

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
ADB281790
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Proprietary Info.; Aug 2001. Other requests shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott St., Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-5012.
AUTHORITY
USAMRMC ltr, dtd 28 July 2003

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD _____

Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9452

TITLE: Involvement of Human Estrogen Related Receptor Alpha 1
(hERR α 1) in Breast Cancer and Hormonally Insensitive Disease

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Eric A. Ariazi, Ph.D.
Dr. Janet Mertz

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1490

REPORT DATE: August 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Summary

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (proprietary information, Aug 01). Other requests for this document shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20020821 053

NOTICE

USING GOVERNMENT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA INCLUDED IN THIS DOCUMENT FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT DOES NOT IN ANY WAY OBLIGATE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT FORMULATED OR SUPPLIED THE DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA DOES NOT LICENSE THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION; OR CONVEY ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE, OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY RELATE TO THEM.

LIMITED RIGHTS LEGEND

Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9452
Organization: University of Wisconsin

Those portions of the technical data contained in this report marked as limited rights data shall not, without the written permission of the above contractor, be (a) released or disclosed outside the government, (b) used by the Government for manufacture or, in the case of computer software documentation, for preparing the same or similar computer software, or (c) used by a party other than the Government, except that the Government may release or disclose technical data to persons outside the Government, or permit the use of technical data by such persons, if (i) such release, disclosure, or use is necessary for emergency repair or overhaul or (ii) is a release or disclosure of technical data (other than detailed manufacturing or process data) to, or use of such data by, a foreign government that is in the interest of the Government and is required for evaluational or informational purposes, provided in either case that such release, disclosure or use is made subject to a prohibition that the person to whom the data is released or disclosed may not further use, release or disclose such data, and the contractor or subcontractor or subcontractor asserting the restriction is notified of such release, disclosure or use. This legend, together with the indications of the portions of this data which are subject to such limitations, shall be included on any reproduction hereof which includes any part of the portions subject to such limitations.

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

Carole B. Christian

6/14/02

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGEForm Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE August 2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual Summary (1 Jul 00 - 1 Jul 01)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Involvement of Human Estrogen Related Receptor Alpha 1 (hERR α 1) in Breast Cancer and Hormonally Insensitive Disease			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-99-1-9452	
6. AUTHOR(S) Eric A. Ariazi, Ph.D. Dr. Janet Mertz				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1490 E-mail: eariazi@oncology.wisc.edu			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Report contains color				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (proprietary information, Aug 01). Other requests for this document shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) The goal of these studies was to assess ERR α 's utility as a novel breast cancer biomarker. Using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays, we profiled mRNA levels in random clinical breast cancers (n = 38) and normal mammary epithelial cells (MECs) enriched from reduction mammoplasties (n = 9). These studies showed that: (i) ERR α was overexpressed in approximately 10 % of tumors; (ii) ERR α mRNA levels were significantly higher in progesterone receptor (PgR)-negative tumors (those lacking functional ER α) than in PgR-positive tumors; (iii) ERR α mRNA was more abundant than ER α , ER β , ERR β , and ERR γ in PgR-neg tumors; (iv) ERR α expression correlated with ErbB2, ErbB3 and ER β expression by Spearman analysis; and (v) ERR α expression patterns were ordered together with ErbB2, ErbB3, and EGFR by cluster analysis. Therefore, ERR α may be a target of ErbB signaling. ErbB members signal via the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and ErbB2 has been associated with tamoxifen resistance. Hence, the following hypothesis was developed: ERR α , independent of ER α , potentiates transcription of genes whose promoters contain estrogen-response elements in tumors containing high MAPK activity due to overexpression of ErbB members. Thus, ERR α status may indicate sensitivity to hormonal and ErbB2-based therapies.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast cancer Biomarker, Estrogen-related Receptors, Estrogen Receptors, Real-time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 27
				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover.....	
SF 298.....	2
Table of Contents.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	13
Reportable Outcomes.....	14
Conclusions.....	15
References.....	16
Appendices	
Table 1.....	19
Figure 1.....	20
Figure 2.....	21
Figure 3.....	22
Figure 4.....	23
Figure 5.....	24
Table 2.....	25
Figure 6.....	26

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 50 % of breast cancer patients with estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) tumors respond to antiestrogen therapy (1). Since expression of progesterone receptor (PgR) is dependent upon ER α activity, further selection of patients for ER-positive and PgR-negative tumors enhances the breast cancer hormonal therapy response rate to nearly 80 % (2). The estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α), an orphan nuclear receptor that shares significant sequence identity with ER α and ER β but does not bind estrogens (3), has been shown to bind and activate transcription through estrogen response elements (EREs) (4) as well as ERR-response elements (ERREs) which are composed of an ERE half-site with a 5' extension of 3 base pairs (4, 5). Hence, ERR α may modulate estrogen responsiveness, making it a plausible candidate for a novel breast cancer prognosticator and target for therapy. First, ERs and ERR α may compete for binding to a response element. Second, ERs and ERR α may selectively bind subelements within a composite element to act in concert. Thus, we proposed to test whether ERR α plays an important role in the development of some breast cancers by modulating or substituting for ER activities. The specific questions addressed by this award are the following: (i) Does expression of ERR α correlate with the expression of known breast cancer prognosticator genes and clinical tumor properties? (ii) Which genes are potentially regulated by ERR α and what are the molecular mechanisms involved in ERR α modulation of estrogen-responsive transcription?

BODY

Specific Aim I - To test whether alterations in expression, RNA splicing, phosphorylation status, subcellular localization, or mutations in ERR α significantly correlate with the development of some breast cancers. (A) Assays will be developed with human mammary carcinoma MCF-7 cell derivatives in Aim II to characterize ERR α RNA and protein abundance, possible splicing variants, possible phosphorylation isoforms, subcellular localization, and possible mutations. (B) These assays will be used to characterize clinical ER α -positive primary breast carcinomas for ERR α . (C) Additionally, ER α -positive/tamoxifen-resistant breast tumors will be examined for ERR α RNA expression, splicing variants, and possible mutations.

Task 1. To test whether alterations in ERR α significantly correlate with the development of clinical ER α -positive primary breast carcinomas and ER α -positive/tamoxifen-resistant breast tumors (months 1-36)

Task 1A. Develop assays with breast cancer cell lines to look for alterations in ERR α (months 1-12).

Task 1A was accomplished as discussed in the year 1 report.

Task 1B. Examine primary ER α -positive breast carcinomas (months 2-24).

I have expanded the scope of Task 1B by broadening the class of tumors examined from only ER α -positive to include ER α -negative tumors as well. Additionally, I had originally proposed to examine approximately 100 tumors, however, the tissue repository (SPORE) at Baylor College of Medicine has been subjected to extensive flood damage due to a hurricane (Gary Clark, SPORE Director, personal communication). Prior to the flooding, I had already received 40 breast cancer samples, 38 of which were suitable for analysis. Unfortunately, I cannot receive additional samples until the tissue repository is re-established, a time period likely beyond the duration of this fellowship. Thus, the experiment proposed in this fellowship will necessarily be limited to those tissues already in hand.

Revised Task 1B Examine random primary breast carcinomas (months 2-24).

