UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB805408

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

restricted

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; NOV 1940. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

AUTHORITY

NACA list dtd 28 Sep 1945; NASA TR Server website

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



No. 783

A FLIGHT INVESTIGATION OF EXHAUST-HEAT DE-ICING

By Lewis A. Rodert and Alun P. Jones Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory

FILE COPY

To <u>he returned</u> to the files of the Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory,

Washington November 1940



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 783

A FLIGHT INVESTIGATION OF EXHAUST-HEAT DE-ICING

By Lewis A. Rodert and Alun R. Jones

SUMMARY

The Hational Advisory Committee for Aeronautics has conducted exhaust-heat de-icing tests in flight to provide data needed in the application of this method of ice prevention. The capacity to extract heat from the exhaust gas for de-icing purposes, the quantity of heat required, and other factors were examined. The results indicate that a wing-heating system employing a spanwise exhaust tube within the leading edge of the wing removed 30 to 35 percent of the heat from exhaust gas entering the wing. Data are given from which the heat required for ice prevention can be calculated. Sample calculations have been

made on a basis of existing $\frac{\text{engine power}}{\text{wing area}}$ ratios to show that sufficient heating can be obtained for ice protection on modern transport airplanes, provided that uniform distribution of the heat can be secured.

INTRODUCTION

Previous NACA investigations (references 1 and 2) have indicated that the use of exhaust heat offered a practical means of providing ice protection to the airplane wing, but it has been found that additional data were necessary before full-scale application of this means could be undertaken. Tests have been made to determine how much heat can be taken from the exhaust gas and how much heat is required for ice protection. In addition, some observations were made on the temperature-distribution characteristics of model wings, the nature of the mechanics of ice prevention and removal, and methods of control in exhaust heating systems to aid in the interpretation of the data. Calculations were made to determine the applicability of the present result to several modern transport airplanes.

APPARATUS AND TESTS

× 0012

The tests were conducted in flight on a model wing having an NACA 0000 section, a span of 4 feet, and a chord of 3 feet. The model wing was mounted between the wings on an XBM Navy biplane. The general view of the test apparatus is shown in figure 1. Figure 2(a) shows the model on the airplane, and figure 2(b) illustrates the interior construction of the model wing. The construction was similar to that used in all-metal wings having two spars and stressed skin. End plates were employed in order to preserve, as much as possible, the two-dimensional airflow characteristics. During one of the first flights, the outboard end plate was insulated from the wing to determine whether the heat flow from these plates should be considered, but it was found to be negligible.

In the tests conducted to determined how much heat could be extracted from the exhaust gas, an exhaust tube was placed inside the wing along the interior of the leading edge. (See fig. 2(b).) The mechanics involved in the heat exchange for the model in this form are explained with the aid of figure 3. Heat is transmitted from the exhaust tube to the wing skin by radiation and convection. The transmission by convection is controlled by changing the circulation of air through the model interior. Heat is picked up by the air between pcints A and B (fig. 3). The air follows a path through the after portion of the wing, as shown in figure 3, and loses a large part of its heat to the wing skin. Any heat remaining in the air is lost at the trailing edge through a discharge slot extending the entire span of the wing. -- 7*

÷ .

In the hot-air system, air was heated by an exhaust heater separated from the model, as shown in figure 4. A photograph of the model when heated by hot air is shown in figure 5(a). The heated air flows along the leading edge of the model and thence through the after portion, as it did when the exhaust tube was used. The heat transmission and the de-icing tests were made with the model adapted for the use of hot air because the more precise measurements were obtained with this mothod of heating.

The exhaust gas from two of the cylinders from the airplane engine was used as a source of heat in all the tests. The rates of flow of the exhaust gas and the air involved in the experiments were measured by the use of orifice neters. Temperature-difference measurements were made with thermocouples, while the ambient-air temperature was read from a strut mercury thermometer. The temperature changes measured in the exhaust gas and the circulated air, combined with the gas and the air-flow measurements, permitted a definition of the heat exchange in the model. The nature of the heat distribution was observed from temperature measurements at numerous points on the model skin. The positions of the skin thermocouples are shown in figures 3 and 4.

