UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB805337

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; JUL 1947. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

AUTHORITY

NASA TR Server website

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

Reproduction Quality Notice

This document is part of the Air Technical Index [ATI] collection. The ATI collection is over 50 years old and was imaged from roll film. The collection has deteriorated over time and is in poor condition. DTIC has reproduced the best available copy utilizing the most current imaging technology. ATI documents that are partially legible have been included in the DTIC collection due to their historical value.

If you are dissatisfied with this document, please feel free to contact our Directorate of User Services at [703] 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068.

Do Not Return This Document To DTIC

Reproduced by AIR DOCUMENTS DIVISION

HEADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND

WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO



IS ABSOLVED

FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY

ENSUE FROM THE CONTRACTORS IN -

FRINGING ON THE FOREIGN PATENT

RIGHTS WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.

HEADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND

WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO



UNCLASSIFIED

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

D52.338/ 822

TECHNICAL NOTE ATT No. 8675

No. 1371

CONSIDERATIONS OF THE TOTAL DRAG OF

SUPERSONIC AIRFOIL SECTIONS

By H. Reese Ivey and E. Bernard Klunker

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va. TS DIVISION, T-2

RC330 F86



Washington July 1947 COPY

RETURN TO Document Branch - TSRWF-6 Wright Field Reference Library Section Air Documents Division - Intelligence (T-2) Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio

NATIONAL ADVISORY CONSTITUE FOR AEROBAUTICS

TECHNICAL HOTE NO. 1371

CONSIDERATIONS OF THE TOTAL DRAG OF

SUPERSONIC AIRFOIL SECTIONS

By H. Roose Ivey and E. Bernard Klunker

SUMMARY

The results of calculations of the viscous and pressure drags of some two-dimensional supersonic airfoils at zero lift are presented. The results indicate that inclusion of viscous drag alters many previous results regarding the desirability of certain airfoil shapes for securing low drags at supersonic speeds. At certain Reynolds and Mach numbers, for instance, a circular-are airfoil may theoretically have less drag than the previously advocated symmetrical wedge-shape profile; although under different conditions, the circular-are airfoil may have the higher drag.

Drag calculations for 5-percent-thick symmetrical circular-arc and double-wedge airfoils are presented for Mach numbers of 1.35 and 1.6 and Reynolds numbers from 10^5 to 10^8 . Unseparated flows are considered and approximate corrections for boundary-layer and shockwave interaction are applied only to the momentum thickness at the trailing-edge shock wave. The theory of viscous supersonic flows will have to be extended before a more exact analysis of the drag is possible.

INTRODUCTION

Recent experiments indicate that airfoil shapes heretofore considered good for supersonic speeds may in fact be inferior to profiles having higher pressure drags. In order to understand better the behavior of airfoils at supersonic speeds, it is desirable to eliminate and explain apparent contradictions between experiment and theory. The drag of thin airfoils may be considered as the sum of the pressure and viscous drags. Although a great deal of work has been done on the calculation of the pressure drag, little theoretical work has been attempted on the calculation of the viscous drag. The purpose of this paper is to consider the separate effects of viscous and pressure drag on the total drag for two thin airfoil sections.

INCA 18 No. 1371

The pressure diag as determined by the approximate linearised relations is a minimum for a symmetrical wedge-shape airfoil of a given thickness ratio with maximum thickness located at the 50-percent chord (reference 1). The more exact methods of references 2 and 3 show that other locations of the maximum thickness for the symmetrical wedge-shape profile have lower pressure drag. On all these flat-side profiles, however, the velocity gradient is zero over the flat leading and trailing sections of the airfoils and, hence, boundary-layer transition and viscous drag may be somewhat similar to that of a flat plate. Viscous drags lower then those usually obtained for a flat plate can be obtained by using a falling-pressure gradient to increase the extent of the Laminar flow. It appears possible then that a curved airfoil, such as a double circular arc, which has a favorable pressure gradient may also have more laminar flow then a flat-side airfoil and therefore less viscous dreg over a certain range of Mach and Raynolds numbers. Although the theoretical pressure drag of the circular-arc profile is higher then that of the symmetrical wedge shapes, the total drug (viscous and pressure) of the circular are might possibly be the lower for certain conditions.

and the second secon

In order to demonstrate the effects of viscous and pressure drag on the total drag, calculations were made for two, 6-percent-thick airfoil shapes - a double circular arc and a symmetrical wedge with maximum thickness at the 50-percent chort. The calculations were made for Mach numbers of 1.35 and 1.6 and covered a range of Reynolds numbers from 10^5 to 10^5 .