To test whether ERR α is involved in breast carcinogenesis, a panel of 38 clinical random breast cancers and normal mammary epithelial cells (MECs) prepared from 9 individuals were characterized for mRNA expression of this gene by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) assays. Expression of ERR β and ERR γ was also determined as ERR family members share many biochemical and transcriptional activities. In addition to ERR members, expression of ER α , ER β , EGFR/ErbB1, ErbB2/HER2/*neu*, ErbB3, and ErbB4 was also determined. These genes were analyzed for alterations in mRNA levels between tissue groups and for correlations of expression between the genes and clinical properties indicative of tumor aggressiveness.

Tissue sources.

The clinical tumor samples were obtained from the National Breast Cancer Tissue Resource (SPORE) at Baylor College of Medicine (Houston, Texas) via a collaborative arrangement with Dr. Gary Clark who also served as the study's biostatistician. Prior to our receipt of the tumors, several clinicopathologic properties of the tumors were measured including ER and PgR protein levels by the ligand binding assay (ER-LB and PgR-LB status, respectively), as well as S-phase fraction and DNA ploidy by flow cytometry. We were blinded to these clinical tumor properties until completion of our studies. The normal MECs were obtained from Dr. Stephen Eithier at the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor and from Dr. Michael N. Gould, a

colleague in our department at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Because mammary gland is a fatty tissue, it is technically very difficult to isolate high quality RNA from this source unless the MECs are enriched from the bulk tissue. Hence, our laboratory received the normal MECs after Dr. Either's and Dr. Gould's laboratories had isolated these MECs from reduction mammoplasties through a process involving collagenase dispersion of the tissues, differential centrifugation, and filtration steps.

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) assays.

To measure expression of ER, ErbB, and ERR family members, real-time Q-PCR assays were developed. In these assays, continuous measurement of fluorescence due to specific complex formation of Sybr Green I with double-stranded PCR products versus single-stranded DNA facilitated accurate and sensitive quantitation of initial mRNA molar amounts (6-8). The assays were developed using an ABI 7700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). Because PCR efficiency decreases with increasing number of cycles due to limiting polymerase, nucleotides and primers, the critical parameter recorded in the real-time Q-PCR assay is the threshold cycle (C_t), *i.e.*, the cycle (measured to a fractional value of the 1 minute extension phase of the PCR) when PCR products are initially detected over background fluorescence. Background fluorescence levels are determined from the signal intensity of no-template control reactions. Spectral compensation of signal intensity differences among sample wells is accomplished by the inclusion of ROX, a fluorescent reporter dye, in the enzyme reaction buffer (Molecular Probes). To minimize non-specific product amplification, the real-time Q-PCR assays employed HotStar Taq (Qiagen), a chemically modified form of Taq polymerase that is completely inactive at room temperature and requires an initial 10-minute heat activation step at 95° C. PCR primers were optimized for high efficiency, *i.e.*, to yield product sizes smaller than 150 bp and no spurious bands. PCR primers and amplicon sizes are given in Table 1. PCR products were verified by sequence analysis.

Total RNA was isolated from the tissues, treated with DNaseI to remove contaminating cellular DNA, and re-purified from the DNaseI. To synthesize cDNA, the RNA was incubated at 45° C with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase as well as poly(dT)15 and random hexamers as primers. To control for variability in mRNA integrity and reverse transcriptase efficiency between tissue samples, the amount of cDNA synthesized for each sample was quantified by trace radiolabeling of a parallel cDNA synthesis reaction carried out in the presence of [α -³²P]dCTP. Incorporated and total radiolabel amounts were measured in triplicate by trichloroacetic acid (TCA)-precipitation and scintillation counting. Calculation of the total mass of cDNA synthesized was based on the molar amount of nucleotides present in the reaction converted to mass and multiplied by the ratio of incorporated-to-total radiolabel. Each Q-PCR assay employed 1 ng cDNA as template. Hence, the quantitation of cDNA mass synthesized for each tissue sample served as the normalization control across the tissue board.

Construction of serial dilution standard curves of each specific PCR product were included in every experiment and allowed calculation of transcript copy numbers in the unknown samples by regression analysis. The amount of each template required for the standard curves was determined in a similar manner as described above by trace radiolabeling with [α -³²P]dCTP incorporation during the PCR amplification process. The mass of PCR product synthesized was converted to copy number according to the molecular weight of the specific amplicon's size in base pairs. The standard curves covered 8 orders-of-magnitude. All standards and unknown samples were assayed in triplicate.

Analyses of gene expression in breast tissues and correlations with clinicopathological factors.

Using the real-time Q-PCR assays, we determined mRNA levels of ER, ERR, and ErbB family members in a panel of 38 clinical random primary breast carcinomas and normal MECs derived from 9 separate mammoplastic reductions. Each gene was evaluated for alterations in mRNA expression between tissue groups by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (KW statistic). Comparisons between tissue groups included normal MECs versus all tumors, ER-LB positive versus negative tumors, and PgR-LB positive versus negative tumors. ER, ErbB, and

ERR family member mRNA levels in each tissue group are depicted as copy number per ng cDNA in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3, respectively. Analysis of whether correlations existed among pairwise combinations of gene expression levels and clinicopathological factors were performed by the non-parametric Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient, designated ρ_s (Spearman's rho). Absolute values of ρ_s near 1 or 0 indicate a strong or weak correlation, respectively, while the sign indicates a positive or negative relationship. Because the DNA ploidy data were in the form of dichotomous observations, tumors containing aneuploid or diploid nuclei were assigned ranks of 1 and 2, respectively. Raw data were used for ranking all other parameters and mRNA levels. Spearman's Correlation Coefficients for all comparisons are shown in Table 2.

The median ER α mRNA levels were approximately 14-fold higher in all breast carcinomas as a group compared to normal MECs (KW = 9.33, $p = 0.002$; Figure 1A). Thus, the Q-PCR assays showed that ER α levels are usually low in normal mammary epithelium and high in breast tumors. This alteration in ER α expression is likely an important etiologic event in breast carcinogenesis (9-14) [reviewed in (15)]. Moreover, the fold-difference of the median ER α mRNA expression was larger when comparing the tumors subgrouped by ER-LB and PgR-LB status. ER α mRNA abundance was 34-fold greater in ER-LB positive versus negative tumors (KW = 16.09, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 1A). Since PgR is a target gene of ER α , PgR-LB-positive status indicates the presence of functional ER α . Hence, ER α mRNA expression was approximately 31-fold greater in PgR-LB-positive tumors compared to negative tumors (KW = 14.90, $p = 0.0001$; Figure 1A). ER α mRNA levels showed a strong and significant correlation with ER protein levels ($\rho_s = 0.859$, $p < 0.0001$; Table 2) and PgR protein levels ($\rho_s = 0.684$, $p < 0.0001$; Table 2) as determined by ligand binding assays. This strong correlation between ER α mRNA levels and ER-LB- as well as PgR-LB-status provides validation of the real-time Q-PCR assays.

