

# UNCLASSIFIED

<b>AD NUMBER</b>
ADB812166
<b>NEW LIMITATION CHANGE</b>
<b>TO</b> Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
<b>FROM</b> Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; 18 Dec 1998. Other requests shall be referred through Defense Technical Information Center, DTIC-BRR, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218.
<b>AUTHORITY</b>
To A/1 From E/4 per Hq AFMC/PAX, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 via ltr. dtd February 24, 1999.

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduced by



CENTRAL AIR DOCUMENTS OFFICE

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE - DAYTON, OHIO

REEL-C

40941

A.T.I

534121

*The*  
U.S. GOVERNMENT

IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY ENSUE FROM ANY  
INFRINGEMENT ON DOMESTIC OR FOREIGN PATENT RIGHTS  
WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.

UNCLASSIFIED

**Stress Analysis of Control System**

53412

(None)

Pollock, R. G.; Asplund, E. M.; Tom, G. E.  
Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., Fort Worth Div., Texas  
USAF Contr. No. W535-ac-22352

R-FZS-36-250

(None)

June '47    Unclass.    U.S.    English    40    diagrs

Analysis is made of the control system of the XB-36 bomber. This analysis is an addendum to the stress analysis previously given for the YB-36 and B-36A bombers. Attention has been given to the control column, aileron controls, elevator controls, elevator trim tab system, rudder controls and brake pedal system, rudder control system and rudder trim tab system. A summary of the margins of safety is included.

Copies of this report obtainable from CADO

(1)

Structures (7)

Control surfaces - Stress analysis

Stress Analysis of Specific Aircraft (6)    (25399.4); XB-36 (99409)

USAF C.N. W535-ac-22352

2406



MODEL XB-36

REPORT FZS-36-250  
DATE June 11, 1947

TITLE

STRESS ANALYSIS OF CONTROL SYSTEM

SUBMITTED UNDER

Contract W535-ac-22352

PREPARED BY: R. V. Pollock  
E. M. Asplund  
D. E. Loren

GROUP: STRUCTURES

REFERENCE: \_\_\_\_\_

CHECKED BY: O. N. Thompson

APPROVED BY: M. B. Rollins  
Arthur Kley

NO. OF PAGES 38

NO. OF DIAGRAMS 13

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	CHANGE	PAGES AFFECTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Introduction. . . . .	1
I. Control Column. . . . .	2
(a) Aileron System in Control Column . . .	2
(b) Elevator System in Control Column. . .	2
1. Column . . . . .	2
2. Base Casting . . . . .	4
3. Torque Tube. . . . .	5
4. Horn . . . . .	14
II. Aileron Controls. . . . .	16
III. Elevator Controls . . . . .	16
IV. Elevator Trim Tab System. . . . .	17
V. Rudder Controls and Brake Pedal System. . .	21
(a) Segments . . . . .	24
(b) Pedal Shaft. . . . .	25
(c) "A" Frame. . . . .	28
VI. Rudder Control System . . . . .	33
VII. Rudder Trim Tab System . . . . .	37
VIII. SUMMARY OF MARGINS . . . . .	38

PREPARED BY W. H. Jones  
CHECKED BY L. H. Jones  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. FD-26-420  
MODEL X B-36  
DATE June 11, 1947

### INTRODUCTION

This addendum is written to incorporate the analysis of the XB-36 Control System. The operation of the control system and the design conditions are the same for the XB-36 as previously shown for the YB-36 and B-36A in C.V.A.C. Report FZS-36-150, Stress Analysis of Control System; however, there are minor differences in the construction of some of the components that will be analyzed in this addendum.

### CONTROL COLUMN

THE CONTROL COLUMN DESIGN LOADS FOR THE XB-36 ARE THE SAME AS THOSE SHOWN FOR THE YB-36 & B-36A ON PAGES 4, 5 & 6 OF FZS-36-150.

#### AILERON SYSTEM IN CONTROL COLUMN

THE AILERON SYSTEM IN THE CONTROL COLUMN OF THE XB-36 IS IDENTICAL TO THAT OF THE YB-36 & B-36A. SINCE THE LOADINGS ARE ALSO THE SAME, THE ANALYSIS FOR THIS REGION SHOULD BE REFERRED TO PAGES 6 THROUGH 12 OF FZS-36-150.

#### ELEVATOR SYSTEM IN CONTROL COLUMN

THE ELEVATOR SYSTEM IN THE CONTROL COLUMN OF THE XB-36 IS THE SAME AS THAT FOR THE YB-36 & B-36A EXCEPT THAT THE COLUMN IS ONE INCH SHORTER AND THE ELEVATOR CABLE IS ATTACHED TO A HORN ON THE TORQUE TUBE (REF. 36C003) INSTEAD OF THE PUSH-PULL TUBE (REF. 36C4739)

ALL COMPONENTS SHOWN IN FZS-36-150 WHICH WILL HAVE DIFFERENT STRESSES DUE TO THESE CHANGES ARE ANALYZED IN THIS ADDENDUM.

THIS ADDENDUM WILL ALSO ANALYZE ANY NEW PARTS WHICH ARE ADDED AS A RESULT OF THIS CHANGE.

ALL COMPONENTS OF THE ELEVATOR SYSTEM IN THE COLUMN WHICH ARE ANALYZED IN FZS-36-150 WHICH DO NOT APPEAR IN THIS ADDENDUM ARE EITHER NOT USED ON THE XB-36 OR THE STRESSES INVOLVED HAVE NOT BEEN ALTERED BY THE ABOVE CHANGES.

