# UNCLASSIFIED

# AD NUMBER

# ADB249595

# NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

# TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

# FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Proprietary Info.; Oct 98. Other requests shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott St., Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5012.

# AUTHORITY

DA, US Army Med Research and Mat Cmd, ltr dtd 20 Jun 2000, MCMR-RMI-S [70-1y], Dep Ch Staff Info Mgt, Ft. Detrick, MD.

# THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

#### GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4043

TITLE: Surveillance After Initial Treatment for Breast Cancer: A Population-Based Study of Variation in and Outcomes of Care

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Ann B. Nattinger, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Medical College of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226

REPORT DATE: October 1998

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (proprietary information, Oct 98). Other requests for this document shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

DTIC QUALITY INCRECTED 4

19991207 067

### NOTICE

USING GOVERNMENT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA INCLUDED IN THIS DOCUMENT FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT DOES NOT IN ANY WAY OBLIGATE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT FORMULATED OR SUPPLIED THE DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA DOES NOT LICENSE THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION; OR CONVEY ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE, OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY RELATE TO THEM.

#### LIMITED RIGHTS LEGEND

Award Number: DAMD17-94-J-4043 Organization: Medical College of Wisconsin Location of Limited Rights Data (Pages):

Those portions of the technical data contained in this report marked as limited rights data shall not, without the written permission of the above contractor, be (a) released or disclosed outside the government, (b) used by the Government for manufacture or, in the case of computer software documentation, for preparing the same or similar computer software, or (c) used by a party other than the Government, except that the Government may release or disclose technical data to persons outside the Government, or permit the use of technical data by such persons, if (i) such release, disclosure, or use is necessary for emergency repair or overhaul or (ii) is a release or disclosure of technical data (other than detailed manufacturing or process data) to, or use of such data by, a foreign government that is in the interest of the Government and is required for evaluational or informational purposes, provided in either case that such release, disclosure or use is made subject to a prohibition that the person to whom the data is released or disclosed may not further use, release or disclose such data, and the contractor or subcontractor or subcontractor asserting the restriction is notified of such release, disclosure or use. This legend, together with the indications of the portions of this data which are subject to such limitations, shall be included on any reproduction hereof which includes any part of the portions subject to such limitations.

