UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB021970

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Document partially illegible.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; JUN 1975. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Armament Laboratory, DLY, Eglin AFB, FL 32542. Document partially illegible.

AUTHORITY

usadtc ltr, 3 jul 1979

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200,20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

の一次の一部の日本

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED,



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT AUNDER	SSION NO. 3. PECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NFATL-TR-75-86	
TITLE (and Sublite)	9 - THE OF HEPORT & PERIOD COVERED
GENERATING WEATHER DATA FOR LINEAR WEAPONS	Langert
ALLOCATION MODELS	6 PERFORMING OPC RESORT MEMBER
AUTHOR(s)	B CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
James V. Blowers Captain, USAF	
Strike Process Studies Branch (DLYA)	APEA A WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Weapon Systems Analysis Division	JON 2543-02-01
Air Force Armament Laboratory	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	UL REPORT DATE
Air Force Armament Laboratory Armament Development and Test Center	13. NUMBER THE PAGES
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida 32542	23
. MUNITURING AGENCE NAME & AUDRESS(II different from Controlli	ny Unice) 13 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
(2220)	UNCLASSIFIED
Gran.	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
Distribution limited to U.S. Government ager	ncies only; this report documents
for this document must be referred to the A	r Force Armament Laboratory
(DLY), Eglin Air Force Base, Florida 32542	I TOTCE ATMAMENTE DADOTACOLY
(abi), agent hat toret hube, riorida jej42;	
(001), 06-00 MIL COLCE MADD, 1101100 52542;	DDC
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, If	different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect enfered in Block 20, If	different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if	different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977
Available in DDC	dillerent from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 B
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 B
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b 	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 DUSUSUUSU B
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models 	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 USUSUUSU B
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if No. SUPPLEMENTARY NCTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 B Iock number)
 (ABT, SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data 	different (rom Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 USUSUUSU B
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 79. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States 	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 USUSUUSU B
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b 	different (rom Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 DCUSUUSU B lock number)
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Some weapons allocation models that use lin capability of taking weather into account. 	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 DCG OCT 17 1977 B lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 7. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States 7. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Some weapons allocation models that use lin capability of taking weather into account. probability that the weather at a given loc 	different from Report) different from Report) DCC 17 1977 OCT 17 1977 B lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the ation and a given time is within
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States C. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Some weapons allocation models that use lin capability of taking weather into account. probability that the weather at a given loc given limits, usually determined by launch 	different from Report) different from Report) DCC 17 1977 DCC 17 1977 B lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the ation and a given time is within envelopes of weapon systems. This
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 7. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States Probabilities of Weather States Probability of taking weather into account. probability that the weather at a given loc given limits, usually determined by launch report shows one way in which raw data provide converted into a form useable by these material states 	different from Report) different from Report) DCC 17 1977 OCT 17 1977 B lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the ation and a given time is within envelopes of weapon systems. This ided by the Air Weather Service can odels.
 ISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by b Some weapons allocation models that use lin capability of taking weather into account. probability that the weather at a given loc given limits, usually determined by launch report shows one way in which raw data prov be converted into a form useable by these m 	different from Report) DDC OCT 17 1977 OCT 17 1977 B lock number) lock number) lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the ation and a given time is within envelopes of weapon systems. This ided by the Air Weather Service can odels.
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 16 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 16 7. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available in DDC 7. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by b Linear Weapons Allocation Models FORTRAN IV Weather Data Probabilities of Weather States 7. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide 11 necessary and identify by b Some weapons allocation models that use lin capability of taking weather into account. probability that the weather at a given loc given limits, usually determined by launch report shows one way in which raw data prov be converted into a form useable by these m 	different from Report) DCC 17 1977 OCT 17 1977 B Jock number) lock number) ear programming techniques have a These models require as input the ation and a given time is within envelopes of weapon systems. This ided by the Air Weather Service can odels.

PREFACE

This report documents an in-house effort performed during the period from January 1975 to June 1975 by Captain James V. Blowers for the Strike Process Studies Branch (DLYA), Analysis Division. The effort was accomplished under JON 2543-02-01.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER

*

J. R. MURRAY Chief, Analysis Division

ACCESSION	for		
NTIS		White Section	ľ
DDC		Blif Section M	۱
DNU DELC	5	Ľ	
105 1	1		1
BY DISTRIB Dist.		SPECIAL	
B			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM	2
III	МЕТНОР	3
IV	INPUT, OUTPUT AND EXAMPLE	8
v	CONCLUSIONS	11
APPENDIX		
A	FORTRAN IV WEATHER STATE PROGRAM AND RESULTS OF	

.

