UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB805889

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

restricted

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; NOV 1940. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

AUTHORITY

NACA list dtd 28 Sep 1945; NASA TR Server website

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

relay the information of the target of the second informe of the United States within the the the Hopi tare Art. USE 20:31 and M. pression of the revelation of its amounts . an manuer to an manuformed person is prohibited by new information so classified may be in parted only Oni ed States, appropriate civilian officers and employees int ins Federal Government who have a legitimate interest who of necessity must be informed thereof. TECHNICAL NOTES NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS Fo. 785 WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF FUSELAGE STABILITY IN YAW WITH VARIOUS ARRANGEMENTS OF FINS By H. Page Hoggard, Jr. Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Washington November 1940



- <u>-</u> _ _

1213

....<u>.</u>

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 785

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF FUSELAGE STABILITY IN

YAW WITH VARIOUS ARRANGEMENTS OF FINS

By H. Page Hoggard, Jr.

SUMMARY

An investigation was made in the 7- by 10-foot wind tunnel to determine the effects of dorsal-type fins and of various arrangements of fins on the aerodynamic characteristics of a streamline circular fuselage. Comparative plots of the aerodynamic characteristics of the fuselage alone and the fuselage with various fin arrangements are given to show their effects on coefficients of yawing moment, drag, and lateral force. Results are also given for one case in which a rear fin on a circular fuselage was faired with modeling clay to obtain a fuselage shape with the same side elevation as the fuselage with the unfaired fin but with an elliptical cross section over the rearward portion of the fuselage.

The results indicated that fin area to the rear of the center of gravity of the fuselage was beneficial in reducing the magnitude of the unstable yawing moments at large angles of yaw; whereas, fin area forward of the center of gravity was harmful. The dorsal-type fin was more effective for increasing the yawing stability of the fuselage than was a smoothly faired rearward portion with the same side elevation as the fuselage with the unfaired dorsaltype fin. The minimum drag coefficient and the slope of the curve of yawing-moment coefficient of the fuselage at zero yaw were unaffected by the addition of the fins, within the experimental accuracy of the tests.

INTRODUCTION

The greater portion of the fixed vertical tail surfaces of aircraft is required to counteract the directional instability of conventional fuselage shapes. Methods have therefore been suggested of reducing the maximum value of the unstable fuselage moment to permit a reduction of the vertical tail. One method, which has been employed on commercial aircraft, is the addition of a narrow strip of fin

area, referred to as a "dorsal" fin, along the top conter line of the fuselage ahead of the usual vertical tail surface. Another method is the shaping of the rear of the fuselage into a wedge, effectively adding fin area at the top and the bottom. A third method recently suggested is the addition of a sharp-edge protuberance along the vertical center line of the forward portion of the fuselage. It was thought that such a protuberance, by disturbing the flow over the down-wind side of the vawed fuselage, might decrease the magnitude of the negative pressure in that region forward of the center of gravity and thereby raduce the unstable moment.

These methods are primarily intended to reduce the maximum value of the fuselage yawing moment, which occurs at moderately large angles of vaw where vertical tail surfaces of conventional aspect ratios are normally stalled. Any reduction of slope of the yawing-moment curve in the vicinity of zero yaw is incidental. None of the methods is expected appreciably to increase the drag of the fuselege for the unyawed condition of the airplane.

In the reported investigation two fuselage shapes were tested in combination with fin area at various locations on the fuselage to prove the effectiveness of each of the three methods.

MODELS

The two fuselage shapes used in this investigation are shown in figures 1 and 2. One of these fuselages is a body of revolution that was previously used for the wingfuselage investigation reported in reference 1. The other shape was obtained by fairing the rearward portion of the fuselage with modeling clay as shown in figures 2, 3, and 4. The fuselages will hereinafter be referred to as "fuselage A" and "fuselage B."

The fin arrangements used are also shown in figures 1 and 2. All fins except one of 1/32-inch-diameter wire were ______ made of 1/32-inch sheet brass cut to conform to the fuselage shape. In this report the constant-width fins (fig. 1) will be called type 1, the tail-type fin (fig. 2) will be called type 2. The fins were soldered to the heads of flat-head wood screws, imbedded in the fusclage, which held the fins snugly against the fuselage to prevent air leakage under them.

The type 1 fins were made in four widths, 0.0312, 0.172, 0.344, and 0.688 inch, which are equal to 0.45, 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0 percent, respectively, of the maximum fuselage diameter. The fins were cut in sections so that they could be attached to the fuselage in various combinations. The fins attached to the forward portion of the fuselage are designated forward fins and those attached to the rearward portion of the body are designated rearward fins. The action of these rearward fins, although they are disposed symmetrically above and below the fuselage, should be similar to that of the dorsal-type fin used on several present-day transports.

The type 2 fin was made to be attached to the rearward portion of fuselage A. This fin has a width at the trailing edge 50 percent of the fuselage diameter and is faired into the top and the bottom contours of fuselage A at a station 70 percent from the fuselage nose.

