

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
ADB026991
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution limited to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their Contractors; Specific authority; 19 Sep 69. Other requests must be referred to Officer-in-Charge, Naval Underwater Systems Center, Attn: Code-034. New London, CT 06320.
AUTHORITY
ONR ltr., Ser 93/160, 10 Mar 1999

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD B026991

000811

Distribution limited to U.S. Gov't. agencies only
test and evaluation; A Sept 19 1969
for this document must be referred to
Underwater Sound Laboratory

New London, Conn. 06320

FOR FURTHER TRAN

MOST Project - 3

~~GOV LIBRARY COPY~~

Code No. _____

Copy No. 65

NAVY UNDERWATER SOUND LABORATORY
NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT 06320

AD No. _____
DDC FILE COPY

A SCHEDULED AT-SEA SIMULATION OF ADAPTIVE BEAMFORMING.

by

NUSL Problem No.

A-404-00-00

SF 11 552 001-11285

B. F. Cron G. Botseas

NUSL Technical Memorandum No. 2211-162-69

DDC

MAY 12 1978

ABSTRACT

F11552

The Least Mean Square algorithm is a method of extracting a target signal in the presence of interfering noise sources. The method and the computer tests for simulated conditions utilizing random numbers, are reviewed. These tests indicate that the method is feasible for laboratory conditions. The purpose of a sea test is to evaluate the method for actual ocean conditions. The proposed experiment is part of project PARKA and will use elements of the Sea Spider array, the USNS SANDS (AGOR-6), and the Univac 1230 computer system. The data will be processed in real time. The experiment and computer program are described.

INTRODUCTION

In this memorandum, the proposed experiment of adaptive beamforming is reviewed. The review includes a brief description of adaptive beamforming, the sea spider array, the proposed experiment and the computer program.

ADAPTIVE BEAMFORMING

We will follow the theory developed by Widrow⁽¹⁾ and Griffiths⁽²⁾ on the subject of adaptive beamforming. A tapped delay line is attached to each element of a K element array. There are L weights in each tapped delay line. (See Figure 1). The purpose of the algorithm is to adjust the weights such that there is a least mean square (LMS) difference between the output y and the expected signal. The problem was first solved by Wiener and the final answer was in terms of an inverse matrix.

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Navy Underwater Sound Laboratory.

254 200 mt

Widrow and Griffiths obtained an approximate iterative solution. The main attractive features of the solution is that the noise field need not be known before hand and that it is not necessary to invert a matrix. In our simulation, the power spectrum of the signal is assumed to be known. In obtaining the LMS difference, the noise tends to be minimized and the pattern of the array tends to have notches where the noise interferences are strongest.

The adaptive beamforming test has been successfully simulated by the use of random number data by students at Stanford University. The purpose of this test is to examine adaptive beamformer performance under actual ocean conditions.

SEA SPIDER ARRAY

The Sea Spider array is approximately 350 miles north of Hawaii. It is a 3 legged array, each leg being 45° from the vertical. The array is buoyed up by an ellipsoidal subsurface float. In addition, over 3000 hollow glass spheres are mounted on the three legs, making the legs neutrally buoyant. The tension in the 3 cables and the neutrally buoyant elements attached to them combine to render a stable and rigid support for the array. The total number of hydrophones is 30 and there are 10 elements per leg. The depth of the water at the array site is about 19,000 ft.

PROPOSED EXPERIMENT

A linear 5 element array will be used in the experiment. The four distances between nearest neighboring elements are 21 ft, 52 ft, 100 ft and 171 ft.

A 400 cps projector will be suspended from the USNS SANDS (See Figure 2). The depth of the projector will be at 1000 ft. The 5 element array is at a depth of 2500 ft. The ship will be 12,000 ft from the array so that the projector is in the Fraunhofer region of the array. The surface reflected, direct and bottom reflected rays will arrive at 28.7° , 37.9° and 115.8° respectively from the broadside direction of the array.

