UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB805395

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

restricted

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; DEC 1941. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

AUTHORITY

NACA list dtd 28 Sep 1945; NASA TR Server website

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduction Quality Notice

This document is part of the Air Technical Index [ATI] collection. The ATI collection is over 50 years old and was imaged from roll film. The collection has deteriorated over time and is in poor condition. DTIC has reproduced the best available copy utilizing the most current imaging technology. ATI documents that are partially legible have been included in the DTIC collection due to their historical value.

If you are dissatisfied with this document, please feel free to contact our Directorate of User Services at [703] 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068.

Do Not Return This Document To DTIC

Reproduced by AR DOCUMENTS DIVISION

EADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND

WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO

The U.S. GOVERNMENT

IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY ENSUE FROM THE CONTRACTORS IN -FRINGING ON THE FOREIGN PATENT RIGHTS WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.





_____¥*



BATIONAL ADVISORY CONMITTEE FOR AERONAUTIOS

Hereiter Allen

802° 4

1.10 • A 7

305

and the

The second

1. 1. S. C. K.

411 12

2

1. ÷,

TECHNICAL NOTE NO. 834

THE CALCULATION OF SPAN LOAD DISTRIBUTIONS N.84 C.

OH SWEPT-BACK WINGS

By William Mutterporl

SUMMARY

Span load distributions of swept-back wings have been calculated. The nothed used was to replace the wing with a bound vortex at the quarter-chord line and to calculate the downwash due to the system of bound and trailing vor-tices to conform at the three-quarter-chord line to the slope of the flat-plate wing surface. Results are given for constant-chord and 511 tayord plan forms, for swop-back angles of 0°, 30°, and 45°, and for asport ratios of 3, 6, and 9. Some comments on the stalling of swopt-back wings are included.

INTRODUCTION

Airplano designs are often proposed in which stability and control are provided by a wing with a large angle of sweepback instead of by the conventional fuselage and tail arrangement. The calculation of the acrodynamic forces is nero difficult on such a wing than on the corresponding unswept-back wing because of the nero complicated nature of the vortex system, the flow of which is to be calculated. The problem is essentially that of two yawed airfoils (the two halves of the swept-back wing) subject not only to the effects of finite aspect ratio but also to their mutual interforence.

Wieghardt has shown in his work with rectangular flat plates (reference 1) that good accuracy in the total lift force can be attained by replacing the plate with a bound vortex at the quarter-cherd line and making the downwash due to the bound vertex and its associated trailing vortex system conform, at the three-quarter-cherd line, to the slepo of the flat plate.

Such a procedure is rigorously correct for an unyawed,

State BACA Technical Note No. 834

rectangular flat plate of infinite span. The method also holds for a yawed, infinite-span flat plate because, in this case, the spanwise component of the free-stream velocity has no effect (if potential flow is assumed) on the lift whereas the component normal to the span has the same effect as on an unyawed airfoil. More precisely, let the airfoil be yawed through an angle A at zero angle of attack and then rotated through an angle a about the line with respect to which A was neasured. If the froe-stream velocity V is resolved along the three mutually perpendicular directions - namely, spanwise, cherdwise perpendicular to the span, and normal to the plane of the flat plate - the velocity components are, respectively, V sin A cos a, V cos A cos a, and V sin a. Insaluch as the cherd measured normal to the span is c, cos A, then

the chord measured normal to the span is $c_0 \cos \Lambda$, then from two-dimensional thin-airfoil theory, the circulation Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = \pi \nabla c_0 \cos \Lambda \sin \alpha \qquad (1)$$

(2)

Also, from two-dimensional wing theory, the center of pressure of the lift forces is at the quarter-chord line. If a bound vortex of circulation given by equation (1) is placed at the quarter-chord line, the normal induced velocity w at the three-quarter-chord line is

$$w = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi r} = \frac{\pi \, V \, c_0 \, \cos \Lambda \, \sin \alpha}{2\pi \, \frac{c_0}{2} \, \cos \Lambda}$$

or

w = V sin a

which is exactly the amount needed to produce a resultant flow along the flat-plate equivalent of the thin airfeil at the three-quarter-chord point.