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

anico Medan 1/25/99

\_\_\_\_\_

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of thi collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffersc Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 1998	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND I</b> Annual (30 S	o <mark>ates covered</mark> ep 97 - 29 Sep 98)_	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
Surveillance After Initi A Population-Based Study Care	al Treatment for Bro y of Variation in and	east Cancer: d Outcomes of	DAMD17-94-J-4043	
6. AUTHOR(S) Ann B. Nattinger, M.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	IE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
Medical College of Wisco Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53	onsin 3226			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander		) 1 mand	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Fort Detrick, MD 21702-	-5012			
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	TATEMENT		2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Distribution authorized to U.S. Go	vernment agencies only			
(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylar	ad 21702-5012.		
(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott <b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylar	ađ 21702-5012.		
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylan to describe the use of follo as) and office visits among a control population, are	nd 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammogr breast cancer survivors e also described.	ams, chest 5. Determinants of	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1 6 times the way investor.</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylar to describe the use of follo as) and office visits among ing a control population, are ncer survivors aged 65 and I treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment.	nd 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammogr breast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed to f mammography and of The relative use of cho	ams, chest s. Determinants of preast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1.6 times the use in a control the relative use of mammogr was 8 times the use in the co was high among breast cance</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylan to describe the use of follo as) and office visits among ing a control population, are neer survivors aged 65 and treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment. population matched for ag mass was 2.3 times. About the netrol population. The use of er survivors of different age	nd 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammogra breast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed to of mammography and of The relative use of cha- ge, gender, and geograp 25% of the cohort had of chest radiographs an es, racial, and socioeco	ams, chest ams, chest breast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was whic residence, and a bone scan, which id mammograms nomic strata. In all	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amon Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1.6 times the use in a control the relative use of mammogr was 8 times the use in the co was high among breast cance age groups of the controls, th Breast cancer survivors had a</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylan to describe the use of follo as) and office visits among ing a control population, are ncer survivors aged 65 and treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment. population matched for ag rams was 2.3 times. About introl population. The use of er survivors of different age to use of chest radiographs a mean of 9-10 office visits	ad 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammogr breast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed to of mammography and of The relative use of cha ge, gender, and geograp 25% of the cohort had of chest radiographs an es, racial, and socioeco exceeded the use of m s per year in the two ye	ams, chest s. Determinants of preast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was phic residence, and a bone scan, which ad mammograms nomic strata. In all ammograms. ars after treatment.	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor</li> <li>Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1.6 times the use in a control the relative use of mammogr was 8 times the use in the co was high among breast cance age groups of the controls, th Breast cancer survivors had a</li> <li><b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Breast Can</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylar to describe the use of follo as) and office visits among ing a control population, are ncer survivors aged 65 and treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment. population matched for ag rams was 2.3 times. About introl population. The use of er survivors of different age the use of chest radiographs a mean of 9-10 office visits	ad 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammograbreast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed to of mammography and of The relative use of chore the gender, and geograp 25% of the cohort had of chest radiographs and es, racial, and socioeco exceeded the use of m as per year in the two ye	ams, chest s. Determinants of preast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was ohic residence, and a bone scan, which ad mammograms nomic strata. In all ammograms. ars after treatment.	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1.6 times the use in a control the relative use of mammogr was 8 times the use in the co was high among breast cance age groups of the controls, th Breast cancer survivors had a</li> <li><b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Breast Can Services Research, Medic Care, Humans, Analysis control</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylan to describe the use of follows and office visits among ing a control population, are never survivors aged 65 and treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment. population matched for age mass was 2.3 times. About the introl population. The use of er survivors of different age the use of chest radiographs a mean of 9-10 office visits facer, Secondary Datal care, Variation in Ca of Existing Databases	ad 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammograbreast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed b of mammography and of The relative use of char ge, gender, and geograp 25% of the cohort had of chest radiographs and es, racial, and socioeco exceeded the use of m a per year in the two yes bases, Health are, Outcomes in s	ams, chest ams, chest breast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was whic residence, and a bone scan, which id mammograms nomic strata. In all ammograms. ars after treatment. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 18 16. PRICE CODE	
<ul> <li>(proprietary information, Oct 98). document shall be referred to U.S. and Materiel Command, 504 Scott</li> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 This purpose of this report is radiographics, and bone scan testing, and use of tests amor Among a cohort of breast can 1991 and underwent surgical was about 80% over the first 1.6 times the use in a control the relative use of mammogr was 8 times the use in the co was high among breast cance age groups of the controls, th Breast cancer survivors had a</li> <li><b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Breast Can Services Research, Medic Care, Humans, Analysis o</li> <li><b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION</b> [18. OF REPORT</li> </ul>	Other requests for this Army Medical Research Street, Fort Detrick, Marylan to describe the use of follo is) and office visits among ing a control population, are ncer survivors aged 65 and treatment, the rate of use of two years after treatment. population matched for ag ams was 2.3 times. About introl population. The use of er survivors of different ago the use of chest radiographs a mean of 9-10 office visits ncer, Secondary Datal care, Variation in Ca of Existing Databases SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	ad 21702-5012. ow-up tests (mammogr breast cancer survivors e also described. older who developed to of mammography and of The relative use of cho ge, gender, and geograp 25% of the cohort had of chest radiographs an es, racial, and socioeco exceeded the use of m s per year in the two ye bases, Health are, Outcomes in s 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT	ams, chest 5. Determinants of breast cancer in chest radiographs est radiographs was ohic residence, and a bone scan, which ad mammograms nomic strata. In all ammograms. ars after treatment. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 18 16. PRICE CODE ATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA	

٠

•

.