ER β mRNA levels were not significantly increased in the tumor group compared to the normal MEC group. However, 4 of 38 tumors exhibited ER β expression at levels greater than 3 interquartile regions (IQRs) above median levels. Thus, a subset of breast cancers overexpressed ER β . The median level of ER β mRNA expression was approximately 3.2-fold higher in PgR-LB-negative tumors compared to positive tumors (KW = 4.21, $p = 0.040$; Figure 1B). Similar to our results, Dotzlaw *et al.* also found that ER β mRNA levels were significantly higher in PgR-LB-negative versus positive tumors (16). Hence, ER β expression was elevated in breast tumors that lacked functional ER α , though ER β was still expressed at low-to-moderate levels in ER α -LB-positive tumors. Employing immunohistochemistry (IHC), others have reported that ER α -positive tumors are frequently also ER β positive, (17-19). Several reports indicate that ER β exhibits prognostic significance in breast cancer, though its role is not clearly defined. Jarvinen *et al.* found that ER β -positive status by IHC was associated with negative axillary node status, low tumor grade, and low S-phase fraction (18). On the other hand, Jensen *et al.* found by IHC that tumors expressing ER β in the absence of ER α contained significantly higher levels of proliferation markers than tumors expressing both ER β and ER α , while ER β -negative tumors, regardless of ER α status, contained the lowest amounts of proliferation markers (19). Thus, Jensen *et al.* suggested that ER β -positive status correlated with proliferation in primary breast cancer. These seemingly differing conclusions may be due to Jarvinen *et al.* comparing all ER β -positive versus all ER β -negative tumors while Jensen *et al.* further subdivided the ER β groups as ER α positive or negative. Both studies showed most of the ER β -positive tumors are also ER α positive. Thus, in the Jarvinen *et al.* study, the correlations between ER β -positive status and indicators of low tumor aggressiveness were driven by the group being composed of a larger number of ER β /ER α double-positive tumors. Considering ER α and ER β are capable of forming functional heterodimers, ER α -ER β heterodimers may exist in ER α /ER β double-positive tumors and exhibit differing functional activities than ER β homodimers in tumors that lack ER α . Both estrogen-bound ER α and ER β stimulate transcription via an AP-1 site. However, while ER α bound with the antiestrogen tamoxifen inhibits transcription through AP-1 elements, tamoxifen-bound ER β stimulates transcription via AP-1 sites. Along these lines, Speirs *et al.* compared tamoxifen-sensitive human breast tumors to tamoxifen-resistant tumors and found ER β mRNA levels were significantly higher in the resistant group (20). Taken together, it is possible that

ER α -ER β heterodimers may be associated with a favorable clinical outcome while expression of ER β homodimers may be associated with poor clinical outcome.

The median EGFR mRNA abundance was approximately 25-fold lower in breast tumors relative to normal MECs (KW = 20.63, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 2A). Within the tumor subgroups, EGFR mRNA median levels were approximately 7.4-fold higher in ER-LB-negative (KW = 13.54, $p = 0.0002$; Figure 2A) and 6.8-fold higher in PgR-LB-negative tumors (KW = 12.40, $p = 0.0004$; Figure 2A) relative to respective positive tumors. EGFR expression levels negatively correlated with ER ($\rho_s = -0.762$, $p < 0.0001$; Table 2) and PgR protein amounts ($\rho_s = -0.633$, $p < 0.0001$; Table 2) as determined by ligand-binding assays. This inverse relationship between EGFR and ER α expression in breast tumors has been previously reported (21-23). In cell culture models, stimulation of ER α transcriptional activity leads to down-regulation of EGFR expression (24), and, conversely, forced overexpression of EGFR leads to estrogen-independent cellular proliferation (25). Moreover, EGFR-positive status has been linked to hormonal therapy resistance (22, 26). Thus, a decrease in EGFR expression concomitant with an increase in ER α expression may be involved in breast tumorigenesis. Alternatively, EGFR overexpression in the absence of ER α expression may also be involved in the etiology of breast cancer.

ErbB2 tended to show an increase in expression, though not statistically significant (KW = 2.90, $p = 0.089$; Figure 2B), when comparing all the breast tumors as a group to the normal MECs. However, approximately 10% of the tumors (4 of 38) expressed ErbB2 mRNA at levels greater than 3 IQRs over the median tumor ErbB2 levels. Moreover, the maximum level of ErbB2 mRNA was approximately 18-fold higher in the tumor group compared to the maximum level in the normal MEC group. Overexpression of ErbB2 in 3 of 4 tumors was associated with ER-LB negative status (Figure 2B). Thus, ErbB2 is overexpressed in a subset of tumors that have a tendency to also lack ER α . Others have reported that ErbB2 is overexpressed, often due to gene amplification, in approximately 10–30% of breast tumors and is a predictor of poor disease outcome (27-29). ErbB2 overexpression is frequently associated with ER-negative status (30). Moreover, ErbB2 overexpression has been linked to tamoxifen resistance (22, 31).

ErbB3 mRNA levels correlated with S-phase fraction ($\rho_s = 0.349$, $p = 0.034$; Table 2), suggesting ErbB3 promotes cellular proliferation. ErbB3 expression also correlated with ER α mRNA levels ($\rho_s = 0.417$, $p = 0.009$; Table 2) and with ErbB2 mRNA levels ($\rho_s = 0.543$, $p = 0.0004$; Table 2). ErbB members form heterodimers as well as homodimers to recruit distinct effector proteins involved in the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway; ErbB2 is capable of forming heterodimers with EGFR, ErbB3 or ErbB4, and EGFR can heterodimerize with ErbB3 (32-34). The correlation between ErbB2 and ErbB3 expression suggests these members may heterodimerize in breast tumors. In fact, ErbB3 requires a heterodimerization partner to activate signaling (34). Others have also shown a correlation between ErbB3 and ErbB2 expression in breast cancer (35). Additionally, increased expression of ErbB3 has been linked to lymph node metastases (36).

In a similar manner as ErbB2, ErbB4 mRNA abundance showed an inclination to be higher, though not significantly, in all breast tumors compared to normal MECs (KW = 2.99, $p = 0.084$; Figure 2D). However, there was a subset of breast tumors that exhibited ErbB4 overexpression. Approximately 5% (2 of 38) of the tumors exhibited mRNA at levels greater than 1.5 IQRs above the median tumor level, and an additional 5% (2 of 38) at levels greater than 3 IQRs above the median tumor level (Figure 2D). ErbB4 mRNA levels were elevated in ER-LB-positive (KW = 10.55, $p = 0.001$; Figure 2D) and PgR-LB-positive tumors (KW = 13.48, $p = 0.0002$; Figure 2D) relative to the appropriate negative tumors. Further, ErbB4 mRNA levels correlated with ER-LB ($\rho_s = 0.530$, $p = 0.001$; Table 2) and PgR-LB protein levels ($\rho_s = 0.440$, $p = 0.006$; Table 2) as well as with ER α mRNA levels ($\rho_s = 0.739$, $p < 0.0001$; Table 2). Therefore, ErbB4-positive status was associated with the presence of functional ER α . Also, ErbB4 mRNA amounts correlated with ErbB3 mRNA amounts ($\rho_s = 0.416$, $p = 0.009$; Table 2). As with ErbB3, the observed correlations indicate that ErbB4 may activate ER α via MAPK-mediated phosphorylation events. However, ErbB3 and ErbB4 do not heterodimerize (32, 37). Hence the correlation between ErbB3 and ErbB4 may be due to both of these factors independently signaling ER α . As a prognosticator, ErbB4 has been reported to be associated

with more differentiated breast tumor histotypes than the other ErbB members (38) and may serve as favourable biomarker in breast cancer (39, 40).