The Honeywell projector is driven by a pseudo-random noise (PRN) signal centered at 500 Hz in a 200 Hz band. The projector transmitting response curve is shown in Figure 3. The HX-90 has a maximum source level of 100.6db (re 1 μ bar at 1 yd). Driving the projector with a PRN signal reduces the source level to 91.1 db and at a range of 12,000 ft the expected acoustic level at the receiving hydrophones is +19.1db.

[illegible]

A block diagram of the transmitting system is shown in Figure 4. A PRN signal that can either be continuous or gated is filtered through a Butterworth filter that has a 200 Hz bandwidth centered at 500 Hz. The signal is then amplified and transmitted. The GML amplifier has a maximum output power of 5 KW and with a 40% projector efficiency, the electrical power is more than adequate to drive the projector at full power if required.

A block diagram of the receiving equipment is shown in Figure 5. The signal received at the hydrophone is amplified and the information is telemetered back to the ship where it is filtered through identical Butterworth filters and recorded on magnetic tape in analog form. The analog signals also go to a 12 bit A/D converter and these are processed on the 1230 Univac computer. The digitized signals are also recorded on Univac 1540 magnetic tape. Each execution of the LMS Pattern Program results in three records and an end of file being written on the Univac 1540 magnetic tape units. All records are recorded at 800 BPI, Odd Parity, Modulus 6, and in Biocatal Format. The order of the three records are shown in Figure 6. The details of the format for each of these records is shown in Figure 7 and 8.

COMPUTER PROGRAM

The updating of the weights is obtained from equation (1).

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}(i) &= \tilde{w}(i) + \mu [ps(i) - y x(i)] \\ y &= \sum_{i=1}^{KL} w(i) x(i) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$x(i)$ are the KL inputs
 y is the output
 $ps(i)$ is the signal correlation
 μ is an arbitrary constant
 $w(i)$ are the KL weights

The inputs $x(i)$ are hard clipped. The CS-1 program in fixed point arithmetic for equation (1) is given in appendix A.

The beam pattern $P(\theta)$ for a given set of weights is given by equation (2). See reference 3 for a full explanation of this equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\theta) = & \sum_{p=0}^9 E_p R(p\Delta) \sum_{m=0}^4 C(m, m, p) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{n=0}^3 \sum_{m=n+1}^4 R\left[\frac{(d_m - d_n)}{c} \cos \theta\right] C(m, n, 0) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{p=1}^9 \sum_{m=0}^4 \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ m \neq n}}^4 R\left[p\Delta + \frac{(d_m - d_n)}{c} \cos \theta\right] C(m, n, p)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_p = & 1, p = 0 \\
 & = 2, p \neq 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

$$R(\tau) = \frac{\sin \pi W \tau}{\pi W \tau} \cos(2\pi f_0 \tau)$$

$$C(m, n, p) = \sum_{i=0}^{9-p} w(m, p+i) w(n, i)$$

The subroutine for equation 2 is given in Appendix B.

COMPUTER RESULTS

Random Gaussian numbers were used to simulate a plane wave striking the array at an angle of 22° from broadside. The power spectrum corresponding to the time waveforms was flat with a center frequency of 250 cps and a bandwidth of 300 cps. The conventional pattern of the equally weighted 5 element array is shown in Figure 9. Using the LMS algorithm and a $\mu = .01$, the weights of the tapped delay line were updated and after 2000 iterations, the pattern corresponding to these weights was computed. The result is shown in Figure 10. After 4000 iterations, the pattern shown in Figure 11, was obtained. The maximum sensitivity is 0 db in the look direction, i.e. the broadside direction in this case. A null of greater than -20db is

obtained in the interference direction.

CONCLUSIONS


A computer program using CS-1 language and fixed point arithmetic has been written and is operating successfully on simulated data. This program will be used at sea to test the applicability of adaptive beamforming under actual ocean conditions.