The present paper is intended to serve only as a preliminary study of the total drag of a two-dimensional airfoil and neglects many factors. A more complete analysis would require consideration of the effects of separation, interaction between the boundary layer and the shock waves, and angle of attack. Existing theories need considerable development before all these factors can be included.

TURCERSTON

The dreg of a two-dimensional airfoil at zero lift at supersonic speeds is assumed to be the sum of the pressure drag and the drag due to viscosity. The pressure distribution is calculated by assuming the absence of the boundary layer, and the shock drag is then readily determined from the pressure distribution. The boundary-layer momentum thickness corresponding to this pressure distribution is calculated, and the viscous drag is then determined from the momentum thickness at the trailing edge of the airfoil. .

• .

ener an a

Service and the second

۰. ·• -7j

.

.

. سوره مستعينون العدر

֥

.

State of the second

-

بالمشير الم

MACA 11 No. 1371

مان المراجع ال

The method of reference 4 was used to determine the shock drag as well as the local values of Mach number, velocity, density, and other conditions along the airfoil. This method requires the existence of attached shock waves and is therefore restricted to sharp-nose airfoils. In the absence of a boundary layer and flow separation the calculations are accurate for the wedge airfoil and are a close approximation for the circular-arc airfoil. In a more complete analysis the pressure distribution should be adjusted for changes in the flow pattern caused by boundary-layer thickness and by suddem changes in slope of the boundary-layer displacement thickness due to transition or separation.

The calculated pressure distribution is used to compute the boundary-layer momentum thickness along the airfoil by the method of reference 5. Reference 5 assumes the following: The skinfriction coefficient is independent of Mach number and pressure gradient; a fixed velocity profile independent of pressure gradient may be used; the Prandtl number is 1; and no heat conduction occurs. Both laminar and turbulent boundary layers may be computed approximately by this method with the use of the appropriate constants given in the reference. For the present calculations, transition from laminar to turbulent flow was considered to occur suddenly. Therefore, in order to compute the momentum thickness along the surface of the airfoil, parameters corresponding to laminar flow were used from the leading edge to the point of transition, and parameters corresponding to turbulent flow were used in the equations from the point of transition back to the trailing edge.

Transition from laminar to turbulent flow is dependent on such factors as Mach number, Reynolds number, pressure gradient, stream turbulence, and surface roughness. Determination of transition for a given body and flow conditions is therefore difficult. Lees (reference 6) and Schlichting (reference 7) have investigated the effect of Mach number and velocity gredient, respectively, on the stability of the laminar boundary layer by assuming vanishingly small disturbances. In the absence of a stability theory which accounts for both velocity gradients and compressibility, it was necessary to combine the work of these references. The boundary-layer thickness for neutral stability was considered to be the value for a flat plate in incompressible flow multiplied by factors to correct for Mach number and pressure gradient. The criterion used to estimate transition in the present investigation was consideration of the neutral stability of the Laminar boundary layer. The notation N = 1 is used to denote transition occurring when the boundary-layer momentum thickness reaches the value for neutral stability. If the airfoil is well faired and in a stream of low initial turbulence, transition need not

MACA TN No. 1371

occur until the boundary layer is thicker. For example, the transition curve N = 2 corresponds to transition at a point where the boundarylayer momentum thickness is twice that for neutral stability.