ż

• • •

#### FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

\_\_\_\_\_ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

\_\_\_\_\_ Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

AM For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

\_\_\_\_ In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

PI - Signature

Date

3.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page(s)
Front Cover	1
Report Documentation Form	2
Foreword	3
Table of Contents	4
Introduction	5
Body (Proprietary data)	5 - 13
Conclusions	13 – 14
References	14
Appendices	14 - 17

#### Surveillance Study Report/DOD Grant #DAMD17-94-J-4043

## 5.) INTRODUCTION

Randomized trials conducted in Europe (1, 2) indicate little benefit from surveillance testing of survivors of early stage breast cancer who are clinically free of disease. The generally accepted strategy for follow-up includes surveillance mammography, and periodic office visits. Some previous data indicate that many breast cancer survivors receive substantial testing to detect distant disease recurrence, but no population-based data are available.

This study utilizes selected population-based secondary data bases to explore issues relevant to the surveillance of early stage breast cancer patients aged 65 and older, after initial treatment. The specific aims of this study are:

- 1. To describe the use of medical resources (e.g. office visits, bone scans, chest radiographs, blood tests) in patients who have undergone mastectomy or breast-conserving surgery with radiation for early stage breast cancer.
- 2. To determine predictors of use of surveillance resources (e.g. age, race, geographic area, socioeconomic status).
- 3. To determine whether an association exists between patterns of intensity in use of surveillance resources and two outcomes: death from breast cancer, and inpatient hospital days associated with a diagnosis of metastatic cancer.

#### 6.) **BODY**

### a.) Underuse of Mammography Among Older Breast Cancer Survivors. (Specific Aims 1 & 2)

Much of this work was described in the last annual report. During the past year, the analyses were completed. The paper has been submitted for publication. A copy is attached as Appendix A.

### b.) Use of Chest Radiographs, Bone Scans, and Mammograms Among Older Breast Cancer Survivors. (Specific Aims 1 & 2)

### Methods

Two cohorts were created. The first is similar to that described above and consisted of female breast cancer patients selected from linked Medicare files. A patient was selected if she: lived in a SEER site, was diagnosed with a first breast cancer in 1991, aged >64

(Proprietary data)

years at diagnosis, was born in the 20th century, had unilateral cancer, had AJCC stage I or II disease, and underwent cancer directed surgery. These criteria yielded a cohort of 5313 patients. Patients were excluded if not alive and eligible for Medicare Parts A & B and not in an HMO for 30 months after diagnosis, yielding 3990 cases. Four of these cases were dropped for incomplete data, yielding a case cohort of 3986 patients.

The second cohort was created by matching the 3986 cases above to controls chosen from the Medicare 5% Non-Cancer Sample Summary Denominator file. This is a 5% random sample of Medicare beneficiaries residing in SEER sites, who are not found in the SEER registry data. A control was matched to a specific case by sex, SEER site of residence, and year of birth. Additionally, the control was required to be alive and eligible for Medicare Parts A & B and not in an HMO for the same 30 month period as the case. The particular control matched to the case was selected at random from the set of controls meeting the matching criteria. The matching was repeated five times to generate a control cohort of 19,930 patients, 5 each for the 3986 cases. Surveillance tests and provider visits were compared between cases and controls in year 1 and year 2 after initial surgical treatment. The figures reflect test use in both year 1 and year 2.

#### Results

The characteristics of the breast cancer survivors and control subjects are presented in Table 1. Overall the use of each test was greater among breast cancer survivors than among the cancer-free Medicare control subjects (Fig. 1). Although the percentage use of bone scans was the least of the 3 tests studied, the relative use of this test in breast cancer survivors compared to control subjects was the greatest.

