UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER ADB249593 NEW LIMITATION CHANGE TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Proprietary Info.; Jul 99. Other requests shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott St., Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5012. **AUTHORITY** USAMRMC ltr, dtd 21 Feb 2003

AD)	,	

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-96-1-6101

TITLE: Race differences in breast cancer survival

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Beth Jones, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8047

REPORT DATE: July 1999

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (proprietary information, Jul 99). Other requests for this document shall be referred to U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

DTIC QUALITY INSTRUCTED 4

NOTICE

USING GOVERNMENT DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA INCLUDED IN THIS DOCUMENT FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT THAN DOES NOT IN ANY OBLIGATE THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT FORMULATED OR SUPPLIED THE DRAWINGS. SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA DOES NOT LICENSE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION; OR CONVEY ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE, OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY RELATE TO THEM.

LIMITED RIGHTS LEGEND

Award Number: DAMD17-96-1-6101

Organization: Yale University School of Medicine

Those portions of the technical data contained in this report marked as limited rights data shall not, without the written permission of the above contractor, be (a) released or disclosed outside the government, (b) used by the Government for manufacture or, in the case of computer software documentation, for preparing the same or similar computer software, or (c) used by a party other than the Government, except that the Government may release or disclose technical data to persons outside the Government, or permit the use of technical data by such persons, if (i) such release, disclosure, or use is necessary for emergency repair or overhaul or (ii) is a release or disclosure of technical data (other than detailed manufacturing or process data) to, or use of such data by, a foreign government that is in the interest of the Government and is required for evaluational or informational purposes, provided in either case that such release, disclosure or use is made subject to a prohibition that the person to whom the data is released or disclosed may not further use, release or disclose such data, and the contractor or subcontractor or subcontractor asserting the restriction is notified of such release, disclosure or use. This legend, together with the indications of the portions of this data which are subject to such limitations, shall be included on any reproduction hereof which includes any part of the portions subject to such limitations.

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

Mrusingha oh oran Munhra	

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved

OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and meintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other espect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arfington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE July 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES (Annual (1 Jul 98 - 30	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Race differences in breast cancer survival			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-96-1-6101
6. AUTHOR(S) Beth Jones, Ph.D.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) A Yale University School of Me New Haven, Connecticut 065	edicine		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NA U.S. Army Medical Research Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702	and Materiel Command		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
	S. Government agencies only		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			:
breast cancer in the late addition to measuring so factors related to survivor of both races. At the end of your black women compared findings suggest that the with years of education.	ow-up study of a cohort of African-Ame 1980's. Its purpose is to examine race survival and examining racial difference val for the study population and to determine three of this four-year project, our d with white women with breast cancer he survival differential is not explained in. Over the course of the study, these first three three values are survival.	ce differences (black/white) in ces in survival, this study also ermine if the prognostic indic r preliminary results indicate r, before and after adjustmen by race differences in socio- findings will be expanded usi	in breast cancer survival. In o seeks to identify prognostic cators are the same for women a survival disadvantage for it for stage at diagnosis. Early economic status as measured ing more complete data on
range of factors including	eath, and time to recurrence. Additiona ing medical care and psychosocial varia ting a multidisciplinary approach to un	iables, other tumor characteri	istics, and molecular
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast cancer, Race, Afri Factors, Molecular Ep	ican American, Survival, pidemiology.	, Prognostic	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Limited

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S Army.
Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.
Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.
Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.
In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).
For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.
In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.
In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.
In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

II DIGILLOUI

Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STANDARD FORM 298	2
FOREWARD	3
INTRODUCTION	5
PROGRESS WITH RESPECT TO STATEMENT OF WORK	5-7
GOALS FOR UPCOMING YEAR	8
KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS	8
UPDATED PRELIMINARY FINDINGS	8
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES	9
CONCLUSIONS	9
APPENDIX (ABSTRACT-PRELIMINARY FINDINGS)	10-11

INTRODUCTION

This is a follow-up study of a cohort of African American and white women who were diagnosed with breast cancer in the late 1980s. The study aims are to examine race differences in survival, examine predictors of survival for all study subjects (controlling for race), and to identify race-specific predictors of survival. The primary objective is to identify factors that explain the observed race difference (African American/white) in survival from breast cancer. Factors to be evaluated include demographic variables, socioeconomic status, psychosocial factors, comorbidity, breast cancer treatment modalities, tumor characteristics, and specific genetic alterations.

