

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF COUNSEL NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION 1176 HOWELL STREET NEWPORT RI 02841-1708

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Attorney Docket No. 84125 Date: 30 November 2004

The below identified patent application is available for licensing. Requests for information should be addressed to:

PATENT COUNSEL NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER 1176 HOWELL ST. CODE 00OC, BLDG. 112T NEWPORT, RI 02841

Serial Number 10/814,360

Filing Date 1 April 2004

Inventor Paul V. Cavallaro

If you have any questions please contact James M. Kasischke, Patent Counsel, at 401-832-4736.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

This Document Contains Missing Page/s That Are Unavailable In The Original Document

Attorney Docket No. 84125 Customer No. 23523

MINIATURE AXISYMMETRIC STREAMLINE TENSILE (MAST) SPECIMEN

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN THAT PAUL V. CAVALLARO, employee of the United States Government, citizen of the United States of America, and resident of Raynham, County of Bristol, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, has invented certain new and useful improvements entitled as set forth above of which the following is a specification:

JAMES M. KASISCHKE, ESQ. Reg. No. 36562 Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Newport Newport, Rhode Island 02841-1708 TEL: 401-832-4736 FAX: 401-832-1231

| . 1 | Attorney Docket No. 84125 |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | MINIATURE AXISYMMETRIC STREAMLINE TENSILE (MAST) SPECIMEN |
| 4 | |
| 5 | STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT INTEREST |
| 6 | The invention described herein may be manufactured and used |
| 7 | by or for the Government of the United States of America for |
| 8 | Governmental purposes without the payment of any royalty thereon |
| 9 | or therefor. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION |
| 12 | (1)Field Of The Invention |
| 13 | This invention relates to a miniature axisymmetric |
| 14 | streamline tensile (MAST) specimen that is to undergo tensile |
| 15 | stress. This MAST specimen is axisymmetrical with transition |
| 16 | regions (i.e., regions that transition from the constant- |
| 17 | diameter shoulder or grip regions near the end sections to the |
| 18 | gauge section region) having variable curvature fillets and |
| 19 | having a surface stress concentration factor (SSCF) close to |
| 20 | unity. |
| 21 | (2) Description Of The Prior Art |
| 22 | The Navy has a need to test piezoelectric ceramic materials |
| 23 | in order to determine their performance in sonar transducers. |
| 24 | The piezoelectric ceramic materials are typically grown as a |
| | |

.

single crystal which limits the size of the sample. These 1 materials are very brittle and subject to cracking during use as 2 a transducer. The Navy needs to know the useful life of these 3 materials and the amount of stress that they can be subjected to 4 5 while still being useful. It is also necessary to determine the electrical properties of the materials and how small flaws in 6 the material affect these properties. No prior art test 7 configuration properly gives this information for piezoelectric 8 9 ceramics.

Tensile testing of ceramic materials using a standard 10 tensile testing machine is not commonly performed because the 11 12 tensile strength of ceramic materials is typically very 13 sensitive to small cracks. These cracks are almost always 14 present in normally sized specimens. In brittle materials, such as these ceramic materials, no energy is dissipated in plastic 15 deformation ahead of the crack and the crack propagates easily. 16 A bend test is more commonly used to determine the transverse 17 rupture strength of a ceramic; however, this test does not give 18 the true tensile strength of the material, and the ceramic is 19 subject to failure at the points of load. Another problem is 20 21 that properties determined using the bend test are not 22 independent of the volume of material being tested.

1 The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) is a 2 large, not-for-profit, standards organization that provides a 3 forum for producers, users, ultimate consumers, and those having 4 a general interest to meet on common ground and write standards 5 for materials, products, systems, and services. ASTM develops 6 and publicizes voluntary consensus standards for materials, 7 products, systems, and services. ASTM also publishes standard 8 test methods, specifications, practices, guides, 9 classifications, and terminology. ASTM's standards development 10 activities encompass metals, paints, plastics, textiles, 11 petroleum, construction, energy, the environment, consumer 12 products, medical services and devices, computerized systems, 13 electronics, and many other areas.