Before a more extended treatment is possible, the stability theory must be developed to include the combined effects of compressibility and pressure gradient. A more complete analysis will require an investigation to determine the existence of transition regions, or shock waves (and hence changes in the pressure distribution) due to transition or separation. In the present paper values of the momentum thickness of the laminar boundary layer were calculated along the surface of the airfoil and compared with the corresponding values of momentum thickness for neutral stability. The intersection of the curves through these points gives a possible point of instability for a given Mach number and Reynolds number. The stability criterions indicate that the critical part of the boundary layer from consideration of possible transition is well forward on the curved airfoil.

The momentum thickness increases in passing through the trailing shock. Approximate corrections for this effect have been supplied to the authors by Mr. Neal Tetervin of the Physical Research Division. The assumptions are that the boundary-layer momentum equation applies and that the length over which the pressure rise takes place on the surface is so short that the skin friction can be neglected. The correction becomes:



where θ is the momentum thickness, V is the velocity tangential to the boundary layer, $H_{C_{av}}$ is the average value for compressible.

flow of the ratio of displacement thickness to momentum thickness across the shock, and ρ is the density at the edge of the boundary layer. The subscripts 1 and 2 refer to conditions before and after the shock respectively.

In the actual case, the interaction of the shock wave and boundary layer results in an increase in the momentum thickness, an increase in the displacement thickness, and a change in the velocity profile and may cause flow separation. The effect of separation is to reduce the pressure drag and increase the viscous drag. At low Reynolds numbers there may be considerable separation resulting in a total drag less than the theoretical shock drag (reference 8). The method used was chosen for lack of a more exact method.

MACA TH No. 1371

· PERSENTATION OF FIGURES

Figure 1(a) gives the viscous-drag coefficient of a flat plate as a function of Reynolds number based on chord. The transition curves for a Mach number Mo of 1.35 are numbered according to the ratio of boundary-layer momentum thickness at transition to the bountary-layer thickness for neutral stability (N = 2 to 10). Figures 1(b) and 1(c) give the corresponding curves of viscous drag at $M_0 = 1.35$ for a 6-percent-thick circular-arc airfoil and 6-percentthick double-wedge airfoil, respectively. The transition occurs at a very much higher Reynolds number for the curved airfoil than for the flat plate or wedge airfoil. As the Reynolds maber is increased, an abrupt rice in the drag for the circular-arc airfoil is noticed. The reason for the sudden drag rice is that the ratio of local boundary-layer thickness to the thickness for local neutral stability (as given by Schlichting's theory) reaches a maximum well forward on this airfoil so that the critical part of the airfoil (as regards stability or transition) is also well forward. A complete wing would not necessarily experience a sharp drag rise as the Reynolds mamber is increased since transition may occur at different Reynolds numbers over different sections. Forts of the transition curves for the wedge are deshed to indicate possible theoretical errors introduced by the sudden expansion at the midchord. The actual curves may be somewhat to the left of the dashed curves (closer to flat-plate conditions).

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) give the viscous-drag coefficient at $M_0 = 1.6$ for the 6-percent-thick circular-arc and wedge airfoils, respectively. These curves show again that transition occurs at a much higher Raynolds number on the circular arc than on the wedge; however, transition on both types of airfoil occurs at a lower Reynolds number at $M_0 = 1.6$ than at $M_0 = 1.35$. (See fig. 1.)

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) compare the viscous-drag coefficients of the airfoils at $M_0 = 1.35$ and at $M_0 = 1.6$, respectively, for a constant transition number N = 5. These figures indicate that the circular-arc airfoil may have a much lower viscous-drag coefficient than the wedge over a certain range of Reynolds numbers.