#### BENDING MOMENT DUE TO FORE & AFT LOADS

AT TOP OF BASE CASTING:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 450 \times 23.25 \quad (\text{REF. FZS-36-150 WITH COLUMN} \\ &= 10,500 \text{ IN. LBS} \quad \quad \quad \text{1 IN. SHORTER.}) \end{aligned}$$

AT  $\frac{1}{2}$  OF TORQUE TUBE:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 450 \times 93.5 \\ &= 15,060 \text{ IN. LBS.} \end{aligned}$$

BENDING MOMENT DUE TO SIDE LOAD  
AT TOP OF BASE CASTING:

$$= 150 \times 23.25 \\ = 3490 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

AT  $\frac{1}{2}$  TORQUE TUBE:

$$= 150 \times 33.5 \\ = 5025 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

TORSION DUE TO SIDE LOAD

$$T = 705 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

(REF. F25-36-150, PG. 13)

THE COLUMN IS CRITICAL IN COMBINED BENDING ABOUT BOTH AXES AND TORSION ON A SECTION AT THE TOP OF THE BASE CASTING.

$$B.M._{XX} = 10500 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

$$B.M._{YY} = 3490 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

$$\text{TORQUE} = 705 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

SECTION PROPERTIES

$$I_{XX} = .4679 \text{ IN.}^4 \text{ (REF. F25-36-150, PAGE 17)}$$

$$I_{YY} = 1.5825 \text{ IN.}^4 \text{ ( " " , PG. 17)}$$

$$Y = .999 \text{ IN. ( " " , PG. 18)}$$

$$X = 2.185 \text{ IN. ( " " , PG. 18)}$$



STRESSES AND MARGIN OF SAFETY

COLUMN AT TOP OF BASE CASTING ;

$$f_b = \frac{(3490 \times 2.185)}{1.5825} + \frac{(10,500 \times .999)}{.4679}$$
$$= 4820 + 22,400 = 27,220 \text{ P.S.I.}$$

$$f_s = 987 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. FZS-36-150, PAGE 18)}$$

$$F_{CY} = 32,000 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. FZS-36-150 PAGE 18)}$$

$$F_{ST} = 17,000 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. FZS-36-150 PAGE 18)}$$

$$R_b = \frac{27,200}{32,000} = .850 \quad R_s = .0580 \text{ (REF. FZS-36-150 PAGE 18)}$$

$$M.S. = \frac{1}{.850 + .0580} - 1 = \underline{+1.10}$$

BASE-CONTROL COLUMN (36C05A)

THE CASTING WILL BE CHECKED AT SECTION A-A (REF. FZS-36-150, PAGE 19)

$$B.M._{x-x} = 150 \times 26.5 = 11,920 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

$$B.M._{y-y} = 150 \times 26.5 = 3980 \text{ IN. LBS.}$$

$$T = 705 \text{ (REF. PAGE 3)}$$

$$I_{x-x} = 2.218 \text{ IN.}^4, I_{y-y} = 7.82 \text{ IN.}^4 \text{ (REF. FZS-36-150, PAGE 19)}$$

## CONTROL COLUMN

### BASE CASTING (CONT.)

$$V = 1.1095 \text{ IN.}, X = 2.297 \text{ IN.}, (\text{REF. FZS-36-150, PAGE 19})$$

$$f_b = \frac{3980 \times 2297}{7.82} + \frac{11920 \times 1.095}{2.218}$$

$$= 1,170 + 5,900 = 7,070 \text{ P.S.I.}$$

$$f_s = 254 \text{ P.S.I.} (\text{REF FZS-36-150, PAGE 19})$$

$$F_c = 16,000 \text{ P.S.I.} (\text{REF FZS-36-150, PAGE 19})$$

$$F_{ST} = 18,000 \text{ P.S.I.} (\text{REF FZS-36-150, PAGE 19})$$

$$R_b = \frac{7,070}{16,000} = .442, R_s = .014 (\text{REF FZS-36-150, P. 19})$$

$$\text{M.S.} = \frac{1}{.442 + .014} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+ 1.19}}$$

## TORQUE TUBE


### LOADS IN VERTICAL PLANE

#### 1. SIDE LOAD ON CONTROL COLUMN

$$\text{MOMENT AT COLUMN BASE} = 5025 \text{ " \# } (\text{REF P 3})$$

#### 2. VERT. COMPONENT OF CONTROL HOEN LOAD

$$= 288 \text{ \# } (\text{REF. P. 6})$$



SOLVING FOR R<sub>H</sub>

TORQUE @ ∅ TUBE FROM WHEEL  
= 15,080 # (REF. PG. 2)

$$\therefore R_H = \frac{15,080}{22.625} = 665 \#$$

$$V_H = 665 \sin 25^\circ 40' \\ = 289 \#$$

$$H_H = 665 \cos 25^\circ 40' \\ = 599 \#$$

FIGURE 1


DETERMINATION OF CONTROL HORN LOADS

SCHEMATIC SKETCH OF TORQUE TUBE & APPLIED LOADS


As the torque tube, and loading, are symmetrical about the plane of symmetry of the airplane only the L.H. is shown.

Loads Ref. FWS-36-150.  
 Dimensions Ref. 36C004.