14 Several commonly used standardized tensile and compression specimen shapes can be found within the ASTM literature. 15 Tension specimens are generally either flat or axisymmetric 16 17 They are typically loaded for testing using wedge shaped. grips, collets, threaded ends or pinned ends. Typically, these 18 existing specimen shapes are several inches or longer in length 19 and include constant radius fillets that transition a grip 20 21 region to a gauge section.

The use of constant curvature fillets, while reducing the complexity of specimen machining and costs, results in surface

stress concentration factors (SSCF) ranging minimally from 1.10
 to 1.20. A desired SSCF value is unity, 1.00. Furthermore,
 these specimens yield material strengths that are dependent upon
 specimen profiles.

With these specimens, the maximum stress may not occur 5 6 within the gauge section where the applied stress field is assumed uniaxial. Rather, the maximum stress resides at a 7 8 surface transition point where stress fields are neither 9 uniaxial nor uniform, but rather biaxial and highly non-uniform. For materials that are brittle or lacking sufficient ductility, 10 the surface transition points may become failure initiation 11 12 regions, especially under dynamic fatigue loads. No suitable 13 miniature axisymmetric standard tensile specimens were available that provide SSCFs close to unity. 14

15 The prior art discloses various testing specimens. One 16 such prior art specimen is Van Winkle et al., U.S. Patent No. 17 2,454,850, which is said to disclose a torsion specimen having a 18 cylindrical gauge region.

19 Also known in the prior art is Scott et al., U.S. Patent 20 No. 4,606,230, which is said to disclose a tensile testing 21 apparatus with a tensile specimen having a rectangular gauge 22 section.

1 Also known are Pratt, U.S. Patent No. 4,895,750, and Pratt, 2 U.S. Patent No. 5,078,843, which are said to disclose a carbon 3 composite tensile test specimen for high temperature testing and 4 a method of fabricating the same. The tensile test specimen has 5 a central gauge section that appears to be curved and of 6 constant dimension.

Also known is Hiyoshi, U.S. Publication No. 2002/0166386
A1, which is said to disclose a method and apparatus for
measuring elongation in a contact-less manner capable of
obtaining accurate measured value without attaching reference
lines and capable of being automated wherein a test specimen has
a straight, constant-width gauge section.

Also known is Oplinger et al., On the Streamline Specimen 13 for Tension Testing of Composite Materials, Special Technical 14 Testing Publication 864 - American Society for Testing and 15 Materials, pp. 541-542, Philadelphia, 1985, which is said to 16 disclose the analogy between elastic stress fields and 2-D fluid 17 flow through a reducer. Concerning the testing of fibrous 18 composites, this publication teaches the use of a flat, 19 streamlined specimen to reduce surface stress concentration 20 factors to near unity. Wedge grips are used to hold the flat 21 22 sample in the test apparatus.

| 1 | Other devices and specimens are known for tensile and |
|----|--|
| 2 | compression testing of various materials. These specimens, |
| 3 | along with those above, have various shortcomings including |
| 4 | having maximum stress not occurring within a gauge section, |
| 5 | failure regions at surface transition points and surface stress |
| 6 | concentration factors (SSCF) not at unity. The shortcomings of |
| 7 | these specimens are addressed by the present invention. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION |
| 10 | A primary object of the present invention is to provide a |
| 11 | miniature axisymmetric streamline tensile (MAST) specimen with a |
| 12 | surface stress concentration factor (SSCF) close to unity, 1.0. |
| 13 | Another primary object of the present invention is to |
| 14 | provide a MAST specimen with a surface profile consisting of |
| 15 | variable curvature transition fillets and virtually stress |
| 16 | concentration free surfaces compared to existing standardized |
| 17 | specimens. |
| 18 | Another object of the present invention is to provide a |
| 19 | MAST specimen with uniform axial stress fields within and |
| 20 | adjacent to the gauge section unlike that of existing |
| 21 | standardized specimens. |