George Botseas B.F.C.
GEORGE BOTSEAS
Computer Specialist

Benjamin F. Cron
BENJAMIN F. CRON
Research Associate

REFERENCES


- (1) B. Widrow, P. Mantey, L. Griffiths, and B. Goode, "Adaptive Antenna Systems," Proc. IRE, 55, 12, Dec 1967.
- (2) L. Griffiths, "Signal Extraction Using Real-Time Adaptation of a Linear Multichannel Filter," Technical Report #6788-1, Stanford University, Feb 1968
- (3) A. Nuttall, D. Hyde, B. Cron, "Efficient Computation of Beam Patterns for Arrays with Tapped Delay-Line Combiners," USL Tech Memo 2020-88-69, May 1969.



ADAPTIVE BEAMFORMER

NUSL Tech Memo
No. 2211-162-03

Figure 1



- ① $\theta_1 = 28.7^\circ$
- ② $\theta_2 = 37.9^\circ$
- ③ $\theta_3 = 115.8^\circ$

Official Photograph

U. S. Navy Underwater Sound Laboratory
NP24 - 36088 - 6 - 69

Figure 2

4-10 X 10 TO THE INCH 46 0703
 MADE IN U.S.A.
 L. FFE. A. ESSER CO

NUSL Tech Memo
 No. 2211-162-69

Transmitting Response
 HX-90 with 3000' cable
 Serial #009
 Untuned
 Depth 18'
 Date 10 Mar 69

Sound pressure in db REF 1 dyne/cm² @ 1 yd for input of 1 volt.




Figure 3

3472-3877

3/14/69

NUSL Tech Memo
No. 2211-162-69






Figure 4
Transmitting System




IDENTICAL SETUP
FOR OTHER HYDS

Figure 5
Receiving System for Single Hyd



MAGNETIC TAPE FORMAT

Figure 6



NOTES:

1. The first word of the NOISE record is "NOS" left justified in ASCII code.
2. The first word of the SIGNAL record is "SIG" left justified in ASCII code.
3. The last 45 words stored in the upper 15 bits of X are identical to the first 45 words stored in the lower 15 bits of X. This facilitated programming.
4. X3,2008 is interpreted as the 2009th sample on channel 3.
5. Data block X is comprised of 5 channels at 4009 samples each for a total of 20,045 unique data words in A/D code.

NOISE AND SIGNAL RECORDS

Figure 7

PROCESSED
1201221170
MONTH
DAY
HOUR
MINUTE
SECOND
NRMS
NOCRS
TOTCRS
THRESH
L'
MF
ITER
FO
BW
POW 181 WORDS
LPOW 181 WORDS
WT 50 WORDS
CHECK SUM
MT STATUS WORD

"PRO" IN ASCII CODE LEFT JUSTIFIED

SEE THRESH

TRIGGER CRITERIA. NOCRS OF TOTCRS WHOLE > THRESH

THRESHOLD = (NRMS) $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} X_i^2}{N}}$ VOLTS. SF = 9 BITS
CONSTANT. SF = 9D

MULTIPLICATION FACTOR

NO. OF SAMPLES/CHAN TO PROCESS PRIOR TO PRINTING INTERM

CENTER FREQUENCY

BANDWIDTH


POW = P(θ). SF = 15D. SEE EQUATION 2.

LPOW_k = 10 log $\frac{P_{POW_k}}{MAX}$. SF = 12D.

WEIGHTS SF IS 18D BITS.