ERR α showed a tendency to be expressed at approximately 2.3-fold lower levels in the breast tumors compared to the normal MECs, but this difference in expression was not significant (KW = 2.63, $p = 0.10$, Figure 3). However, 4 of 38 tumors overexpressed ERR α ; 2 tumors exhibited ERR α mRNA at levels greater than 1.5 IQRs over the median level and an additional 2 tumors showed ERR α levels at greater than 3 IQRs over the median. ERR α mRNA was 2.5-fold higher in the PgR-LB-neg tumor subgroup than in the PgR-LB-pos tumor subgroup (KW = 5.36, $p = 0.021$, Figure 3). This significant increase in ERR α expression suggests an alteration in the role of ERR α in a tumor subgroup that lacks functional ER α . To determine whether ER α or ERR α was the most abundant nuclear receptor of those tested in a particular tissue subgroup, the average mRNA expression levels of the ER and ERR family members were ranked from the most to least abundant and presented in Figure 4. The raw data were log transformed to normally distribute the values with similar variances. Statistical significance between adjacently ranked genes was assessed by 1-way ANOVA with repeated measures on the log-transformed data. As the ANOVA was performed with repeated measures, the expression levels of each gene were paired in each tissue sample by definition. The rank ordering procedure revealed that ER α and ERR α were significantly more abundant than ER β , ERR β , and ERR γ in every tissue subgroup. As expected, ER α was the dominant receptor in the complete tumor group as well as in the ER-LB-pos and PgR-LB-pos subgroups. However, in normal MECs and in ER-LB-neg tumors, ER α and ERR α were expressed at equivalent levels. Additionally, ERR α mRNA levels were found to be significantly higher than ER α levels in the PgR-LB-neg tumors ($p = 0.030$). Furthermore, comparisons between ER α and ERR α raw copy numbers by the paired t test showed that in the PgR-LB-neg subgroup, ERR α was expressed at higher levels than ER α ($p = 0.050$; Figure 5), again suggesting ERR α plays a prominent role in tumors lacking functional ER α .

By Spearman coefficient analysis, ERR α expression levels significantly correlated with ER β ($\rho_s = 0.349$, $p = 0.032$; Table 2), ErbB2 ($\rho_s = 0.449$, $p = 0.005$; Table 2), and ErbB3 levels ($\rho_s = 0.325$, $p = 0.047$; Table 2). The strong correlation between ErbB2 and ERR α lends evidence toward a functional relationship between these genes. As noted above, ErbB2 overexpression tended to occur in ER-LB-neg tumors, as did ERR α overexpression. Also as noted above, stimulation of MAPK signalling can result in ER α phosphorylation, allowing the unliganded form of ER α to activate transcription. The ErbB2-ERR α correlation may indicate that ERR α is a functional target of ErbB2-mediated stimulation of MAPK signalling. Moreover, the correlations between Erb3 and ERR α and between ErbB3 and ErbB2 indicate that ErbB3 may also potentiate MAPK signalling to activate ERR α .

ERR α -dependent transcriptional activity has been shown to be cell line-specific. Our lab has recently shown that ERR α antagonizes ER α -mediated transcription via an ERR α transcriptional-repression domain specifically in MCF-7 mammary cells (Kraus *et al.*, publication in preparation). On the other hand, Yang and colleagues have shown that ERR α stimulates ERE-dependent transcription in the ER α -neg SK-BR-3 mammary cell line (41, 42). Interestingly, MCF-7 cells contain very low levels of ErbB2 while SK-BR-3 cells contain very high levels of ErbB2 (43, 44). Taken together, these observations suggest the following hypothesis: in cells containing low ErbB2 levels, ERR α represses transcription, while in presence of high ErbB2 levels, ERR α activates transcription. This hypothesis predicts that tumors containing high levels of ErbB2 and ERR α would not respond to antiestrogen therapy. Indeed, this hypothesis provides a possible mechanism to explain why ErbB2 has been shown to be an independent marker of tamoxifen resistance (31, 45) and why MCF-7 cells transfected with ErbB2 develop tamoxifen resistance (46). Further, ERR α 's phosphorylation state may predict the effectiveness of the therapeutic agent Herceptin, a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against ErbB2; hyperphosphorylated and hypophosphorylated ERR α being indicative of Herceptin-sensitivity and Herceptin-resistance, respectively.

ERR β mRNA abundance was not significantly different between the tumors and the normal MECs, though 1 of 38 tumors overexpressed ERR β at levels greater than 3 IQRs above

the median level (Figure 3A). *ERRβ* showed no significant differences in its median levels among the ER-LB and PgR-LB subgroups. Interestingly, *ERRβ* expression levels inversely correlated with tumor cell S-phase fraction ($\rho_s = -0.366$, $p = 0.02$; Table 2). The reason for this correlation between *ERRβ* and S-phase fraction is unknown, but may be suggestive of a role for *ERRβ* in blocking cellular proliferation or, perhaps, in promoting cellular differentiation. The importance for *ERRβ* in cellular proliferation and differentiation has been demonstrated by genetic ablation of this locus in the mouse producing a severe defect in placental development leading to lethality at embryonic day 10.5 (47). These *ERRβ* knockout mice exhibited severely abnormal chorion tissue characterized by an overabundance of trophoblast giant cells and an extreme lack of diploid cells. In our studies, *ERRβ* mRNA abundance correlated with *ERβ* mRNA abundance in the breast tumors ($\rho_s = 0.575$, $p = 0.0002$; Table 2), suggesting a possible functional relationship between these genes. It should be noted that *ERRβ* mRNA levels were quite low but detectable in breast tissues (Figure 3A). Likewise, *ERβ* mRNA levels were also quite low compared to *ERα* (Figure 1B and 1A, respectively). Evidently, low *ERβ* mRNA amounts are sufficient to result in biologically significant protein levels as illustrated by other researcher's IHC-based studies (17-19). As discussed above, *ERβ* expression has been linked to multiple clinicopathological factors: coexpression of both *ERβ* and *ERα* may indicate low tumor aggressiveness (18) whereas expression of *ERβ* in the absence of *ERα* may indicate high tumor aggressiveness (19). Furthermore, *ERβ* mRNA overexpression has been associated with tamoxifen resistance (20). In light of the inverse relationship between *ERRβ* and S-phase fraction, *ERRβ* may serve as a marker of low tumor aggressiveness. Conversely, the correlation between *ERRβ* and *ERβ* suggests that coexpression of both receptors may serve as a marker of low or high tumor aggressiveness, depending, respectively, upon whether *ERα* is also coexpressed. Future studies are needed to explore this potential relationship between *ERRβ* and *ERβ* and whether *ERRβ* status would serve as a favorable or unfavorable breast cancer biomarker.

The median mRNA level of *ERRγ* was elevated approximately 3.1-fold in the breast tumors compared to normal MECs (KW = 9.72, $p = 0.002$; Figure 3B). Thus, overexpression of *ERRγ* may be important in the development of breast cancer. *ERRγ* mRNA levels were not significantly elevated in ER-LB-positive tumors versus negative tumors. However, 5 of 6 tumors that overexpressed *ERRγ* were also ER-LB positive (Figure 3B). Thus *ERRγ* overexpression or positive status shares a relationship with *ERα* functionality. Lending indirect support to this supposition, *ERRγ* expression correlated with *ErbB4* expression ($\rho_s = 0.325$, $p = 0.049$; Table 2) and, as discussed above, *ErbB4* and *ERα* expression correlated. The median level of *ERRγ* expression was approximately 2.2-fold higher in tumors typed as diploid (KW =, $p = 0.035$; data not shown). Further, *ERRγ* mRNA levels positively correlated with diploid status and negatively correlated with aneuploid status ($\rho_s = -0.350$, $p = 0.033$; Table 2). As with *ERRβ*, the reason for the relationship between *ERRγ* and DNA ploidy is unknown. As noted above, *ErbB4* overexpression has been associated with more histologically differentiated tumors (38) and favorable biomarkers (39, 40). Our findings of an inverse relationship between *ERRγ* and DNA ploidy along with the positive relationship between *ERRγ* and *ErbB4* suggest that *ERRγ*-positive status may indicate a less aggressive tumor phenotype.