Another object of the present invention is to provide a 1 MAST specimen with static and dynamic fatigue failure strengths 2 3 which accurately reflect the material's true strength. 4 Another object of the present invention is to provide a MAST specimen which requires a smaller volume of material per 5 6 specimen than existing standardized specimens. 7 Another object of the present invention is to provide a MAST specimen with lower material costs, machining costs and 8 9 shipping and handling costs. 10 Accordingly, the present invention provides a MAST specimen having improved axisymmetric shaped design, variable curvature 11 transition fillets, miniaturized profile dimension and shoulder 12 region features used in conjunction with the collet loading 13 14 An axisymmetric, rather than flat, design is preferred method. since no stress gradients exist in the hoop direction, i.e., 15 circumferential direction, of the specimen. The MAST specimen of 16 17 the present invention is designed to permit various loading 18 options. 19 20 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING(S) 21 Reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which is 22 shown an illustrative embodiment of the invention, from which

23 its novel features and advantages will be apparent, wherein

| 1 | corresponding reference characters indicate corresponding parts |
|----|---|
| 2 | throughout the several views of the drawings and wherein: |
| 3 | FIG. 1 illustrates a perspective view of a MAST specimen |
| 4 | profile of the present invention; |
| 5 | FIG. 2 illustrates a preferred variable curvature |
| 6 | transition fillet of the MAST specimen of FIG. 1; |
| 7 | FIG. 3 illustrates a side view of a collet version of the |
| 8 | MAST specimen of FIG. 1; |
| 9 | FIG. 4 illustrates a side view of a collet MAST specimen |
| 10 | and hardware required for collet loading of a collet MAST |
| 11 | specimen of FIG. 1; |
| 12 | FIG. 5 illustrates a side section view of threaded end |
| 13 | loading of a threaded MAST specimen of FIG. 1; and |
| 14 | FIG. 6 illustrates a diagram of a test apparatus for |
| 15 | piezoelectric specimens. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT(S) |
| 18 | The present invention is a miniature axisymmetric |
| 19 | streamline tensile (MAST) specimen 10 having improved |
| 20 | axisymmetric surface profile design and surface stress |
| 21 | concentration factor (SSCF) improvements, i.e., close to unity, |
| 22 | 1.0. The MAST specimen 10 of the present invention also has |
| | 9 |
| | |

improved variable curvature transition fillets 16, miniaturized profile dimension and shoulder 20 region features used in conjunction with the collet loading method. An axisymmetric, rather than flat, design is preferred since no stress gradients exist in the hoop direction, i.e., circumferential direction, of the specimen. The axisymmetric design requires shoulders 20 because wedge grips cannot be applied to a curved surface.

8 A preferred MAST specimen 10 profile of the present 9 invention is shown in FIG. 1. The MAST specimen 10 has two 10 axisymmetric end sections 12 and a substantially central 11 axisymmetric gauge section 14. A variable curvature transition 12 fillet 16 provides a transition between each end section 12 and 13 the substantially central gauge section 14. FIG. 2 shows a 14 preferred first quadrant profile of a MAST specimen 10 showing a 15 preferred variable curvature transition fillet 16. This 16 transition fillet 16 can be calculated as a traction-free 17 boundary with an offset distance. The offset distance provides 18 improved handling characteristics. The offset is also used to 19 create the same cross sectional area as other samples for 20 comparison.

21 The MAST specimen 10 of the present invention is designed 22 to permit various loading options. For example, the MAST 23 specimen 10 may be loaded using collets, threaded ends, etc.

However, the collet method requires that the MAST specimen 10 be machined to include oversized load bearing shoulders 20 on each end section 12 to eliminate the possibility of a bearing stressinduced fracture within this load transfer region prior to failing the gauge section 14.