The wing was nounted on a support tube running spanwise at the 25-percent chord point and at the trailingedge midpoint, as is shown in figure 2(a). The angle of attack of the model could be changed in flight by the rotation of the threaded rod extending upward from the lower airplane wing to the trailing edge of the model. All the tests were made at zero angle of attack. The attitude of the model was adjusted by equalizing the pressures of two static crifices at geometrically similar positions on the upper and the lower surfaces.

Visual records were obtained by photographing the ice formations and their removal with a 35 nm notion-picture camera. The camera and the mounting are shown in figure 1. Icing conditions were simulated by the discharge of water from spray nozzles in front of the model. The desired temperatures were obtained by flying at the proper altitude. The spray nozzles in operation are shown in figure 5(b).

Cther apparatus for making the measurements, such as millivoltmeter, pressure recorders, heating controls, and thermocouple selector switches, were located in the observer's cockpit.

<u>Tost precedure.</u> All the tests were made at an air speed of 100 miles per hour and, as has been noted, at zero angle of attack. The heat transmission tests were made in "dry" air (no visible moisture) and in misty cloud formations which were thick enough to cause the wing surface to become thoroughly wetted. The tests in the clouds were taken to be representative of actual icing conditions, except that the temperature of the air was above, instead of below, 32° F. Because the tests were made to measure the heat transmission in which the temperature difference was observed, this deviation is believed to be a valid procedure. Ho attempt was made, therefore, to conduct these flights at any particular air temperature.

NACA Technical Note No. 783

De-icing tests were made with air temperatures between 17° and 25° F. The spray nozzles produced a waterdrop content in the air stream comparable with that in a very severe icing condition. A distinction was made in the testing procedure between ice prevention and ice removal. Ice-prevention tests were made to measure the heating requirements for the prohibition of any ice on the model wing. The ice-removal tests were made to observe the operation of and to measure the heat required for the removal of ice formed before the heat was turned on. Motion pictures were taken during the ice-prevention and the ice-removal tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Exhaust-Tube System

The results of the tests to determine the capacity of the exhaust-tube nodel to remove heat from the exhaust gas are given in table I. The data indicate that the use of an exhaust tube in the leading edge of the wing provided a system that removed 30 to 35 percent of the heat from the exhaust gas entering the wing.

It was further observed that, by a reduction of the amount of air circulated within the wing, the capacity to extract heat from the exhaust gas was reduced only slightly and that a larger portion of the heat was dissipated from the forward 30-percent portion of the wing. Accordingly, the temperature rise of the leading-edge region was increased and the temperature rise of the after portion was decreased when the circulation of air was discontinued by sealing the baffle. The temperature rise of the after portion of the wing when the air circulation is stopped is largely due to the transfer of heat from the leading edge in a rearward direction through the boundary air. Although a chordwise distribution of heat may be obtained by the air convection over the outside of the wing, the heat given to the boundary-layer air forward can be only partly recovered by the wing surface rearward; therefore, heat is wasted. The most efficient use of the heat is obtained when the distribution results in a uniform tenperature rise over the region that is to be protected.

.

Although the reduction of the quantity of air circulated through the wing may result in a reduction of the

1

4

efficiency of the heating of the entire wing surface, a consideration of other factors indicates that the concentration of heat at the leading edge may be desirable. Some provision for increasing the quantity of heat that can be directed to the leading edge is desirable when extremely severe icing conditions are encountered. The leading edge should always be kept free from ice, even though accretions may form on the after portion of the wing because, as is shown by reference 3, surface protuberances over the forward 20-percent portion of the wing are of greater harn to the aerodynamic efficiency than are protuberances on the after portion. Recont flight tests on a wing that was equipped with an inflatable de-icer enphasized the conclusions of reference 3. The flight tests nade on a full-scale wing with a mean aerodynamic chord of 94 inches showed that simulated ice formations 1/2 inch high in the vicinity of the de-icer attachment strips, which are about 7 percent back from the loading edge, resulted in a profile-drag increase of more than 350 percent and a decrease in C_{Lmax} of 59 percent.