Cluster analysis facilitated the ordering of similar gene expression patterns in the normal MECs and breast tumors (Figures 6A and 6B, respectively). Tree diagrams similar to those in sequence and phylogenetic analyses were computed based on pairwise correlations in gene expression. Nodes connect the most similar pairs of genes and the length of tree branches represents varying similarities in adjacently listed genes. The ordering of gene expression profiles was performed using Cluster and TreeView software written by Michael Eisen (48). To perform the cluster analysis, the raw data were \log_2 transformed and centered on each gene's median expression level. The ordered data table is graphically depicted by colored cells representing gene expression levels; genes that remained unchanged are colored black (log ratios of 0), genes that increased in expression (increasingly positive log ratios) are colored in increasing intensities of red, and genes that decreased in expression (increasingly negative log ratios) are colored in increasing intensities of green. In the normal MECs, cluster analysis

indicated a relationship among ErbB2, ERR α , EGFR and ER α and a relationship among ErbB4, ErbB3 and ERR γ (Figure 6A). In the breast tumors, cluster analysis indicated a relationship among ErbB3, ErbB2, ERR α , and EGFR; a second relationship among ErbB4, ER α , and ERR γ ; and a third relationship between ERR β and ER β (Figure 6B). Interestingly, these results indicate that ErbB2 and EGFR may signal to ER α in normal MECS, whereas in the tumors, ErbB4 may play a more important role in signaling to ER α . In both tissue groups, ERR α clustered with ErbB2, again indicating a common functionality between these genes. These results also suggest that overexpression of ERR α may be a negative prognostic indicator.

Task 1C. Examine wild-type ER α /tamoxifen-resistant breast tumors (months 25-36).

Examination of tamoxifen-resistant breast tumors had been scheduled to be accomplished following the completion of the analysis of random primary breast tumors as described in Task 1B. I had originally arranged with Dr. Gary Clark to obtain these tamoxifen-resistant tumors from the SPORE at Baylor. Unfortunately, as noted above, the tissue repository was unsalvageable following severe flood damage. Hence, it is not possible to accomplish Task 1C unless another source of these samples is secured. Since it is unlikely to obtain these extremely rare and valuable tamoxifen-resistant fresh frozen tissue within the time period of this fellowship, I propose that Task 1C be removed from the proposal's statement of work.

Specific Aim II and Specific Aim III

Aims II and III had been revised as discussed in the year 1 interim report. Alterations to the tasks associated with these aims have already been approved in a updated Statement of Work.

Revised Specific Aim II - To test whether c-erbB2 induced activation of ERR α -dependent transcription may contribute to the TAM-resistant phenotype by generating and characterizing MCF-7 sublines stably-transfected with plasmids inducibly expressing wild-type or dominant-negative ERR α variants in the presence and absence of activated c-erbB2.

Revised Task II. Establishment MCF-7 cell sublines that inducibly express ERR α variants in the presence and absence of activated c-erbB2, thereby facilitating examination of ERR α 's potential role in a defined TAM-resistant cell line model system (months 15-36).

Revised Task 2A. Establishment of MCF-7 cell sublines inducibly expressing ERR α variants in the presence and absence of activated c-erbB2 (months 15-24).

Establishment of cell lines that inducibly express ERR α variants is currently underway but not yet ready to be reported.

Revised Task 2B. Examination of ERR α 's potential role in c-erbB2-mediated TAM-resistant MCF-7 sublines (months 25-36).

This task has yet to be accomplished.

Revised Task 2C. Characterization of ERR α 's phosphorylation status (months 25-36).

This task has yet to be accomplished.

Revised Specific Aim III -To Begin to Elucidate Mechanisms By Which ERR α May Play Roles in Breast Carcinogenesis By Identifying Estrogen-responsive Breast Cancer Prognosticator Genes Which Are Transcriptionally Modulated Through ERR α and Determining the Effects of ERR α on Transcriptional Regulation of These Genes.

Revised Task 3A. Characterization of putative ERR α -binding sites by GMSAs and immunoshift assays (months 25-36).

Identification of multiple genes whose promoters contain ERR α -response elements by GMSAs has been discussed in the year 1 interim report.

Revised Task 3B. Evaluation of ERR α -binding sites using heterologous reporter genes (months 25-36).

This task has yet to be accomplished.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- $ERR\alpha$ was overexpressed in approximately 10 % of the breast tumors (Figure 3A).
- $ERR\alpha$ expression was significantly elevated in PgR-LB-neg tumors compared to positive tumors (Figure 3A).
- $ERR\alpha$ mRNA levels were greater than $ER\alpha$, $ER\beta$, $ERR\beta$, and $ERR\gamma$ levels in PgR-LB-neg tumors (Figure 4 and Figure 5).
- $ERR\alpha$ expression correlated with ErbB2, ErbB3, and $ER\beta$ expression in breast tumors by Spearman coefficient analysis (Table 2).
- $ERR\alpha$ expression patterns ordered with ErbB2, ErbB3 and EGFR expression by cluster analysis (Figure 6).

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Publications

Ariazi, E. A., Clark, G.M., and Mertz, J. E. Estrogen-related Receptors: Possible Novel Biomarkers in Breast Cancer (manuscript in preparation).

Patents

Mertz, J. E. and Ariazi, E. A. Estrogen-related Receptors as Biomarkers in Breast Cancer . (U.S. Patent Application in preparation).

Awards

A travel award to the 2001 Gordon Research Conference on Hormone Action was granted.

CONCLUSIONS

Real-time Q-PCR assays have been developed and used to study ERR α mRNA expression in 38 clinical random breast cancers and normal MECs from 9 individuals. ERR α was overexpressed in approximately 10 % of the tumors. ERR α expression was significantly elevated in PgR-LB-neg tumors compared to positive tumors. ERR α mRNA levels were significantly greater than ER α , ER β , ERR β and ERR γ levels in PgR-LB-neg tumors. These findings suggest ERR α plays a more prominent role in tumors lacking functional ER α than in other tumors. ERR α expression significantly correlated with ErbB2, ErbB3 and ER β expression by Spearman coefficient analysis. ERR α expression patterns were ordered with ErbB2, ErbB3 and EGFR expression patterns by cluster analysis. These findings suggest that ERR α may be a target of ErbB signaling. Our lab has recently demonstrated that ERR α represses ER α -mediated transcription via EREs specifically in MCF-7 cells, an ErbB2-negative cell line; while others have shown that ERR α activates transcription independent of ER α in SK-BR-3 cells, an ErbB2-positive cell line. ErbB members signal via the MAPK pathway and ErbB2 has been associated with tamoxifen resistance. Taken together, the following hypothesis was developed: ERR α antagonizes transcription in tumors containing low MAPK activity, and conversely, ERR α potentiates transcription independent of ER α in tumors containing high MAPK activity due to overexpressed ErbB2, ErbB3, and/or EGFR. Therefore, high levels of ERR α , or potentially phosphorylated isoforms of ERR α may indicate resistance to hormonal and/or ErbB2-based therapies.