6 A collet version of the MAST specimen 10 is shown in FIG. 7 3. A collet 22 is located near the free end of each end section 8 12. A shoulder 20 provides a transition between each collet 22 and each respective end section 12. The collet version 10' of 9 10 the MAST specimen 10 must be sized to avoid bearing stress 11 failures in the shoulder 20. For example, a collet-loaded MAST 12 specimen 10' having a maximum SSCF of 1.01 and a length of 1.0 13 inch will preferably have a resulting gauge section 14 diameter of 0.042 inch. Because this diameter is relatively small, 14 15 extreme care must be used in installing the specimen within the test machine. Otherwise, MAST specimen breakage may occur 16 17 during test set-up. However, any suitable length and gauge 18 section 14 diameter may be used for the MAST specimen 10, 10'. 19 FIG. 4 shows the hardware required for collet loading. 20 This test block hardware preferably includes an upper grip 21 holder 50, a lower grip holder 52, an upper cover plate set 54 22 and a lower cover plate set 56. Each cover plate set 54, 56 preferably has annealed copper collets 58 and screws 60 for 23

fastening each cover plate set 54, 56 to the respective grip
 holder 50, 52. This collet loaded embodiment promotes alignment
 of the specimen with the direction of the load. The shoulders
 of the specimen act to center the specimen within collets 58.

5 Another preferred embodiment of the MAST specimen 10 is the 6 threaded MAST specimen 10", shown in FIG. 5. In this 7 embodiment, threaded regions 70 are formed, cut into or attached 8 to each end of the specimen 10". The specimen 10" can then be 9 mounted in test blocks 72 and 74 prior to testing. This 10 embodiment affords a greater gauge section 14 diameter since 11 threaded region 70 stress can be distributed over a larger 12 surface area than found in the collet method.

13 In either embodiment, universal joints are recommended 14 within the specimen-to-test machine load train to eliminate any misalignment effects on the specimen that could otherwise result 15 in specimen breakage. For example, a threaded end MAST specimen 16 10" having a maximum SSCF of 1.01 and a length of 1.0 inch will 17 18 preferably have a resulting gauge section 14 diameter of 19 approximately 0.080 inch, which is nearly double the gauge 20 section 14 diameter of a preferred embodiment of a collet MAST specimen 10'. However, any suitable length and gauge section 21 22 diameter may be used for the MAST specimen 10,10".

An exemplary use of the MAST specimen 10 of the present 1 2 invention is in the area of new generation piezoelectric 3 materials such as Single Crystal PMN, i.e., Single Crystal Lead 4 Magnesium Niobate. During testing, new generation piezoelectric 5 materials, such as Single Crystal PMN, cannot be grown to the 6 sizes required by most standardized tensile test specifications. 7 Today, manufacturing methods for this material are limited to a 8 maximum nominal size of only one inch. Therefore, to obtain 9 accurate mechanical properties for the Single Crystal PMN that 10 are ideally independent of both specimen size and profile, the 11 MAST specimen of the present invention was developed with surface stress concentration factors (SSCF) approaching 1.0. 12 13 This could only be accomplished by the MAST specimen 10 14 containing variable curvature fillets 16 in transition regions. 15 Primary advantages of the MAST specimen 10 of the present 16 invention include, but are not limited to, surface stress concentration factors (SSCF) close to unity, a surface profile 17 consisting of variable curvature transition fillets 16, 18 19 virtually stress concentration free surfaces compared to 20 existing standardized specimens, uniform axial stress fields 21 within and adjacent to the gauge section 14 unlike that of 22 existing standardized specimens and static and dynamic fatigue 23 failure strengths which accurately reflect a material's true

strength. The MAST specimen 10 also requires a smaller volume
 of material per specimen than existing standardized specimens.
 The MAST specimen 10 may be used for testing any suitable
 material including, but not limited to, metals, plastics and
 ceramics.

While the impetus of developing the MAST specimen 10 was to 6 7 obtain a miniature specimen shape having substantial 8 improvements over existing specimen designs found in the prior art, the MAST specimen 10 can be scaled for specimens regardless 9 10 of size and loading methods. Additionally, the SSCF 11 improvements also make the MAST specimen 10 a highly suitable 12 specimen shape for compression testing of materials. However, 13 from the compression perspective, the specimen diameters must be 14 increased to avoid buckling failures prior to gauge section 14 15 compression failures.

