

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION 1176 HOWELL STREET NEWPORT RI 02841-1708

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Attorney Docket No. 83995 Date: 14 October 2004

The below identified patent application is available for licensing. Requests for information should be addressed to:

PATENT COUNSEL NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER 1176 HOWELL ST. CODE 00OC, BLDG. 112T NEWPORT, RI 02841

Serial Number 10/794,545

Filing Date 3 March 2004

Inventor Francis J. O'Brien

If you have any questions please contact James M. Kasischke, Deputy Counsel, at 401-832-4736.

# DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited



#### Attorney Docket No. 83995 Customer No. 23523

## ENHANCED SYSTEM FOR DETECTION OF RANDOMNESS IN SPARSE TIME SERIES

DISTRIBUTIONS

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN THAT FRANCIS J. O'BRIEN, JR, employee of the United States Government, citizen of the United States of America, and resident of Newport, County of Newport, State of Rhode Island, has invented certain new and useful improvements entitled as set forth above of which the following is a specification:

MICHAEL F. OGLO, Esq. Reg. No. 20464 Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Newport Newport 02841-1708 TEL: 401-832-4736 FAX: 401-832-1231

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as U.S. EXPRESS MAIL, Mailing Label No. EV326644765US In envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313 on <u>3 / March 200</u> # (DATE OF DEPOSIT)

michael F, Oglo APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

3 March 2004 DATE OF SIGNATURE

|   | 1  | Attorney Docket No. 83995   |
|---|----|---|
|   | 2  |   |
| • | 3  | ENHANCED SYSTEM FOR DETECTION OF RANDOMNESS IN SPARSE TIME SERIES |
|   | 4  | DISTRIBUTIONS   |
|   | 5  |   |
|   | 6  | STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT INTEREST                                  |
|   | 7  | The invention described herein may be manufactured and used       |
|   | 8  | by or for the Government of the United States of America for      |
|   | 9  | Governmental purposes without the payment of any royalties        |
|   | 10 | thereon or therefore.   |
| • | 11 |   |
|   | 12 | CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED PATENT APPLICATIONS                    |
|   | 13 | The present application is related to the following               |
|   | 14 | copending application: application of F. J. O'Brien, Jr.          |
|   | 15 | entitled "Detection of Randomness in Sparse Data Set of Three     |
|   | 16 | Dimensional Time Series Distributions," serial number 10/679,866, |
|   | 17 | filed 6 October 2003 (Navy Case 83996).                           |
|   | 18 |   |
|   | 19 | BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION                                       |
|   | 20 | (1) Field of the Invention  |
|   | 21 | The invention generally relates to signal processing/data         |
|   | 22 | processing systems for processing time series distributions       |
|   | 23 | containing a small number of data points (e.g., less than about   |
|   | 24 | ten (10) to twenty-five (25) data points). More particularly,     |
|   | 20 | the invention relates to a two-stage system for classifying the   |
|   |    |   |
| • |    |   |
|   |    |   |
|   |    |   |

1 white noise degree (randomness) of a selected signal structure 2 comprising a time series distribution composed of a highly sparse 3 data set. As used herein, the term "random" (or "randomness") is 4 defined in terms of a "random process" as measured by a selected 5 probability distribution model. Thus, pure randomness,

6 pragmatically speaking, is herein considered to be a time series 7 distribution for which no function, mapping or relation can be 8 constituted that provides meaningful insight into the underlying 9 structure of the distribution, but which at the same time is not 10 chaos.

11 (2) Description of the Prior Art

12 Recent research has revealed a critical need for highly 13 sparse data set time distribution analysis methods and apparatus 14 separate and apart from those adapted for treating large sample 15 distributions. This is particularly the case in applications 16 such as naval sonar systems which require that input time series 17 signal distributions be classified according to their structure, 18 i.e., periodic, transient, random or chaotic. It is well known 19 that large sample methods often fail when applied to small sample 20 distributions, but that the same is not necessarily true for 21 small sample methods applied to large data sets.

22 Very small data set distributions may be defined as those 23 with less than about ten (10) to twenty-five (25) measurement 24 (data) points. Such data sets can be analyzed mathematically

with certain nonparametric discrete probability distributions, as
opposed to large-sample methods which normally employ continuous
probability distributions (such as the Gaussian).

The probability theory discussed herein and utilized by the
present invention is well known. It may be found, for example,
in works such as P.J. Hoel et al., <u>Introduction to the Theory of</u>
<u>Probability</u>, Houghton-Mifflin, Boston, MA, 1971, which is hereby
incorporated herein by reference.

Also, as will appear more fully below, it has been found to 9 be important to treat white noise signals themselves as the time 10 series signal distribution to be analyzed, and to identify the 11 12 characteristics of that distribution separately. This aids in the detection and appropriate processing of received signals in 13 14 numerous data acquisition contexts, not the least of which include naval sonar applications. Accordingly, it will be 15 understood that prior analysis methods and apparatus analyze 16 17 received time series data distributions from the point of view of attempting to find patterns or some other type of correlated data 18 19 therein. Once such a pattern or correlation is located, the 20 remainder of the distribution is simply discarded as being noise. 21 It is believed that the present invention will be useful in enhancing the sensitivity of present analysis methods, as well as 22 23 being useful on its own.

Various aspects related to the present invention are
 discussed in the following exemplary patents:

U.S. Patent No. 6,068,659, issued May 30, 2000, to Francis 3 J. O'Brien, Jr., discloses a method for measuring and recording 4 the relative degree of pical density, congestion, or crowding of 5 objects dispersed in a three-dimensional space. A Population 6 Density Index is obtained for the actual conditions of the 7 objects within the space as determined from measurements taken of 8 9 the objects. The Population Density Index is compared with values considered as minimum and maximum bounds, respectively, for the 10 Population Density Index values. The objects within the space are 11 then repositioned to optimize the Population Density Index, thus 12 13 optimizing the layout of objects within the space.