Fig. 2 shows the use of the 3 tests in breast cancer survivors and controls, by age group. With increasing age, the relative use of mammography in cancer survivors compared to control subjects rises. This is not due to greater use of mammography among older breast cancer survivors, but rather is due to the fact that the use of mammography declines more dramatically with age among the control subjects than among the breast cancer survivors. The percentage of breast cancer survivors receiving chest radiographs is slightly less than the percentage receiving mammography in each age group except for women aged 80 and older, in whom the use of chest radiographs exceeds the use of mammograms. The use of chest radiographs among control subjects is substantial. In fact, the use of chest radiographs among control subjects actually exceeds the use of mammograms in every age group except for women aged 65-69 years. The use of bone scans is consistently low among control subjects of all ages, while the use among breast cancer survivors declines with advancing age.

Fig. 3 shows the use of these tests among different racial groups. The patterns of use of these tests are similar among women of different races, except that among breast cancer

Table 1. Description of Cohorts					
	Ca	ses		Contr	ol Subjects
	n	% of co	hort	n	% of cohort
Age	_			_	
65-69 years	1181	29.6		6,525	32.7
70-74 years	1143	28.7		5,510	27.6
75-79 years	851	21.3		4,170	20.9
80+ years	811	20.3		3,725	18.7
Race					
White	3610	90.5		17,273	86.7
Black	155	3.9		1,292	6.5
Other/Unknown	221	5.5		1,365	6.8
SEER Site					
San Francisco	413	10.4		2.065	10.4
Connecticut	747	18.7		3.735	18.7
Detroit	793	19.9		3,965	19.9
Hawaii	86	2.2		430	2.2
Iowa	700	17.6		3,500	17.6
New Mexico	180	4.5		900	4.5
Seattle	601	15.1		3.005	15.1
Utah	212	5.3		1.060	5.3
Atlanta	254	6.4		1,270	6.4
PCI					
25%	\$12.823	3		\$11.833	
Median	\$15.651			\$15.027	1
75%	\$19,525	5		\$18,929	)
High School+ Ed	1.				
25%	75.2%			74.3%	
Median	82.3%			80.6%	
75%	88.9%			87.0%	
AJCC Stage					
In Situ	427	10.7			
Stage I	2208	55.4			
Stage II	1351	33.9			
<b>Treatment</b>					
Mastectomy		2515	63.1		
BCS w/Radiation	1	884	22.2		
BCS w/out Radia	ation	587	14.7		

survivors, black women and women of other races had greater relative use of bone scans than did white women.

(Proprietary data)

Fig. 4 presents the percentage use of tests according to level of per capita income in the census tract or zip code of residence of the subject. The use of mammography among breast cancer survivors is remarkably consistent across income levels. The use of mammography among the control subjects increases with increasing income, leading to a decrease in the relative use of mammograms in the more affluent survivors compared to controls. A similar phenomenon occurs in the use of mammograms by level of education (Fig. 5) in the area of residence of the subject, where the greater use of mammograms among highly educated control subjects leads to lesser differential use between survivors and control subjects.

The relative use of chest radiographs and bone scans varies little by per capita income or education. The use of chest radiographs among survivors is almost as high as the use of mammograms in all socioeconomic groups. The use of chest radiographs among control subjects exceeds the use of mammograms in all socioeconomic strata (Fig. 4&5).

The use of mammograms in survivors compared to controls varies by geographic site. However, most of the variation is due to differences in the use of mammography by control subjects, and there is little variation in the use of mammography by breast cancer survivors (Fig. 6). There is modest variation by site in the use of chest radiographs among both survivors and control subjects. In one site, the use of chest radiographs among cancer survivors exceeded the use of mammograms, and the use of chest radiographs among control subjects was almost double the use of mammograms among control subjects.



Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one or both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence. The numbers above the bars indicate the ratio of use among the survivors compared to the controls.



Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one of both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence, by age group. The numbers above the bars indicate the ratio of use among the survivors compare to the controls.



Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one of both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence, by race. The numbers above the bars indicate the ratio of use among the survivors compared to the controls.



# Per Capita Income Quartile

Figure 4 mammograms chest rac

Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one of both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence, by per capita income. The numbers above the bars indicate the ratio of use among the survivors compared to the controls.



High School+ Education, Quartiles

#### Figure 5

Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one of both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence, by education. The numbers above the bars indicate the ratio of use among the survivors compared to the controls.



#### Figure 6

Percent of subjects undergoing mammograms, chest radiographs, and bone scans during one of both years after breast cancer treatment among breast cancer survivors and among non-cancer control subjects matched for age, gender, and geographic residence, by SEER site.

# **<u>c.)</u>** Physician Visits in Follow-up to Treatment of Breast Cancer (Specific Aim 1)

#### Methods

Physician follow-up visits for patients who had undergone mastectomy or breastconserving surgery with radiation for early stage breast cancer were evaluated. Office visits in breast cancer cases were compared to office visits in a control population. Cases and controls were identified as described above (See part B methods). Physician Part B Claims were used to identify mammography, chest radiographs, bone scans, and office visits. The HCFA Specialty Code was used to identify the specialty type of the provider. Providers were grouped into a Primary Care Grouping and a Breast Cancer Care Grouping (Table 2). The Primary Care Grouping included General Practice, Family Practice, and Internal Medicine. The Breast Cancer Care Grouping included Hematology/Oncology, Medical/Oncology, Surgical/Oncology, Radiation/Oncology, and General Surgery. A group of All Visits was also used and included any provider visit in the correct time period. Annual visits for each provider care group were compared in year 1 and year 2 between cases and controls.

	Specialty Type	HCFA Specialty Code
Primary Care:		
	General Practice	1
	Family Practice	8
	Internal Medicine	11
Breast Cancer Care:	Hematology/Oncology	83
	Medical/Oncology	90
	Surgical/Oncology	91
	Radiation/Oncology	92
	General Surgery	2

# Table 2. HCFA Specialty Codes For Provider Groups.

#### Results

Breast cancer survivors had approximately 3 additional office visits compared to controls in year 1 and approximately 2.5 additional office visits in year 2 (Table 3). Most of the additional office visits were to Breast Cancer Care providers but some additional visits also occurred to Primary Care Providers.

# Table 3. Physician Visits Per Surveillance Year.

	Year 1		Year 2	
	Cases mean (s.d.)	Controls mean (s.d.)	Cases mean (s.d.)	Controls mean (s.d.)
All Visits*	9.8 (6.7)	6.7 (5.7)	9.1 (6.6)	6.6 (5.6)
Primary Care*	4.4 (4.4)	3.7 (4.0)	4.0 (4.1)	3.7 (4.0)
Breast Cancer Care*	2.1 (2.6)	0.23 (1.1)	1.9 (3.0)	0.23 (1.2)

\*p< 0.0001 for all provider types

## Ongoing Analyses of Provider Visits

Ongoing analysis of provider visits include the following: 1) identification of lower and higher users of office visits, and the association of office visits with the use of other surveillance tests (chest radiographs, mammograms, and bone scans), 2) identification of groups of subjects using mostly primary care or mostly specialty care, 3) evaluation of the association between use of primary care or specialty care and indicators of quality of care such as annual mammography, and 4) evaluation of a provider visit with a medical oncologist as an indicator of quality of care.

## 7.) CONCLUSIONS

Many breast cancer survivors do not undergo the recommended annual follow-up mammograms. The use of mammography is lower among woemn who underwent breast-conserving surgery without radiotherapy than among those who underwent breast-conserving surgery with radiotherapy. Given the high rate of local recurrence of breast cancer among women who underwent breast-conserving surgery without radiotherapy in the randomized trials, further investigation of this finding is warranted.