Attention is called to the fact that the present results do not confirm the conclusions drawn from the preliminary tests of reference 1 as regards the effectiveness of heating only the leading edge. In the tunnel tests, a heating system that maintained the forward 10percent portion of a model above 200° F under <u>dry-air</u> conditions had sufficient capacity to prevent and to renove ice formations over the entire wing. In the flight tests with the present model, eliminating the circulated air produced skin-temperature rises of about 200° F over the forward 18 percent of the model, but ice formed on the afterbody when the wing was subjected to icing conditions.

Netcorological observations indicate that icing conditions of great severity usually occur over only a linited geographical area and altitude range. A de-icing system, therefore, that can concentrate heat on the leading edge of the wing at the expense of the trailing-edge region is believed to be of particular value in storms of great severity because the leading edge can be kept continuously clear, and the ice which may form near the trailing edge of the wing can be removed after the storm center has been passed.

NACA Technical Note No. 783

Heat Transmission Tests

The transmission of heat from the model wing is given in coefficient form in table II. A comparison of the rosults obtained in the present investigation with those given in reference 2 is made possible by the inclusion in the table of a calculated heat-transfer coefficient based on the wing chord and the air-stream velocity during the present tests. The heat-transfer coefficient α was calculated from the formula

$$\alpha = \frac{Q}{\Delta T(A)}$$

in which Q is the heat transmitted from the model wing, Btu per hour; ΔT , the average temperature rise of the model skin above ambient air, degrees Fahrenheit; and A, the total surface area of the model, square feet. (In this report the total surface area is approximated by using a value equal to twice the product of the wing span and chord.) It is noted that the dry-air coefficient obtained in the present tests is about 82 percent of the calculated values from reference 2. The fact that the present tests were made with an NACA Sector airfoil, whereas the previous work was ione on a Clark Y section, may explain the difference. The present measurements are believed to be accurate to within ± 5 percent.

The possibility of deriving equations that would make the results of the present model flight tests applicable to full-scale design was suggested by a study of reference 2. From the data provided in this reference, an equation was derived to express the heat-transfer coefficient for any airfoil of a known chord and at any velocity, provided that the coefficient is available for an airfoil that is similar in section, of a known chord, and tested at a known velocity.

The derived equation is:

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \frac{c_0}{c} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{c}_0} \right)^{\mathrm{E}}$$

in which

 \mathbf{T}

heat transfer coefficient, Btu/hour/square foot/ degree Fahrenheit

(1)

NACA Technical Note No. 783

c wing chord, feet

V velocity, niles per hour

n exponent determined by angle of attack

and the subscript

o denotes present test conditions

From reference 2, at cruising angles of attack n is approximately 0.8. It seems more reasonable that n could be expressed as a function of the average air velocity over the surface in question rather than as a function of the angle of attack, as in reference 2. Some characteristic of the airfoil, such as the lift coefficient, could then be used to replace the average-velocity tern, and the exponent n would have a more general meaning. Because further data are not available, however, the value of 0.8 used is assumed to be correct. If the values from the present tests of 20, 100, and 3 are substituted in equation (1) for α_0 , V_0 , and α_0 , respectively, the following equation is written:

$$\alpha = 0.6 \frac{v^{0.8}}{c^{0.2}}$$
 (2)

If it can be assumed that a uniform temperature rise has been obtained over the entire airplane wing, the mininum heat required to prevent ice formations, Q_{min}, is given by

 $Q_{\min} = \alpha(32 - T) A \tag{3}$

in which T is the ambient-air temperature, degrees Fahrenheit; A, the total surface area heated, square feet; and Q is given in Btu per hour. The minimum heat Q_{\min} may be expressed as a function of airplane chord and speed, and the ambient-air temperature may be expressed by substituting the value of a from quation (2) in equation (3)

$$Q_{\min} = C.6 \frac{\nabla^{0.8}}{c^{0.2}} (32 - T) \Lambda$$
 (4)

If the temperature rise over the wing is not uniform, the required heat according to equation (3) will be in error,

7

the extent of which will depend upon the temperature variation over the wing.