The extension of Wieghardt's method to flat platss of swept-back plan form has been made in the present paper. A swspt-back bound vortex was placed at the quartsr-chord line (fig. 1) and the downwash dus to the bound vortex and its trailing-vortex system was calculated at points on the thres-quarter-chord line. The bound vortex strength was determined by the condition that the resultant flow at the thrso-quarter-chord line be tangent to the flat plate. The span load distribution thus determined should be expected to be less valid near the tips and the center of the

MACA Technical Note No. 834

1.1

3

wing than in botwoon. In the contor, the effect of one wing upon the other is strong and the bound vertices are curved lines rather than broken lines; whereas, at the tips, the presence of strong trailing vertices invalidates the argument of the previous paragraphs, which was based on twodimensional wing theory.

The results obtained for the flat-plate wing surfaces may be applied to wings having the usual type of airfell section on the assumption that the finite thickness and camber of the wing do not appreciably displace the vortex system from the horizontal plane. Furthermore, inashuch as the section lift-curve slope a_0 generally differs from 2π , the airfell chord to be used with the results of this paper is

$$c = \frac{c_0}{2\pi} c_{actual}$$

This correction is based on the two-dimensional airfeil relation

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ca}_0 \operatorname{Va}$$

in which on, occurs as a product. The value of a, will depend on the angle of sweepback A as well as on the airfell section. If there were no viscosity effects nor trailing-vertex system, a, would be determined by the section perpendicular to the span produces the lift. The chief cause of the reduction in a, from its theoretical value as given by potential theory is, hewever, the viscosity phenomena (boundary layer, separation, etc.) that depend on the actual path of the air particles over the streamlines over the airfell de not greatly depart from the free-stream direction, the slope of the lift curve of the airfell section in the free-stream direction should be used.

SYMBOLS

The following symbols are used:

	HACA Technical Note No. 834
	wing span, measured along quarter-chord line from
8	wing sonispan (b/2)
: A	swoepback anglo, neasured from quarter-chord line
- CL 	anglo of attack, measured in free stream direction
	area of plan form of wing
	wing chord measured in free-stream direction
Чо	wing root chord neasured in froo-stream direction
•	aspost ratio $\left(\frac{b^{s}\cos^{s}\Lambda}{s}\right)$
L	total lift
a 0	slope of section lift curve
٥ŗ	lift coefficient $\left(\frac{L}{\frac{1}{3} \rho \nabla^{s} s}\right)$
1	lift por unit longth along span
Г	circulation
Di	inducod drag
°D1	induced-drag coofficient $\left(\frac{D_{i}}{D_{i}}\right)$
ρ	tiensity of air
V	froo-stream air velocity
W	induced downwash volocity
Coord	linatos:
η	coordinate of downwash point in direction of quarter- chord line (positive to right)
σ	coordinato of bound vortox element in direction of quarter-chord line (resitive to right)
σ	contor of pressure of span load distribution

ji se de la

. .

i ja

· .

_

:,•

-

•

MACA, Technical Note No. 834

5

٤,

1 **angle corresponding to** σ (cos $\beta = \sigma/s$)

angle corresponding to $\eta \ (\cos \psi = \eta/s)$

perpendicular to free-stream direction in plane of Subscripts:

- refers to right side of wing
- refers to left side of wing
- refers to bound vortex

