UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB011324

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; January 1975. Other requests shall be referred to the Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Attn: AAD, Wright-Patterson, AFB OH 45433.

AUTHORITY

AFAL, per ltr, 12 sep 1977

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



TECHNICAL REPORT AFAL-TR-75-251

FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD MAY 1974 - JUNE 1975

Distribution limited to U.S. Government agencies only; test and evaluation; January 1976. Other requests for this document must be referred to the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAD), Wright-Patterson AF Base, Chio 45433.

AIR FORCE AVIONICS LABORATORY AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE,

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Project Leader AFAL

Project Engineer AFAL

Project Engineer OT

FOR THE COMMANDER

GEORGE CUDAHY, COT, USAF Chief, System Avionics Division Air Force Avionics Laboratory

CHARD PARLOW Project Engineer OT

MICHAEL J. KF

Project Engineer IITRI/ECAC

ATTRE STILL

S.

PAUL GROOT Project Engineer IITRI/ECAC

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document. March 1999 - 199

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) **READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSIO 1 NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AFAL-TR-75-251 TITLE (and Subtitle) EPORT & FERICO COVERED Final Technical Report. SHF SATCOM Interference Study May 274 - June 75 ENFORMING ORG. REPOR 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(=) Allen L. Johnson, Richard/Parlow Roger L./Swanson, Michael/Kelly Robert/Mayher, Paul Groot PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS M ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK WORK UNIT NUMBERS System Development Branch (AAD) Air Force Avionics Laboratory 1227 Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS System Avionics Division (AA) Decast Air Force Avionics Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 357 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 260% 154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEME Distribution limited to U.S. Govérnment agencies only; test and evaluation; January 1976. Other requests for this document must be referred to the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAD), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Terrestrial Microwave Super High Frequency (SHF) ----Satellite Communications, Airborne SHF SATCOM SATCOM AARNCRA Interference 29. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This technical report describes a cooperative study, measurement and analysis effort by the USAF and other Federal Agencies to experimentally quantify the potential interference between an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and various terrestrial microwave and space systems operating in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz frequency band. This investigation resulted because of concern voiced by the Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) based on a Spectrum Resource Assessment of the 7.25-8.40 GHz band conducted by the Department of Commerce, DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Enterog) 011 6750 我们的资源,而且不是我们的我们的的资源,你们就是我们的资源,你们还有这些资源,我们就是我们的。""我们就是我们的。""我们就是我们的。"

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. (Continued)

Office of Telecommunications. The Advanced Airborne Command Post plans to implement an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal aboard its E-4 aircraft to provide reliable command and control communications. Since the SHF frequency band (7.9-8.4 GHz) is allocated for terrestrial microwave and space system use, it was necessary to experimentally verify the interference potential of the airborne terminal and to identify spectrum sharing options. To assure this, a detailed analysis was performed to identify acceptable interference levels for a number of terrestrial microwave and space systems. Next, a series of ground and flight test measurements were made against representative terrestrial microwave terminals and the NASA Goldstone deep space tracking station. These results determined the mutual coupling levels between the airborne SHF SATCOM antennas and the terrestrial microwave and space system antennas. Received power levels and interference modes were investigated. The results of these tests were analyzed and conclusions drawn as to the probability of interference between the two systems under various conditions. Conclusions and recommendations were drawn from the analysis which would reduce the interference between the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and terrestrial microwave systems to a tolerable level if a number of specific spectrum sharing options are implemented. Recommendations regarding a course of action to assure that these options are considered are presented.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

FOREWORD

March 1. . Laker

PUT DEC

This Technical Report presents the findings of an investigation to experimentally evaluate the interference potential of an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal on terrestrial microwave and space systems that operate in a common frequency band. This effort resulted from concerns voiced by the Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) as a result of a Spectrum Resource Assessment of the 7.25-8.40 GHz frequency band conducted by the Depaitment of Commerce, Office of Telecommunications. Because of the broad implications of the potential interactions, the USAF, as developer of the airborne SATCOM terminal, was identified by DOD to lead the investigation. The USAF in turn delegated this responsibility to the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL). AFAL called upon the expertise of the Department of Commerce, Office of Telecommunications (OT) and the Department of Defense, Electromagnetic Compatibility Analysis Center (ECAC) for direct support in performing the necessary study. In the conduct of the study, a large number of other agencies and individuals were called upon to assist in the various phases of the study. The authors wish to thank the following organizations without whose tremendous support the effort could not have been accomplished:

Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA/AEC) Department of the Interior (DOI) Department of Commerce (DOC) National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Defense Communication Agency (DCA) Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) USAF/Frequency Management USAF/Frequency Management USAF/Fe-4 System Program Office Electronic System Division Strategic Air Command (SAC) Air Force Communication Service (AFCS)

This effort was accomplished during the period May 1974 through June 1975 under Project 1227, "Advanced Microwave Communications," task 12272205, "SATCOM Testing."