Ico-Prevention and Ico-Removal Tests

The temperature rise, during the ice-prevention tests, over the model wing surface varied rathor widely from the leading edge to the strailing edge; therefore it was expected that the heat expended for ice prevention would be in excess of the calculated heat required.

The results of the ice-prevention and the ice-removal tests are given in table III. Several of the ice-removal tests were repeated for photographic pruposes, during which flights ice formations on the leading edge varying from 1/2 to 1 inch in thickness were removed 10 to 30 seconds after the heat was admitted to the model. The results from two different ice-prevention tests are included in the table. In the first tests, the heat supplied was gradually reduced until ice formations were noted; then the heat was slowly increased until all the ice was removed. In the second tests, the heat was reduced until the ice started is form but did not spread beyond a small region near the trailing edge. The residual ice on the wing during a typical test of this kind is shown in figure 6.

On a basis of the heat-transmission tests, the heat input of 567 Btu per hour per square foot corresponds to an average calculated temperature rise of about 30° F. Because the outside-air temperature was 24° F, a temperature rise of only 8° F was required. Thus, without a uniform temperature rise, the quantity of heat required for ice prevention would be several times as great as would be predicted by the use of equation (3).

Several ice-removal tests were made to observe, with the motion-picture camera, the mannor in which the ice was eliminated. In each instance, the ice covering the leading edge was removed in less than 30 seconds and, as shown by the results in table III, in as low as 10 seconds. Figure 7 shows the type of ice formation that was removed, and figure 8 shows the same formation a few seconds after the heated air was admitted to the wing. The blurred regions on the photograph are pieces of ice being blown away from the wing. Ice formations on the after portion of the wing were readily removed when heated air was circulated

.

NACA Technical Note No. 783

t

throughout the interior of the wing. When the model that was heated by the exhaust tube was tested without internalair circulation, the removal of ice from regions near the trailing edge (icing conditions being discontinued) was slow, and a greater total quantity of heat was employed than during other successful ice-removal tests.

Application of Test Results

The design of icc-prevention equipment that uses exhaust heat is principally a problem of heat distribution. The important considerations in the problem are: (1) the range of ambient-air temperature over which protection is desired; (2) the ratio of exhaust thermal energy to the surface area to be protected; and (3) the extent to which a uniform temperature rise can be obtained over the heated region.

For any particular geographical location, the temperature range common to icing conditions is a factor that can be defined only by statistics. The information of the tomperatures at which icing conditions have occurred on the North American continent is limited. On a basis of reports on air-line operations within the United States, however, severe icing occurs with the greatest frequency at temperatures above 15° F. Reports received indicate that in Canada severe icing conditions occasionally occur at still lower temperatures. Inasmuch as the most common icing condition occurs just slightly under freezing temperature and at the higher temperatures the largest amount of water is encountered, it will be assumed, for purposes of this analysis, that the temperature rise over the protected area must be not less than 17° F. This rise would be sufficient to give icc protection at air temperatures of 15° F or above, provided that the temperature distribution over the wing surface is uniform.

An analysis has been made on the basis of the characteristics of 12 modern transport airplanes to determine what temperature rise might reasonably be expected if 30 percent of the available exhaust heat is applied to wing heating. Although several assumptions and qualifications must be made in such a study, it is believed that this analysis is a good indication of the applicability of the exhaust heating system. Two assumptions have been made: (1), that the available exhaust heat is equal to the engine power at maximum speed; and (2), that this heat will be uniformly applied to the entire wing surface. Actually, the exhaust-gas energy wasted by the nodern engine is in excess of the useful power and, therefore, if the heat is used economically, the first assumption will be valid. The second assumption also appears to be conservative because portions of the wing covered by the fuselage or engine nacelles may not require protection from ice formations. It may be shown by reference 3 that a large part of the lifting surface in the trailing-edge region could be covered with ice and would not produce a great loss in aerodynamic efficiency of the airplane, although a protection for the flaps and the ailerons should be provided.