REFERENCES

1. Allegra, J. C., Lippman, M. E., Thompson, E. B., Simon, R., Barlock, A., Green, L., Huff, K. K., Do, H. M., Aitken, S. C., and Warren, R. Estrogen receptor status: an important variable in predicting response to endocrine therapy in metastatic breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*, 16: 323-331, 1980.
2. Clark, G. M. and McGuire, W. L. Prognostic factors in primary breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 3: S69-72, 1983.
3. Giguère, V., Yang, N., Segui, P., and Evans, R. M. Identification of a new class of steroid hormone receptors. *Nature*, 331: 91-94, 1988.
4. Vanacker, J. M., Pettersson, K., Gustafsson, J. A., and Laudet, V. Transcriptional targets shared by estrogen receptor-related receptors (ERRs) and estrogen receptor (ER) alpha, but not by ERbeta. *EMBO J*, 18: 4270-4279, 1999.
5. Xie, W., Hong, H., Yang, N. N., Lin, R. J., Simon, C. M., Stallcup, M. R., and Evans, R. M. Constitutive activation of transcription and binding of coactivator by estrogen-related receptors 1 and 2. *Mol Endocrinol*, 13: 2151-2162, 1999.
6. Bustin, S. A. Absolute quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. *J Mol Endocrinol*, 25: 169-193, 2000.
7. Morrison, T. B., Weis, J. J., and Wittwer, C. T. Quantification of low-copy transcripts by continuous SYBR Green I monitoring during amplification. *Biotechniques*, 24: 954-958, 960, 962, 1998.
8. Wittwer, C. T., Herrmann, M. G., Moss, A. A., and Rasmussen, R. P. Continuous fluorescence monitoring of rapid cycle DNA amplification. *Biotechniques*, 22: 130-131, 134-138, 1997.
9. Kurebayashi, J., Otsuki, T., Kunisue, H., Tanaka, K., Yamamoto, S., and Sonoo, H. Expression levels of estrogen receptor-alpha, estrogen receptor-beta, coactivators, and corepressors in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, 6: 512-518, 2000.
10. Leygue, E., Dotzlaw, H., Watson, P. H., and Murphy, L. C. Altered estrogen receptor alpha and beta messenger RNA expression during human breast tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res*, 58: 3197-3201, 1998.
11. Ricketts, D., Turnbull, L., Ryall, G., Bakhshi, R., Rawson, N. S., Gazet, J. C., Nolan, C., and Coombes, R. C. Estrogen and progesterone receptors in the normal female breast. *Cancer Res*, 51: 1817-1822, 1991.
12. Khan, S. A., Rogers, M. A., Khurana, K. K., Meguid, M. M., and Numann, P. J. Estrogen receptor expression in benign breast epithelium and breast cancer risk [see comments]. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 90: 37-42, 1998.
13. Mauri, F. A., Caffo, O., Veronese, S., Verderio, P., Boracchi, P., Bonzanini, M., Rossi, N., Perrone, G., Dalla Palma, P., and Barbareschi, M. Tissue carcinoembryonic antigen and oestrogen receptor status in breast carcinoma: an immunohistochemical study of clinical outcome in a series of 252 patients with long-term follow-up. *Br J Cancer*, 77: 1661-1668, 1998.
14. Biesterfeld, S., Kluppel, D., Koch, R., Schneider, S., Steinhagen, G., Mihalcea, A. M., and Schroder, W. Rapid and prognostically valid quantification of immunohistochemical reactions by immunohistometry of the most positive tumour focus. A prospective follow-up study on breast cancer using antibodies against MIB-1, PCNA, ER, and PR. *J Pathol*, 185: 25-31, 1998.
15. Russo, J., Hu, Y. F., Yang, X., and Russo, I. H. Developmental, cellular, and molecular basis of human breast cancer [In Process Citation]. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*, 27: 17-37, 2000.
16. Dotzlaw, H., Leygue, E., Watson, P. H., and Murphy, L. C. Estrogen receptor-beta messenger RNA expression in human breast tumor biopsies: relationship to steroid receptor status and regulation by progestins. *Cancer Res*, 59: 529-532, 1999.

17. Speirs, V., Parkes, A. T., Kerin, M. J., Walton, D. S., Carleton, P. J., Fox, J. N., and Atkin, S. L. Coexpression of estrogen receptor alpha and beta: poor prognostic factors in human breast cancer? *Cancer Res*, 59: 525-528, 1999.
18. Jarvinen, T. A., Peltö-Huikko, M., Holli, K., and Isola, J. Estrogen receptor beta is coexpressed with ERalpha and PR and associated with nodal status, grade, and proliferation rate in breast cancer. *Am J Pathol*, 156: 29-35, 2000.
19. Jensen, E. V., Cheng, G., Palmieri, C., Saji, S., Makela, S., Van Noorden, S., Wahlstrom, T., Warner, M., Coombes, R. C., and Gustafsson, J. A. Estrogen receptors and proliferation markers in primary and recurrent breast cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 98: 15197-15202., 2001.
20. Speirs, V., Malone, C., Walton, D. S., Kerin, M. J., and Atkin, S. L. Increased expression of estrogen receptor beta mRNA in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer patients. *Cancer Res*, 59: 5421-5424., 1999.
21. Wrba, F., Reiner, A., Ritzinger, E., Holzner, J. H., and Reiner, G. Expression of epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) on breast carcinomas in relation to growth fractions, estrogen receptor status and morphological criteria. An immunohistochemical study. *Pathol Res Pract*, 183: 25-29., 1988.
22. Newby, J. C., Johnston, S. R., Smith, I. E., and Dowsett, M. Expression of epidermal growth factor receptor and c-erbB2 during the development of tamoxifen resistance in human breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, 3: 1643-1651, 1997.
23. Bolufer, P., Miralles, F., Rodriguez, A., Vazquez, C., Lluch, A., Garcia-Conde, J., and Olmos, T. Epidermal growth factor receptor in human breast cancer: correlation with cytosolic and nuclear ER receptors and with biological and histological tumor characteristics. *Eur J Cancer*, 26: 283-290, 1990.
24. Chrysogelos, S. A., Yarden, R. I., Lauber, A. H., and Murphy, J. M. Mechanisms of EGF receptor regulation in breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 31: 227-236, 1994.
25. Miller, D. L., el-Ashry, D., Cheville, A. L., Liu, Y., McLeskey, S. W., and Kern, F. G. Emergence of MCF-7 cells overexpressing a transfected epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) under estrogen-depleted conditions: evidence for a role of EGFR in breast cancer growth and progression. *Cell Growth Differ*, 5: 1263-1274., 1994.
26. Nicholson, S., Halcrow, P., Sainsbury, J. R., Angus, B., Chambers, P., Farndon, J. R., and Harris, A. L. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFr) status associated with failure of primary endocrine therapy in elderly postmenopausal patients with breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*, 58: 810-814., 1988.
27. Lupu, R. and Lippman, M. E. William L. McGuire Memorial Symposium. The role of erbB2 signal transduction pathways in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 27: 83-93, 1993.
28. Nesland, J. M., Ottestad, L., Borresen, A. L., Tvedt, K. E., Holm, R., Heikkila, R., and Tveit, K. The c-erbB-2 protein in primary and metastatic breast carcinomas. *Ultrastruct Pathol*, 15: 281-289., 1991.
29. Slamon, D. J., Godolphin, W., Jones, L. A., Holt, J. A., Wong, S. G., Keith, D. E., Levin, W. J., Stuart, S. G., Udove, J., Ullrich, A., and et al. Studies of the HER-2/neu proto-oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer. *Science*, 244: 707-712., 1989.
30. Torregrosa, D., Bolufer, P., Lluch, A., Lopez, J. A., Barragan, E., Ruiz, A., Guillem, V., Munarriz, B., and Garcia Conde, J. Prognostic significance of c-erbB-2/neu amplification and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in primary breast cancer and their relation to estradiol receptor (ER) status. *Clin Chim Acta*, 262: 99-119., 1997.
31. Borg, A., Baldetorp, B., Ferno, M., Killander, D., Olsson, H., Ryden, S., and Sigurdsson, H. ERBB2 amplification is associated with tamoxifen resistance in steroid-receptor positive breast cancer. *Cancer Lett*, 81: 137-144, 1994.
32. Alroy, I. and Yarden, Y. The ErbB signaling network in embryogenesis and oncogenesis: signal diversification through combinatorial ligand-receptor interactions. *FEBS Lett*, 410: 83-86., 1997.