PROCESSED DATA RECORD

Figure 8






Figure 10

$f_0 = 2500 \text{ Hz}$
 $BW = 300 \text{ Hz}$
 $N = 0.1$
 4000 iterations

Figure 11

REVWTS	ENTRY
	STR*B1*L(RVB1)
	ENT*B1*4
	ENT*B5*450
	ENT*B6*0
RV1	ENT*B4*4
RV2	ENT*A*W(WT+B6)
	ENT*Q*W(WT+B5)
	STR*A*W(WT+B5)
	STR*Q*W(WT+B6)
	ENT*B5*B5+1
	ENT*B6*B6+1
	BJP*B4*RV2
	ENT*B5*B5-100
	BJP*B1*RV1
RVB1	ENT*B1*0
	EXIT
WTSPAT	ENTRY
	RJP*REVWTS
	RJP*PATTERN
	RJP*REVWTS
	EXIT
	PROCEDURE*CA6
	ENT*B2*0
	ENT*B3*0
TV	ENT*G*U(X+B3)
	STR*G*U(X+B2)
	ENT*B3*B3+1
	BSK*B2*490
	JP*TV
L10	STR*B0*W(Y)
L20	ENT*Q*W(WT+B2)
	MUL*UX(X+B2)
	RSH*AG*90
	RPL*Y+G*W(Y)
	BSK*B2*490
	JP*L20
	ENT*Q*W(Y)
	MUL*W(MF)
	STR*Q*W(Y)
L30	ENT*Q*W(PST+B2)
	ENT*A*UX(X+B2)*ANEG
	SUB*Q*W(Y)*SKIP
	ADD*Q*W(Y)
	MUL*W(U)*SC = 270
	RSH*AG*90*SC = 180
	ENT*A*W(WT+B2)
	STR*A+G*W(WT+B2)*SC IS 100
	SUB*A*5000000*ANEG
	RJP*SHIFINTS

```

BSK*B2*49D
JP*L3U
ENT*A*B3
SUB*A*45D
RSH*AW*30D
DIV*W(ITER)
RJP*WTSPAT*AZERO
ENT*B3*B3-46D
BSK*B3*9999D
JP*TV
LC    ENT*Q*L(A+B3)
      STR*Q*L(A+B2)
      ENT*B3*B3+1
      BSK*B2*49D
      JP*LC
      STR*B0*W(Y)
L2L   ENT*Q*W(WT+B2)
      MUL*LX(X+B2)
      RSH*AW*9D
      RPL*Y+Q*W(Y)
      BSK*B2*49D
      JP*L2L
      ENT*G*W(Y)
      MUL*W(MF)
      STR*G*W(Y)
L3L   ENT*G*W(FST+B2)
      ENT*A*LX(X+B2)*ANEG
      SUB*Q*W(Y)*SKIP
      ADD*G*W(Y)
      MUL*W(U)'SC = 27D
      RSH*AW*9D'SC = 18D
      ENT*A*W(WT+B2)
      STR*A+Q*W(WT+B2)'SC 15 18D
      SUB*A*5000000*ANEG
      RJP*SHIFTWTS
      BSK*B2*49D
      JP*L3L
      ENT*A*B3
      ADD*A*10000D
      SUB*A*45D
      RSH*AW*30D
      DIV*W(ITER)
      RJP*WTSPAT*AZERO
      ENT*B3*B3-46D
      BSK*B3*9999D
      JP*LC
      RJP*WTSPAT
      RETURN
      END-PROC*CAL

```

BFC 1

WP

```

LOC=00
TABLE*HT*H*1*500
END=TABLE*HT
EQUALS*W1
TABLE*HP*H*100*5
END=TABLE*HP
TABLE*UT*H*5*5
END=TABLE*UT
TABLE*PSI*H*1*500
FIELD*PS*FXWS*0*1*180
END=TABLE*PST
TABLE*PSI*H*1*500
FIELD*PS*FXWS*0*1*30
END=TABLE*PSI
VRBL*MAX*FXW*180
VRBL*POW*FXW*180
VRBL*LPOW*FXW*120
VRBL*TLPOW*FXW*120
VRBL*DF*FXW*180
VRBL*CI*FXW
VRBL*Y*FXW*180
VRBL*SUM*FXW*180
VRBL*T*FXW*180
VRBL*PI*FXW*180
VRBL*A1*FXW*180
VRBL*DEL*FXW*240
VRBL*B*FXW
VRBL*FC*FXW
VRBL*G*FXW
VRBL*K*FXW
VRBL*TB*FXW*270
VRBL*CUS*FXW*270
VRBL*SIN*FXW*270
VRBL*I*FXW
VRBL*P*FXW
VRBL*M*FXW
VRBL*N*FXW
VRBL*A1M*FXW*270
VRBL*A2M*FXW*270
VRBL*SIN1*FXW*270
VRBL*CUS1*FXW*270
VRBL*NF*FXW*270
VRBL*CF*FXW*270
VRBL*E*FXW
VRBL*S*FXW
VRBL*U*FXW*90
VRBL*PHW1*FXW*180
VRBL*PHW11*FXW*180
VRBL*PHW12*FXW*180
VRBL*PHW13*FXW*180