On the basis of these assumptions and the results of the present investigation, the temperature rise resulting from the use of an exhaust tube inside the leading adgo of the wing has been calculated. An approximation of the applied heat per square foot, q, is given by the equation

$$q = \frac{P}{2S}$$
 (E), Btu/hour/square foot (5)

in which

- P engine power at V_{max}, Btu per hour
- S wing area, square feet
- E exchange efficiency of heating system, 30 percent

The transmission coefficient has been calculated from equation (2) for the 12 transport airplanes previously mentioned on a basis of the average wing chord and maximum velocity V_{max} . From a knowledge of the heat applied q and the heat-transfer coefficient α , an average temperature rise ΔT for the lifting surfaces has been calculated by the use of the equation

 $\Delta T = \frac{q}{\alpha}$

The results of these calculations are shown plotted in figure 9, which indicates that a satisfactory temperature rise can be obtained. The plotted points that show the greatest wing-surface temperature rise refer to the most recent airplane designs, which indicates that the present design trend is toward a greater potential heating capacity for the wing surface.

10

۲.

When the excess temperature rise in figure 9 is considered, that is, the horizontal displacement of the plotted points from the line that is designated 17° F, it should be remembered that the location of the points is based upon the uniform distribution of the temperature. In any practical case, some departure from this condition will be noted and a smaller temperature rise than indicated will be obtained.

Experience in the design and the operation of wingheating systems for de-icing should bring improvements in the uniformity of heat distribution and greater efficiency in removal of heat from the exhaust gas. In view of the favorable results of NACA investigations on the application of heat in de-icing and also in consideration of the reports that have been received describing the successful application of exhaust-heat de-icing on numerous fourengine transport airplanes in Gernany, it is believed that full-scale application of this method should be undertaken at an early date in the United States. A full-scale application of exhaust-heat de-icing is planned by the NACA in cooperation with the Army Air Corps, from which it is hoped will be obtained additional data on the application and the operation of this heating method.

COUCLUSIONS

1. A wing with an exhaust-gas tube running spanwise inside of the leading edge provided a system capable of renoving 30 to 35 percent of the heat from the exhaust gas entering the wing.

2. Heat-transmission tests in misty cloud formations indicated that the heat required for ice prevention may be calculated from an equation involving the airplane speed and chord, and the ambient-air temperature.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Connittee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va., September 24, 1940.

.*

REFERENCES

- Rodert, Lewis A.: A Preliminary Study of the Prevention of Ice on Aircraft by the Use of Engine-Exhaust Heat. T.N. No. 712, NACA, 1939.
- 2. Theodorsen, Theodore, and Clay, William C.: Ice Provention on Aircraft by Means of Engine Exhaust Heat and a Technical Study of Heat Transmission from a Clark Y Airfoil. Rep. No. 403, NACA, 1931.
- 3. Jacobs, Eastman N.: Airfoil Section Characteristics as Affected by Protuberances. Rep. No. 446, NACA, 1932.