. .

WEATHER STATE EXAMPLE.

13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Collocating a Parabola Through Three Points in Ceiling-Probability Space	5

iii (The reverse of this page is blank)

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

An important factor in the execution of military operations is the weather at the scene of the battle. Whether or not air operations can be undertaken (and which ones) depends on the ceiling and visibility conditions. Therefore, many weapons allocation models have a capability for simulating these conditions. These conditions are determined for the most part by launch envelopes of aircraft-missile systems. For instance, steep dives require high altitudes. If the ceiling or visibility is low so that the pilot cannot see the target, then the maneuver cannot be accomplished. It is therefore desirable to have a convenient method for computing the probabilities of conditions for different types of sorties.

This report presents a method for generating these probabilities. The weapons model for which this method was intended to be used is a linear programming model written by Robert Speir (Reference 1); however, it probably can be used for many other weapons allocation models. The method consists of a program in FORTRAN IV for the CDC 6600 which converts data given by the Air Weather Service (AWS) for a given location into data that fit the launch envelopes of various weapon systems. The location used in this report was Berlin, Germany.

1. Speir, Robert A., <u>Another Linear Programming Approach to USAF</u> <u>Conventional Weapons Planning</u>. AFATL-TR-73-201, Eglin AFB, Florida, 1973.

SECTION II

DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM

In order to be able to tell a weapons allocation model whether the weather is good enough for a given weapon system to fly its sorties, there must be a reasonably good method of predicting the weather at the scene of the battle. Unfortunately, methods for predicting weather states months or years in advance do not exist; hence, only probabilities can be dealt with. A set of data describing the probability of given weather states (in terms of ceiling and visibility conditions) would thus be desirable. Such a data base is given by the Air Weather Service. For a given ceiling level C and visibility level V, the AWS data advance the probability that in a given 3-hour period in a given month the ceiling will be at or higher than C and the visibility will be at or higher than V. However, this may not be compatible with the requirements of the allocation model. The model may require ceiling levels or visibility levels that are not given in the AWS tables, and the conditions for using certain weapon systems may not be of the form "ceiling is greater than or equal to C and visibility is greater than or equal to V." Hence, a method for converting the AWS data into data giving the probabilities that conditions for operation of weapon systems are favorable would be desirable. Such a method will be described. It consists of reading the AWS data, interpolating to get the desired ceiling and visibility levels, converting the interpolated data into a joint probability distribution, averaging the data over several monthly or hourly periods, and assembling the resulting data to give probabilities of the weather states given in the linear model.

SECTION III

METHOD

On the first step, the AWS data are read in. These data consist of a set $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ of ceiling levels, a set $V = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ of visibility levels, and a cumulative probability distribution $F:CxV \neq [0,1]$ such that for all v in V and c in C, the number F(c,v) is the probability that the ceiling is $\geq C$ and the visibility is $\geq V$. The AWS data are also dependent on the month m and the hour h, so that F is really a function of four variables c, v, m, and h.

The desired input to the linear model is given in terms of conditions on the ceiling and visibility values, such as "ceiling between 3,000 and 10,000 feet and visibility between 3 and 5 miles." Let C' be the set of all ceiling levels and let V' be the set of all visibility levels referred to in the conditions. In general, C' will contain some ceiling levels not contained in C and V' will contain some visibility levels not contained in V. The data must be expressed in terms of C' and V', and to do this, the computer program interpolates between the nearest two values in C or V on either side of a given value c' in C' or v' in V'. Two interpolations are needed - one for ceilings and one for visibilities.