```

```

VRBL*EPS*FXW
VRBL*CUSC*FXW*270
VRBL*RPD*FXW*180
VRBL*SUN1*FXW*180
VRBL*SUN2*FXW*180
VRBL*SUN3*FXW*180
VRBL*CMNP*FXW*160
VRBL*SMNP*FXW*180
VRBL*PPI*FXW
END-LOC-UD
PROCEDURE*PATTERN
ENT*U1*0
SET*U*TO*50000
SET*PIBW*TO*(PI)*(UW)
SET*EPS*10*1
SET*SUN1*TO*0
SET*CMNP*TO*0
VARY*P*FROM*U*THRU*90
IF*P*NOT*0*THEN*SET*EPS*10*2
VARY*M*FROM*U*THRU*4
VARY*I*FROM*U*THRU*90-P
SET*PPI*TO*P+I
SET*A*TO*(WP(M,PPI))
STR*A*W(WPMJ)
SET*A*TO*(WP(M,I))
STR*A*W(WPNI)
SET*CMNP*TO*(WPMJ)(WPNI)+CMNP
END*I1
END*M1
PUT*W(CMNP)*W(SMNP)
PUT*U*W(CMNP)
SET*T*TO*(P)(DEL)
ENT*U*W(UW)
MUL*W(1)
RSH*AQ*1'DIV BY 2 SC IS 180
LSH*AQ*120'SC IS 300
RSH*A*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AQ*3'SC IS 270
STR*U*W(A1M)
SINCOS*A1M*S*SIN1
ENT*U*W(P0)
MUL*W(1)
LSH*AQ*120'SC IS 300
RSH*A*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AQ*3'SC IS 270
STR*U*W(A2M) 'SC IS 270
SINCOS*A2M*E*COS1
SET*A1*TO*(PIBW)(1)
SET*HPL*10*(SIN1)(COS1)/A1
IF*T*LC*U*THEN*SET*RPD*TO*1

```

P1

M1

I1

```

SET*RPL*10*(RPU)(LPS)
SET*SUM1*TO*(RPU)(SMNP)+SUM1
END*P1
10 VARY*N*FROM*U*THRU*1800
SET*TB*TO*K/3600
SINCOS*TB*E*COS
SET*SUM*10*0
SET*SUM2*TO*0
SET*SUM3*TO*0
SET*COSC*TO*COS/C
N2 VARY*N*FROM*U*THRU*3
N2 VARY*M*FROM*N+1*THRU*4
12 VARY*I*FROM*U*THRU*90
SET*A*TO*(WP(N,I))
STR*A**(*PMJ)
SET*A*TO*(WP(N,I))
STR*A**(*PNI)
SET*CMNP*TO*(WP(N,I))+CMNP
END*12
PUT**(*CMNP)**(*SMNP)
PUT*0**(*CMNP)
SET*T*TO*(DT(M,N))(COSC)
ENT*G**(*W)
MUL*W(1)
RSH*AW*1'DIV BY 2 SC IS 180
LSH*AW*12'D SC IS 300
RSH*AW*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AW*3'SC IS 270
STR*G**(*A1M)
SINCOS*A1M*S*SIN1
ENT*G**(*FO)
MUL*W(1)
LSH*AW*12'D SC IS 300
RSH*AW*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AW*3'SC IS 270
STR*G**(*A2M)
SINCOS*A2M*E*COS1
SET*A1*TO*(P1BW)(1)
SET*RPL*TO*(SIN1)(COS1)/A1
IF*T*EG*U*THEN*SET*RPD*TO*1
SET*SUM2*TO*(RPU)(SMNP)+SUM2
END*M2
END*N2
SET*SUM2*TO*(2)(SUM2)
P3 VARY*P*FROM*1*THRU*90
M3 VARY*M*FROM*U*THRU*4
N3 VARY*N*FROM*U*THRU*4
IF*M*EG*N*THEN*G*TO*U*U
13 VARY*I*FROM*U*THRU*90-P
SET*PP1*10*P+1