PROGRESS TO DATE WITH RESPECT TO ORIGINAL STATEMENT OF WORK

Task 1: Month 1-1.5: Hire project coordinator/ **COMPLETED**

UPDATE:

Early in year 03, Ms. Gwatkin left this position for another position outside the University. Ms. Lisa Schlenk assumed her duties on a part-time basis. Ms. Schlenk has worked with the PI on another investigation since 1996. The transition went smoothly as Ms. Schlenk had been working in an adjoining office since the beginning of this study, and was well-acquainted with the daily routines associated with this position. In addition, she brought to the position sophistocated computer skills that were helpful in data management

Task 2: Months 1-6: Submit protocol to 22 hospitals to gain approval from the Institutional Review Boards. This requires a significant amount of paperwork as well as personal appearances by the P.I. and the RCA director. **COMPLETED**

Task 3: Months 1-12: Develop and learn a data tracking system. This will be preceded by the purchasing of a new computer and appropriate software. **COMPLETED**

Task 4: Months 1-3: Review all existing files on patients to establish a comprehensive list of hospitals in which tumor specimens might be located. This is not a task that can be computerized, because the existing data is part of original documentation that was abstracted from patients' medical charts. **COMPLETED**

Task 5: Months 7-9: Collect tumor specimens from 22 hospitals. **COMPLETED**

UPDATE:

It is assumed that we have collected all specimens that will be available to us. Nevertheless, we are still pursuing the retrieval of tissue from 3 hospitals: St. Raphaels (17 cases), Uconn (3 cases) and Greenwich Hospital (3 cases). While the specifics differ, in all 3 of these hospitals, our study and a number of other research projects have been denied access to tumor blocks to date. Our representative from the RCA (Shared Resource from the Yale Cancer Center) makes weekly inquires as to the availability of the requested blocks. In the case of St Raphael's Hospital, we have offered to hire our own histology technician to make the necessary sections. To date, our offer has not been accepted. We will continue to make the requests through December, 1999. If, by then, we still have not received the tumor tissue, we will assume that these cases will have missing data.

Task 6: Months 7-9: Link study cases to Connecticut Tumor Registry files. COMPLETED IN 1997; DATA INCLUDED IN PRELIMINARY RESULTS PRESENTED AT ERA OF HOPE MEETING

UPDATE:

All CTR data were updated in the early months of 1999. The PI (Dr. Jones) and a part-time research assistant, Dr. Kent Ta made several trips to the Connecticut Tumor Registry in Hartford in order to read the on-line file on every study subject. Any new information was abstracted. The CTR no longer provides this information by computer tape, thus, this was a labor intensive task that required several trips to the registry. We also used hard copies of information provided by Connecticut hospitals to resolve issues of missing or ambiguous information. This updated information on vital status, time to recurrence, and treatment modalities has been incorporated into our data base.

Task 7: Months 9-12: Select from all available paraffin blocks on each patient, the best specimen (tumor block) for further testing. This will require a review of tumor slides (and preliminary staining) by the pathologist. **COMPLETED** (with the exception of specimens from the hospitals named above)

Task 8: Months 13-24: Laboratory testing on approximately 300 tissue samples. Tests to be done are the following: Histopathologic grade, tumor grade, estrogen receptors, progesterone receptors, DNA ploidy, S phase fraction, presence of p53 mutations, and overexpression of erbB-2. Additionally, gene sequencing will be done on all tumors that are positive for p53 in order to determine location and type of mutation. COMPLETED (with the exception of specimens from the hospitals named above)

UPDATE:

Laboratory testing has been completed on specimens from 254 study subjects. Although we projected receiving tumor on 300 patients, we were unable to retrieve specimens from 3 institutions (discussed above). Additionally, a number of tumor blocks either had no or insufficient tumor to perform the tests discussed in the protocol. All available blocks were tested for tissue. Several changes to the original protocol were made: 1) Histopathologic grade will be evaluated from the original pathology reports; 2) DNA ploidy was performed on approximately 80 specimens. At that point, under the advice of Drs. Howe and Lachman, the PI decided that this was consuming too much tissue and was unlikely to yield results that have not been previously reported. Instead, we decided to add a new test: c-met, as described in the 1998 annual report. Additionally, a Yale Cancer Center colleague (and fellow DOD grant recipient), Dr. Michael DiGiovanna, has reported interesting findings on the activated form of neu, p-neu. (Phospho-neu) After receiving Yale HIC approval, we submitted tumor tissue to his laboratory for p-neu testing. These tests will be completed in the next several weeks. Although these changes represent slight departures from the original protocol, the decision was made to explore the newest avenues of research on prognostic indicators.