U.S. Patent No. 5,506,817, issued April 9, 1996, to Francis 14 J. O'Brien, Jr., discloses an adaptive statistical filter system 15 for receiving a data stream comprising a series of data values 16 from a sensor associated with successive points in time. 17 Each 18 data value includes a data component representative of the motion 19 of a target and a noise component, with the noise components of 20 data values associated with proximate points in time being 21 The adaptive statistical filter system includes a correlated. 22 prewhitener, a plurality of statistical filters of different 23 orders, stochastic decorrelator and a selector. The prewhitener generates a corrected data stream comprising corrected data 24

values, each including a data component and a time-correlated 1 2 noise component. The plural statistical filters receive the 3 corrected data stream and generate coefficient values to fit the 4 corrected data stream to a polynomial of corresponding order and 5 fit values representative of the degree of fit of corrected data 6 stream to the polynomial. The stochastic decorrelator uses a 7 spatial Poisson process statistical significance test to 8 determine whether the fit values are correlated. If the test 9 indicates the fit values are not randomly distributed, it 10 generates decorrelated fit values using an autoregressive moving 11 average methodology which assesses the noise components of the 12 statistical filter. The selector receives the decorrelated fit 13 values and coefficient values from the plural statistical filters 14 and selects coefficient values from one of the filters in 15 response to the decorrelated fit values. The coefficient values 16 are coupled to a target motion analysis module which determines 17 position and velocity of a target.

U.S. Patent No. 6,466,516 B1, issued October, 15, 2002, to
Francis J. O'Brien, Jr. et al., discloses a method and apparatus
for automatically characterizing the spatial arrangement among
the data points of a three-dimensional time series distribution
in a data processing system wherein the classification of said
time series distribution is required. The method and apparatus
utilize grids in Cartesian coordinates to determine (1) the

1 number of cubes in the grids containing at least one input data point of the time series distribution; (2) the expected number of 2 cubes which would contain at least one data point in a random 3 distribution in said grids; and (3) an upper and lower 4 probability of false alarm above and below said expected value 5 6 utilizing a discrete binomial probability relationship in order to analyze the randomness characteristic of the input time series 7 8 distribution. A labeling device also is provided to label the time series distribution as either random or nonrandom, and/or 9 random or nonrandom within what probability, prior to its output. 10 11 from the invention to the remainder of the data processing system 12 for further analysis.

**13** · U.S. Patent No. 6,397,234 B1, issued May 28, 2002, to 14 Francis J. O'Brien, Jr. et. al., discloses a method and apparatus 15 for automatically characterizing the spatial arrangement among 16 the data points of a time series distribution in a data 17 processing system wherein the classification of said time series 18 distribution is required. The method and apparatus utilize a -19 grid in Cartesian coordinates to determine (1) the number of 20 cells in the grid containing at least-one input data point of the 21 time series distribution; (2) the expected number of cells which 22<sup>/</sup> would contain at least one data point in a random distribution in 23 said grid; and (3) an upper and lower probability of false alarm 24 above and below said expected value utilizing a discrete binomial

1 probability relationship in order to analyze the randomness characteristic of the input time series distribution. A labeling 2 device also is provided to label the time series distribution as 3 either random or nonrandom, and/or random or nonrandom. 4 (3) Description of Another Department of the Navy Developments 5 A development in a related technological area made by the 6 U.S. Department of the Navy is described in U.S. Patent No. 7 8 6,597,634 B1 issued July 22, 2003, to Francis J. O'Brien, Jr. et 9 al, published as Publication No. US -2003-0043695-A1 on 6 March 10 2003, discloses a signal processing system to processes a digital signal converted from to an analog signal, which includes a noise 11 12 component and possibly also an information component comprising 13 small samples representing four mutually orthogonal items of 14 measurement information representable as a sample point in a symbolic Cartesian four-dimensional spatial reference system. An 15 16 information processing sub-system receives said digital signal 17 and processes it to extract the information component. A noise 18 likelihood determination sub-system receives the digital signal 19 and generates a random noise assessment of whether or not the 20 digital signal comprises solely random noise, and if not, 21 generates an assessment of degree-of-randomness. The information 22 processing system is illustrated as combat control equipment for 23 undersea warfare, which utilizes a sonar signal produced by a

towed linear transducer array, and whose mode operation employsfour mutually orthogonal items of measurement information.

3 The above prior art and prior Department of the Navy
4 development do not disclose a method which utilizes more than one
5 statistical test to decide the structured properties of sparse
6 data in order to maximize the likelihood of a correct decision in
7 processing batches of the sparse data in real time operating
8 submarine systems and/or other contemplated uses.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

11 It is an object of the present invention to provide an 12 improved two-stage method for analyzing sparse data.

9

10

13 It is yet another object of the invention to provide a two-14 stage method including an automated measurement of the spatial 15 arrangement among a very small number of points, object, 16 measurements or the like whereby an ascertainment of the noise 17 degree (i.e., randomness) of the time series distribution may be 18 made by conjoint methods of mathematical analysis.

19 It is yet another object of the invention to provide a
20 method and apparatus useful in naval sonar systems which require
21 acquired signal distributions to be classified according to their
22 structure (i.e., periodic, transient, random, or chaotic) in the
23 processing and use of those acquired signal distributions as
24 indications of how and from where they were originally generated.

Further, it is an object of the invention to provide a
 method and apparatus capable of labeling a time series
 distribution with (1) an indication as to whether or not it is
 random in structure, and (2) an indication as to whether or not
 it is random within a probability of false alarm of a specific
 randomness calculation.

These and other objects, features, and advantages of the 7 present invention will become apparent from the drawings, the 8 descriptions given herein, and the appended claims. However, it 9 will be understood that above listed objects and advantages of 10 the invention are intended only as an aid in understanding 11 certain aspects of the invention, are not intended to limit the 12 invention in any way, and do not form a comprehensive or 13 exclusive list of objects, features, and advantages. 14

With the above and other objects in view, as will 15 hereinafter more fully appear, a feature of the invention is the 16 provision of conjoint random process detection methods and 17 18 subsystem for use in a naval sonar signal processing/data processing system. In a preferred embodiment, the random process 19 (white noise) detection subsystem includes an input for receiving 20 a time series distribution of data points expressed in Cartesian 21 This set of data points will be characterized by no 22 coordinates. more than a maximum number of points having a value (amplitude) 23 between a maximum and a minimum value received within a 24

1 preselected time interval. A hypothetical representation of a
2 white noise time series signal distribution in Cartesian space is
3 illustratively shown in FIG. 1. The invention is specifically
4 adapted to analyze both selected portions of such time series
5 distributions, and the entirety of the distribution depending
6 upon the sensitivity of the randomness determination which is
7 required in any particular instance.