33. Klapper, L. N., Kirschbaum, M. H., Sela, M., and Yarden, Y. Biochemical and clinical implications of the ErbB/HER signaling network of growth factor receptors. *Adv Cancer Res*, 77: 25-79, 2000.
34. Riese, D. J., 2nd and Stern, D. F. Specificity within the EGF family/ErbB receptor family signaling network. *Bioessays*, 20: 41-48., 1998.
35. Gasparini, G., Gullick, W. J., Maluta, S., Dalla Palma, P., Caffo, O., Leonardi, E., Boracchi, P., Pozza, F., Lemoine, N. R., and Bevilacqua, P. c-erbB-3 and c-erbB-2 protein expression in node-negative breast carcinoma--an immunocytochemical study. *Eur J Cancer*, 1: 16-22, 1994.
36. Lemoine, N. R., Barnes, D. M., Hollywood, D. P., Hughes, C. M., Smith, P., Dublin, E., Prigent, S. A., Gullick, W. J., and Hurst, H. C. Expression of the ERBB3 gene product in breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*, 66: 1116-1121., 1992.
37. Zhang, K., Sun, J., Liu, N., Wen, D., Chang, D., Thomason, A., and Yoshinaga, S. K. Transformation of NIH 3T3 cells by HER3 or HER4 receptors requires the presence of HER1 or HER2. *J Biol Chem*, 271: 3884-3890., 1996.
38. Kew, T. Y., Bell, J. A., Pinder, S. E., Denley, H., Srinivasan, R., Gullick, W. J., Nicholson, R. I., Blamey, R. W., and Ellis, I. O. c-erbB-4 protein expression in human breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*, 82: 1163-1170., 2000.
39. Bacus, S. S., Chin, D., Yarden, Y., Zelnick, C. R., and Stern, D. F. Type 1 receptor tyrosine kinases are differentially phosphorylated in mammary carcinoma and differentially associated with steroid receptors. *Am J Pathol*, 148: 549-558., 1996.
40. Suo, Z., Risberg, B., Kalsson, M. G., Willman, K., Tierens, A., Skovlund, E., and Nesland, J. M. EGFR family expression in breast carcinomas. c-erbB-2 and c-erbB-4 receptors have different effects on survival. *J Pathol*, 196: 17-25., 2002.
41. Yang, C., Zhou, D., and Chen, S. Modulation of aromatase expression in the breast tissue by ERR alpha-1 orphan receptor. *Cancer Res*, 58: 5695-5700, 1998.
42. Yang, C. and Chen, S. Two organochlorine pesticides, toxaphene and chlordane, are antagonists for estrogen-related receptor alpha-1 orphan receptor. *Cancer Res*, 59: 4519-4524, 1999.
43. Szollosi, J., Balazs, M., Feuerstein, B. G., Benz, C. C., and Waldman, F. M. ERBB-2 (HER2/neu) gene copy number, p185HER-2 overexpression, and intratumor heterogeneity in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res*, 55: 5400-5407, 1995.
44. Kraus, M. H., Popescu, N. C., Amsbaugh, S. C., and King, C. R. Overexpression of the EGF receptor-related proto-oncogene erbB-2 in human mammary tumor cell lines by different molecular mechanisms. *Embo J*, 6: 605-610, 1987.
45. Nicholson, S., Wright, C., Sainsbury, J. R., Halcrow, P., Kelly, P., Angus, B., Farndon, J. R., and Harris, A. L. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFr) as a marker for poor prognosis in node-negative breast cancer patients: neu and tamoxifen failure. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*, 37: 811-814, 1990.
46. Benz, C. C., Scott, G. K., Sarup, J. C., Johnson, R. M., Tripathy, D., Coronado, E., Shepard, H. M., and Osborne, C. K. Estrogen-dependent, tamoxifen-resistant tumorigenic growth of MCF-7 cells transfected with HER2/neu. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 24: 85-95, 1993.
47. Luo, J., Sladek, R., Bader, J. A., Matthysen, A., Rossant, J., and Giguere, V. Placental abnormalities in mouse embryos lacking the orphan nuclear receptor ERR-beta. *Nature*, 388: 778-782, 1997.
48. Eisen, M. B., Spellman, P. T., Brown, P. O., and Botstein, D. Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 95: 14863-14868, 1998.
49. Chen, F., Zhang, Q., McDonald, T., Davidoff, M. J., Bailey, W., Bai, C., Liu, Q., and Caskey, C. T. Identification of two hERR2-related novel nuclear receptors utilizing bioinformatics and inverse PCR. *Gene*, 228: 101-109, 1999.

APPENDICES

Table 1. Real-time Q-PCR Primer Sets.

ER α	Product = 100 bp
5'ER α	5'-GGA GGG CAG GGG TGA A-3'
3'ER α	5'-GGC CAG GCT GTT CTT CTT AG-3'
ER β	Product = 137 bp
5'ER β	5'-TTC CCA GCA ATG TCA CTA ACT T-3'
3'ER β	5'-TTG AGG TTC CGC ATA CAG A-3'
EGFR	Product = 104 bp
5'EGFR	5'-GTG ACC GTT TGG GAG TTG ATG A-3'
3'EGFR	5'-GGC TGA GGG AGG CGT TCT C-3'
ErbB2	Product = 82 bp
5'ErbB2	5'-GGG AAG AAT GGG GTC GTC AAA-3'
3'ErbB2	5'-CTC CTC CCT GGG GTG TCA AGT-3'
ErbB3	Product = 106 bp
5' ErbB3	5'-GTG GCA CTC AGG GAG CAT TTA-3'
3' ErbB3	5'-TCT GGG ACT GGG GAA AAG G-3'
ErbB4	Product = 105 bp
5' ErbB4	5'-TGC CCT ACA GAG CCC CAA CTA-3'
3' ErbB4	3'-GCT TGC GTA GGG TGC CAT TAC-3'
ERR α	Product = 100 bp
5'ERR α	5'-AAA GTG CTG GCC CAT TTC TAT-3'
3'ERR α	5'-CCT TGC CTC AGT CCA TCA T-3'
ERR β	Product = 144 bp
5' ERR β	5'-TGC CCT ACG ACG ACA A-3'
3' ERR β	5'-ACT CCT CCT TCT CCA CCT T-3
ERR γ	Product = 67 bp
5'ERR γ	5'-GGC CAT CAG AAC GGA CTT G-3'
3'ERR γ	5'-GCC CAC TAC CTC CCA GGA TA-3'




Figure 1. ER family member mRNA levels in breast tissues. (A) ER α levels. (B) ER β levels. The notched box shows the median, lower and upper quartiles, and confidence interval around the median. The dotted-line connects the nearest observations within 1.5 inter-quartile ranges (IQRs) of the lower and upper quartiles. Far outliers, over 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a \circ , and near outliers, between 1.5 and 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a $+$. Statistical significance determined by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) ANOVA.