15-57-54

149

y

1

refers to trailing vortex

8

METHOD

The flat-plate wing surface of swopt-back plan form is replaced with a bound vortex at the quarter-chord line (fig. 1). The bound vortex and an elemont of the trailingvortex sheet, as well as the symbols used in the training-expressions, are shown in figure 1. By the Biot-Savart point P on the three-quarter-chord line, due to the hound vorter P on the superter-chord line, due to the bound vortex F on the quarter-chord line, is

$$\mathbf{v}_{1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{\Gamma \sin \theta_{+} d\sigma_{+}}{r_{+}^{a}} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{\Gamma \sin \theta_{-}}{r_{-}^{a}} d\sigma_{-} \qquad (3)$$

which, upon substitution of the geometrical relations

$$\cos \theta_{+} = \frac{\sigma_{+} - \eta_{+} - B_{+} \tan \Lambda}{r_{+}} \qquad \sin \theta_{+} = \frac{B_{+}}{r_{+}}$$

$$\cos \theta_{-} = \frac{\sigma_{-} - \eta_{-} + B_{-} \tan \Lambda}{r_{-}} \qquad \sin \theta_{-} = \frac{B_{-}}{r_{-}}$$
(4)

becomes

HACA Technical Note No. 834 $\mathbf{f}_{+s} = -\frac{1}{B_{+}} \int \Gamma(\theta_{+}) \sin \theta_{+} d\theta_{+}$ θ_{+o} $\mathbf{f}_{-o} = 0^{\frac{E}{2}-0}$

 $-\frac{1}{B_{-}}\int_{\theta_{-B}}^{\xi_{-0}}\Gamma(\theta_{-})\sin\theta_{-}d\theta_{-}$ (5)

The corresponding expression for the trailing vortex shoot

$$\operatorname{fine}_{\mathbf{g}} = -\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left[1 - \cos\left(\theta_{+} - \Lambda + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right] d\Gamma}{\sigma_{+} \cos \Lambda - y}$$

$$-\int_{-\pi}^{\sigma} \frac{\left[1 + \cos\left(\theta_{-} + \Lambda - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right] d\Gamma}{\sigma_{-} \cos \Lambda - y}$$
(6)

The total induced velocity is the sum of equations (5) and (6); this sum becomes, after equation (5) is integrated by parts and it is noted that Γ is zero at the wing tips,

$$4\pi \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\Gamma(\theta_{+0}) \cos \theta_{+0}}{B_{+}} + \frac{\Gamma(\theta_{-0}) \cos \theta_{-0}}{B_{-}} - \frac{1}{B_{+}} \int \cos \theta_{+} d\Gamma$$

$$=\frac{1}{B_{m}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cos\theta_{-}d\Gamma = \int_{0}^{0}\frac{\left[1 + \sin\left(\theta_{+} - \Lambda\right)\right]}{\sigma_{+}\cos\Lambda - y}d\Gamma$$

$$=\int_{0}^{0}\frac{1 + \sin\left(\theta_{-} + \Lambda\right)}{\sigma_{-}\cos\Lambda - y}d\Gamma$$
(7)

The variables of integration σ , θ , and Γ may be expressed in terms of a single independent variable β , varying continuously from 0 to π across the span from right to left. Thus by the definition

 \mathcal{A}^{2}

26.00

NACA Technical Note No. 834

t

$$\sigma_{+} = s \cos \phi \quad \text{for} \quad \neg s \leq \sigma_{-} \leq 0$$

$$\sigma_{+} = s \cos \phi \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \sigma_{+} \leq s \quad (8)$$

and the geometric relation

$$\tan \theta_{+} = \frac{B_{+}}{\sigma_{+} - A_{+}} \tan \theta_{-} = \frac{B_{-}}{\sigma_{-} - A_{-}}$$
(9)

together with the usual Fourier series expression for Γ

$$\Gamma = 4\pi \nabla c_0 \sin \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{2k+1} \sin (2k+1) \phi \quad (10)$$

the following expression may be derived by substitution into equation (7):

$$\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}_{c_{0}} \sin \alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \mathbf{a}_{2k+1} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2k+1} \left\{ \frac{A_{+}}{B_{+}\sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + A_{+}^{2}}} - \frac{A_{-}}{B_{-}\sqrt{B_{-}^{2} + A_{-}^{2}}} \right\} + \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos(2k+1)\phi}{s\cos\Lambda\cos\phi - y} d\phi + \frac{1}{B_{+}} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{(s\cos\phi - A_{+})\cos(2k+1)\phi}{\sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + (s\cos\phi - A_{+})^{2}}} d\phi$$