Source of Torque Tube Shears, Moments and Torsions



TRUSS TUBE LOADING DIAGRAM IN VERTICAL PLANE



$$M_1 = -288(1.63) = -470 \text{ in. #}$$

$$M_2 = M_3 = M_2'$$

$$L_1 = L_3 = 10.94$$

$$L_2 = 50$$

$$a = 3.56 \quad b = 46.44$$

$$I_1 = I_3 = .3322 \text{ in.}^4 \quad (E_s = 29 \times 10^6)$$

$$I_2 = 1.0349 \text{ in.}^4 \quad (E_a = 10.5 \times 10^6) \quad \left\{ \frac{E_s}{E_a} = 2.76 \right.$$

$$\frac{M_1 L_1}{2.76 I_1} + \frac{2 M_2 L_1}{2.76 I_1} + \frac{2 M_2 L_2}{I_2} + \frac{M_3 L_2}{I_2}$$

$$= \frac{M_1}{I_2} \left[ \left( \frac{3b^2}{L_2} - L_2 \right) - \left( \frac{3a^2}{L_2} - L_2 \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{(-470) 10.94}{2.76(.3322)} + \frac{2(10.94) M_2}{2.76(.3322)} + \frac{2(50) M_2}{1.0349} + \frac{50 M_2}{1.0349}$$

$$= \frac{5.025}{1.0349} \left[ \left( \frac{3(46.44)^2}{50} - 50 \right) - \left( \frac{3(3.56)^2}{50} - 50 \right) \right]$$

PREPARED BY *W. L. ...*  
CHECKED BY *...*  
REVISED BY

Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. *E25-36-240*  
MODEL *XB-36*  
DATE *9-8-47*

$$-5,600 + 23.8 M_2 + 96.6 M_2 + 12.3 M_2 \\ = 4,850 \left[ (129.1 - 50) - (.76 - 50) \right]$$

$$168.7 M_2 = 631,100$$

$$M_2 = 3,742 \text{ in.} \cdot \#$$


$$R_{1L} = 298 \# \text{ (Up)}$$

$$R_{1R} = R_{2L} = (470 + 3,742) \div 10.94 = 384 \# \text{ (} R_{1R} \text{ Up - } R_{2L} \text{ Down.)}$$


$$R_{2R} = 0$$

$$R_1 = R_{1L} + R_{1R} = 298 + 384 = 672 \# \text{ (Up)}$$

$$R_2 = R_{2L} + R_{2R} = 384 + 0 = 384 \# \text{ (Down)}$$



TORQUE TUBE LOADING DIAGRAM IN HORIZONTAL PLANE



$$M_1 = -599(1.63) = -975 \text{ in. #}$$

$$M_2 = M_3 = M_2'$$

$$L_1 = L_3 = 10.94$$

$$L_2 = 50$$

$$I_1 = I_3 = .3322 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$I_2 = 1.0349 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$a = 3.56 \quad b = 46.44$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_s = 29 \times 10^6 \\ E_a = 10.5 \times 10^6 \end{array} \right\} \frac{E_s}{E_a} = 2.76$$

$$\frac{M_1 L_1}{2.76 I_1} + \frac{2M_2 L_1}{2.76 I_1} + \frac{2M_2 L_2}{I_2} + \frac{M_3 L_2}{I_2}$$

$$= \frac{M_H}{I_2} \left[ \left( \frac{3a^2}{L_2} - L_2 \right) - \left( \frac{3b^2}{L_2} - L_2 \right) \right] - \frac{V}{I_2 L_2} \left[ b(L_2^2 - b^2) + a(L_2^2 - a^2) \right]$$

$$\frac{-975(10.94)}{2.76(.3322)} + \frac{2(16.94)M_2}{2.76(.3322)} + \frac{2(50)M_2}{1.0349} + \frac{50 M_2}{1.0349}$$

$$= \frac{765}{1.0349} \left[ \left( \frac{3(3.56)^2}{50} - 50 \right) - \left( \frac{3(46.44)^2}{50} - 50 \right) \right] - \frac{450}{1.0349(50)} \left[ 46.44(50^2 - 46.44^2) \right]$$

PREPARED BY: Asst. Eng.  
CHECKED BY: Thompson  
REVISED BY:

Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. EZS-36-250  
MODEL XB-36  
DATE 9-9-47

$$+ 2.56(50^2 - 3.50^2)]$$
$$- 11.62L + 23.8M_2 + 90.6M_2 + 48.3M_2$$
$$= 681[(-49.2) - (79.2)] - 8.7[16,000 + 7,860]$$

$$168.7 M_2 = -283,680$$

$$M_2 = -1,680 \text{ in.}^{\#}$$


$$R_{1L} = 599^{\#} \text{ (Fwd.)}$$

$$R_{1R} = R_{2L} = (1,680 - 975) \div 10.94 = 64^{\#} \text{ (R}_{1R} \text{ Aft. - R}_{2L} \text{ Fwd.)}$$


$$R_{2R} = 450^{\#} \text{ (Fwd.)}$$

$$R_1 = R_{1L} + R_{1R} = 599 - 64 = 535^{\#} \text{ (Fwd.)}$$

$$R_2 = R_{2L} + R_{2R} = 64 + 450 = 514^{\#} \text{ (Fwd.)}$$







OUTBOARD TORQUE TUBE (2 1/4 x .083 STEEL)

The critical bending moment occurs at the inboard support bearing.