The input time series distribution of data points is 8 9 received by a display/operating system adapted to accommodate a 10 pre-selected number of data points N having a value (amplitude 11 for sonar signals and the like) within certain limits within a 12 pre-selected time interval. The display/operating system then 13 creates a virtual window around the input data distribution, and 14 divides the geometric area of the virtual window into a grid 15 consisting of cells each having the same geometric shape and an 16 equal enclosed area. Ideally, the grid fills the entire area of 17 the window, but if it does not, the unfilled portion of the 18 window is disregarded in the randomness determination.

19 An analysis device then examines each cell to determine 20 whether or not one or more of the data points of the input time 21 series distribution is located therein. Thereafter, a counter 22 calculates the number of occupied cells. Also, the number of 23 cells which would be expected to be occupied in the grid for a 24 totally random distribution is statistically predicted by a

computer device according to known Poisson probability process
 and binomial theory equations, and application of the Central
 Limit Theorem, constituting the test of randomness. In addition,
 the statistical bounds of the predicted value are calculated
 based upon a known distinct discrete binomial criteria.

A comparator is then used to determine whether or not the
actual number of occupied cells in the input time series
distribution is the same as the statistically predicted number of
cells for a random distribution. If it is, the input time series
distribution is characterized as random. If it is not, the input
time series distribution is characterized as nonrandom.

12 Thereafter, the characterized time series distribution is 13 labeled as random or nonrandom, and/or as random or nonrandom 14 within a pre-selected probability rate of the expected randomness 15 value prior to being output back to the remainder of the data 16 processing system. In the naval sonar signal processing context, 17 this output either alone, or in combination with overlapping 18 similarly characterized time series signal distributions, will be 19 used to determine whether or not a particular group of signals is 20 white noise. If that group of signals is white noise, it 21 commonly will be deleted from further data processing. Hence, it 22 is contemplated that the present invention, which is not 23 distribution dependent in its analysis as most prior art methods 24 of signal analysis are, will be useful as a filter or otherwise

in conjunction with current data processing methods and
 equipment.

3 In the above regards, it should be understood that the 4 statistical bounds of the predicted number of occupied cells in a random distribution (including cells occupied by mere chance) 5 mentioned above may be determined by a second calculator device 6 using a so-called probability of false alarm rate. In this case, 7 8 the actual number of occupied cells is compared with the number of cells falling within the statistical boundaries of the 9 predicted number of occupied cells for a random distribution in 10 making the randomness determination. This alternative embodiment 11 12 of the invention has been found to increase the probability of 13 being correct in making a randomness determination for any particular time series distribution of data points by as much as 14 15 For instance in one version of this alternative 60%. embodiment, the method may comprise one or more method steps such 16 17 as, for example only, creating a virtual window having a two-18 dimensional area containing a distribution of data points of the 19 sparse data for a selected time period and/or subdividing substantially the entirety of the area of the virtual window into 20 21 a plurality k of cells wherein each of the plurality k of cells have the same polygonal shape and define the same area value. 22 23 Additional steps may comprise determining a quantity  $\Theta$ 

24 wherein  $\Theta$  represents an expected proportion of the plurality k

of cells which will be nonempty in a random distribution. When 1  $\Theta$  is less than a pre-selected value, then the method may 2 comprise utilizing a Poisson distribution to determine a first 3 When  $\Theta$  is greater than the premean of the data points. 4 selected value, then the method may comprise utilizing a binomial 5 distribution to determine a second mean of the data points. The 6 method may further comprise computing a probability p from the 7 first mean or the second mean, depending on whether  $\Theta$  is greater 8 than or less than the pre-selected value. Other steps may 9 comprise determining a false alarm probability lpha based on a 10 total number of the plurality of k cells. By comparing p withlpha, 11 the method may be utilized to then determine whether to 12 characterize the sparse data as noise or signal. 13

In one example, the pre-selected amount discussed above is 14 equal to 0.10 such that if  $\Theta \leq 0.10$ , then the Poisson distribution 15 is utilized, and if  $\Theta$  >0.10, then the binomial distribution is 16 utilized. Also, in one embodiment, the step of determining a 17 probability of false alarm rate  $\alpha$  comprises setting the alarm 18 rate  $\alpha$  equal to 0.01 when the total number of the plurality of 19 k cells is greater than 25, and/or determining a probability of 20 false alarm rate  $\alpha$  comprises setting the alarm rate  $\alpha$  equal to 21 0.05 when the total number of the plurality of k of cells is 22 greater than or equal to 5 and less than or equal to 25 and/or 23

1 determining a probability of false alarm rate  $\alpha$  comprises 2 setting the alarm rate  $\alpha$  equal to 0.10 when the total number of 3 the plurality of k cells is less than 5.

The above and other novel features and advantages of the 4 invention, including various novel details of construction and 5 combination of parts will now be more particularly described with 6 reference to the accompanying drawings and pointed out by the 7 It will be understood that the particular device and 8 claims. method embodying the invention is shown and described herein by 9 way of illustration only, and not as limitations on the 10 The principles and features of the invention may be 11 invention. employed in numerous embodiments without departing from the scope 12 of the invention in its broadest aspects. 13.

14

15

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

16 Reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which is 17 shown an illustrative embodiment of the apparatus and method of 18 the invention, from which its novel features and advantages will 19 be apparent to those skilled in the art, and wherein:

20 FIG. 1 is a hypothetical depiction in Cartesian coordinates
21 of a representative white noise (random) time series signal
22 distribution;

FIG. 2 is a hypothetical illustrative representation of a
virtual window in accordance with the invention divided into a

1 grid of square cells each having a side of length  $\delta$ , and an area 2 of  $\delta^2$ ;

3 FIG. 3 is a block diagram representatively illustrating the4 method steps of the invention;

5 FIG. 4 is a block diagram representatively illustrating an6 apparatus in accordance with the invention; and

FIG. 5 is a table showing an illustrative set of discrete binomial probabilities for the randomness of each possible number of occupied cells of a particular time series distribution within a specific probability of false alarm rate of the expected randomness number.

- 12
- 13

# DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Referring now to the drawings, a preferred embodiment of the 14 method and apparatus of the invention will be presented first 15 from a theoretical perspective, and thereafter, in terms of a 16 specific example. In this regard, it is to be understood that 17 all data points are herein assumed to be expressed and operated 18 upon by the various apparatus components in a Cartesian 19 coordinate system. Accordingly, all measurement, signal and 20 other data input existing in terms of other coordinate systems is 21 assumed to have been re-expressed in a Cartesian coordinate 22 system prior to its input into the inventive apparatus or the 23 application of the inventive method thereto. 24

The invention starts from the preset capability of a 1 display/operating system 8 (FIG. 4) to accommodate a set number 2 of data points N in a given time interval ≅t. The value 3 (amplitude) of each data point in each time series distribution 4 falls within limits which may be expressed as  $\cong Y = \max(Y) - \min(Y)$ 5 A representation of a time series distribution of random 6 (Y). sonar input data points 4 is shown in FIG. 1. A subset of this 7 8 overall time series data distribution would normally be selected for analysis of its signal component distribution by this 9 10 invention.