Air circulated through model (10/sec)	Heat content of exhaust gas entering model wing above arbient air (Btu/hr)	Hoat removed from exhaust gas by model (3tu/hr)	Heat trans- mitted through skin of model (Btu/hr)	Percentage of hoat entering wing removed by model system	Porcontage of removed heat trans- mitted through model skin	Efficiency of exhaust tube model (porcont)
0.19	259,000	100,500	89,000	39 ·	89	34
.16	251,000	86,000	74,500	34	B7	30
0	284,000	96,000	96,000	34	100	34

TABLE 1.- Heat Exchange Data from Exhaust-Tube-Model Tests

1

×

4

ч

Weight of air circulated	Heat added to circulated air	Heat trans- nitted from model skin, Q	Efficiency of model heat ex- chunge	Average temperature rise of nodel skin above anbient air	Heat transmission coefficient, a	Calculated heat trans- nission coefficient from refer- ence 2	Surface condition of wing
(lb/soc)	(Btu/hr)	(3tu/hr)	(percent)	∆T (°F)	(Btu/hr/ sq ft/ ⁰ F)	(Ltu/hr/ sq ft/°F)	
0.18 .19	44,500 45,000	30,500 29,900	69 66	78.3 65.3	16,2 19.1	19.7 -	Dry Wet

TABLE II.- Heat Transmission Data from Hot-Air-Model Tosts

1-1 1-1 1-1

Air circulated (1b/sec)	Eeat dissipated through model skin (3tu/hr/sq ft)	Outside air temperaturc ^O F	Type of tests	Renarks
0.08	917	23	Prevention	Ice prevented over entire wing
.046	567	24	Provention	Ice formed along the trailing odge (see fig. 6)
.176	1300	34	Ecmoval	Ice removed over the leading edge in 10 sec- onds.

TABLE III .- Ice-Provention and Ice-Removal Data (Ect-Air Model Wing)





个



Figure 2a.- Model wing heated by exhaust tube and mounted on XBM airplane for flight tests.



Figure 2b.- Model wing in construction showing exhaust tube along interior of leading edge.

XACA T.N. 783

х

.....

L



Figure 3.- Set-up of wing heated by exhaust tube, showing path of exhaust gas and air through the model and the location of skin-temperature thermocouples, x.





NACA T.N. 783

ስ

₫



Figure 5a - Wing, heated by hot air, mounted on XBM airplane for flight tests.

HACA T.N. 783

Ô



٩



Figure 7 .- Nature of ice formation on model wing prior to application of heat.



Figure 8.- Large pieces of ice being blown away less than 10 seconds after hot air was admitted to the wing

ņ

v

P

١



Figure 9.- The calculated temperature rise for 12 modern transport airplanes, (17°F is temperature rise required for ice prevention at 15°F) Fig. 9



Massification concoher 07 Circi 19 10. C'r Signature and Grade 638 2 9 1949

Classification cancelled per authority of List NACA dd. 28 Sept 1945 Alonge R. Jordan, USCO. 29 Apr 1949

F RESTRICTED TITLE: A Flight Investigation of Exhaust-Heat De-Icing AUTHOR(5): Rodert, Lewis A.; Jones, Alun R. ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C. PUBLISHED BY: (Same)							АТІ- 8571 втуном (None) опо. Асанст но. ТN-783 Рублияно Асанст но.	
DATE	DOC. CLASS.	COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	PAGES	ILLUSTRATIONS		·	
Nov '40	Restr.	U. S.	Eng.	22	photo, ta	bles, diagrs, gra	ph	
Tests were conducted to determine amount of heat taken from exhaust gas and how much of this heat was required for ice prevention. Experiments were made at air speed of 100 mph at zero angle of attack. Use of an exhaust tube in leading edge of wing provided a system that removed from 30 to 35% of heat from exhaust gas entering the wing. Motion pictures showed that the ice covering the leading edge was removed in periods from ten to thirty seconds.								
DIVISION: Flight Testing (13) SUBJECT HEADINGS:								
SECTION: Airplane Equipment (8) Anti-leing system, Hot air - Flight tests (11237.4 formation - Prevention (50201); Airfoils (7700)					ts (11237.4); Ice Is (7700)			
ATI SHEET NO	ATI SHEET NO.: R-13-8-4							
Air Documents D	livision, Intellig Auteriel Comma	enco Department nd	AIR 1	RESTRICTED	DEX	Wright-Patterson Air Dayton, Of	Forco Base hio -	
				-				