$$\text{Resultant Moment} = \sqrt{1,680^2 + 3,742^2} = 4,100 \text{ in. #}$$

$$T = 15,080 \text{ in. #}$$

Tube Properties

$$I = .3322 \quad Y = \frac{D}{2} = 1.125$$

$$A_{(\text{enclosed})} = \pi \frac{2.167^2}{4} = 3.70 \text{ sq. in.}$$

$$f_{sT} = \frac{T}{2At} = \frac{15,080}{2(3.7)(.083)} = 24,500 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$f_b = \frac{MY}{I} = \frac{4,100(1.125)}{.3322} = 13,900 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$f_{s(\text{max.})} = \sqrt{24,500^2 + \left(\frac{13,900}{2}\right)^2} = 25,450 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$f_{n(\text{max.})} = \frac{13,900}{2} + 25,450 = 32,400 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

For  $D/t = 2.25/.083 = 27.2$  and H.T. 150,000 #/in.²

Bending Modulus of Rupture = 202,000 #/in.² (AN2-5)

Torsional Modulus of Rigidity =  $110,000 \text{ #/in.}^2$  (ANC-5)

$$R_B = \frac{3 \cdot 400}{202,000} = .15$$

$$R_T = \frac{25,450}{110,000} = .231$$

$$M_{s.} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_B^2 + R_T^2}} - 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{.15^2 + .231^2}} - 1 =$$

+2.61

The critical normal shear occurs just outboard of the outboard support bearing. This shear, on a  $VQ/I$  basis, will be combined with the torsional shear, at the N.A. of the tube.

$$f_{ST} = 24,500 \text{ #/in.}^2 \text{ (Ref. p. 12)}$$

Tube Properties: O.D. = 2.25 I.O. = 2.167

$$\bar{Y}_{(\frac{1}{2} \text{ tube})} = \frac{4(1.125^3 - 1.084^3)}{3\pi(1.125^2 - 1.084^2)} = .612$$

$$Q_{(\frac{1}{2} \text{ tube})} = \frac{A\bar{Y}}{2} = \frac{.5651(.612)}{2} = .173 \text{ in.}^3$$

$$\text{Resultant Normal Shear} = \sqrt{288^2 + 599^2} = 665 \text{ #}$$

$$f_s = \frac{VRQ}{2It} = \frac{665(.173)}{2(.332)(.083)} = 2,090 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$f_{smax} = f_s + f_{st} = 2,040 + 24,500 = 26,590 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$F_{su} = 105,000 \text{ #/in.}^2 \text{ (Ref. Airc-5)}$$

$$M.S. = \frac{105,000}{26,590} - 1 =$$

+ 2.95

### CENTER TORQUE TUBE (3 1/2 x .065 - 245T)

The critical bending moment on this tube occurs between the control columns.

$$\text{Resultant Moment} = \sqrt{1,293^2 + 2,270^2} = 2,618 \text{ in. #}$$

$$\text{Axial Load} = 150 \text{ # (T)}$$

### Tube Properties

$$I = 1.0349 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$A = .7014 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$y = 1.75 \text{ in.}$$

$$f_{c1} = \frac{My}{I} - \frac{P}{A} = \frac{2,618(1.75)}{1.0349} - \frac{150}{.7014} = 4,216 \text{ #/in.}^2 \text{ (C)}$$

$$f_{t2} = \frac{My}{I} + \frac{P}{A} = 4,430 + 214 = 4,634 \text{ #/in.}^2 \text{ (T)}$$

$$M.S. =$$

+ Large

### ELEVATOR CABLE CONTROL HORN (36C018)

The critical bending moment occurs 2.19 in. below the E of the torque tube. Cable load = 665 # (Ref. p. 7)

$$M = 665 \times 20.44 = 13,600 \text{ in. #}$$

CONTROL HORN




FIG. 4 - SECTION THRU HORN

$$I_{y-y} = 1.20 \text{ in.}^4$$

$$f_b = \frac{My}{I_y} = \frac{13,600 (2.29)}{1.20} = 26,000 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$F_{ccr} = K_c E \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2 \quad (\text{Ref. ANC-5 p. 1-32})$$

$$E = 10.3 \times 10^6 \quad K = 3.6 \quad (\text{Ref. ANC-5 p. 1-33})$$

$$t = .032 \quad b = .625$$

$$F_{ccr} = 3.6 (10.3) 10^6 \left(\frac{.032}{.625}\right)^2 = 96,800 \text{ #/in.}^2$$

$$F_{cy} = 57,000 \text{ #/in.}^2 \quad (\text{For 245T81 Street})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{57,000}{26,000} - 1 =$$

+ 1.19

### AILERON CONTROL SYSTEM

THE AILERON CONTROL SYSTEM OF THE XB-36 IS IDENTICAL TO THAT OF THE YB-36 & B-36A. SINCE THE LOADINGS ARE ALSO THE SAME, THE ANALYSIS FOR THIS REGION SHOULD BE REFERRED TO PAGES 73 THROUGH 96 OF FZS-36-150

### ELEVATOR CONTROL SYSTEM

THE ELEVATOR CONTROL SYSTEM OF THE XB-36 IS IDENTICAL TO THAT OF THE YB-36 & B-36A. SINCE THE LOADINGS ARE ALSO THE SAME, THE ANALYSIS FOR THIS REGION SHOULD BE REFERRED TO PAGES 37 THROUGH 47 OF FZS-36-150.

ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM

THE ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM FOR THE XB-36 IS IDENTICAL TO THE TRIM TAB SYSTEM FOR THE YB-36 AND B-36A EXCEPT FOR THE TORQUE TUBES AND THE SPROCKETS IN THE PEDESTAL. THERE ARE THREE TUBES INSTEAD OF TWO. THE SMALL SPROCKETS ARE THE SAME SIZE. THE DIAMETER OF THE LARGE SPROCKET IS 2.630 IN. (REF. 36C2230)

LOAD IN CHAIN = 620 # ULT. (REF. F25-36-150, P. 52)  
 LOAD IN CABLE =  $\frac{620 \times 1.315}{1.21} = 675 \text{ # ULT.}$   
 TORSION IN LOWER SHAFT =  $675 \times 1.21 = 816 \text{ " #}$




FIGURE 5

$M_1 L_1 = 0, M_3 L_2 = 0, I_1 = I_2, Y_A = Y_B = Y_C.$

$M_1 L_1 + 2M_2 L_1 + 2M_2 L_2 + M_3 L_2 = K_1 + K_2 + \frac{6E}{L_1} (Y_A - Y_B) + \frac{6E}{L_2} (Y_C - Y_B)$


$2M_2 (L_1 + L_2) = \frac{P_1 A_1 (L_1^2 - A_1^2)}{L_1} + \frac{P_2 B_2 (L_2^2 - B_2^2)}{L_2} + \frac{P_3 B_3 (L_2^2 - B_3^2)}{L_2}$

$2M_2 (88.43) = \frac{-675 \times 1.875 (3.25^2 - 1.875^2)}{3.25} + \frac{620 \times 0.872 (85.18^2 - 84.31^2)}{85.18} + \frac{620 \times 4.687 (85.18^2 - 4.687^2)}{85.18}$

$M_2 = \frac{120,460}{176.86} = 680 \text{ IN. LBS.}$

ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM

LOWER TUBE




$$675 \times 1.375 - 680 - 3.25 R_1 = 0$$

$$R_1 = 76.7 \text{ LBS. UP.}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{675 \times 1.875 + 680}{3.25}$$

$$R_2 = 599 \text{ LBS. UP.}$$



$$R_{22} = \frac{620(84.31 - 468) - 680}{85.187}$$

$$R_{22} = 608 \text{ LBS DOWN.}$$

$$R_3 = \frac{680 + 620(875 + 84.72)}{85.187}$$

$$R_3 = 632 \text{ DOWN.}$$

THE LOWER TUBE CONSISTS OF A 7/8 O.D. X .049 24ST TUBE BETWEEN THE SPROCKETS (36C2239) WITH A STEEL TUBE (36C2228-17) ATTACHED TO THE R.H. END AND TWO STEEL TUBES (36C2220 AND 36C2228-16) ATTACHED TO THE L.H. END.

ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM

LOWER TUBE

THE TUBE (3002280) WILL TAKE ALL OF THE TORSION DUE TO THE SPROCKETS. THIS TUBE AND 3002220-16 WILL TAKE ALL OF THE BENDING.

BENDING AND TORSION ON STEEL TUBES

$I = .012, y = .375, J = .024, D/b = \frac{1.75}{.114} = 6.57$   
 $L/D = .875 / .750 = 1.17 \quad F_{tu} = 95,000 \text{ P.S.I.}$

$B.M. = 599 \times 1.875 = 1122 \text{ IN. LBS.}$

$f_b = \frac{1122 \times .375}{.012} = 35,000 \text{ P.S.I.}$

$f_s = \frac{J \tau}{J} = \frac{.016 \times .375}{.024} = 12,750 \text{ P.S.I.}$

$F_b = 129,000 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. ANC-5, FIG. 4-20)}$

$F_{st} = .86 \times 95,000 = 81,600 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. ANC-5, FIG. 4-22)}$

$R_b = \frac{35,000}{129,000} = .272 \quad R_s = \frac{12,750}{81,600} = .156$

$M.S. = \frac{1}{.272 + .156} - 1 = \underline{+1.33}$

BENDING AND TORSION ON ALUMINUM TUBE

$O.D. = .875, t = .049 \quad I = .011, D/b = 17.9, J = .022$

$B.M. = 290 \text{ IN. LBS.}$

$f_b = \frac{290 \times .4375}{.011} = 11,500 \text{ P.S.I.}$



PREPARED BY POLLOCK  
CHECKED BY Phon  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. E23-36-280  
MODEL XB-36  
DATE 5-15-47

ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM

$$f_s = \frac{T_c}{J} = \frac{816 \times 4375}{.022} = 16,200 \text{ P.S.I.}$$

$$F_b = 68,000 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. ANC-5, FIG. 5-8)}$$

$$F_{ST} = 33,000 \text{ P.S.I. (REF. ANC-5, FIG. 5-9)}$$

$$R_b = \frac{11,500}{68,000} = .17 \quad R_s = \frac{16,200}{33,000} = .492$$

$$\text{M.S.} = \frac{1}{.17 + .492} - 1 = \underline{+.51}$$

## Rudder Controls and Brake Pedal System

The rudder control and brake pedal system on the XB-36 is similar to that of the YB-36 and B-36A. By comparison of Figure R-1, Report Number F23-36-150 and Figure B of this report, it can be seen that a cable runaround system is used on the XB-36, while a push and pull bar replaces the runaround system on the YB-36 and B-36A. Also, a quadrant (36C040) is used on the XB-36 instead of the segment (36C4525) installed on the YB-36 and B-36A airplanes. Also A-frame rudder and brake pedal shaft supports are fabricated from drawn 24ST aluminum alloy and extruded angles. (36C067)

The rudder servo control system on the XB-36 airplane is also similar to that on the YB-36 and B-36A, except the rudder servo control quadrants (36C1746), the belleranks (36C1721), and the torque tube (36C1723).