$$\pi/8$$

+
$$B_{+} \cos \Lambda \int \frac{\cos (2ix+1) \phi d\phi}{(s \cos \Lambda \cos \phi - y) \sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + (s \cos \phi - A_{+})^{2}}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(1 \cos A \cos A - A_{+}) \cos (2k+1) \oint d\phi}{(s \cos A \cos \phi - y) \sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + (s \cos \phi - A_{+})^{2}}}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos (2k+1) \oint}{s \cos A \cos \phi - y} d\phi + \frac{1}{B_{-}} \int_{\pi/B_{-}}^{\pi} \frac{(s \cos \phi - A_{-}) \cos (2k+1) \oint}{\sqrt{B_{-}^{2} + (s \cos \phi - A_{-})^{2}}}$$

$$\pi/B$$

.

MACA Technigal Bote No. 834 π <u>cos (2k+1) Ø dø</u> $(\mathbf{z} \cos \mathbf{A} \cos \phi - \mathbf{y}) \sqrt{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{v}} + (\mathbf{s} \cos \phi - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}})^{\mathbf{v}}}$ π/8

Ł

+ sin
$$\Lambda \int \frac{(s \cos \phi - A_{-}) \cos (2k+1) \phi d\phi}{(s \cos \Lambda \cos \phi - y) \sqrt{B_{-}^2 + (s \cos \phi - A_{-})^2}}$$
 (11)

The first and the fifth integrals are integrable by means

$$\int_{0}^{\pi''} \frac{\cos n \phi}{\cos \vartheta - \cos \psi} = \frac{\pi \sin n \psi}{\sin \psi}$$
(12)

The singularities in the integrands of the third, the fourth, the seventh, and the eighth integrals can be removed by the identity

$$\frac{\cos n \phi}{\left(\cos \phi - \frac{\eta}{s}\right) / 1 + \left[\frac{s}{B_{+}} \left(\cos \phi - \frac{A_{+}}{s}\right)\right]^{2}} = \frac{B_{+}}{\sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + (A_{+} - \eta)^{2}}} \left[\frac{\cos n \phi}{\cos \phi - \frac{\eta}{s}}\right]^{2}$$
$$- \left(\frac{s}{B_{+}}\right)^{2} \cos n \phi \left(\cos \phi + \frac{\eta}{s} - 2\frac{A_{+}}{s}\right)$$

$$\sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{8}{B_{+}}\left(\cos \beta - \frac{A_{+}}{8}\right)\right]^{2} \left\{\frac{1}{B_{+}}\sqrt{B_{+}^{2} + \left(A_{+} - \eta\right)^{2}} + \sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{8}{B_{+}}\left(\cos \beta - \frac{A_{+}}{8}\right)\right]^{2}}\right\}}$$

together with the elementary integration (13)

together with the elementary integration

$$X_{n} = \int \frac{\cos n\phi \, d\phi}{\cos \phi - \cos \psi}$$
(14)

EXACA Technical Hote No. 834 The limits $\int_{T/2}^{T} can be changed to <math>\int_{0}^{T/2} by$ the substitution $f = \pi - \phi$. With these changes and the expression of all distances in terms of the root chord c_0 , equation (11) for the downwash at P becomes $\overline{V \sin a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) a_{2k+1} \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \left(\frac{A_1}{B_1\sqrt{A_1^2+B_1^2}} - \frac{A_1}{B_1\sqrt{A_1^2+B_1^2}} \right) \\ + \frac{\pi}{s \cos \Lambda} \frac{\sin (2k+1)\psi}{\sin \psi} + \frac{s}{B_1^2} \int_{0}^{T/2} \frac{\cos (2k+1)\phi \cos \phi}{F} d\phi \end{cases}$ $= \frac{1}{B_1} \left(\frac{A_1}{B_1} + \tan \Lambda \right) \int_{0}^{T/2} \frac{\cos (2k+1)\phi}{F} d\phi + \frac{1}{sC} \left(1 + \frac{(A_1-\eta)}{B_1} \tan \Lambda \right)$ $\left[\sum_{k=0}^{T/2} \frac{\pi/2}{B_1} \int_{0}^{T/2} \frac{\cos (2k+1)\phi}{F} \left(\cos \phi - \frac{\pi}{B_1} - 2\frac{\delta}{B_1} \right) d\phi \right]$

