIL-STD 1541 schematic in different ways by different organizations can result in effectively different tests. Furthermore, there is uncertainty in how dissipation of the stored energy is distributed between radiated RF and the current pulse. These fectors detract from confidence in test results. Nevertheless, the MIL-STD 15541 test represents a test that has endured the scrutiny of the aerospace testing community.

An alternative test apparatus which complies with the MIL-STD 1541 allowance for equivalent type of circuity has been used for electrostatic discharge tests by one major acrospare contractor. The Schaftner Model NSG-431 Electrostatic Discharge Siaulator (14) was developed to simulate discharges from static electricity accumulated by manufacturing and servicing personnol. The sciematic is shown in Figure 17. The standard configuration of the simulator output circuit has a 150 piceFarad capacitor and 150 Ohm resistor to simulate human body cheracteristics. The variability of discharge pulses measured by SRI with no change in experimental parameters blurs the importance of selecting a different revision-capacitor combination for bench-testing the existing EVA equipment. Other commercially available equipment can be expected to be equivalent to the Schaftner apparatus.

"Tailoring" of the MIL-STD 1541 Electrostatic Discharge test for the EVA equipment was proposed. The charging of the equipment will be modeled with charging codes to evaluate the potential to which it charges, the stored energy and likely discharge points. The HiL-STD 1541 test will then be modified to use the potential and stored energy determined by the charging codes and the current pulses injected at the likely discharge points. The usufulness of the tailored test still scrongly depends on the fidelity with which the test sizuates the discharge conditions in the space environment. Validation of the relationship between the laboratory tests and wro-discharges in space is necessary.

SIMULATION CHAMBER AND SPACE STUDIES OF CHARGING EFFECTS

It is important to carry out a program of testing and study which will investigate the impunity of the equipment to orbital charging effects as well as the understanding of the interaction of the spacecrait produced environment with the suroral stergetic particle precipitation. A proposed two part program will ensure the absence of unexpected anomalies during polar orbital operations of manred systems (15).

SUMMARY

The evidence indicates that an astronaut carrying out EVA in the Stuttle wake in polar orbit could have his EVA equipment charge up to significant voltages if an intense surgers is encountered. Are discharges are expected to occur, similar to those that have caused system upsets and failures in geosynchronous orbits. The possibility of hazard to the astronauts must be evaluated quickly, since polar orbit Shuttle Launches will soon begin at Vandenberg AFB in California. The EVA equipment susceptibility to are discharge gererated EMI must be resolved since EVA may become necessary on any Shuttle be considered a potential bazard in the davelopment of future generation NVA equipment.

REFERENCES

1. Gussenhoven, M. S., D. A. Hardy, F. Rich, W. J. Burke, and H.-C. Yah, High-Level Spacecraft Charging in the Low-Altitude Folar Auroral Ervironment, J. Geophys. Pes., v 90, No 211, pp 11,009-11,023, 1985.

2. Hurphy, G. B., J. S. Pickett, W. S. Raitt, and S. D. Shawhan, Electron and Ion

34-8

1

ŝ

Density Depl. ions Reasured in the STS-3 Orbicer Wake, Spacecraft Environmental Interactions Technology 1983, Ed. by C. K. Purvis and C. P. Pike, NASA Conference Publication 2359, AFGL-TR-65-0018, 1985.

3. Smiddy, M., W. P. Sullivan, D. Girouard, and P. B. Anderson, Shuttle Electrical Environment, Spacecraft Environmental Interactions Technology 1983, Ed. by C. K. Purvis and C. P. Pike, NASA Conference Publication 2359, AFGL-TR-85-0018, 1985.

4. Koons, H. C., Summary of Environmentally Induced Electrical Discharges on the P'8-2 (SCATHA) Satellite, J. Spacecraft & Rockets, v 20, p 425, 1983.

5. Pike, C. P., M. E. Bunn, A Correlation Study Relating Spacecraft Anomalies to Environment Data, Spacecraft Charging by Hagnetospheric Plasmas, Ed. by A. Rosen, AIAA/MIT Press, New York, 1976.

6. Tanner, S. G. and T. D. Wilkerson, Ed., Shuttle Environment Handbook, Systematics General Corporation, Sterling, VA, 1983.

7. Hardy, D. A., W. J. Burke, M. S. Gussenhoven, E. Holeman, and H.-C. Yeh, Average and Worst-Case Specifications of Precipitating Auroral Electron Environment, Spacecraft Environmental Interactions Technology 1983, Ed. by C. K. Furvis and C. P. Pike NASA Conference Publication 2355, AFGL-TR-85-0018, 1985.

8. Katz, I., H. J. Mandell, G. A. Jongeward, J. R. Lilley, Jr., W. N. Hall, and A. G. Rubin, Astronaut Charging in the Wake of a Polar Orbiting Shuttle, Paper AIAA-85-7035-C presented at the AIAA Shuttle Environment and Operations II Meeting, 13-15 Nov 85, Houston, TX.

9. Hall, W. N., G. A. Jungeward, I. Katz, and J. R. Lilley, Jr., Shuttle and Astronaut Charging in Polar Orbit, Paper AIAA~86-0519, presented at AIAA 24th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, 6-9 Jan 86, Reno, NV.

10. Yang, K., W. L. Gordon, and R. W. Hoffman, Electron Yields from Spacecraft Materials, Spacecraft Environmental Interactions Technology 1983, Ed. by C. K. Purvis and C. P. Pike, NASA Conference Publication 2359, AFGL-TR-85-0018, 1985.

11. Hall, W. N., A. Kouradi, J. E. Nanevicz, and J. V. Staskus, Testing EVA Equipment for Polar Orbit Operations, Paper SAE 851330 presented at Fifteenth Intersociety Conference on Environmental Systems, San Francisco, CA, July 15-17, 1985, to be published in SAE Transactions, Sey 1986, ADA166938.

12. U.S. Department of Defense, Military Standard, Electromagnetic Compatibility Requirements for Space Systems, HIL-STD-1541 (USAF), 15 October 1973.

 Whittlesey, A. and G. Inouye, Voyager Spacecraft Electrostatic Discharge Testing, J. Environmental Sciences, v 23, No 2, pp 20-33, 1980.

14. Technical Information available from Schaffzer EMC Inc., 825 Lehigh Avs., Union, NS 07083, 1986.

15. Hall, W. N., Astronaut Hazard During Free-Flight EVA, Spacecraft Environmental Interactions Technology 1983, Ed. by C. K. Purvis and C. P. Pike, NASA Conference Publication 2559, AFGL-TR-85-0018, 1985.

DISCUSSION

D.K.Davies,UK

£

The radiation induced charges on the EVA astronaut suit seem quite low and smaller than might be expected from contact charging, say, on the palms. Is (or has it never been) contact charging a problem?

Author's Reply

I have no specific knowledge of contact charging. Recent successful NASA EVA servicing operations indicate there are no problems in low inclination orbits that do not encounter auroras.

E.Whipple,US

Did the charging calculations of the astronaut suit take into account the strong anisotropies in the energetic electron spectra caused by the shadowing effectr of the Shuttla vehicle? There will also be anisotropies in the electron flux in the upwards versus the downward direction of atmospheric absorption. These anisotropies should lead to large differential charging between opposite sides of the astronaut suit.

Author's Reply

and a service service

The calculations reported did not take into account anisotropy in the electron flux.