The method reported here uses a linear interpolation as follows: Given c' in C' and v' in V', suppose that c' is not in C and v' is not in V. The level c' lies between the two nearest levels c-, c+ in C, i.e., c-<c'<c+. Similarly, there are the two nearest levels v+ and vto v' in V, and v-<v'<v⁺. (Assumption is made that zero levels are included in C, C', V, V', and that the highest levels in C and V exceed the highest levels in C' and V'). Define

$$G'(c,v') = \left(\frac{v'-v^{-}}{v^{+}-v^{-}}\right) (F(c,v^{+}) - F(c,v^{-})) + F(c,v^{-})$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{F}^{*}(c^{*},\mathbf{v}^{*}) = \left(\frac{c^{*}-c^{-}}{c^{+}-c^{-}}\right) \left(G(c^{+},\mathbf{v}) - G(c^{-},\mathbf{v})\right) + G(c^{-},\mathbf{v})$$
(2)

where $G:CxV' \rightarrow [0,1]$ is an intermediate step in the computation.

Then F' is considered to be a good approximation to the ceiling and visibility conditions at the levels contained in C' and V'. This constitutes a linear interpolation. A quadratic approximation would not be better, as demonstrated by the following example.

In one actual case involving Berlin (visibility 6 miles, October, 0000-0200 hours) the following probabilities are given.

Ceiling Level c	Probability That Visibility ≥6
(In Feet)	and That Ceiling ≥c
14,000	0.266
12,000	0.268
10,000	0.284

These three points in ceiling-probability space might be considered in order to obtain the probability that visibility ≥ 6 miles and that ceiling $\geq 13,000$ feet. The resulting parabola is given by the equation

 $p(c) = 1.75 \times 10^{-9} c^2 - 4.65 \times 10^{-5} c + 0.574$

It is required by the laws of probability that the requested probability at 6 miles, 13,000 feet, be greater than the probability at 6 miles, 14,000 feet. However, p(13,000) = 0.2652 < p(14,000) = 0.266. The problem is that the parabola dips, as Figure 1 demonstrates. This sort of situation was found to occur frequently in the AWS data. Hence a linear, rather than a quadratic, approximation was used.

If v' is in V, then the value G(c,v') is set equal to F(c,v'). Similarly, if c' is in C, then F'(c',v') is set equal to G(c',v'). These two steps have to be considered separately, since applying Equation (1) or (2) will result in division by zero.

After the data is interpolated, it is converted into a joint probability distribution. To do this, arrange the elements of C' and V' in descending order. Then $v_1 \ge v_2 \ge \cdots \ge v_n$ and $c_1 \ge \cdots \ge c_m$ (since there is no longer any need for C and V, the primes shall be dropped on the v's and c's). This implies for all k and for all i and j such that i < j, the inequalities

 $F(c_i, v_k) < F(c_j, v_k)$

and $F(c_k, v_i) < F(c_k, v_i)$

hold. Define

$$K (c_{i}, v_{j}) = F (c_{i}, v_{j}) - F (c_{i} - 1, v_{j}), \text{ for } i = m - 1, \dots, 1,$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$J (c_{i}, v_{j}) = K (c_{i}, v_{j}) - K (c_{i}, v_{j} - 1), \text{ for } j = n - 1, \dots, 1$$

where K is an intermediate computational function. In the computer program, F', J, K are all stored in the same array, which makes it necessary to run through the indices backwards, as indicated above. For the remainder of this report, F will denote the function J as defined above.

and



1

Figure 1. Collocating a Parabola Through Three Points in Ceiling-Probability Space

The next step is to average the data over a set of hourly periods or over a set of months. Two alternatives that were tried were:

(1) Averaging over best 3 months of year (or over the worst). Best was defined as the 3 months with the highest median ceiling (or highest probability of no ceiling, in case the median ceiling was infinity). A similar definition was used for worst.

(2) Averaging over best and worst 3-hour periods, separately for day and night. A 3-hour period was regarded as a day period if the sun was above the horizon more than 30 percent of the time during the period; otherwise, the period was a night period. To accomplish this averaging, separate arrays of ceiling and visibility probability data for each of the month-hour combinations to be considered were read in, interpolated and converted into joint distributions. They were then averaged together. In the results given in this report, no averaging took place.