In general, the use of surveillance follow-up tests for breast cancer survivors over a 2 year period is high for mammograms and chest radiographs, and lower for bone scans. However, the ratio of testing in survivors compared to control subjects is much higher for bone scans. The use of chest radiographs among survivors is almost as high as the use of mammograms. However, among control subjects, the use of chest radiographs is equal to or exceeds the use of mammograms. Those who estimate the cost savings that could be made by decreasing use of chest radiographs among breast cancer survivors must consider the high use of this test in the general population. Moreover the fact that the use of chest radiographs in the general population is greater than the use of mammograms in several demographic groups raises questions about the appropriate use of resources.

Breast cancer survivors have a significant increase in office visits in the two years following initial surgical treatment. In the year following treatment, subjects have

approximately 2 additional visits to breast cancer care providers and one additional visit to a primary care provider. Future work must be done to evaluate whether the increase in provider visits is associated with the quality of follow-up care provided and improved outcomes.

### 8.) **REFERENCES**

- 1. Del Turco MR, Palli D, Cariddi A, Diatto S, Pacini P, Distante V. For the National Research Council Project on Breast Cancer Follow-up. Intensive diagnostic follow-up after treatment of primary breast cancer. A randomized trial. JAMA 1994;271:1593-1597.
- 2. The GIVIO Investigators. Impact of follow-up testing on survival and healthrelated quality of life in breast cancer patients: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1994;271:1587-1592.

#### 9.) **APPENDICES**

 <u>NIH-National Cancer Institute – SEER Medicare Data Users Workshop</u> MM, Schapira, AB Nattinger, TL McAuliffe. Medical College of WI. "Assessing Surveillance Periods for Breast Cancer After Initial Therapy."

#### SEER-MEDICARE DATA USERS WORKSHOP National Institutes of Health National Cancer Institute June 24, 1998

#### ABSTRACT

Assessing Surveillance Periods for Breast Cancer After Initial Therapy. MM Schapira, AB Nattinger, TL McAuliffe. Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI.

#### Introduction

Annual mammography is widely recommended as surveillance for breast cancer survivors. However, the level of adherence to these recommendations is unknown. We conducted a population-based study to elucidate mammography use in older breast cancer survivors and to explore determinants of such use. This presentation will focus on two specific aspects of the study: 1) the temporal relationship between date of diagnosis and initial surgical treatment, and 2) the relationship between initial treatment and mammography claims in the years following treatment.

#### **Methods**

A clinical cohort of women with a first diagnosis of early stage breast cancer was defined from the PEDSF file of the linked SEER-Medicare database. Subjects were required remain alive for 36 months after diagnosis. In addition, subjects were required to be eligible for Medicare part A and part B, and not a member of an HMO, for each month of the 36 month period after diagnosis. The date of diagnosis was assigned as the 15'th of the month of the SEER month of diagnosis. The time from diagnosis to treatment was identified as follows. MEDPAR files were searched from 1 month prior to 6 months after the month of diagnosis, for an inpatient procedure that corresponded to the SEER initial surgical treatment. For those subjects in which an inpatient treatment was identified, a "time to treatment" was calculated as the difference in days between the date of the surgical procedure and the date of diagnosis. For subjects in which an inpatient hospitalization was not identified (18%), the treatment date was assumed to be 30 days after diagnosis.

We hypothesized that surveillance tests would occur in a cyclical fashion from the time of diagnosis. The National Claims History - 100% Physician Supplier file was used to identify mammography claims for each subject in the 36 month time period after initial treatment. Mammography CPT4 codes used were 76090, 76091, and 76092. Surveillance time periods were determined based upon the distribution of mammography claims in the months after initial treatment. Logistic regression models were used to evaluate the effect of clinical, socioeconomic, and treatment variables on the use of mammography.