$$= \frac{1}{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2k+1} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\frac{\cos \phi \cos (2k+1) \phi}{G}} d\phi$$

$$+ \frac{e}{E_{-}} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos \phi \cos (2k+1) \phi}{G} d\phi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{B_{-}} \left(\frac{A_{-}}{B_{-}} - \tan \Lambda \right) \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos (2k+1) \phi}{G} d\phi$$

$$\frac{1}{s}\left(1-\frac{(A_{-}-\eta)\tan\Lambda}{B_{-}}\right)\int_{0}^{\pi/a}\frac{\cos\left(2k+1\right)\phi}{\left(\cos\phi+\frac{\eta}{e}\right)^{2}}d\phi\right\}$$
(15)

whare

10 MACA Technical Note Nc. 834 $\mathbf{F} = \sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}_{+}} \left(\cos \phi - \frac{\mathbf{A}_{+}}{\mathbf{B}}\right)\right]^{2}}$ $G = \sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{8}{3} \left(\cos \phi + \frac{A_{m}}{8}\right)\right]^{2}}$ $= \frac{1}{B_+} \sqrt{B_+^2 + \delta^2}$ (16) A₊ - η <u>n</u> C08 and where K_{1 =} I, = 4I₃ - 3I₁ K3 161₅ - 201₃ + 51₁ K_R (17) $= 64I_7 - 112I_5 + 56I_3 - 7I_1$ r., etc. $= \frac{1}{\sin \psi} \log_{\Theta} \frac{1 + \sin \psi}{\cos \psi}$ I, $= \frac{\pi}{2} + \cos \psi I_0$ I, $1 + \cos \psi I_1$ Ia z $\frac{\pi}{4} + \cos \psi I_3$ I3 $= \frac{2}{3} + \cos \psi I_3$ I4 (18) $= \frac{3\pi}{16} + \cos \psi I_4$ I 5 $I_{s} = \frac{8}{15} + \cos \psi I_{s}$ $I_7 = \frac{5\pi}{32} + \cos \psi I_8$ etc.

NACA Technical Note No. 834

The integrals in equation (15) can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions but the formulas are too long for practical svaluation. The integrals were therefors evaluated numerically by Simpson's rule and the downwash was thus computed as a linear function of a_1, a_3, a_5 , and a_7 at four points on the throo-quarter-chord lins. These points were located at $\psi = \cos^{-1} \frac{11}{11} = 20^\circ$, 40° , 60° , and 80° corresponding to $\frac{11}{5} = 0.940$, 0.766, 0.500, and 0.174. The condition that the resultant flow be along the flat plate at those locations (and the symmetrically situated spanwise positions) was fulfilled by sotting $w = V \sin \alpha$ in squation (15). Four simultaneous equations which by squation (10) gave the spanwise distributions of circulation. The convergence of the Fourier series thus obtained for the circulation Γ was rapid. (Sso table I.)

RESULTS

Span load distributions were calculated for constantchord and 5:1 tapersd plan forms with 30° angle of sweepback and for constant chord plan form with 45° angle of sweepback. The aspect ratios calculated were 3, 6, and 9.