Task 9: Months 13-30: Review all original documentation (e.g., progress notes, M.D.consults, discharge summaries), patient interviews for available data on treatment for cancer. Compare these data with CTR data. Fill in the blanks: i.e., contact physicians, specialists, or patients in order to gain as complete information as is possible. **COMPLETED**

Task 10: Months 13-30: This task will be coordinated with task 8, in that a similar review of all available data will be conducted to ascertain vital status (including recurrence or development of subsequent primary cancer). **COMPLETED**

UPDATE, TASKS 9 AND 10: All data have now been collected and are included in study data bases. Once the data were collected, Dr.Ta (M.D.) reviewed all information to identify deficiencies in the information and to resolve inconsistencies in the data from different data sources.

Task 11: Months 12-18: Data Management. Even though the data will be "trickling" in over the next year and one-half, the development of SAS datasets will be underway well in advance of having completed data collection. This will involve the assimilation of several different data sources with existing data to develop SAS data sets, as well as creation of variables, and various indices (especially relevant to the psychosocial variables). **COMPLETED**

UPDATE: As mentioned above, Dr. Kent Ta joined the study in December, 1998 as a research assistant and data manager. He was instrumental in establishing our 5 complete data bases: a) Inperson Interview data; b) Medical record abstraction data; c) Tumor registry data; d) MD questionnaire data; e) Laboratory data; These data sets have been cleaned with variables identified and transformed into SAS data sets.

Task 12: Months 18-end of project period: Data Analysis. The timing of this task will depend on the availability of the data. Because of the scope of the proposed project, and the availability of existing data, it is reasonable to plan for data analyses even before all data are available.

UPDATE:

As the new data sets have only recently become available, we have performed only basic analyses since the earlier analyses that were presented at the 1997 Era of Hope meeting. These new results are appear below, See UPDATED PRELIMINARY FINDINGS.

Task 13: Year 04: Write-up of results. Clearly, the reporting of results needs to be done in conjunction with on-going analyses. Other than preliminary reports, we anticipate that the major write up will take place in the last year of the study.

UPDATE:

To be performed in Year 04 as planned.

Goals for the Upcoming Year

To begin, with the exception of major data analysis, all of the tasks outlined in last year's "Goals for the Upcoming Year" were accomplished. The primary activities to be accomplished in year 04 are data analysis and manuscript preparation. Additionally, there are some final lab tests to be performed (on the specimens from the 3 hospitals that have not yet provided tumor tissue), as well as final reading of the p-neu results and SSCP, DNA sequencing by the study pathologist. Additionally, there is a fairly large administrative task to be performed in that all tumor blocks and slides must be returned to the 22 participating community hospitals. Because we received many blocks and slides on each patient, and much of this material is still in the hands of several different laboratories, this task and continuing data management will be one of several tasks assigned to the project coordinator.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Preliminary Findings: NOT for PUBLICATION

- Established race difference in survival from breast cancer, after adjustment for stage at diagnosis
- Established race differences for a number of prognostic indicators, confirming earlier reports of a disadvantage for African American women compared to white women
- Earlier results suggest that survival differences persist even with adjustment for socioeconomic status (measured as Education)

Once analyses are completed using the updated survival information, treatment information, and time to recurrence, more definitive and comprehensive findings will be reported.

UPDATE OF PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

Since reporting preliminary results in 1997, we now have a minimum of 10 years of follow-up on the original cohort of 322 breast cancer study subjects. Of these, 135 (42%) women have died. Eighty-four (26%) deaths are directly attributable to breast cancer. Disease-free survival (alive, without recurrence) in this cohort is 54% (175 women).