The final step consisted of totaling the present set of data so that probabilities for the conditions required by the linear model could be calculated. These conditions can be expressed by giving a partition of the set C'xV':

$$C' \times V' = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \cdots \cup L_r,$$

where the unions are disjoint. The L's can be thought of as weather states. For example, if $C' = \{10000, 6000, 4000, 0\}$ and $V' = \{10, 6, 4, 0\}$, then a possible partition is as follows:

 $L_{1} = \{ (10000, 10), (10000, 6), (10000, 4), (10000, 0) \}$ $L_{2} = \{ (6000, 6), (6000, 4), (4000, 6), (4000, 4) \}$ $L_{3} = \{ (6000, 10), (4000, 10) \}$ $L_{4} = \{ (6000, 0), (4000, 0), (0, 10), (0, 6), (0, 4), (0, 0) \}$

The interpretation of L_1 , L_2 , L_3 , and L_4 is as follows:

- L₁ Ceiling ≥10,000 feet
- L₂ Ceiling between 4,000 and 10,000 feet and visibility between 4 and 10 miles

- L₃ Ceiling between 4,000 and 10,000 feet and visibility \geq 10 miles
- L₄ Ceiling $\leq 4,000$ feet or visibility ≤ 4 miles and ceiling $\leq 10,000$ feet

As the above descriptions show, the L_i can be described by specifying for each ceiling level the visibility levels contained in it. The algorithm then adds up the probabilities in each of the L_i 's to obtain the probability that each weather state will hold. In symbols,

$$p(L_i) = \sum F(c,v) \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r$$
$$(c,v) \in L_i$$

These probabilities can then be used as data for the linear programming model of Speir (Reference 1).

\$

SECTION IV

INPUT, OUTPUT AND EXAMPLE

To demonstrate the execution of this program, consider the following problem: Suppose a certain military operation is to be undertaken between 0000 and 0300 on 5 October 1980 near Berlin, Germany. To determine how the operation is to take place, it is desired to find the probability of the following weather states:

- (1) Ceiling \leq 344 feet and Visibility \leq 2.56 miles
- (2) 344 feet \leq Ceiling \leq 1784 feet and Visibility \geq 2.56 miles
- (3) 1784 feet \leq Ceiling \leq 3033 feet and Visibility \geq 2.56 miles
- (4) Ceiling \geq 3033 feet and 2.56 miles \leq Visibility \leq 5.12 miles
- (5) 3033 feet \leq Ceiling \leq 9874 feet and Visibility \geq 5.12 miles
- (6) Ceiling \geq 9874 feet and Visibility \geq 5.12 miles.

These are mutually exclusive. The data to be used in determining the above probabilities is the AWS data for October, 0000-0300 hours.

The input to be provided to the computer is the following:

1. Card 1 (12, 11, 412)

MTY	Month
1HR	Hour Period (0000-0300 is 1, 0300-0600 is 2, etc.)
NC	Total number of ceiling levels referred to in
	description of weather states
NV	Total number of visibility levels referred to in
	description of weather states
IT	Total number of weather state descriptor cards
	(see below)
NWC	Number of weather states.

2. Card 2 (13F6.1)

The NC ceiling levels (in feet) in order from highest to lowest (XC(I), I=1,N).

3. Card 3 (13F6.1)

The NV visibility levels (in miles) in order from highest to lowest (XV(I), I=1,N).

4. Cards 4 to 11 + 3 (414)

1

IT cards describing the weather states as follows:

- NN(I) Number of weather state
- NCN(1) Ceiling zone (XC(I)≤level≤(I-1)) for which this card gives the visibility zones corresponding to the weather state I.
- NVA(I) The visibility level considered in this
- NVB(I) (card is NVB(I)^{\leq} level^{\leq}NVA(I).

As an example for this report the weather states can be indicated by the following:

Visibility Levels (Miles)

	1	2	3
Ceiling Levels (Feet)	5.12+	2.56-5.12	0-2.56
9874+	6	4	1
5942 - 9874	5	4	1
3033 - 5942	5	4	1
1784 - 3033	3	3	1
344 - 1784	2	2	1
0 - 344	1	1	1

Thus, to describe weather state 5, the following cards are used:

5 2 1 1 5 3 1 1

That is, weather state 5 consists of ceiling level 2(5942-9874 feet) at visibility level 1 (5.12+ miles) and ceiling level 3(3033-5942 feet) at visibility level 1 (5.12+ miles). Thus, the complete set of data for this problem is as follows:



4	_2	2	2
4	3	2	2
5	2	1	_1
5	3	1	1
6	1	_1	_1

The original weather data should be on a TAPE 4 in binary format, giving first the month number, then the hour period number, then a series of data representing a 32x16 array containing the AWS data for the given month and hour period. The tape should give AWS data for all month-hour period combinations. The program advances TAPE 4 until the correct month-hour period is found according to the first data card. The program was run on a CDC 6600 with Scope 3.3; on another computer system some modifications of the program may have to be made.