The Fourier series coefficients for the circulation, the spanwise locations of the center of pressure, and the induced-drag coefficients are given in table I. The contor of pressure of the span load distribution is given by

<u> </u>	$\int_{0}^{s} \sigma \rho \nabla \Gamma \cos \Lambda d\sigma$	$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \cos \phi \sin \phi \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{2k+1} \sin (2k+1) \phi d\phi$	
= 8	s ./ ρVΓcosΛ dσ o	$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{2k+1} \sin (2k+1) \phi d\phi$	

 $= \frac{4}{3\pi} \left(1 + \frac{3}{5} \frac{a_3}{a_1} - \frac{1}{7} \frac{a_5}{a_1} + \frac{1}{15} \frac{a_7}{a_1} + \ldots \right)$ (19)

The induced drag was obtained by projecting the lift-

12

HACA Technical Note No. 834

ing elements into one line perpendicular to the free-stream direction (Munk's stagger theorem, reference 2) and obtaining the induced drag of the resulting lifting-line distribution. Thus, for the distribution

$$\Gamma = 4\pi \nabla o_0 \sin \alpha \Sigma a_{2k+1} \sin (2k+1) \phi$$

regarded as a lifting-line distribution, the induced drag is

$$D_{1} = \int_{\Sigma}^{\infty} \frac{v}{\nabla} \frac{1}{\cos \Lambda} dy$$

$$\pi/a \int_{\Sigma}^{\infty} (2k+1) a_{2k+1} \sin(2k+1) \phi \sum_{\Sigma}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} \sin(2n+1) \phi d\phi$$

With the relation between C_L and a_1 derived in equation (21)

$$\frac{CD_1}{C_L^2} = \frac{1}{\pi A} \frac{\Sigma}{o} (2k+1) \left(\frac{a_{2k+1}}{a_1}\right)$$
(20)

which holds for both the constant-chord and the tapered plan forus.

The total lift forces are obtained from a_1 as follows:

$$C_{L} = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla^{2} S} = \frac{\rho \nabla \cos \Lambda f}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla^{2} S} = 2\pi^{2} \alpha a_{1}$$

s

٢

(21)

¥)

5:1 taper:

=6π⁸ ρ∇⁸ c_o⁸

ά

$$C_{L} = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla^{2} b \frac{c_{0}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}\right) \cos \Lambda} = \frac{10}{3} \pi^{2} \alpha a_{1}$$

The variation of the total lift forces with aspect ratio is given in figure 2. The curve for zero angle of sweepback is practically identical with that given by Wieghardt (reforence 1, fig. 4) but is extended to as aspect ratio of 9.

. . .

NACA Technical Hote No. 834

Included for comparison are the curves for the corresponding unswept-back plan forms obtained from lifting-line theory, a curve due to Krienes (reference 3) for an elliptical flat plate calculated on the basis of the acceleration potential, and a result from experimental work (reference 4) for a 2:1 tapered wing of 30° sweepback. There is a surprisingly large difference between the total lift forces predicted by the ordinary lifting-line theory and these predicted by the modified theory used in this report. The experiments of winter (reference 5, fig. 36) on rectangular flat plates favor the medified theory.

For a low aspoct ratio and an arbitrary plan form, equation (24) for the limiting span load distribution (presented later in this paper) yields

$$\lim_{A \to 0} \frac{C_{\rm L}}{2\pi a} = \frac{A}{4}$$
(22a)

while for large aspect ratio and arbitrary tapor, equation (1) gives

$$\underbrace{\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{C_L}{2\pi \alpha}}_{\text{Cos}\Lambda} = \cos\Lambda \qquad (22b)$$

The reduction of lift by $\cos \Lambda$, indicated by the precoding expression, may be regarded as a first approximation to the lower lift force of a swept-back wing. Figure 2 indicates that the approximation becomes less valid for lower aspect ratios, higher angles of sweepback, and higher amounts of taper.

A comparison of the curves for the constant-chord, 30° and 45° sweepback plan forms, and the rectangular plan form (by Wieghardt's method) shows that the total liftcurve slopes are reduced by about 7 and 19 percent for the 30° and the 45° cases, respectively, at an aspect ratio of 6. If the total lift of the rectangular plan form obtained by lifting-line theory is used as a basis of comparison, the corresponding total lift reductions are 14 and 25 percent.