#### **Results**

Of those subjects who had inpatient treatment identified, 99% underwent a treatment operation within 3 months and 95% had surgical treatment within 1 month of diagnosis. There was no significant difference in time until surgical treatment among different treatment groups (mastectomy, breast conserving surgery without radiotherapy, or breast conserving surgery with radiotherapy). The distribution of mammography claims in the 36 months after initial treatment peaked at 12, 24, and 36 months. Therefore, it was determined that the following definition of time frames were most likely to capture a surveillance mammography occurrence: <u>Surveillance Year 1</u>: 7-18 months after initial treatment, <u>Surveillance Year 2</u>: 19-30 months after initial treatment. Mammography surveillance use was further defined as follows: <u>Annual Surveillance</u>: mammography in years 1 and 2, <u>One Year Surveillance</u>: mammography in year 1 or year 2 but not in both years, or <u>No Surveillance</u>: no mammography in year 1 or year 2.

Of the 3885 women studied, 62% underwent annual mammography, 23% underwent mammography in one of two years, and 15% had no mammography claim in the two years evaluated. When controlling for other factors including age, stage, and geographic site, the use of annual mammography was less in women treated with BCS without radiotherapy, (OR 0.36, 95% CI: 0.28-0.45), and in women treated with mastectomy, (OR 0.43, 95% CI: 0.36-0.52), compared to women treated with BCS with radiotherapy. Annual mammography was also less likely in women with stage I, (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.59-0.95), or stage II disease, (OR 0.61, 95% CI: 0.47-0.78), compared to women with in situ disease.

<u>Conclusions</u>: In the majority of patients, surgical treatment occurs close to the time of diagnosis. There is a cyclical occurrence of mammograms that is in a temporal relationship to initial treatment. This finding provides face validity to the inference that the mammograms identified are being used as surveillance tests.

## SEER-MEDICARE DATA USERS WORKSHOP National Institutes of Health National Cancer Institute

#### June 24, 1998

TITLE:	Generalizability of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Registry Population: Factors Relevant to Epidemiologic and Health Care Research		
AUTHORS NAMES:	Ann B. Nattinger, Timothy L. McAuliffe, and Marilyn M. Schapira		
WORK AFFILIATION:	Medical College of Wisconsin		
ADDRESS:	8701 Watertown Plank Road Milwaukee, WI 53226		

ABSTRACT: To assess the generalizability of the population included in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) tumor registries to the overall United States population, we compared the population of the 198 SEER counties to the population of 2882 non-SEER counties regarding sociodemographic factors, physician availability, and availability of pertinent hospital resources. The population residing within the SEER areas is more affluent, has lower unemployment, and is substantially more urban than the remainder of the US population (p<0.001 for each). The SEER areas have fewer general and family practice physicians, but more total nonfederal physicians, general internists, and specialists relevant to cancer care. SEER areas have fewer Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals accredited hospitals, hospital beds, and hospitals with CT scanners, but more hospitals with bone marrow transplantation.

The differences between the SEER population and the remainder of the United States, especially SEER's higher socioeconomic status and more urban population, should be considered when generalizing from SEER to the entire country. J CLIN EPIDEMIOL 50;8:939-945, 1997.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND MATERIEL COMMAND 504 SCOTT STREET FORT DETRICK, MARYLAND 21702-5012

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

MCMR-RMI-S (70-1y)

20 Jun 00

MEMORANDUM FOR Administrator, Defense Technical Information Center, ATTN: DTIC-OCA, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

SUBJECT: Request Change in Distribution Statements

1. The U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command has reexamined the need for the limitation assigned to technical reports written for Award Number DAMD17-94-J-4043. Request the limited distribution statement for Accession Document Numbers ADB225277, ADB235938, and ADB249595, be changed to "Approved for public release; distribution unlimited." These reports should be released to the National Technical Information Service.

2. Point of contact for this request is Ms. Virginia Miller at DSN 343-7327 or by email at Virginia.Miller@det.amedd.army.mil.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

PHYLNS M. RINEHART Deputy Chief of Staff for Information Management