The output has four parts:

- Joint probability distribution of ceiling versus visibility for the ceiling and visibility data given.
- 2. Conditional probability of the ceiling levels given a certain visibility level.
- 3. Conditional probability of the visibility levels given a cert in ceiling level.
- 4. Probabilities of the given weather states.

Note that in the output the figure 5942 as a ceiling level means ceiling between 5942 feet and the next highest ceiling level, i.e., 9874 feet. A listing of the FORTRAN IV program followed by results for this example are included in Appendix A.

SECTION V

CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this report was to provide a method by which weather data provided by AWS can be converted into a form usable in weapons allocations programs, with Speir's program (Reference 1) specifically in mind. The methodology in the report is more important than the specific details. Although the original AWS data tapes have been purged and the data available only in table form, the program or a suitable modification of it will provide weather state data most of the time when it is needed.

APPENDIX A

1

FORTRAN IV WEATHER STATE PROGRAM AND RESULTS OF WEATHER STATE EXAMPLE

251 a -10-23-62 13170175 $\begin{array}{c} (10 \ \text{MJOINT} \ \text{PROB} \ 12) \ \text{MATLITY} \ \text{DI.10} \ \text{MSTLIT} \ \text{DI.10} \ \text{MILTITUM} \ \text{POR } \ 12) \ \text{MSTLIT} \ \text{CFILIT} \ \text{CFILI} \$ FTN 4.3+F393
 37
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 47
 < L'INTERP(X) RT DATA TO JOINT BONRIAJLITY GISTRIBUTION 366.1) = 1 NT = 1 NV(T), NON(I), NVA(I), NVA(I) =1,NC1 =1,NV =1,×V = × (N/- J+1, K) −X (N/- J,K) =1,*V ± () = x (J, 4V-K+1) - X (J, 4V-K) .+32 11, 4729 1 (x (T), 1=1, NC) 1) (x (T), 1=1, 10) 1=100 1.1 74174 JAH VLVC צר 1000ca Tec 100 100 Soled HESSUND 4 44 5 6 4 9 5 10 13 10 5 ¥. 6.7 s t 5 5 53 59 5 5 20 50 5 14

....

15-503) (1.0R(1),1=1,NMC) 16-114F FOLLOMING WEATHER STATES HAVE THE FOLLOWING PROPARILI 115(6x,13,6x,F9,57)) U 25 50 06 04 2 ŕ 15

r.

92£9

13/06/75 08.07.01.

FTN 4. 3+P393

007=1

76174

PROGPAN P9145

PAGE 11/06/75 J8.C7.C1. E65446.4 MP393 1=100 1 1=1,4C 76174 24115 24130 SUBPOUTIVE INTERP 11 -10 15 50 52 88 ŝ 04 v 16

1

\$

-4

da.

PAGE 13/06/75 08.07.01. FTN 4.3+P393 0PT=1 74174 PLOCK DATA BLKMAT. -

·微

ł

• 5.

17

.

(FPOM HOURLY DASERVATIONS, INTERPOLATED) OCTOBER 0000-0200 JOINT PROPABILITY DISTOIRUTION FOR CEILING VS. VISIAILITY WERLIN GEP/TEMPLEMOF CENTRAL APT 1947-1963

4

VI SI RILITY

1

18

(FROM HOUPLY ORSERVATIONS, INTERPOLATED) OCTORER 0000-0200 PROGARILITY THAT CFILING IS -A- SIVEN THAT VISIBILITY IS -A-SERLTN GER/TEMPLEHOF SENTRAL APT 1947-1963

VISTBILITY

1



١

ŧ

.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

1

1

1 2

1

1

9 1

1

1

1

1

2

1

1

1

1

1

1

1 1

1

HQ USAF/SAMI AF1S/INTA ASD/ENFEA 00-ALC/MMWM TAWC/TRADOCLO AFATL/DL AFATL/DLODL AFATL/DLODR AFATL/DLY AFATL/DLYD AFATL/DLYW TRADOC (ADTC-DO) DDC AUL (AUL-LSE-70-239) TAC/INA TAC/DRA ASD/XRP PACAF/DOO US Army TRADOC USAFE/DOQ ASD/ENYS AMXLE-PM