For purposes of mathematical analysis of the signal 11 12 components, it is assumed that the product/quantity given by ≅t \*  $\Delta Y = [max(t) - min(t)] * [max(Y) - min(Y)]$  will define the window 13 14 "geometric area" with respect to the quantities in the analysis 15 The sides of the  $\cong$ t \*  $\Delta$ Y window are drawn parallel to subsystem. the time axis and amplitude axis, respectively, although other 16 17 window shapes may be employed (such as a convex polygon) without 18 departure from the invention in its broadest aspects. Then, for 19 substantially the total area of the display region, a Cartesian 20 partition is superimposed on the region with each partition being 21 a small square of side  $\delta$  (see, FIG. 2). The measure of  $\delta$  will be 22 defined herein as:

 $\delta = \left(\Delta t * \Delta Y / k\right)^{1/2}$ 

23

(1)

| 1  | The quantity k represents the  | total number               | ofsmall | squares   | <b>3</b> .  |
|----|--|----------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| 2  | each of area $\delta^2$ created in the area $\cong$ t * $\Delta$ Y. Incomplete squares |                            |         |           |             |
| 3  | 6 are ignored in the analysis. The quantity of such squares                            |                            |         |           |             |
| 4  | which it is desired to occupy with at least one data point from                        |                            |         |           |             |
| 5  | an input time series distribution is determined using the                              |                            |         |           |             |
| 6  | following relationship wherein N is the maximum number of data                         |                            |         |           |             |
| 7  | points in the time series distribution, $\cong$ t and $\Delta$ Y are the               |                            |         |           |             |
| 8  | Cartesian axis lengths, and the sid  | e lengths of               | each of | the       |             |
| 9  | squares is $\delta$ :  |                            |         |           |             |
| 10 | $k_{I} = int(\Delta t/\delta_{I}) *$   | $int(\Delta Y/\delta_{I})$ |         | (2)       |             |
| 11 | where int is the integer operator,   | •                          |         |           |             |
| 12 | $\delta_{I} = \sqrt{[(\Delta t * \Delta Y)/k_{o}]}$ , and                              |                            |         |           | •           |
| 13 | $k_{o} = k_{1}$ if $ N - k_{1}  \leq  N - k_{2} $ or                                   | •                          | •       | •••••     |             |
| 14 | $= k_2$ otherwise  | · ·                        |         |           | •           |
| 15 | where  |                            |         | · · · · · |             |
| 16 | $k_1 = [int(N^{1/2})]^2$   |                            |         |           |             |
| 17 | $k_2 = [int(N^{1/2}) + 1]^2$   | •                          |         |           |             |
| 18 | $k_{II} = int(\Delta t/\delta_{II}) * int(\Delta Y/\delta_{II})$                       |                            |         |           | •<br>•<br>• |
| 19 | where  | · ·                        | ·       |           |             |
| 20 | $\delta_{II} = \sqrt{[(\Delta t * \Delta Y)/N]}$                                       |                            |         | ÷         |             |
| 21 | $\therefore$ k = k <sub>I</sub> if K <sub>I</sub> > K <sub>II</sub>                    |                            | . *     |           |             |
| 22 | $k = k_{II}$ if $K_I < K_{II}$   | · .                        |         | · ·       |             |
| 23 | $k = \max(k_{I}, k_{II})$ if $K_{I} = K_{II}$  |                            |         |           |             |
|    | 17   |                            |         | ·         |             |
|    | ••   | :                          |         |           | . *         |
|    |  |                            |         |           |             |
|    |  |                            |         |           |             |
|    |  |                            |         |           |             |

1 where

2  $K_1 = \delta_1^2 k_1 / (\Delta t \cdot \Delta Y) \le 1$  and

3  $K_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu}^2 k_{\mu} / (\Delta t \cdot \Delta Y) \leq 1$ 

4 In cases with very small amplitudes, it may occur that  $int(\Delta Y/\delta_I)$ 5  $\leq 1$  or  $int(\Delta Y/\delta_{II}) \leq 1$ . In such cases, the solution is to round 6 off either quantity to the next highest value (i.e.,  $\geq 2$ ). This 7 weakens the theoretical approach, but it allows for practical 8 measurements to be made.

9 Thus, for example, if  $\Delta t$  (or N)=30, and  $\Delta Y$ =20, then k=24 10 and  $\delta$ =5.0. Accordingly, k \*  $\delta^2 = 24$  \* 25 = 600 =  $\cong t * \Delta Y$ . In 11 essence, therefore, the above relation defining the value k 12 selects the number of squares of length  $\delta$  and area  $\delta^2$  which fill 13 up the total space  $\cong t * \Delta Y$  to the greatest extent possible (i.e., 14 ideally k \*  $\delta^2 \cong t * \Delta Y$ ).

15 From the selected partitioning parameter k, the region 16 (area)  $\cong t * \Delta Y$  is carved up into k squares with the length of 17 each square being  $\delta$  as defined above. In other words, the 18 horizontal (or time) axis is marked off into intervals, exactly 19 int ( $\Delta t/\delta$ ) of them, so that the time axis has the following 20 arithmetic sequence of cuts (assuming that the time clock starts 21 at  $\Delta t = 0$ ):