Figure 2. ErbB family member mRNA levels in breast tissues. (A) EGFR levels. (B) ErbB2 levels. (C) ErbB3 levels. (D) ErbB4 levels. The notched box shows the median, lower and upper quartiles, and confidence interval around the median. The dotted-line connects the nearest observations within 1.5 inter-quartile ranges (IQRs) of the lower and upper quartiles. Far outliers, over 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a \circ , and near outliers, between 1.5 and 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a $+$. Statistical significance determined by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) ANOVA.




Figure 3. ERR family member mRNA levels in breast tissues. (A) ERR α levels. (B) ERR β levels. (C) ERR γ levels. The notched box shows the median, lower and upper quartiles, and confidence interval around the median. The dotted-line connects the nearest observations within 1.5 inter-quartile ranges (IQRs) of the lower and upper quartiles. Far outliers, over 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a \circ , and near outliers, between 1.5 and 3.0 IQRs away, are denoted with a $+$. Statistical significance determined by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) ANOVA.




Figure 4. Rank-order of mRNA expression. (A) ER and ERR family members. (B) ErbB family members. Gene expression values were log transformed to normally distribute the data. Genes were ranked in descending order according to their mean expression levels and presented along with their corresponding standard errors. Significance was assessed between pairs of adjacently ranked genes by 1-way ANOVA with repeated measures. Significant differences in gene expression levels at $p < 0.05$ are indicated by arrows.





Figure 5. Comparison between ERα and ERRα mRNA levels within the same tissue sample. Significance assessed by the paired *t* test.

Table 2. Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficients (ρ_s) for breast carcinoma properties. The coefficients, p-value, and number of observations are listed on the top, middle, and bottom lines, respectively. Significant correlation coefficients are bolded and marked with an asterisk.

	Pgr-LB	S-phase	DNA Ploidy	ER α	ER β	EGFR	ErbB2	ErbB3	ErbB4	ERR α	ERR β	ERR γ
ER-LB	0.737* < 0.0001 39	-0.070 0.68 38	0.090 0.59 39	0.859* < 0.0001 38	-0.109 0.51 38	-0.762* < 0.0001 38	-0.006 0.97 38	0.173 0.30 38	0.530* 0.001 38	-0.231 0.16 38	0.109 0.51 38	0.149 0.38 37
Pgr-LB		-0.132 0.43 38	0.069 0.68 39	0.684* < 0.0001 38	-0.220 0.18 38	-0.633* < 0.0001 38	0.051 0.76 38	0.217 0.19 38	0.440* 0.006 38	-0.145 0.39 38	0.120 0.47 38	0.045 0.79 37
S-phase			0.750* < 0.0001 39	-0.134 0.43 37	0.010 0.95 37	-0.088 0.60 37	0.062 0.63 37	0.349* 0.034 37	-0.177 0.29 37	0.190 0.26 37	-0.366* 0.026 37	-0.302 0.07 36
DNA Ploidy				0.062 0.71 38	0.048 0.77 38	-0.236 0.15 38	0.125 0.45 38	0.190 0.25 38	-0.039 0.82 38	0.192 0.25 38	-0.187 0.26 38	-0.350* 0.033 37
ER α					-0.145 0.39 38	-0.536* 0.001 38	0.171 0.31 38	0.417* 0.009 38	0.739* < 0.0001 38	-0.128 0.44 38	0.073 0.66 38	0.179 0.29 37
ER β				0.267 0.49 9		0.078 0.64 38	0.243 0.14 38	-0.152 0.36 38	-0.160 0.34 38	0.349* 0.032 38	0.575* 0.0002 38	-0.131 0.44 37
EGFR				0.733* 0.025 9	0.033 0.93 9		0.216 0.19 38	0.094 0.57 38	-0.296 0.07 38	0.192 0.25 38	-0.130 0.44 38	-0.108 0.52 37
ErbB2				0.817* 0.007 9	0.250 0.52 9	0.883* 0.002 9		0.543* 0.0004 38	0.043 0.80 38	0.449* 0.005 38	-0.103 0.54 38	0.120 0.48 37
ErbB3				0.517 0.15 9	0.150 0.70 9	0.463 0.19 9	0.700* 0.036 9		0.416* 0.009 38	0.325* 0.047 38	-0.280 0.09 38	0.156 0.36 37
ErbB4				0.350 0.36 9	0.267 0.49 9	-0.150 0.70 9	0.200 0.61 9	0.600 0.09 9		-0.152 0.36 38	-0.097 0.56 38	0.325* 0.049 37
ERR α				0.700* 0.036 9	0.333 0.38 9	0.900* 0.0009 9	0.933* 0.0002 9	0.567 0.11 9	0.050 0.90 9		0.296 0.07 38	0.034 0.84 37
ERR β				0.233 0.55 9	0.267 0.49 9	0.500 0.17 9	0.583 0.10 9	0.283 0.46 9	0.000 1.00 9	0.767* 0.016 9		-0.090 0.60 37
ERR γ				0.476 0.23 8	0.333 0.42 8	0.143 0.74 8	0.643 0.09 8	0.810* 0.015 8	0.762* 0.028 8	0.381 0.35 8	0.167 0.69 8	
Normal Mammary Epithelial Cells												
Breast Tumors												

A.



B.




Figure 6. Cluster analysis. (A) Normal MECs. (B) Random Primary Breast Tumors. Tree diagrams represent similarities in gene expression patterns. Gene expression levels unchanged from the median level are displayed as black, elevated expression levels are displayed in increasing intensities of red, and reduced expression levels in increasing intensities of green.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND MATERIEL COMMAND
534 SCOTT STREET
FORT DETRICK, MARYLAND 21702-5012

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

MCMR-RMI-S (70-1y)

28 July 03

MEMORANDUM FOR Administrator, Defense Technical Information
Center (DTIC-OCA), 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Fort Belvoir,
VA 22060-6218


SUBJECT: Request Change in Distribution Statement

1. The U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command has reexamined the need for the limitation assigned to technical reports written for this Command. Request the limited distribution statement for the enclosed accession numbers be changed to "Approved for public release; distribution unlimited." These reports should be released to the National Technical Information Service.

2. Point of contact for this request is Ms. Kristin Morrow at DSN 343-7327 or by e-mail at Kristin.Morrow@det.amedd.army.mil.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Encl


PHYLLIS M. RINEHART
Deputy Chief of Staff for
Information Management

ADB233865	ADB264750
ADB265530	ADB282776
ADB244706	ADB286264
ADB285843	ADB260563
ADB240902	ADB277918
ADB264038	ADB286365
ADB285885	ADB275327
ADB274458	ADB286736
ADB285735	ADB286137
ADB286597	ADB286146
ADB285707	ADB286100
ADB274521	ADB286266
ADB259955	ADB286308
ADB274793	ADB285832
ADB285914	
ADB260288	
ADB254419	
ADB282347	
ADB286860	
ADB262052	
ADB286348	
ADB264839	
ADB275123	
ADB286590	
ADB264002	
ADB281670	
ADB281622	
ADB263720	
ADB285876	
ADB262660	
ADB282191	
ADB283518	
ADB285797	
ADB269339	
ADB264584	
ADB282777	
ADB286185	
ADB262261	
ADB282896	
ADB286247	
ADB286127	
ADB274629	
ADB284370	
ADB264652	
ADB281790	
ADB286578	