Figure 2 also shows that the roduction in lift of the swopt-back tapored plan form is much less than that of the swopt-back constant-chord plan form.

The span load distributions are shown in figure 3. The ordinates are given by

「「ないという」とうと

SALAN SALAN SALAN

13

FACA Technical Note No. 834

$$\frac{l b}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla^{a} S C_{L}} = \frac{\Gamma}{\pi^{a} \nabla c_{0} \alpha a_{1}}$$
(23)

It can be shown that, as the aspect ratio approaches zero, equation (11) yields, for an arbitrary plan form,

$$\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \frac{l_b}{l_p \nabla^{\mathsf{H}} \mathsf{SC}_{\mathsf{L}}} = \frac{4}{\pi} \sin \phi \qquad (24)$$

as a limiting form.

14

•

. . . .

Figure 3 shows that the wings with the swept-back constant-chord plan forms have higher tip loadings than the corresponding unswept-back wings. This result implies greater tip-stalling ton/enclos for swept-back wings. Furthermore, the root sections tend, with higher aspect ratios, to be less loaded than the sections halfway between root and tip. That this result must be valid is evident from the fact that a yaved, infinite-aspect-ratio, constantchord airfeil has a uniform span load distribution. If onehalf of the airfeil is bent back to form a swept-back wing and if the bound vortex strength remains the same, points near the root section, which originally had the proper downwash to conform to the slope of the surface, would now have too much downwash; hence the bound vorticity must be reduced at and near the root section.

Figure 3(a) indicates that lifting-line theory prediots higher tip loading than is given by the modified theory used herein. Now, the trailing vortex system induces loss downwash at the guarter-chord line than at the three-quarter-chord line. Since lifting-line theory doducts the downwash angle at the quarter-chord line from the geometric angle of attack, the lift near the tips therefore appears higher than that given by the modified theory.

The spanwise location of the beginning of the stall on a swept-back wing and the corresponding angle of attack are, however, primarily dependent on the spanwise flow of the boundary layer on the suction surface. This spanwise flow is due to the surface pressure distribution. On a swept-back wing the surface pressure gradients sweep the slower noving air of the boundary layer toward the tip. Some tuft observations on constant-chord swept-back and ewept-forward wings indicated that, in addition, the tip

MACA Technical Note No. 834

1000

vertex, which was coming off the top of the wing, provided an added local inducement for the boundary layer to sweep toward the tip. Hear the tip, the thicker boundary layer (before it has been excessively influenced or removed by the favorable pressure gradient of the tip vortex) will therefore stall the wing first in that region. On a sweptforward wing the surface pressure gradients sweep the boundary layer toward the center but near the tip vortex the flow is still outward because the tip vortex comes off the top of the wing.

The span loadings of the tapered plan forms de not differ greatly either in magnitude or distribution from those that lifting-line theory predicts for the corresponding unswept-back wings. The total lift forces on the tapered plan forms being higher than on the constant-chord plan forms, stalling should occur at a lower angle of attack for a tapered wing than for the corresponding constantchord wing. If two-dimensional chordwise load distribution on each spanwise location of both the tapered and the constant-chord plan forms is assumed, geometry indicates smaller spanwise pressure gradients on the tapered plan form.

CONCLUSIONS

1. A swept-back wing has a lower total lift force and a higher tip loading than the corresponding unswept-back wing of the same plan form and aspect ratio.

2. The changes, below the stall, caused by swesping back a wing are much less pronounced for tapered plan forms than for constant-chord plan forms.

3. A swept-back wing tends to stall first at the tipe and at a lower angle of attack than the corresponding unswept-back wing.

Langley Mamorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Asronautics, Langley Field, Va., September 16, 1941. 15

4

.

Ì.