22

0,  $\delta$ ,  $2\delta$ , ..., int $(\Delta t/\delta) * \delta$ 

(3)

| 1         | Likewise, the vertical (or measurement or amplitude) axis is cut                     |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| 2         | up into intervals, exactly int( $\Delta Y/\delta$ ) of them, so that the             |  |  |
| 3         | vertical axis has the following arithmetic sequence of cuts:                         |  |  |
| 4         |  |  |  |
| 5         | min(Y), min(Y)+ $\delta$ ,, min(Y)+int( $\Delta Y/\delta$ ) * $\delta$ = max(Y), (4) |  |  |
| 6         |  |  |  |
| 7         | where min is the minimum operator and $\delta$ is defined as above.                  |  |  |
| 8         | Based on the Poisson point process theory for a measurement                          |  |  |
| 9         | set of data in a time interval $\Delta$ t of measurement magnitude $\Delta$ Y,       |  |  |
| 10        | that data set is considered to be purely random (or "white                           |  |  |
| 11        | noise") if the number of partitions k are nonempty (i.e., contain                    |  |  |
| 12        | at least one data point of the time series distribution thereof                      |  |  |
| 13        | under analysis) to a specified degree. The expected number of                        |  |  |
| 14        | nonempty partitions in a random distribution is given by the                         |  |  |
| 15        | relationship:  |  |  |
| 16        |  |  |  |
| 17        | $k * \Theta = k * (1 - e^{-N/k})$ (5)  |  |  |
| 18        |  |  |  |
| 19        | where the quantity $\Theta$ is the expected proportion of nonempty                   |  |  |
| 20        | partitions in a random distribution and $N/k$ is "the parameter of                   |  |  |
| <b>21</b> | the spatial Poisson process" corresponding to the average number                     |  |  |
| <b>22</b> | of points observed across all subspace partitions.                                   |  |  |
|           |  |  |  |

The boundary, above and below k \* Ø, attributable to random variation and controlled by a false alarm rate is the so-called "critical region" of the test. The quantity Ø not only represents (a) the expected proportion of nonempty partitions in a random distribution, but also (b) the probability that one or more of the k partitions is occupied by pure chance, as is well known to those in the art. The boundaries of the random process are determined in the following way.

9 Let M be a random variable representing the integer number
10 of occupied cells (partitions) as illustratively shown in FIG. 2.
11 Let m be an integer (sample) representation of M. Let m<sub>1</sub> be the
12 quantity forming the lower random boundary of the statistic k \*
13 O given by the binomial criterion:

14

15

16

 $P(M \le m) \le \alpha_0/2, \min(\alpha/2 - \alpha_0/2)$ 

(6)

17 where,

18

19  $P(M \le m) = \sum B(m; k, \Theta)$  from m=0 to m=m<sub>1</sub>, and

20 k and  $\Theta$  are defined as above.

21

22  $B(m;k,\Theta)$  is the binomial probability function given as:

23

| 1  | $B(m;k,\Theta) = (k,m) (\Theta)^{m} (1-\Theta)^{k-m} $ (7)  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  |   |  |  |  |
| 3  | where (k,m) is the binomial coefficient, (k,m)=k!/m!(k-m)!  |  |  |  |
| 4  |   |  |  |  |
| 5  | and $\sum B(m;k,\Theta)$ from m=0 to m=k equals 1.0.  |  |  |  |
| 6  |   |  |  |  |
| 7  | The quantity $lpha_\circ$ is the probability of coming closest to an  |  |  |  |
| 8  | exact value of the pre-specified false alarm probability $lpha,$ and  |  |  |  |
| 9  | $\texttt{m}_1$ is the largest value of <code>m</code> such that <code>P(M \leq m) \leq \alpha_0/2</code> . It is an |  |  |  |
| 10 | objective of this method to minimize the difference between $lpha$  |  |  |  |
| 11 | and $lpha_0$ . The recommended values of $lpha$ (the probability false alarm  |  |  |  |
| 12 | rate) for differing values of spatial subsets k are as follows:   |  |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |  |
| 14 | If $k > 25$ , the $\alpha = 0.01$ ;   |  |  |  |
| 15 | If $5 \le k \le 25$ , then $\alpha = 0.05$ ; and (8)  |  |  |  |
| 16 | If $k < 5$ , then $\alpha = 0.10$   |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |  |
| 18 | The upper boundary of the random process is called $m_2$ , and is   |  |  |  |
| 19 | determined in a manner similar to the determination of $m_1$ .  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Thus, let $m_2$ be the upper random boundary of the statistic   |  |  |  |
| 21 | $k*\Theta$ given by:  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $P(M \ge m) \le \alpha_o/2, \min(\alpha/2 - \alpha_o/2)$ (9)  |  |  |  |

1 where

2

3

4

$$P(M \ge m) = \sum_{m=m_2}^{k} B(m; k, \Theta) \le \alpha_o / 2$$

$$P(M \ge m) = 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{m_2} B(m; k, \Theta) \le \alpha_o / 2$$

or

5  $\alpha_{\circ}$  is the probability of coming closest to an exact value of the 6 pre-specified false alarm probability  $\alpha$ , and  $m_2$  is the largest 7 value of m such that  $P(M \ge m) \le \alpha_{\circ}/2$ . It is an objective of the 8 invention to minimize the difference between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_{\circ}$ .

9 Hence, the subsystem determines if the signal structure
10 contains m points within the "critical region" warranting a
11 determination of "random".

12 The subsystem also assesses the random process hypothesis by13 testing:

 $H_o: \overline{P} = \Theta$  (Noise)

14

15

 $H_1: \overline{P} \neq \Theta$  (Signal + Noise)

(10)

16 Where  $\overline{P} = m/k$  is the sample proportion of signal points 17 contained in the k subregion partitions expected to be occupied 18 by a truly random (stochastic) spatial distribution. As noted 19 above, FIG. 1 shows what a hypothetical white noise (random) 20 distribution looks like in Cartesian time-space.