Early analysis of the laboratory data shows that African American women are significantly more likely to be diagnosed with estrogen receptor negative tumors (Odds ratio [OR] = 1.82, Confidence Interval [CI] 1.04 - 3.20) and to be positive for p53 mutations (OR = 3.71, CI 1.54 - 9.12), both of which are associated with poor prognosis. African American women were also more likely to be diagnosed with progesterone receptor negative tumors (OR = 1.23, CI 0.68 - 2.22) and tumors which were positive for c-met (OR = 1.21, CI = 0.69 - 2.13), although neither of these differences approached statistical significance. In this cohort of breast cancer patients, African American women were not more likely to be diagnosed with tumors which overexpressed neu (OR

0.85, CI 0.46 - 1.60). This is consistent with the only 2 known studies to report on race differences in neu.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

Beth A. Jones, Ph.D., Meredith S. Glazer, Ph.D., Stanislav V. Kasl, Ph.D. Yale University School of Medicine RACE DIFFERENCES (BLACK/WHITE)
IN BREAST CANCER SURVIVAL. EARLY FINDINGS.
Abstract presented at the 1997 Era of Hope meeting in Washington, DC.

To date, there have been no other published or publicly presented abstracts from this investigation.

CONCLUSIONS:

At the end of year 03 of this four-year epidemiologic study, preliminary results indicate a survival disadvantage for African American women compared with white women with breast cancer, before and after adjustment for stage at diagnosis. Early findings indicate that the survival disadvantage is not explained by race differences in socioeconomic status as measured by years of education. At this juncture, all of the necessary data have been assembled. In this last year of the study, in-depth analyses will be undertaken to determine the prognostic significance of a wide range of factors including medical care, comorbidity, treatment modalities, psychosocial factors, tumor characteristics, and molecular alterations. Outcomes include overall survival and disease free survival over a 10 year (average) follow-up period. This study offers a multidisciplinary approach to understanding the African American /white survival difference in breast cancer.

APPENDIX: Abstracts presented at the 1997 Era of Hope meeting in Washington, D.C.

RACE DIFFERENCES (BLACK/WHITE) IN BREAST CANCER SURVIVAL, EARLY FINDINGS.

Beth A. Jones, Ph.D., Meredith S. Glazer, Ph.D., Stanislav V. Kasl, Ph.D.

> Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut, 06510-2409

Despite a somewhat lower incidence of breast cancer in African American women relative to white women, there is a substantial black/white difference in survival from breast cancer. Data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program for the years 1986-1992 indicate a five-year survival rate of 85% for white women compared with 70% for black women. While the survival rates for women of both races have improved significantly since the mid 1970s, the survival rates reported for black women in this latest time period are comparable to the survival rates achieved for white women nearly twenty years ago. The purpose of the current investigation is to evaluate the survival in a cohort of black and white women who were diagnosed with breast cancer in Connecticut between 1987 and 1989, and to identify important prognostic factors, with special emphasis on explaining the black/white survival differential.

This follow-up study builds on the results of a completed, population-based investigation aimed at understanding social, psychological, and medical care factors that might explain the observed black/ white difference in stage at diagnosis of breast cancer. Previously collected data (from the time of diagnosis) will be combined with newly collected data on molecular alterations (p53 and erbB-2) and tumor characteristics (e.g., DNA ploidy, estrogen receptor status) derived from laboratory testing of archived tissue blocks, as well as vital status information retrieved from the Connecticut Tumor Registry (CTR) to determine the following: 1) predictors of survival from breast cancer for all study subjects; 2) race-specific predictors of survival; and 3) the explanatory potential of prognostic variables in the black/white survival differential.

Keywords: Race, Survival, Blacks, Prognostic Factors, Breast Cancer

This work was supported by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command under DAMD-17-96-1-6101

Lay Abstract

This is a population based study of 145 black women and 177 white women who were diagnosed with breast cancer in Connecticut between January, 1987 and May, 1989. Women were identified through active surveillance of 22 Connecticut hospitals. Extensive baseline information was collected from in-person interview and medical chart abstraction. In this first year of the follow-up study, information on vital status and cause of death has been obtained from the CTR. Preliminary data analysis includes bivariate analyses of race and potential prognostic factors using chi-square tests; predictors of survival have been evaluated with Kaplan-Meier product limit estimates and Cox proportional hazards models. In these preliminary analyses, all cause mortality is the outcome variable.