ANALYSIS Controls  
PREPARED BY Tom  
CHECKED BY Thompson  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

**Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation**  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

PAGE 6  
REPORT NO. F 25-31-800  
MODEL X B-36  
DATE 5/8/47




Figure 8

Diagram of Rudder Control  
System

Ref. 36006

ANALYSIS Centrels  
PREPARED BY Tom  
CHECKED BY Thompson  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

**Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation**  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. F25-36-250  
MODEL XB-36  
DATE 5/9/47




Figure 9  
RUDDER PEDAL SEGMENT  
NEUTRAL POSITION

## Rudder and Brace Pedal System

### Rudder Pedal Assembly (Ref. 36C006)

The pedal assembly consists of two pedals (Ref. 36C030) and segments (Ref. 36C040) mounted on a shaft (Ref. 36C076) which is supported by three A-frames (Ref. 36C067).

Figure 8 shows a sketch of the control system and Figure 9 shows the direction in which the cable loads act.

Load in the crossover cable

$$= \frac{450(11.875)}{19.563}$$

$$= 394^*$$

Check .032-2957 ALC webs of quadrant for bearing due to AN25 belt attaching cable to quadrant

$$P = 394^*$$

$$A = .513(.032) \times 2$$

$$= .02 \text{ in}^2$$

$$f_{br} = \frac{394}{.02}$$

$$= 19,700 \text{ #/in}^2$$

$$F_{br} = 114,000 \text{ #/in}^2 \quad (\text{Structures Bulletin B-4})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{114,000}{19,700} - 1 =$$

$$\underline{\underline{+4.78}}$$

Resultant load applied to the rudder & brake pedal shaft




Figure 10

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma F_H = 0 &= 450 - 394 \sin 15^\circ \\ &= 450 - 394 (.259) \\ &= 450 - 102 \\ &= 348\#\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma F_V = 0 &= 394 \cos 15^\circ \\ &= 394 (.965) \\ &= 380\#\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R &= \sqrt{(348)^2 + (380)^2} \\ &= 515\# \text{ at angle} = \tan^{-1} \frac{348}{380} \\ &= 42\frac{1}{2}^\circ \text{ measured from vertical}\end{aligned}$$

This resultant load is considerably smaller than the one applied to the rudder pedal control shaft on the YB-36 and B-36A airplanes and

PREPARED BY Tom  
CHECKED BY Thompson  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. F25-31-250  
MODEL XB-36  
DATE 5/15/47

Rudder and Brake Pedal System

since the XB-36 shaft is shorter, it is unnecessary to check the XB-36 shaft (36C076) for failure.

Rudder and Brake Pedal System

Figure 11 shows the applied loads to the shaft. For significance of numbered points on shaft see Figure R-4A of the Rudder Analysis. (Report No. FZS-36-150)




Figure 11  
Rudder Pedal Shaft - L.H. Side  
Ref. 36C006-21


By comparison of this figure with that of Report No. FZS-36-150, Figure B-5, it is seen that the loads applied to a slightly shorter rudder pedal shaft are the same except for a smaller vertical load on the pedal frame. Thus the YB-36 and B-36A rudder pedal shaft loads will be conservative in checking the A-frame supports on the XB-36.



Rudder and Brake Pedal System

"A"-Frame - Pilot's & Co-Pilot's Rudder Pedal (36C067)

The rudder pedals shaft is supported by three A-frames (36C067). The frames are critical for brake control loads. The frames are made from drawn 24ST ALCLAD and extruded angles (36C076). The applied loads are the same as those shown on Figure B-14, p.153, Report No. F25-36-150.



Assume A-Frame to be pin jointed and the torque (2216#) to be reacted as couple forces at A & C.

$$\text{Couple forces} = \frac{2216}{18.25} = 121\#$$

## Rudder and Brake Pedal System

Check -10 (Ref page 20) for column failure

$$f_c = \frac{P}{A} + \frac{MY}{I}$$

where  $P = 295\#$  (Ref. page 20)

$A = .125 \text{ in}^2$  (Ref. page 20)

$M = 121 (\sin 22.3) (19.75)$   
 $= 906 \text{ in}\cdot\#$

$Y = .54 \text{ in.}$  (Ref. page 20)

$I = .0154 \text{ in}^4$  (Ref. page 20)

$$f_c = \frac{295}{.125} + \frac{906(.54)}{.0154}$$

$$= 33,760 \#/\text{in}^2$$

$$P = \sqrt{\frac{F}{A}} = \sqrt{\frac{.0154}{.125}}$$

$$= .354 \text{ in.}$$

$$\frac{L}{P} = \frac{18.25}{.354} = 51.8'$$

$F_c \neq 30,000 \#/\text{in}^2$  (C.V.A.C. #1)

$$M.S. = \frac{1}{\frac{2,360}{30,000} + \frac{33,760}{65,000}} - 1 = \underline{+1.67}$$

Check -11 (Ref. page 23) for tension

-11 is a standard section (K13609) (Ref. 360067)

$$f_t = \frac{P}{A} + \frac{MY}{I}$$

where  $P = 201\#$

$A = .134 \text{ in}^2$

$M = 121 (\sin 31.1^\circ) (20.9)$

$= 1310 \text{ in}\cdot\#$

PREPARED BY: 1022  
CHECKED BY: Thompson  
REVISED BY:

FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. FZS-36-250  
MODEL XB-36  
DATE 5/13/47