AND THE REPORT OF A CONTRACT O

1., Vieghardt, Xarli, Chordwise Load Distribution of a Simple Roctangular Wing. T.M. No. 963, MACA, 1940.

2. Munk, Max M.: The Minimum Induced Drag of Acrofoils. Rop. No. 131, MACA, 1921. •.•.

3. Krienes, Klaus: The Elliptic Wing Based on the Poten-tial Theory, T.M. No. 971, NACA, 1941.

: 4. Andorson, Raymond F.: Dotermination of the Character-istics of Tapered Wings. Rop. No. 572, NACA, 1936.

5. Winter, H.: Flow Phenomena on Plates and Airfeils of Short Span. T.M. No. 798, BACA, 1936. • •

· · • ...

literative model and a second seco second sec

te de transfer et d. Statut et en te de la composition .

• · : . . **n**: .

a and the second se a second seco a second s

- -

HAOA T.H.854

	·		Т	T.				_		
		k + 1) ø	•	0.00	1000	1000-	8000 8000	0000 -	- 0001	000
		2) uis [+3]2	9 9	-0.0012	9200	4100	4900	-0016	1000	8200
Ī	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	79	4 0	0.0096	-0359	0299	00404	0062	-0048 -0148	-0246
	Г н 4 м%	.	-	0.1505 -1966	01120	1719	9601.	-1382	.2119 .2119	
	ð	1° 5	0.1075	.0558	-1091	9050	.1069	0360	-1065 -0538 -0365	- . .
	þ	•	0.4411	- 4578 - 4640	.4500	.4819	4130	• 4092 • 4326	4420	†
L	۲		8 00	0	100	 Do (0.00	-
	-	3	88	8 :	994 994		388	00		
	Taper	T	111		122	511	5:1		=	

TANK I

POURIER LIFT COEFFICIENTS, INUCED IRAG, AND CENTER OF F

1

Table 1

1

¥Ì

• • • •











Claustic Rep cancelled



Classification cancelled per authority of Llot MACA dd. 28 Sept 1945 Surge R. Jordun, USCO. 29 Apr 1949

ରାଗ୍ଧ (ମନ୍ଦ୍ର କ)	1	D0	man	0		A11-5	612	
Mutterperl, W.	DIVISION: Aerody SECTION: Wings CROSS REFERENCES (08214); Wings	namics (2 and Airfo Airfoils - Stelli	ils'(6) - Span ing char	mise l acteri	oad di stics	stribution TN-83 (99179)	ACY NUM	
AUTHOR(S)	L							
AMER. TITLE: The calc	ulation of span	load dis	tributi	ons on	swept	-back wings		
	•	•			•			
FORG'N. TITLE:								
ORCINATING ACENCY								
TRANSLATING AGENUT:	Netional Advis	ory Comui	ttee fo	r Aero	nautic	s, Washington, D. C.		
TRANSLATION:								
ILS Eng	RICAUNCIASS	estr.	Dec 161	20	1.	table diagr graph		
I IAIQ.			DOVERAC			ducies, didat, graph		
Hethod used was to replace wing with a bound vortex at quarter chord line and to								
celculate dommas	h caused by sys	ten of bo	und and	trail	ing vo	rtices to conform at		
threa-quarter-cho	rd line to slop	e of flat	-plate	wing s	urface	 Results are given 	for	
constant-chord an	d 5:1 tapered p	lan forms	for sw	ept-ba	ck ang	les of 0°, 30°, and	45°	
and for aspect ra	tios of 3, 6, a	nd 9. 50	me comp	ents o	n stal	ling of swept-back w	ings are	
included. Swept-	back wing will	Stall lir	statt	1b2 90	dati	lower angle of attack	than a	
COPPESTOOD(1) 02 1105	Wept Wing.			at he			abinator	
NOTE. Beque	ca for copies o	1 0012 10	jore mu	30 46	aum ca	1380 CO. N.R.J.A., 112	SHITIGCON	
NOTE: Reques								
NOTE: Reques		8 0	- `~		_			