TESTS

The tests were made in the NACA 7- by 10-foot wind tunnel, which is described in references 2 and 3. The tests were made at a dynamic pressure of 16.37 pounds per square foot, which corresponds to a velocity of about 80 miles per hour under standard sea-level conditions and to a test Reynolds number of about 618,000 based on the cube root of the fuselage volume (0.846 ft).

No preliminary tests were made to determine the tare forces and the moments caused by the model-support fittings because it was believed that the relative merit of the various arrangements would be unaffected by the values of tare.

The tests were made at zoro angle of attack and at anglos of yaw, Ψ , ranging from -10° to ∂O° .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the tests are given in the form of NACA standard coefficients of forces and moments with respect to the wind axes that intersect at the center-ofgravity location previously used in reference 1 and shown in figure 1.

The coefficients used are based on the volume of fuselage A in accordance with the procedure of reference 4, and are defined as follows:

3

$$\begin{array}{c} C_{D} & drag \ coefficient \left[\frac{drag}{q(V)^{2/3}} \right] \\ C_{Y} & lateral-force \ coefficient \left[\frac{lateral \ force}{q(V)^{2/3}} \right] \\ C_{n} & yawing-moment \ coefficient \left(\frac{yawing \ moment \ about \ c.q.}{qV} \right) \\ \end{array}$$
where

q dynamic pressure (16.37 1b/sq ft)

V volume of fuselage A (0.606 cu ft)

The effect of the rearward fins on the aerodynamic characteristics of fuselage A is shown in figure 5. The curves of yawing-moment coefficient show that, with the 0.172-inch fin added to the rearward portion of the fuselage, the maximum value of the unstable yawing moment is reduced by more than half. Increasing the fin height progressively decreased the maximum unstable yawing moment and the trim angle. The effectiveness of increasing the fin height, however, became progressively smaller with height. The type 2 fin was only slightly more offective than the 0.172-inch type 1 fin although its area-moment is nearly equal to that of the 0.344-inch type 1 fin. This result, coupled with the fact that the effectiveness of the type 1 fins was not proportional to the fin height, appears to indicate that the effectiveness of these fins primarily depends on the length of the sharp edges and their spoiling effect depends on the type of flow over the rear portion of the fuselage. This conclusion appears to be substantiated by the drag curves, which show that the increase in drag at large angles of yaw is also less for the type 2 fin than the 0.344-inch type 1 fin.

The slope of the curve of the yawing-moment coefficient at small angles of yaw is appreciably reduced by the rearward fins. As expected, however, the reduction is small. The effect of the fins on the drag at zero yaw (normal-flight condition) was not measurable.

In order to check further on the relative effects of the sharp edges and the increased area back of the center

of gravity, the unfaired type 2 fin on fuselage A has been compared with fuselage B in figure 6. Fuselage B, as previously mentioned, has the same side area as fuselage A plus the type 2 fin and was derived by fairing the type 2 fin into the fuselage tail with modeling clay to eliminate the sharp odges. Figure 6 shows that, aithough both the type 2 fin and fuselage B were less unstable than fuselage A, the improvement obtained from fuselage B was less than half that obtained from the type 2 fin. It is therefore apparent that the sharp edges of the unfaired fin were advantageous in reducing the unstable yawing-moment coefficients of the fuselage shapes.

Fuselage A with the type 2 fin had the largest values of lateral-force coefficient at large angles of yaw, fuselage B had smaller values, and fuselage A alone had the smallest values. Inasmuch as a large lateral force is desirable for stability when sideslipping, fuselage A with the type 2 fin would also be better than fuselage B for this maneuver. The values of drag coeffficient at large angles of yaw decreased in the same order as the values of lateral-force coefficient. The minimum drag coefficient at zero yaw was unaffected by fuselage shape or type 2 fin, within the experimental accuracy of the tosts, in spite of the fact that the drag coefficients in every case were based on the volume of fuselage A.

The fins mounted forward (fig. 7) proved to be harmful to stability in yaw. The anticipated spoiler action did not occur and these forward fins are therefore undesirable. The lateral-force and drag coefficients increase with the angle of yaw and the fin width.

The comparative plots (fig. 8) for fins mounted in both forward and rearward locations show that these arrangements are in every case less desirable than the comparable arrangements with the rearward fin alone (fig. 5) from consideration of stability in yaw. The lateralforce and the drag coefficients for the combination forward-and-rearward location increase with increases in the angle of yaw and the fin area and are greater than for comparable arrangements of forward or rearward fins alone.

A comparison of the several locations of the fins with a width of 0.344 inch (fig. 9) shows that only combinations with the rearward fin decrease the unstable yawing-moment coefficient of the fuselage at large angles

5.

a and a second

of yaw. The drag and the lateral-force coefficients at large angles of yaw, however, increase in proportion to an increase in the fin area. The drag and the stability in yaw at small angles of yaw are only slightly affected by the various locations of the 0.344-inch fin on fuselage A.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The rearward fins were very effective in decreasing the unstable yawing moment of the fuselage at the large angles of yaw.

2. The beneficial effects of the forward-and-rearward combination of fins and of the fin completely around the fuselage were due to the presence of the fin area behind the center of gravity of the model.