The pressure-drag coefficients are determined to be as follows (from reference 4):

5

MACA TN No. 1371

Airfoil	Pressure-drag coefficient			
(6 percent thick)	M ₀ = 1.35	$M_0 = 1.60$		
Circular arc Double wedge	0.0218 .0160	0.0158		

When these pressure-drag coefficients are added to the viscousdrag coefficients of figures 3(a) and 3(b) (or in general to figs. 1 and 2), curves are obtained for the total-drag coefficients. See figures 4(a) and 4(b). For N = 5 the circular-arc airfoil has at least elightly more drag than the wedge for all Reynolds numbers investigated at $M_0 = 1.35$; however, the analysis shows that the wedge may have the more drag over a certain Reynolds number range at $M_0 = 1.6$. The actual comparison obtained depends on the value

of N used for the analysis. Since N is a function of many parameters, such as surface finish and stream turbulence, it is difficult to assign N a proper value for a given profile. Some information concerning the effect of stream turbulence on transition at low speeds is given in reference 9.

This paper demonstrates the need for including both Mach number and Reynolds number, as well as such factors as stream turbulence and surface finish where possible, in papers of experimental work since these factors may influence the interpretation of the data for full-scale application.

It must be kept in mind that the present paper has compared the drags of two airfoil shapes of the <u>same thickness ratio</u>. A comparison of airfoils giving the <u>same structural strength</u> or <u>stiffness</u> would be more favorable to the curved airfoil.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

An analysis has been presented which serves as a preliminary study of the total (viscous and pressure) drag of supersonic airfoil sections at zero lift. Within the limitations of the present paper certain conclusions have been drawn:

The relative pressure drags of airfoils at supersonic speeds is in general different from the relative total drags even at zero lift. The airfoil shape for minimum drag varies with Reynolds number, Mach

NACA TN No. 1371

number, turbulence, surface finish, and other factors, and is not necessarily the shape that would give minimum theoretical pressure drag.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Langley Field, Va., May 9, 1947

REFER NCES

- Taylor, G. I.: Applications to Aeronautics of Ackeret's Theory of Aerofoils Moving at Speeds Greater Than That of Sound. R. & M. No. 1467, British A.R.C., 1932.
- Busemann, A.: Aerodynamic Lift at Supersonic Speeds. 2844, Ae. Techl. 1201, British A.R.C., Feb. 3, 1937. (From Luftfahrtforschung, Bd. 12, Nr. 5, Oct. 3, 1935, pp. 210-220.)
- Ivey, H. Reese: Notes on the Theoretical Characteristics of Two-Dimensional Supersonic Airfoils. NACA TW No. 1179, 1947.
- 4. Ivey, H. Reese, Stickle, George W., and Schuettler, Alberta: Charts for Determining the Characteristics of Sharp-Nose Airfoils in Two-Dimensional Flow at Supersonic Speeds. NACA TW No. 1143, 1947.
- 5. Tetervin, Neal: Approximate Formulas for the Computation of Turbulent Boundary-Layor Momentum Thicknesses in Compressible Flows. NACA ACR No. L5A22, 1946.
- Lees, Loster: The Stability of the Laminar Boundary Layer in a Compressible Fluid. NACA TN No. 1350, 1947.
- 7. Schlichting, H.: Der Umschlag laminar/turbulent und das Widerstandsproblen des Tragflügels. Forschungsbericht Nr. 1410, Deutsche Luftfahrtforschung (Braunschweig), 1941.
- 8. Ferri, Antonio: Experimental Results with Airfoils Tested in the High-Speed Tunnel at Guidonia. NACA TM No. 946, 1940.
- 9. Schubauer, G. B., and Skramstad, H. K.: Laminar-Boundary-Layer Oscillations and Transition on a Flat Plate. NACA ACR, April 1943.