Rudder and Brake Pedal System

$$Y = .4047 \text{ in.}$$

$$I_{y,y} = .022 \text{ in}^4$$

$$f_t = \frac{201}{.134} + \frac{1310(.4047)}{.022}$$
$$= 1500 + 24,100$$
$$= 25600 \text{ #/in}^2$$

$$F_t = 65,000 \text{ #/in}^2 \quad (\text{ANC-5})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{15,000}{25,600} - 1 =$$

$$\underline{\underline{+ 1.54}}$$




Figure 13 (Ref. Fig. 12)

Check rivets for shear

Rivets A175T Casting 245T

$$M = 2.579(166) + 2216$$

$$= 2661 \text{ in.} \cdot \#$$

$$d_1 = 2.06 \text{ in.}$$

$$K_1 = 1.44$$

$$EK = 9.76$$

$$EKd^2 = 25.33 \text{ in.}^2$$

Rivet no. 1 & 8 are critical in shear.

$$P_{m\#} = \frac{2661(1.63)(1.44)}{25.33}$$

$$= 247 \#$$

$$P_{m\#} = \frac{2661(1.44)(1.44)}{25.33}$$

$$= 219 \#$$

$$P_i = \frac{112(1.44)}{9.76}$$

$$= 17 \#$$

Rudder and Brake Pedal System

$$R = \sqrt{(247+17)^2 + (219)^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{(254)^2 + (219)^2}$$
$$= 336 \#/\text{rivet}$$

Allowable load = 745 #/rivet (ANC-5)

$$M.S. = \frac{745}{336} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+1.22}}$$

Check AN23 bolt bearing on hub of 36C2309 (Ref. 36C006)

Mean Dia. =  $\frac{1+1.375}{2}$  (Ref. p.31)

= 1.188 in.

Couple forces due to torque =  $\frac{2216}{1.188}$

= 1865 #

$A_{br} = .188(.188) = .0354 \text{ in}^2$

$f_{br} = \frac{1865}{.0354} = 52,750 \#/\text{in}^2$

$F_{br} = 83,000 \#/\text{in}^2$  for 24ST Aluminum alloy

$$M.S. = \frac{83000}{52750} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+1.57}}$$

## Analysis of Rudder Servo Control System

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Auto pilot load} &= 376 \# && (\text{Ref. page 12, Report No. F25-36-150}) \\ \text{Pilot load} &= 394 \# && (\text{Ref. page 25}) \\ \text{Total} &= 770 \# \end{aligned}$$

The upper rudder quadrant and the lower rudder quadrant are identical (Ref. 36C1723). Assume for unequal distribution of the load that 60% of the load goes into one quadrant and 40% into the other.

$$\text{Max. load on quadrant} = 770(.60) = 462 \#$$

Check lug on quadrant for tearout (Ref. 36C1746)

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 462 \# \\ A &= 2(2)(.5 - .312)(.188) \\ &= .1412 \text{ in}^2 \\ f_s &= \frac{462}{.1412} \\ &= 3270 \#/\text{in}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$F_s = 16,000 \#/\text{in}^2 \quad (\text{Structures Bulletin B-4})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{16000}{3270} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+ 3.9}}$$

Check bearing of bolt on lug

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 462 \# \\ A_{br} &= .312(.375) \\ &= .117 \text{ in}^2 \\ f_{br} &= \frac{462}{.117} \\ &= 3950 \#/\text{in}^2 \end{aligned}$$

### Analysis of Rudder Servo Control System (Cont.)

$$F_{br} = 45000 \text{ #/in}^2 \quad (\text{Structures Bulletin B-4})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{45000}{3950} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+10.4}}$$

Bending on lug is not critical because of the short moment arm.

### Bellcrank Analysis

The object now is to take loads from the quadrants and beam them on torque tube (36C172) to find loads applied to the two bell cranks. (36C172)

$$\text{Resultant load on bellcrank (36C172)} = 976 \text{ #}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Moment about center of rotation of bellcrank} &= 2.75(976) \\ &= 2685 \text{ in-#} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Load on one arm of bellcrank} &= \frac{2685}{4.453} \\ &= 603 \text{ #} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Load in cable from bellcrank to rudder trim tab} = 603 \text{ #}$$

### Check tearout of bolt thru lug of arm (36C172)

$$P = 603 \text{ #}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2(2)(.5 - .125)(.192) \\ &= .288 \text{ in}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_s &= \frac{603}{.288} \\ &= 2090 \text{ #/in}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$F_s = 16000 \text{ #/in}^2 \quad (\text{Structures Bulletin B-4})$$

$$M.S. = \frac{16000}{2090} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+6.65}}$$

## Analysis of Servo Control System (Cont.)

### Check for bearing of bolt on lug

$$P = 603 \#$$

$$A = 2(25)(.192)$$

$$= .096 \text{ in}^2$$

$$fbr = \frac{603}{.096}$$

$$= 6300 \#/\text{in}^2$$

$$Fbr = 45000 \#/\text{in}^2$$

(Structures Bulletin B-4)

$$M.S. = \frac{45000}{6300} - 1 =$$

$$\underline{+6.15}$$

### Check section of bellcrank for bending

Section is located 2.9 in from center of 2 holes in end of arm (Ref. 36C 1721)

$$A = .58 \text{ in}^2$$

$$I = .366 \text{ in}^4$$

$$f_t = \frac{P}{A} + \frac{MC}{I}$$

$$= \frac{603}{.58} + \frac{2.9(603)(1.06)}{.366}$$

$$= 1040 + 5070$$

$$= 6110 \#/\text{in}^2$$

$$F_t = 15000 \#/\text{in}^2$$

(Structures Bulletin B-4)

$$M.S. = \frac{15000}{6110} - 1 =$$

$$\underline{+1.46}$$



PREPARED BY Tom  
CHECKED BY Thompson  
REVISED BY \_\_\_\_\_

Consolidated Valve Aircraft Corporation  
FORT WORTH DIVISION  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

REPORT NO. E25-31-250  
MODEL X B-36  
DATE 5/15/47

Analysis of Servo Control System (Cont.)