3. The sharp fin edges were found definitely beneficial at large angles of yaw.

đ

4. The minimum drag coefficient and the slope of the curve of the yawing-moment coefficient of the fuselage at zero yaw were unaffected by the addition of the fins, within the experimental accuracy of the tests.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va., October 22, 1940.

REFERENCES

- Bamber, M. J., and House, R. O.: Wind-Tunnel Investisation of Effect of Yaw on Lateral-Stability Characteristics. II - Rectangular N.A.C.A. 23012 Wing with a Circular Fuselage and a Fin. T.N. No. 730, NACA, 1939.
- Harris, Thomas A.: The 7 by 10 Foot Wind Tunnel of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. Rep. No. 412, NACA, 1931.
- 3. Wenzinger, Carl J., and Harris, Thomas A.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of an N.A.C.A. 23012 Airfoil with Various Arrangements of Slotted Flaps. Rep. No. 664, NACA, 1939.
- 4. Freeman, Hugh B.: Force Measurements on a 1/40-Scale Model of the U. S. Airship "Akron." Rep. No. 432, NACA, 1932.



Dimensions of circular tuselage A							
cation from L.E.	Radius	Station from LE	Redius	station hamile	Astius		
0	0	8.3/2	3.288	32.3/R	2.516		
312	.772	12.3/2	3.410	34.3/2	2170		
.812 I	1.242	16.3/2	3.440	30.312	1.695		
1.312	1.57L	20.3/2	3.406	38-312	1.000		
2.3/2	2.044	24.3/2	3.268	39.3/2	.548		
4.312	2.650	28.3/8	2.990	40.3/2	0		



(C) Wire, assisting the dimeter, in place on nose of fuselage A.



Figure 1, - Circular fusetage showing fin arrangements tested on fusetage A.



ļ

÷

÷.

F1ge.3,4

NACA Technical Note No. 785



..... . _

17-

> 1 4



1

4

ι.

÷

4

é

ι

Tig.7

1.1.24

--=

-

1

-







хð

Ł

Fig. 9



)		
MESTRACTED MILE: Wind-Turnel Investigation of Poselage Stability in Yav with Various Arrangements AUTH-ORIST Hoggard, G. P. ORISINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C. PUBLISHED BY: (Same)								
Nov '40	Restr.	Ű.S.	Kng.	PAG35	photos, graphs,			
ABSTRACT:	ABSTRACT: PI/I adogoa							
Comparative plots of aerodynamic characteristics of fuselage alone and fuselage with fins are given to show effects on yav, drag, and lateral force. Results indicated that fin area to rear of center of gravity of fuselage vas beneficial in reducing magnitude of unstable yaving moments at large angles of yav; whereas, fin area forvard of center of gravity vas harmful. Dorsal-type fin vas most effective for increasing yaving stability of fuselage. Drag coefficient vas unaffected. FLD 23 FAE FODY NO MIC CHARGES 5 FOR DANCOMIC CONTROL FUSEL CONTROL Request copies of this report only from Originating Agency DVSION: Aerodynamics (2) [SUBJECT HEADINGS: Fuselages - Aerodynamics (92754);								
DISTREBUTION: Request copies of this report only from Originating Agency								
SECTION: Pa		ponents and			DINGS: Fuselages - Aerody Directional Stability (42754.			
ATI SHEET NO).: R-2-7-1 Division, Entrillip	0 casco Department)-B805	- 288		
	Matoriel Comme			[10401 10101 10110 10100 10101 10401			

C'---fic the panceller C. Barre ية المراجع . المراجع المراجع ي د دو مربع مربع مربع مربع مربع م teritoria teritoria Dot.

Classification cancelled per authority of List NACA dd. 28 Sept 1949 Hange R Jordan, 2500. 29 Apr 1919

AUTHOR(S): H	Fins loggard, G. F AGENCY: Na	· · · · ·		y in Yaw		ious Arrangements Vashington, D. C.	АТІ- 8817 атуібіон (None) окіо. адексу мо. TN-785 рублізніко адексу мо.
Nov '40	Resir.	COUNTRY U.S.	LANGUAGE Eng.	PAGES	ILLUSTILATION	phoios, graphs,	dwg
*	Comparative plots of aerodynamic characteristics of fuselage alone and fuselage with fins are given to show effects on yaw, drag, and lateral force. Results indicated that fin area to rear of cenier of gravity of fuselage was beneficial in reducing magnitude of unstable yawing moments at large angles of yaw; whereas, fin area forward of center of gravity was harmful. Dorsal-type fin was most effective for increasing yawing stability of fuselage. Drag coefficient was unaffected.						
DISTRIBUTION	Remiesi cor	ies of this re	pori oniy from	Origina	ing Agon	67	
DIVISION: Ae SECTION: Pa Int	rodynamics (rasitic, Com erference (7)	2) ponents and	SUBJ	ECT HEA	DINGS: F	uselages - Aerody al Siability (42754	
).: R-2-7-1(Division, Intellige Material Comma	nce Oepartmont	AIR TECH	NICAL IN	DEX	Wright-Patterson Air Dayton, Oh	