```

```

SET*A*TO*(WP(M,PI))
SIR*A*(nPMJ)
SET*A*TO*(WP(N,I))
STR*A*(nPN1)
SET*CMNP*TO*(WPMJ)(WPN1)+CMNP
END*1J
PUT*(CMNP)*W(SMNP)
PUT*U*(CMNP)
SET*T*TO*(P)(UEL)
SET*T*TO*(DT(M,N))(COSC)+T
ENT*U*(BW)
MUL*(T)
RSH*AG*1'DIV BY 2 SC IS 180
LSH*AG*120'SC IS 300
RSH*AG*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AG*3'SC IS 270
STR*U*(A1M)
SINCOS*A1M*S*SIN1
ENT*U*(FO)
MUL*(T)
LSH*AG*120'SC IS 300
RSH*AG*300'TRUNCATE WHOLE BAMS AND EXTEND SIGN
RSH*AG*3'SC IS 270
STR*U*(A2M)
SINCOS*A2M*S*E*COS1
SET*A1*TO*(PIBW)(T)
SET*RPD*TO*(SIN1)(COS1)/A1
IF*T*EQ*0*THEN*SET*RPD*TO*1
SET*SUM3*TO*(RPD)(SMNP)+SUM3
DN NO-OP
END*NO
END*MO
END*P3
SET*SUM3*TO*(2)(SUM3)
SET*SUM*TO*SUM1+SUM2+SUM3
PUT*(SUM)*W(PON+D1)
INCREMENT*B1*1
END*1U
ENT*B1*0
CL*A
PM ENT*U*(PON+D1)
COM*U*(MORE)
SIR*U*
DSK*U*1000
JP*PM
SIR*A*(MAX)
ENT*B1*200
PNI NOP*LFANDCH
DUP*U*P1
CLEAR*U*PLAB

```

		FORM-TLX(PLAB+1)DEG	-50	-45	-40	-35	-30	-25	-20	-15	1
-10	-5	0	DECIBELS	WEIGHT							2

ENT*A*PLAB
 RJP*MONRUE
 NOKMA ENT*G***(POW+B1)
 CL*A
 LSH*AQ*150
 DIV***(MAX)
 CONLOGIT2 OUTPUT IS SCALED 120
 STR*G***(LPON+B1)
 STR*G***(7LPON)*50 IS 120
 STR*B1***(K)
 CLEAR*240*PLAB
 FORM-DEC*PLAB*610*TLPOW
 ENT*A*490
 SUB*A*B1*APOS
 JP*NNW
 ENT*A***(WT+B1)
 STR*A***(PRWT)
 FORM-DEC*PLAB*710*PRWT
 NNN FORM-DEC*PLAB*1*K
 ENT*G***(LOTS)
 RPT*100*ADV
 STR*G***(PLAB+1)
 ENT*G*41
 LSH*G*240
 STR*G***(PLAB+110)
 ENT*G***(TLPOW)*ALWAYS NEGATIVE
 SUB*G*4000*ROUND
 RSH*G*120
 ADD*G*500*QPOS
 ENT*G*5*SKIP
 ADD*G*5
 CL*A
 DIV*5
 STR*G*L(164)
 ENT*B5*A
 ENT*G***(MASK)
 CL*A
 RPT*B5
 RSH*AW*6
 ENT*A***(PLAB+B4)
 SEL*SU***(POINTX)
 STR*A***(PLAB+B4)
 ENT*A*PLAB
 RJP*MONRUE
 USK*B1*1000
 JP*NORMA
 RETURN
 END-PROC=PATTERN



