## UNCLASSIFIED

## AD NUMBER

### ADB805189

## LIMITATION CHANGES

## TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

## FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; DEC 1941. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

## AUTHORITY

NASA TR Server website

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

MR Dec. 1941

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

16 Ver

# WARININE REDOBE'N

ORIGINALLY ISSUED December 1941 as Memorandum Report

#### PRELIMINARY DRAG TESTS IN FLIGHT OF LOW-DRAG

WING ON THE CURTISS XP-60 AIRPLANE

By Eastman N. Jacobs

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.



#### **WASHINGTON**

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.



MATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

#### MEMORANDUM REPORT

for the

#### Materiel Division, Army Air Corps

#### PRELIMINARY DRAG TESTS IN FLIGHT OF LOW-DRAG

#### WING ON THE CURTISS XP-60 AIRPLANE

By Eastman N. Jacobs

#### INTRODUCTION

The following preliminary drag results obtained in flight on the lowdrag wing of the Curtiss XP-60 airplane are given herewith in compliance with the request of the Army Air Corps.

#### TESTS

A representative section of the wing was chosen approximately 10 inches outboard of the inner end of the aileron. The section therefore included typical disturbances on the wing due to the aileron and to an ammunition door which extended over that part of the upper surface of the wing.

A rake of total head tubes on 0.3-inch centers was mounted behind the wing section as shown in figure 1. The liquid manometer and other instrument equipment mounted behind the cockpit in the fuselage are shown in figure 2.

Drag measurements were made with the original wing, in spite of the fact that some easily corrected imperfections were evident, particularly in the incomplete filling of a skin joint and rivets at the leading edge. Some filling was then applied as far back as the forward edge of the ammunition door, and the surface condition generally improved by repainting and sanding, and the drag measurements repeated.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drag coefficients were calculated from the following formula derived to accurately include compressibility effects:

$$c_{d} = \frac{2}{c} \int \left(\frac{H_{1}}{H_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{P_{1}}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \sqrt{\frac{1-R_{1}}{1-R}} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-R_{2}}{1-R}}\right] dy$$

where



and

р	absolute free stream static pressure
pl	absolute wake position static pressure
Hl	absolute wake position total pressure
Ho	absolute free stream total pressure
с	the airfoil chord (taken as 76.5 inches)

Taking for example the one measurement for which a photographic record of the manometer is included (fig. 3), the stream static pressure p is found from the indicated altitude, 19,000 feet, or 1013.6 pounds per square foot less 15.7, due to the error in the pilot's static tube. Thus, p = 997.9 pounds per square foot. The airspeed error was found by Curtiss Wright to be -5.5 percent. Thus, the static pressure as indicated by the fourth manometer tube was considered to be in error by 11 percent of its deflection from the total head level.

The stream stagnation pressure  $H_0$  is found by adding the pressure corresponding to the indicated deflection of fourth tube 142.3 + 15.7,

to correct for the pilot's static tube error. Thus,  $H_0 = 997.9 + 142.3 + 15.7 = 1155.9$  pounds per square foot. The value 142.3 is found from the measured deflection, 9.32 inches and the specific gravity of the manometer liquid, 2.94. The wake stagnation pressures  $H_1$  indicated by the deflected tubes in the wake, and the wake static pressure indicated by the second tube may be similarly determined, except that the wake static tube was known from previous wind-tunnel tests to read too small a suction by 3.5 percent q.

The above formula then gives  $c_d = 0.0044$ . The corresponding wing lift coefficient is 0.224, the Reynolds number, 12,100,000, and the Mach number, 0.453. This drag result may be taken as representative of the wing in the final improved condition.

The Reynolds number for the section corresponds approximately to that for an assumed design condition for the airplane, 430 miles per hour at 35,000 feet. The drag coefficient value 0.0044 is somewhat less than that to be expected from previous tests in the low-turbulence tunnel of the section with aileron and ammunition door, although aerodynamically smooth sections of the same type have shown in the twodimensional tunnel drag coefficients of approximately 0.0034. It is believed that values closely approaching this could be realized in flight by moving the ammunition door back until its front edge is near the 60-percent-chord station.

On the other hand, the drag coefficient was considerably higher for the first flight before the leading edge was improved. A record worked up for conditions otherwise most nearly comparable gave:

for

١

 $c_d = 0.0051$   $C_L = 0.214$   $R \cdot N \cdot = 11,130,000$ M = 0.502

Thus, the wing, even with a comparatively poor surface near the leading edge, showed a drag coefficient markedly less than could have been obtained with a conventional wing section.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va., December 19, 1941.



Figure 1.- Survey rake mounted behind wing.



Figure 2.- Liquid manometer and recording camera mounted in fuselage.



Figure 3.- Wake manometer record-smooth airfoil leading-edge condition.

<ul> <li>TITLE: Preliminary Drag Tosts in Flight of Low-Drag Wing on the Curtiss XP-60 Air- plane</li> <li>AUTHORIS : Lacobs, Eastman</li> <li>ORIG. AGENCY : Langley Aeronautical Lab., Langley Air Force Base, Va.</li> <li>PUBLISHED BY : National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D.C.</li> </ul>	△٧1- 20980 I CVCCCC (Noco) GCD ACTORY ED. MRL-7(\B PCCCCCCCC (Noco) (N		
Dec '41 Unclass, U.S. English 7 photos			
	hter Rivere St.		
Drag measurements were made during flight on the low-drag wing of the Curtiss XP-80 airplane. A representative section of the wing, approximately 10 in outboard of the inner end of the alleron, was chosen. A rake of total head tubes on 0.8 in centers was mounted behind the wing section. A liquid manometer and other instrument quipment was mounted behind the cochpit. A formula is given, showning how to arrive at the obtained drag co- efficient, which is 0.0044; the corresponding wing lift coefficient is 0.014; the Reynolds Number 12,100,000, and the Mach number 0.453.			
DISTRIBUTION: SPECIAL. All requests for copies must be addressed to Publishing Agency.			
DIVISION: Flight Testing (18) 2 SECTION: Flight Tests of Specific Aircraft (10) 2 (Airplane, Fighter - Flight tests (08553) 1; Wings, Low drag (99281)			
ATI SHEET NO.: Control Air Decuments Office Wright-Partoneen Air Feree Lasso, Dayton, Ohio			