| 1  | Thus, if $\Theta \approx \overline{P} = m/k$ , the observed distribution conforms to  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | a random distribution corresponding to "white noise".   |  |  |  |
| 3  | The estimate for the proportion of $k$ cells occupied by N  |  |  |  |
| 4  | measurements ( $\overline{P}$ ) is developed in the following manner. Let each  |  |  |  |
| 5  | of the k cells of length $\delta$ be denoted by C $_{ m ij}$ and the number of  |  |  |  |
| 6  | objects observed in each $C_{ij}$ cell be denoted card ( $C_{ij}$ ) where card  |  |  |  |
| 7  | means "cardinality" or subset count. $C_{ij}$ is labeled from left to   |  |  |  |
| 8  | right starting at the lower left-hand corner $C_{11},\ C_{12},\ \ldots,\ C_{46}$  |  |  |  |
| 9  | (see FIG. 2).   |  |  |  |
| 10   | Next to continue the example for $k = 24$ shown in FIG. 2,  |  |  |  |
| 11   | define the following count quantity for the 6 x 4 partition   |  |  |  |
| 12   | comprising whole square subsets:  |  |  |  |
| 13   |   |  |  |  |
|  | ·   |  |  |  |
| 14   | $X_{ij} = 1$ if card ( $C_{ij}$ ) > 0; i = 1 to 4, j = 1 to 6   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15   | $X_{ij} = 1$ if card ( $C_{ij}$ ) > 0; i = 1 to 4, j = 1 to 6   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16   | $X_{ij} = 1$ if card $(C_{ij}) > 0$ ; $i = 1$ to 4, $j = 1$ to 6<br>$X_{ij} = 0$ if card $(C_{ij}) = 0$ ; $i = 1$ to 4, $j = 1$ to 6 (11)   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | $X_{ij} = 1$ if card $(C_{ij}) > 0$ ; $i = 1$ to 4, $j = 1$ to 6<br>$X_{ij} = 0$ if card $(C_{ij}) = 0$ ; $i = 1$ to 4, $j = 1$ to 6 (11)   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | $X_{ij} = 1 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) > 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6$ $X_{ij} = 0 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) = 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \tag{11}$ where card is the cardinality or count operator. $X_{ij}$ is a   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                         | $X_{ij} = 1 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) > 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6$ $X_{ij} = 0 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) = 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \qquad (11)$ where card is the cardinality or count operator. $X_{ij}$ is a dichotomous variable taking on the individual values of 1 if a   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | $X_{ij} = 1 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) > 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6$ $X_{ij} = 0 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) = 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \qquad (11)$ where card is the cardinality or count operator. $X_{ij}$ is a dichotomous variable taking on the individual values of 1 if a cell $C_{ij}$ has one or more objects present, and a value of 0 if the  |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | $X_{ij} = 1 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) > 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6$ $X_{ij} = 0 \text{ if card } (C_{ij}) = 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \qquad (11)$ where card is the cardinality or count operator. $X_{ij}$ is a dichotomous variable taking on the individual values of 1 if a cell $C_{ij}$ has one or more objects present, and a value of 0 if the box is empty.  |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | $\begin{split} X_{ij} &= 1 \text{ if } \text{card } (C_{ij}) > 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \\ X_{ij} &= 0 \text{ if } \text{card } (C_{ij}) = 0; \text{ i} = 1 \text{ to } 4, \text{ j} = 1 \text{ to } 6 \end{split} (11) \\ \end{split}$ where card is the cardinality or count operator. $X_{ij}$ is a dichotomous variable taking on the individual values of 1 if a cell $C_{ij}$ has one or more objects present, and a value of 0 if the box is empty. Then calculate the proportion of 24 cells occupied in the |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | $ \begin{array}{l} X_{ij} = 1 \mbox{ if } {\rm card } ({\rm C}_{ij}) > 0; \mbox{ i} = 1 \mbox{ to } 4, \mbox{ j} = 1 \mbox{ to } 6 \end{array} \tag{11} \\  \begin{array}{l} X_{ij} = 0 \mbox{ if } {\rm card } ({\rm C}_{ij}) = 0; \mbox{ i} = 1 \mbox{ to } 4, \mbox{ j} = 1 \mbox{ to } 6 \end{array} \tag{11} \\  \end{array} \\                              $   |  |  |  |

| 1  | $\overline{P} = 1/24 \sum X_{ij} $ (12)  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | where the sums are taken from $j = 1$ to 6 and $i = 1$ to 4,                             |  |  |  |
| 3  | respectively.  |  |  |  |
| 4  | The generalization of this example to any sized table is                                 |  |  |  |
| 5  | obvious, and within the scope of the present invention. For the                          |  |  |  |
| 6  | general case, it will be appreciated that, for the statistics $X_{ij}$                   |  |  |  |
| 7  | and $C_{ij}$ the index j runs from 1 to int( $\Delta$ t/ $\delta$ ) and the index i runs |  |  |  |
| 8  | from 1 to $int(\Delta Y/\delta)$ .   |  |  |  |
| 9  | In addition, another measure useful in the interpretation of                             |  |  |  |
| 10 | outcomes is the R ratio, defined as the ratio of observed to                             |  |  |  |
| 11 | expected occupancy rates:  |  |  |  |
| 12 | · · · · ·  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $R = m/(k * \Theta) = \overline{P}/\Theta $ (13)   |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | The range of values for R indicate:  |  |  |  |
| 16 | R < 1, clustered distribution  |  |  |  |
| 17 | R = 1, random distribution; and  |  |  |  |
| 18 | R > 1, uniform distribution.   |  |  |  |
| 19 | In actuality, R may never have a precise value of 1.                                     |  |  |  |
| 20 | A rigorous statistical procedure has been developed to                                   |  |  |  |
| 21 | determine whether the observed R-value is indicative of "noise"                          |  |  |  |
| 22 | or "signal". The procedure renders quantitatively the                                    |  |  |  |
| 23 | interpretations of the R-value whereas the prior art has relied                          |  |  |  |

primarily on intuitive interpretation or ad hoc methods, which
 can be erroneous.

3 In this formulation, one of two statistical assessment tests
4 is utilized depending on the value of the parameter Θ.

5 If  $\Theta \leq 0.10$ , then a Poisson distribution is employed. To 6 apply the Poisson test, the distribution of the N sample points 7 is observed in the partitioned space. It will be appreciated 8 that a data sweep across all cells within the space will detect some of the squares being empty, some containing k = 1 points, k9 10 = 2 points, k = 3 points, and so on. The number of points in 11 each k category is tabulated in a table such as follows: 12

13 Frequency Table of Cell Counts

| k          | Nk             |  |
|------------|----------------|--|
| (number of | (number of     |  |
| cells with | points         |  |
| points)    | in k cells)    |  |
| 0          | No             |  |
| 1          | N <sub>1</sub> |  |
| 2          | N <sub>2</sub> |  |
| 3          | N <sub>3</sub> |  |
| :          | :              |  |
| K          | N <sub>k</sub> |  |

1 From this frequency table, two statistics are of interests 2 for the Central Limit Theorem approximation: 3 The "total",  $Y = \sum_{k=0}^{K} kN_k$ , and (14)

4 the sample mean,  $\mu_0 = \frac{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{K} k N_k}{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{K} N_k}$ .

