REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

# COMPONENT PART NOTICE

THIS PAPER IS A COMPONENT PART OF THE FOLLOWING COMPILATION REPORT:

TITLE: Minutes of the Explosives Safety Seminar (21st) Held at Houston,

Texas on 28-30 August 1984. Volume 2.

TO ORDER THE COMPLETE COMPILATION REPORT, USE \_\_\_\_\_AD-A152 150

THE COMPONENT PART IS PROVIDED HERE TO ALLOW USERS ACCESS TO INDIVIDUALLY AUTHORED SECTIONS OF PROCEEDING, ANNALS, SYMPOSIA, ETC. HOWEVER, THE COMPONENT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE OVERALL COMPILATION REPORT AND NOT AS A STAND-ALONE TECHNICAL REPORT.

THE FOLLOWING COMPONENT PART NUMBERS COMPRISE THE COMPILATION REPORT:

AD#: P004 862 thru P004 901	AD#:
AD#:	AD#:
AD#:	AD#:

	Accession Vor NTIS GRAAI DTIC LAB Unannounced Justification	
for public release and sale; distribution is unlimited.	By	A
DTIC FORM 463	Al,	OPI: DTIC-TID

# AD-P004 867

# FRAGMENT HAZARD INVESTIGATION PROGRAM

QD CRITERIA FOR 155MM PROJECTILES

W. D. Smith

Naval Surface Weapons Center

Dahlgren, Virginia

### INTRODUCTION

The Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) is conducting a continuing program to evaluate the fragment hazards produced by the accidental detonation of stored munitions. In support of this effort, the Naval Surface Weapons Center was funded to conduct the Fragment Hazard Investigation Program. The purpose of the program is to provide the DDESB with fragmentation data to improve or to substantiate the quantity-distance (QD) standards for the safe and efficient storage of stacked munitions. The current program uses near-field fragment characterization data in conjunction with far-field collection data to predict far-field fragment density. The ultimate goal is to provide a methodology for the determination of QD standards for all hazard classifications. The hazard classification under investigation in this paper is the Mass-Detonating Hazard Materials (Class 1, Division 1).

The major effort of this program to date has been focused on the massdetonating Army M107 155mm (TNT loaded) projectile. Close-in arena and farfield collection tests of various projectile and pallet stacking configurations have been conducted concurrent with supporting analytical studies. Fragmentation data were generated on projectile clusters which simultaneously detonate<sup>1</sup> and on those which detonate by means of natural communication. Far-field collection tests were conducted on large stacks (up to 36 pallets) of projectiles at the White Sands Missile Range.<sup>4</sup> A methodology was developed based on the entire set of test data which accurately predicted the total farfield fragment density. However, the assumptions used to develop the methodology were found to limit its usefulness in calculating the hazardous portion

<sup>1</sup>Ramsey, R. T., et al, "Fragment Hazard Investigation Program", Minutes of 18th DOD explosives Safety Board Seminar, September 1978.

<sup>2</sup>Ramsey, R. T., et al, "Fragment Hazard Investigation Program", NSWC Technical Report, TR-3664, October 1978.

<sup>3</sup>Powell, J. G., et al, "Fragment Hazard Investigation Program (Large-Scale Detonation Tests", Minutes of 19th DOD Explosives Safety Board Seminar, September 1980.

"Powell, J. G., et al, "Fragment Hazard Investigation Program Natural Communication Detonation of 155mm Projectiles", NSWC Technical Report TR 81-54, July 1981.



of the far-field distribution. This limitation was overcome by the development of a fragmentation computer model<sup>5</sup> which uses the fragmentation characteristics obtained from arena tests to predict far-field fragment hazards.

This paper presents the results of the test and analysis effort accomplished to validate the computer model for pallets of 155mm projectiles.

### MODEL VALIDATION

### Test Program

Review of the fragmentationdata developed on single pallets of projectiles and the large-scale multiple pallet detonation tests conducted at WSMR indicated that additional small-scale fragmentation arenas were required. These tests consisted of the detonation by means of natural communication of two pallets of projectiles. The projectiles were positioned horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The velocity and presented area of all fragments weighing more than 300 grains were obtained between polar angles 0°-110°.

# Prediction of Far-Field Fragment Density

The computer model and the two pallet tests data were used to generate predictions of the total far-field fragment density for the 16 pallet and 36 pallet stack configurations tested at WSMR. Figures 2 and 3 present a comparison of the minimum and maximum fragment density predicted by the model (20 replications) and the test data for three 10° collection zones. The plots show that the model adequately bounds the test data for both stack configurations. This indicates that the model and the small-scale arena data can be used to generateQD criteria for stacks of 155mm projectiles.

### QD CRITERIA

The computer model calculates the QD curve based upon hazard criteria provided as input.<sup>5</sup> The curve is presented as the number of projectiles required in the stack face to just exceed the established criteria. Figure 4 presents the QD curve for 155mm projectiles using the current DDESB definition of one hazardous fragment (kinetic energy = 58 ft 1b) per 600 sq ft. Shown for comparison is the current Class 1 Division 1 QD criteria (40  $W_E^{1/3}$ ) for the 16 pallet and 36 pallet tests at WSMR. It can be seen that the computer model indicates that the current criteria underestimates the fragment hazard for munitions stored in the open.

<sup>5</sup>McCleskey, F. R., "Fragmentation Hazard Computer Model", Minutes of 21st DOD Explosives Safety Board Seminar, August 1984.



Two Pallet Test Configuration For Small-Scale Fragmentation Arenas



ZUZBER OF FRAGO

1052



ZUEBER OF FR400

1053

Figure 3



## CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate the that the computer model is an accurate, flexible method of determining the fragment hazards for stacks of 155mm projectiles. The model will be validated for another mass-detonating munitions (general purpose bombs) and will be modified to allow the computation of fragment hazards for non-mass detonating ammunition (Class 1, Division 2) during FY 85.