Check torque tube for bending (36C1642)

$$M = 18,163 (640)$$

$$= 11,600 \text{ in} \cdot \#$$

$$Y = 1 \text{ in.}$$

$$I = .167 \text{ in}^4 \quad (\text{ANC-5})$$

$$f_b = \frac{MY}{I}$$

$$= \frac{11600(1)}{.167}$$

$$= 69500 \#/\text{in}^2$$

$$\text{Bending Modulus} = 72,000 \#/\text{in}^2$$

$$M.S. = \frac{72000}{69500} - 1 =$$

$$\underline{+.035}$$

Analysis of Rudder Trim Tab System

The rudder trim tab control system on the XB-36 is identical to the rudder trim tab control system of the YB-36 and B-36A, except for a portion of the system in the pilot's pedestal and the co-pilot's pedestal. In the case of the XB-36, the pilot's and co-pilot's controls in the pedestals are connected by a chain, whereas on the YB-36 and B-36A, there is only one rudder trim tab control system for the pilot and co-pilot.

Check Diamond Roller Chain #89 for tension

Torque applied by pilot = 150 in-# (6ft) (Ref. p. 127 Report No. F25-36-150)

Pitch radius of sprocket = .878 in. (Ref. 360212)

Load applied to chain =  $\frac{150}{.878} = 171\#$

Allowable tens. load = 700# (Diamond Catalogue)

$$M.S. = \frac{700}{171} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+3.1}}$$

Check bearing on tube due to pin thru sprocket

Tube =  $\frac{3}{4} \times .065$  245T AL. Alloy

Pin dia = .188 in.

load =  $\frac{150}{.75} = 200\#$

$A_{br} = 2(.065)(.188) = .0244 \text{ in}^2$

$f_{br} = \frac{200}{.0244} = 8180 \#/\text{in}^2$

$F_{br} = 133,000$  (Structures Bulletin B-1)

$$M.S. = \frac{133,000}{8180} - 1 = \underline{\underline{+15.3}}$$


- SUMMARY -

MINIMUM MARGINS OF SAFETY

	M.S.	Pg.
<b>I. CONTROL COLUMN</b>		
<b>A. ELEVATOR SYSTEM IN CONTROL COLUMN</b>		
COLUMN - - - - -	+1.10	4
BASE CASTING - - - - -	+1.19	5
TORQUE TUBE - - - - -	+2.61	13
HORN - - - - -	+1.19	15
<b>II. ELEVATOR TRIM TAB SYSTEM</b>		
LOWER TUBE - - - - -	+5.51	20
<b>III. RUDDER CONTROLS AND BRAKE PEDAL SYSTEM</b>		
SEGMENTS - - - - -	+4.78	24
"A" FRAME - - - - -	+5.57	32
<b>IV. RUDDER SERVO CONTROL SYSTEM</b>		
QUADRANT - - - - -	+3.9	33
BELLCRANK - - - - -	+1.46	35
TORQUE TUBE - - - - -	+0.035	36
<b>V. RUDDER TRIM TAB SYSTEM</b>		
CHAIN - - - - -	+3.1	37

NOTE: REFER TO F25-36-150 FOR MINIMUM MARGINS OF SAFETY OF PARTS NOT ANALYZED IN THIS REPORT.

Reproduced by



CENTRAL AIR DOCUMENTS OFFICE

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE - DAYTON, OHIO

REEL-C

40941

A.T.I

534121

*The*  
U.S. GOVERNMENT

IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY ENSUE FROM ANY  
INFRINGEMENT ON DOMESTIC OR FOREIGN PATENT RIGHTS  
WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.

UNCLASSIFIED

TITLE: Stress Analysis of Control System

AUTHOR(S) : Pollock, R. G.; Asplund, E. M.; Tom, G. E.  
ORIG. AGENCY : Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., Fort Worth Div., Texas  
PUBLISHED BY : USAF Contr. No. W535-ac-22352

ATI- 53412

REVISION  
(None)

ORIG. AGENCY NO.  
R-FZS-36-250

PUBLISHING AGENCY NO.  
(None)

DATE	SEC. CLASS.	COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	PAGES	ILLUSTRATIONS
June 1947	Unclass.	U.S.	English	40	diagrs

ABSTRACT:

Analysis is made of the control system of the XB-36 bomber. This analysis is an addendum to the stress analysis previously given for the YB-36 and B-36A bombers. Attention has been given to the control column, aileron controls, elevator controls, elevator trim tab system, rudder controls and brake pedal system, rudder control system and rudder trim tab system. A summary of the margins of safety is included.

DISTRIBUTION: Copies of this report obtainable from CADO

(1)

DIVISION: Structures (7)  
SECTION: Stress Analysis of Specific Aircraft (6)

SUBJECT HEADINGS: Control surfaces - Stress analysis  
(25899.4); XB-36 (99409)

ATI SHEET NO.: R-7-6-45

Central Air Documents Office  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio

AIR TECHNICAL INDEX

USAF C.N. W535-ac-22352

147800