5 Then, if  $\Theta \le 0.10$ , the following binary hypothesis is of 6 interest:

7 
$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0(NOISE)$$
$$H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0(SIGNAL)$$

8 The Poisson test statistic, derived from the Central Limit9 Theorem, Eq. (3) is as follows:

10

 $Z_{P} = \frac{Y - N\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{N\mu_{0}}}, \qquad (k>25) \qquad (16)$ 

(15)

(17)

11 where

 $12 Y = \sum_{k=0}^{K} k N_k ,$ 

13 and N is the sample size. Then

14

$$\mu_0 = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K} k N_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{K} N_k}$$

15 is the sample mean and sample variance. (It is well known that 16  $\mu = \sigma^2$  in a Poisson distribution). 1 The operator compares the value of  $Z_p$  against a probability 2 of False Alarm  $\alpha$ .  $\alpha$  is the probability that the null 3 hypothesis (NOISE) is rejected when the alternative (SIGNAL) is 4 the truth.

The probability of the observed value  $Z_p$  is calculated as:

5

6

$$p = P(|z_p| \le Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|z_p|}^{+|z_p|} \exp(-.5x^2) dx$$
(18)

7 where |x| means "absolute value" as commonly used in mathematics.
8 The calculation of Eq. 6, as known to those skilled in the
9 art, is performed in a standard finite series expansion.
10 On the other hand, if Θ > .10, the invention dictates that
11 the following binary hypothesis set prevail:

12 
$$H_0: \mu = k\theta(NOISE)$$
(19)  
$$H_1: \mu = k\theta(SIGNAL)$$

13 The following binomial test statistic is employed to test the14 hypothesis:

15 
$$Z_B = \frac{m \pm c - k\theta}{\sqrt{k\theta(1-\theta)}}$$
 (20)

16 where c = 0.5 if  $X < \mu$  and c = -0.5 if  $X > \mu$  (Yates Continuity 17 correction factor used for discrete variables).

18 The quantities of  $Z_{B}$  have been defined previously.

The probability of the observed value  $Z_B$  is calculated as

(21)

(22)

$$p = P(|z_B| \le Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|z_B|}^{+|z_B|} \exp(-.5x^2) dx$$

3 in a standard series expansion.

1

2

7

For either test statistic,  $Z_p$  or  $Z_B$ , the following decision rule is used to compare the false alarm rate  $\alpha$  with the observed probability of the statistic, p:

if 
$$p \ge \alpha \Rightarrow NOISE$$
  
If  $p < \alpha \Rightarrow SIGNAL$ 

8 Thus, if the calculated probability value  $p > \alpha$ , then the 9 spatial distribution is deemed "noise"; otherwise the data is 10 characterized as "signal" by the Rtest.

11 The R statistic may be used in conjunction with the 12 formulation just described involving the binomial probability 13 distribution and false alarm rate in deciding to accept or reject 14 the "white noise" hypothesis - or it may be used as the sole determinant. In summary, operators may find the role of the R 15 16 statistic to be more intuitively useful. Intelligent operators 17 will always employ a plot of time series and its amplitude, in 18 order to eliminate the obvious situations of a "perfect" 19 functional or relational form being analyzed which can be easily 20 seen to be nonrandom, but which computationally may be concluded 21 The enhancement of the R statistic by means of a to be random. 22 statistical significance test lessens the likelihood of such a

perfect relation going undetected, this being a significant
 enhancement over the prior art.

3

4

#### EXAMPLE

5 Having thus explained the theory of the invention, an example thereof will now be presented for purposes of further 6 illustration and understanding (see, FIGS. 3 and 4). A value for 7 N is first selected, here N = 30 (step 100, FIG. 3). A time 8 9 series distribution of data points is then read into a display/operating subsystem 8 adapted to accommodate a data set 10 11 of size N from data processing system 10 (step 102). An 12 illustrative example of the data points of the time series 13 distribution from the field of processing naval sonar signals 14 would be the input time series signal distribution derived from 15 processing acoustic input signals in well known ways to indicate, for example, relative angular bearing of the acoustic source to 16 17 the course of a submarine which is the platform for the acoustic 18 receiver. Another illustrative example would be data points 19 derived from questionnaires in sociological research. 20 Thereafter, the absolute value of the difference between the 21 largest and the smallest data points  $\Delta Y$  is determined by a first 22 comparator device 12 (step 104). In this example, it will be 23 assumed that N =  $\Delta t$  = 30 measurements with a measured amplitude 24 of  $\Delta Y = 20$  units. The N and  $\Delta Y$  values are then used by window

creating device 14 to create a virtual window in a naval sonar 1 2 information display system, or in an operating system of any 3 other naval systems which employ sonar information as an input. 4 The virtual window encloses the input time series distribution. 5 The size of the window so created is  $\Delta t * \Delta y = 600$  (step 106). 6 Such information display systems and other systems employing 7 sonar information as an input are sometimes in this specification 8 and in the appendant claims collectively referred to as naval 9 sonar information utilization systems.

10 Thereafter, as described above, the virtual window is
11 divided by the window creating device 14 into a plurality k of
12 cells C<sub>ij</sub> (see FIG. 4), each cell having the same geometric shape
13 and enclosing an equal area so as to substantially fill the
14 virtual window containing the input time series distribution set
15 of data points (step 108). The value of k is established by the
16 relationships:

17

 $k = int(\Delta t/\delta) * int(\Delta Y/\delta) = 6 * 4 = 24$ 

18

 $\delta = \sqrt{(\Delta t * \Delta Y) / k} = 5.0$ 

(23)

19 Thus, the 600 square unit space of the virtual window is 20 partitioned into 24 cells of side 5.0 so that the whole space is 21 filled (k \*  $\delta^2$  = 600). The time-axis arithmetic sequence of cuts 22 are: 0, 5, ..., int( $\Delta t/\delta$ ) \*  $\delta$  = 30. The amplitude axis cuts are: 23 min(Y), min(Y) +  $\delta$ , ..., min(Y) + int( $\Delta Y/\delta$ ) \*  $\delta$  = max(Y).

1 Next, the probability false alarm rate is set at step 110 2 according to the value of k as discussed above. More 3 particularly, in this case  $\alpha = 0.01$ , and the probability of a 4 false alarm within the critical region is  $\alpha/2 = 0.005$ .

5 The randomness count is then calculated by first computing 6 device 16 at step 112 according to the relation  $k * \Theta = k * (1-e^{-7})^{N/k}$  which in this example equals 0.713. Therefore, the number of 8 cells expected to be nonempty in this example if the input time 9 series distribution is random is about 17.

10 The binomial distribution discussed above is then calculated 11 by a second computing device 18 according to the relationships 12 discussed above (step 114, FIG. 3). Representative values for 13 this distribution are shown in FIG. 5 for each number of possible 14 occupied cells m.