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
800 NORTH QUINCY STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5660

IN REPLY REFER TO
5510/1
Ser 93/160
10 Mar 99

From: Chief of Naval Research
To: Commander, Naval Meteorology and Oceanography Command
1020 Balch Boulevard
Stennis Space Center MS 39529-5005

Subj: DECLASSIFICATION OF PARKA I AND PARKA II REPORTS

Ref: (a) CNMOC ltr 3140 Ser 5/110 of 12 Aug 97

Encl: (1) Listing of Known Classified PARKA Reports

1. In response to reference (a), the Chief of Naval Operations (N874) has reviewed a number of Pacific Acoustic Research Kaneohe-Alaska (PARKA) Experiment documents and has determined that all PARKA I and PARKA II reports may be declassified and marked as follows:

Classification changed to UNCLASSIFIED by authority of Chief of Naval Research letter Ser 93/160, 10 Mar 99.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

2. Enclosure (1) is a listing of known classified PARKA reports. The marking on those documents should be changed as noted in paragraph 1 above. When other PARKA I and PARKA II reports are identified, their markings should be changed and a copy of the title page and a notation of how many pages the document contained should be provided to Chief of Naval Research (ONR 93), 800 N. Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5660. This will enable me to maintain a master list of downgraded PARKA reports.
3. Questions may be directed to the undersigned on (703) 696-4619, DSN 426-4619.

PEGGY LAMBERT
By direction

Copy to:
NUWC Newport Technical Library (Code 5441)
NRL Washington (Mary Templeman, Code 5227)
NRL SSC (Roger Swanton, Code 7031)
✓DTIC (Bill Bush, DTIC-OCQ)

LISTING OF KNOWN CLASSIFIED PARKA REPORTS

Operation Plan, Pacific Acoustic Research Kaneohe-Alaska PARKA Experiment, Undated, ONR, 48 pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 49531)

Fleet Research Project 109 PARKA II, Undated, COMASWFORPAC-OPORD-303-69, Antisubmarine Warfare Force, Pacific Fleet, Unknown # of pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 093561)

Preliminary Operation Plan Pacific Acoustic Research Kaneohe-Alaska PARKA Experiment, June 1968, ONR, Unknown # of pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 023063)

LRAPP Briefing Report on the PARKA Series, May 1969, MC Report 001, Maury Center for Ocean Science (ONR), 20 pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 023375)

Bathymograph Traces from PARKA, 20 May 1969, NUSL-TM-2213-118-69, 7 pages
(DTIC # B952 259)

Bathymetric Strip Charts in the North Pacific Ocean for Project PARKA II, 20 June 1969, Naval Oceanographic Office, Unknown # of pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 051659)

PARKA II Experiment Utilizing Sea Spider ONR Scientific Plan 2-69, 26 June 1969, MC-PLAN-01, 172 pages
(DTIC # B020 846)

PARKA I - Acoustic Processing and Results, 28 July 1969, USL Technical Memorandum No. 2210-015-69, NUSC New London, 115 pages
(NUSC NL Accession # 202993-001) (NRL SSC Accession # 85009134)

A Scheduled At-Sea Simulation of Adaptive Beamforming, 19 September 1969, NUSL-TM-2211-162-69, 23 pages
(DTIC # B026 991)

Biological Data Collected on the PARKA I Transit, 23 October 1969, NUSL-TM-2213-262-69, 15 pages
(DTIC # B952 263)

PARKA I Experiment, November 1969, MC Report 003, Volume 1, Maury Center for Ocean Science (ONR), 84 pages
(NRL Accession # 466930) (NRL SSC Accession # 85004881) (DTIC # 506 209)