NACA TN No. 1371



1010 1 10210











NACA TN No. 1371



in 1-



R

TITI S. Concide	motions of the	Total Drag	of Dunovnovia	Ainfail S	antiana		ATI- 8675
THE Considerations of the Total Drag of Supersonic Airfold Sections					REVISION (None)		
AUTHOR(S): In ORIGINATING	AGENCY: Na	; Klunker, E tional Adviso	. Bernard ry Committee	for Aero	mautics, Was	, hington, D,	C. C
PUBLISHED BY: (Same)					PUBLISHING AGENCY NO. (Same)		
Juiy '47	Unclass.	COUNTRY U.S.	Eng.	PAGES 16	graphs		3
of viscou securing	s drag aiters low drags at	many previo supersonic s	us resuits reg peeds. At cer	arding de tain Rey	esirability of noids and Ma	certain air: ch Numbers	foii shapes for , a circular-arc
of viscou securing airfoli m profile. superson	s drag aiters low drags at ay have theor Under differe ic flow must i	many previo supersonic s etically less nt conditions be extended b	us resuits reg peeds. At cer drag than the , circular-arc æfore more er	arding de tain Reyn previous profiie n act drag	esirability of noids and Ma ly advocated nay have hig anaiysis is j	certain air: ch Numbers symmetrica her drag. T possible.	foil shapes for , a circular-arc al wedge-shape Theory of viscous
of viscou securing airfoii m profile. superson	s drag aiters low drags at ay have theor Under differe ic flow must Request copi	many previo supersonic s etically less nt conditions be extended b es of this rep	us resuits reg peeds. At cer drag than the , circular-arc pefore more er port only from	arding de tain Rey previous profiie act drag Original	esirability of noids and Ma ly advocated may have hig anaiysis is ing Agency	certain air: ch Numbers symmetrica her drag. T possible.	foil shapes for , a circular-arc al wedge-shape Cheory of viscous
of viscou securing airfoit m profile. superson	s drag aiters low drags at ay have theor Under differe ic fiow must Request copi odynamics (2)	many previo supersonic s etically less nt conditions be extended b es of this rep	us resuits reg peeds. At cer drag than the , circular-arc pefore more er port only from SUB	original Driginal Driginal	esirability of noids and Ma ly advocated may have hig anaiysis is p anaiysis is p ding Agency DINGS:	certain air: ch Numbers symmetrica her drag. T possible.	foil shapes for , a circular-arc al wedge-shape Cheory of viscous
of viscou securing airfoli m profile, superson DISTRIBUTION: DIVISION:Aero SECTION: win ATI SHEET NC	s drag alters low drags at y have theor Under differe ic flow must Request copi odynamics (2) gs and Airfol), R-2-6-94	many previous supersonic s etically less nt conditions be extended b es of this rep ls (6)	us results reg peeds. At cer drag than the , circular-arc wefore more er port only from SUB Air	arding de tain Reyn previous profite a act drag <u>Original</u> JECT HEA folls - D	ssirability of hoids and Ma y advocated may have hig analysis is p analysis is p ding Agency DINGS: esign (08070)	certain air: ch Numbers symmetrlca her drag. T possible. ; Airfoils -	foil shapes for , a circular arc all wedge-shape Theory of viscous - Drag (98200)

TITLE: Considerations of the Total Drag of Supersonic Airfoii Sections AUTHOR(S): Ivey, H. Reese; Klunker, E. Bernard ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C. PUBLISHED BY: (Same)							АТІ- 8675 вгузком (None) оно. Асенст но. ТN-1371 гудізніко Асенст но. (Same)
Tuly 147	DOC. CASS.	COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	PAGES	aranbe		
ARSTRACT	Unciass.	0.5.	DIR,	1 10	Brahits		
Drag analysis of the supersonic air-foil sections is presented. The results indicate that inclusion of viscous drag alters many previous results regarding desirability of certain airfoil shapes for securing low drags at supersonic speeds. At certain Reynolds and Mach Numbers, a circular-arc airfoil may have theoretically iess drag than the previously advocated symmetrical wedge-shape profile. Under different conditions, circular-arc profile may have higher drag. Theory of viscous supersonic flow must be extended before more exact drag analysis is possible. WSuppen Spanning Dragy Airford Arc							
DISTRIBUTION:	Request cop	ies of this re	port only from	Orlginat	ng Agency	2,	40 400
DIVISION: Aero SECTION: Win	odynamics (2 gs and Airfoi)_ ils (6)	SUB. Air:	IECT HEA	DINGS: slgn (08070);	Airfoiis - Dr	ag (0 8200)
ATI SHEET NO Air Documents Air).: R-2-6-94 Division, Intellig Material Comma	ence Department ind	AD	-8	805	33	7