16 In order to prepare the MAST specimen 10, a single crystal 17 sample of the material, such as a piezoelectric material, used for the test is grown. This crystal sample is then machined to 18 the disclosed profile utilizing numerically controlled 19 20 manufacturing. Preferably, the specimen 10 is cut down from the 21 single crystal axially. This avoids radial scribing which can 22 create surface stress concentrators. As an alternative, the specimen 10 can be made, i.e., machined, using a numerically 23

controlled lathe which will leave radial scribing such that the 1 surface of the specimen has a surface stress concentration 2 3 factor near unity. The radial scribing on the surface of the 4 specimen can then be polished away. In either case, it is best 5 that the numerically controlled machine follow the streamline 6 equation with sufficient accuracy to avoid stress concentrators. This can be done by using point to point machining on a large 7 set of points or by using a machine capable of following an 8 9 equation precisely.

10 When a specimen is made from a piezoelectric material, it 11 is desirable to monitor the specimen's electrical properties while it is being tested. FIG. 6 shows a test setup for this 12 13 purpose. Electrical equipment 80 is electrically joined to each end section 12 of the specimen 10 such as by test blocks. The 14 electrical equipment 80 should be capable of recording the 15 voltage, current, impedance and resistance of the specimen 10 16 17 while it is being tested.

18 The specimen 10 can be tested using a standard mechanical 19 tensile test where the ends of the specimen are drawn apart from 20 each other until the specimen breaks or the specimen can be 21 loaded by providing an electrical difference voltage at each end 22 of the specimen 10 causing it to contract. This can be 23 performed by electrical equipment 80 attached to the test

blocks, which may apply an electrical current to the specimen
 cyclically to cause cyclical contractions. In either test, the
 specimen 10 can be cyclically loaded.

4 During testing, the specimen 10 may be subjected to axial 5 forces, preferably performed mechanically, until failure. In a 6 preferred embodiment, subjecting the specimens to axial forces 7 until failure comprises causing contraction of the specimen by 8 providing an electrical current to the specimen. The elongation 9 of the specimen, the axial forces on the specimen, and the 10 electrical properties of the specimen may be measured during 11 testing. After the specimen destructively fails, it is 12 microscopically examined to find the flaw causing the failure. 13 Other properties measured from the specimen are stress, strain, 14 cycle life, power output and acceptable flaw size.

15 The exemplary embodiments herein disclosed are not intended 16 to be exhaustive or to unnecessarily limit the scope of the 17 invention. The exemplary embodiments were chosen and described 18 in order to explain the principles of the present invention so that others skilled in the art may practice the invention. 19 \mathbf{As} 20 will be apparent to one skilled in the art, various 21 modifications can be made within the scope of the aforesaid 22 description. Such modifications being within the ability of one

1 skilled in the art form a part of the present invention and are

2 embraced by the appended claims.

Attorney Docket No. 84125 1 2 3 MINIATURE AXISYMMETRIC STREAMLINE TENSILE (MAST) SPECIMEN 4 5 ABSTRACT OF DISCLOSURE 6 A miniature axisymmetric streamline tensile (MAST) specimen 7 having improved axisymmetric surface profile design and surface 8 stress concentration factor (SSCF) improvements, i.e., close to 9 unity is described. The MAST specimen also has improved 10 variable curvature transition fillets, miniaturized profile 11 dimension and shoulder region features used in conjunction with 12 the collet loading method. An axisymmetric, rather than flat, 13 design is preferred since no stress gradients exist in the hoop 14 direction, i.e., circumferential direction, of the specimen. 15 The MAST specimen is designed to permit various loading options. 16 The MAST specimen 10 may be used for testing any suitable 17 material including, but not limited to, metals, plastics and 18 ceramics.



.



2/3