As of January, 1997, 113 women of the 322 breast cancer cases (35.1%) had died, with an average time to death of 4.2 years. Eighty-two (72%) of the deaths were confirmed breast cancer deaths. Among survivors, women were followed for a maximum of 9.6 years with an average follow-up of 7.2 years. Black women were significantly more likely to die than were white women during the follow-up period (age-adjusted Risk Ratio [RR] = 1.70, Confidence Interval [CI],1.16-2.50). Although adjustment for stage at diagnosis (in situl local vs. regional/remote) reduced the predictive value of race, black women were still significantly more likely to die from their disease than were their white counterparts (RR = 1.52, CI 1.03-2.24). Further adjustment of this model for one measure of socioeconomic status (years of education) did not alter these results.

Several tumor characteristics differed by race group, with black women more likely to be in the higher risk category. Using data abstracted from the medical chart, and adjusting for age, black women were more likely to have high grade tumors (Odds Ratio [OR] = 2.53, CI 1.08-5.91), lymphatic invasion (OR = 1.91, CI 0.99-3.69), necrosis (OR=1.48, CI 0.87-2.53), skin involvement 1.88 (0.66-5.36), nipple involvement (OR = 1.95, CI 0.77-4.99), estrogen receptor (ER) negative tumors (OR = 1.29, CI 0.70-2.39), and progesterone receptor (PR) negative tumors (OR= 1.50, CI 0.81-2.78). While several of these factors do not differ significantly between race groups, they suggest a tendency toward more aggressive tumors in black women. The lack of statistical significance may be a function of missing data as not all laboratory tests were performed on all tumors. Of the tumor characteristics listed above,

only skin involvement remained a significant predictor of mortality after adjustment for age, race, and stage at diagnosis.

These preliminary results demonstrate a survival disadvantage for black women compared with white women with breast cancer, before and after adjustment for stage at diagnosis. Early findings suggest that the survival differential is not explained by race differences in socioeconomic status as measured with years of education. Over the course of the study, these findings will be expanded using more complete data on vital status, cause of death, and time to recurrence. Additionally, we will evaluate the prognostic significance of a wide range of factors including medical care and psychosocial varibles, other tumor characteristics, and molecular alterations, thus permitting a multidisciplinary approach to understanding the black/white survival difference in breast cancer.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY



US ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND MATERIEL COMMAND 504 SCOTT STREET FORT DETRICK, MARYLAND 21702-5012

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

MCMR-RMI-S (70-1y)

21 Feb 03

MEMORANDUM FOR Administrator, Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC-OCA), 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

SUBJECT: Request Change in Distribution Statement

1. The U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command has reexamined the need for the limitation assigned to technical reports written for this Command. Request the limited distribution statement for the enclosed accession numbers be changed to "Approved for public release; distribution unlimited." These reports should be released to the National Technical Information Service.

2. Point of contact for this request is Ms. Kristin Morrow at DSN 343-7327 or by e-mail at Kristin.Morrow@det.amedd.army.mil.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Encl

PHYLYS M RINEHART

Deputy Chilef of Staff for Information Management

ADB263458	ADB282838
ADB282174	ADB233092
ADB270704	ADB263929
ADB282196	ADB282182
ADB264903	ADB257136
ADB268484	ADB282227
ADB282253	ADB282177
ADB282115	ADB263548
ADB263413	ADB246535
ADB269109	ADB282826
ADB282106	ADB282127
ADB262514	ADB271165
ADB282264	ADB282112
ADB256789	ADB255775
ADB251569	ADB265599
ADB258878	ADB282098
ADB282275	ADB232738
ADB270822	ADB243196
ADB282207	ADB257445
ADB257105	ADB267547
ADB281673	ADB277556
ADB254429	ADB239320
ADB282110	ADB253648
ADB262549	ADB282171
ADB268358	ADB233883
ADB257359	ADB257696
ADB265810	ADB232089
ADB282111	ADB240398
ADB273020	ADB261087
ADB282185	ADB249593
ADB266340	ADB264542
ADB262490	ADB282216
ADB266385	ADB261617
ADB282181	ADB269116
ADB262451	
ADB266306	
ADB260298	
ADB269253	
ADB282119	
ADB261755	
ADB257398	
ADB267683	
ADB282231	
ADB234475	· ·
ADB247704	
ADB258112	
ADB267627	