15 The upper and lower randomness boundaries then are 16 determined, also by second calculating device 18. Specifically, 17 the lower boundary is calculated using  $m_1$  from FIG. 5 (step 116). 18 Then, computing the binomial probabilities results in P(M  $\leq$  10) = 19 .0025. Thus, the lower bound is  $m_1 = 10$ . FIG. 5 also shows the 20 probabilities for  $\Theta = .713$ , k = 24.

21 The upper boundary, on the other hand, is the randomness 22 boundary  $m_2$  from the criterion  $P(M \ge m) \le \alpha_o/2$ . Computing the 23 binomial probabilities gives  $P(M \ge 23) = .0032$ ; hence  $m_2 = 23$  is

1 taken as the upper bound (step 118). The probabilities necessary2 for this calculation also are shown in FIG. 5.

3 Therefore, the critical region is defined in this example as 4  $m_1 \le 10$ , and  $m_2 \ge 23$  (step 120).

The actual number of cells containing one or more data 5 points of the time series distribution determined by 6 analysis/counter device 20 (step 122, FIG. 3) is then used by 7 divider 22 and a second comparator 24 in the determination of the 8 randomness of the distribution (step 124, FIG. 3). Specifically, 9 using m = 16 as an example, it will be seen that  $\overline{P}$  = m/k = 10 0.667, and that R =  $\overline{P} / \Theta$  = 0.667/0.713 = 0.93. This value is 11 close to the randomness boundary without consideration of the 12 discrete binomial probability calculations discussed above. 13

14 Branching to step 123 (FIG. 3) which the sparse data 15 decision logic module performs, the R statistic value of 0.93 is 16 evaluated statistically. A more precise indicator is obtained by 17 applying the significance test in accord with the present 18 invention, as described earlier. For this calculation, we note 19 that  $\theta = .713$ , which invokes the Binomial probability model to 20 test the hypothesis:

21

 $H_0: \mu = k\theta(NOISE)$ 

 $H_1: \mu = k\theta(SIGNAL)$ 

(24)

22 In this case,  $k\theta = 17.12$ . Thus, applying the Binomial test 23 gives:

1 
$$Z_B = \frac{m \pm c - k\theta}{\sqrt{k\theta(1 - \theta)}}$$
  
2  $= \frac{16 - .5 - 17.12}{\sqrt{24(.713)(1 - .713)}} \approx -.43$ 

3 The p value is computed to be:

4

$$p = P(|z_B| \le Z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|-43|}^{+|-43|} \exp(-.5x^2) dx = .66$$
(26)

(25)

5 Since p = .66 and  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and since  $p \ge \alpha$ , we conclude that the R 6 test shows the volumetric data to be random (NOISE only, with 99% 7 certainty) with the value of R= .93 computed for this spatial 8 distribution in 32-space.

9 It is also worth noting in this regard that the total 10 probability is 0.0023 + .0032 = .0055, which is the probability 11 of being wrong in deciding "random". This value is less than the 12 probability of a false alarm. Thus, the actual protection 13 against an incorrect decision is much higher (by about 45%) than 14 the a priori sampling plan specified.

15 Since m = 16 falls inside of the critical region, i.e.,  $m_1 \le$ 16  $16 \le m_2$ , the decision is that the data represent an essentially 17 white noise distribution (step 126). Accordingly, the 18 distribution is labeled at step 128 by the labeling device 26 as 19 a noise distribution, and transferred back to the data processing 20 system 10 for further processing. In the naval sonar situation, 21 a signal distribution labeled as white noise would be discarded

by the processing system, but in some situations a further analysis of the white noise nature of the distribution would be possible. Similarly, the invention is contemplated to be useful as an improvement on systems which look for patterns and correlations among data points. For example, overlapping time series distributions might be analyzed in order to determine where a meaningful signal begins and ends.

8 It will be understood that many additional changes in the 9 details, materials, steps and arrangement of parts, which have 10 been herein described and illustrated in order to explain the 11 nature of the invention, may be made by those skilled in the art 12 within the principles and scope of the invention as expressed in 13 the appended claims.

| 1  | Attorney Docket No. 83995   |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  |   |  |  |  |
| 3  | ENHANCED SYSTEM FOR DETECTION OF RANDOMNESS IN SPARSE TIME        |  |  |  |
| 4  | SERIES DISTRIBUTIONS  |  |  |  |
| 5  |   |  |  |  |
| 6  | ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE  |  |  |  |
| 7  | A two-step method and apparatus are provided for                  |  |  |  |
| 8  | automatically characterizing the spatial arrangement among the    |  |  |  |
| 9  | data points of a time series distribution in a data processing    |  |  |  |
| 10 | system wherein the classification of said time series             |  |  |  |
| 11 | distribution is required. In a first stage, the method and        |  |  |  |
| 12 | apparatus utilize a grid in Cartesian coordinates to determine    |  |  |  |
| 13 | (1) the number of cells in the grid containing at least one input |  |  |  |
| 14 | data point of the time series distribution; (2) the expected      |  |  |  |
| 15 | number of cells which would contain at least one data point in a  |  |  |  |
| 16 | random distribution in said grid; and (3) an upper and lower      |  |  |  |
| 17 | probability of false alarm above and below said expected value    |  |  |  |
| 18 | utilizing a discrete binomial probability relationship in order   |  |  |  |
| 19 | to analyze the randomness characteristic of the input time series |  |  |  |
| 20 | distribution. In a second stage, a statistical test of            |  |  |  |
| 21 | significance of the sparse data is utilized to determine the      |  |  |  |
| 22 | existence of noise and/or signal whereby a comparison of the      |  |  |  |
| 23 | results from the first stage and the second stage increase the    |  |  |  |
| 24 | probability of distinguishing noise from signal.                  |  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |  |



٦

AMPLITUDE

|      |      |                 |                 | 5      |
|------|------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| C 46 | C 36 | C <sub>26</sub> | C 16            | δ<br>6 |
| C 45 | C 35 | C 25            | C 15            | δ      |
| C 44 | C 34 | C 24            | C 14            | δ<br>4 |
| C 43 | C 33 | C 23            | C <sub>13</sub> | ک<br>ی |
| C 42 | C 32 | C 22            | C 12            |        |
| C 41 | C 31 | C <sub>21</sub> | C 11            |        |

AMPLITUDE

FIG. 2

40 TIME



FIG. 3

· .



FIG.