The project leader was Allen L. Johnson. Testing was under the direction of Roger L. Swanson (AFAL), Robert Mayher (OT), Richard Parlow (OT), Michael J. Kelly (ECAC/IITRI) and Paul Groot (ECAC/IITRI). Special thanks is extended to Major Robert L. Wasson who was in charge of AFAL's test effort from the project inception until his transfer in April 1975.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section			Page
I	GEI	NERAL	۱
II	CO	NCLUSIONS	9
III	AN/	ALYSIS APPROACH	15
IV	SHI	F SATCOM OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	27
V	tei Adi	NNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY/BONNEVILLE POWER MINISTRATION MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS	34
٧I	EN (El	ERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION RDA/AEC) MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS	85
VII	Fei An	DERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION MEASUREMENTS AND ALYSIS	114
VIII	Je Ani	T PROPULSION LABORATORY GOLDSTONE MEASUREMENTS D ANALYSIS	246
IX	EA An	RTH RESOURCES SURVEY OPERATIONAL SYSTEM (ERSOS) ALYSIS	268
X	0T	HER SYSTEM ANALYSIS	281
APPENDIX	A	AIRCRAFT ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS	297
APPENDIX	B	BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS OF ASC-18 SHF SATCOM TERMINAL	313
APPENDIX	C	ATTIC ANALYSIS PROGRAM	335
	RE	FERENCES	343

v

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Comparison of Measured and Theoretical Processing Gain	49
2	Maximum Power Coupling	57
3	Summary of Flight Test Slot Noise	60
4	Probability of Outage	70
5	Outage Per Year for One Flight Per Day at 10 kW (Perpendicular to Link)	72
6	Tennessee Valley Authority Fixed Microwave Links in the 7.9 - 8.4 GHz Frequency Range	76
7	TVA Systems with Elevated Antenna Beams	80
8	BPA Systems with Elevated Antenna Beams	81
9	ERDA NTS Equipment Characteristics	89
10	NTS-CCTV Interference Threshold Summary	99
11	Recommended Interference Probability Values	109
12	Low-Band Frequency Groups (7125 to 7650 MC) and High-Band Frequency Groups (7650 to 8400 MC)	118
13	FAA RML-6 Links in CONUS	125
14	Key FAA System Characteristics	126
15	RML-4 Processing Gain Values	127
16	RML-6 Processing Gain Values	129
17	Channel 3 Closed System Degradation Tests	158
18	FAA S/I Thresholds	165
19	Comparison between Flight Test and Calculated Interference Levels	182
20	Channel 3 Flight Test Data Summary	193
21	Recommended Interference Probability Values	225
22	Goldstone MARS Station (DSS-14) Characteristics	247
23	MARS X-Band System Noise Temperature during First Overflight	255
24	SHF SATCOM Orbit Test Summary at DSS-14 on 28 May 1975	259

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

Table		Page
25	Separation Distances to Protect Goldstone X-Band from SHF SATCOM Emissions	264
26	ERSOS SHF Band Downlink Analysis Characteristics	270
27	MINIT (S/1) _{IN} Protection Ratios for ERSOS Downlink with Satellite at Zenith	272
28	FDM/FM Antenna Characteristics	283
29	Airborne SHF SATCOM Antenna Gain	285
30	Mutual Antenna Gain (G _m), dEi	292
A-1	Nose-On Overhead Flight Test Data	306
B-1	Communication Terminal Group Characteristics	314
B-2	Antenna Control Group Characteristics	322
B-3	Communication Control Group Characteristics	327

vii

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
ı	Airborne SHF SATCOM Interference Geometry	2
2	Ground Antenna Model	22
3	Aircraft Antenna Model	23
4	Free Space Propagation Loss for Air-to-Ground Path	25
5	Atmospheric Attenuation from Aircraft to Ground Receiver	26
6	DSCS II Satellite Frequency Plan	30
7	Coverage of Satellite at 13°W	31
8	Coverage of Satellite at 135°W	32
9	TVA Ground Test Equipment Setup	37
10	TVA AGC and CCV Characteristics	39
11	TVA Slot Noise Quieting	40
12	Noise Power Ratio versus (S/N) _{OUT}	42
13	Filter Characteristics	43
14	Slot Noise versus CW Interference	46
15	Slot Ncise Power versus PN and N Interference	47
16	Difference between PN and Flat Noise	50
17	Off-Frequency Rejection to PN Interference Collins 508D	52
18	TVA CW Coupling Measurements Test Setup	54
19	TVA Ground Test Setup	55
20	McEwen Flight Orbit	56
21	CW Interference Power at Receiver Front End	58
22	Interference Power in Base Band Channel (Flight Test)	62
23	Worst Case Time Distribution of Interference	63
24	Outage Probability	69
25	NADS Communication	86
26	NADS Ground Test Setup	90
27	NADS AGC Characteristic	91
28	NADS CW Slot Noise Quieting	92

ale a summer water and the second state

1.10

alii a 20 is

, -

A SHE CONTRACTOR

1

2.827167564

F

igure		Page
29	NADS Slot Noise versus PN, Noise and CW Interference	94
30	Measured S/I versus Error Rate	95
31	CCTV Ground Test Setup	97
32	CCTV Link AGC Characteristics	9 8
33	NADS Airborne Test Setup	101
34	NADS Flight Test Geometry	103
35	ASC-18 Transmitter-TerraCom Receiver Off Tune Frequency Rejection Curve	107
36	Interference Contours for NADS 10 kW Cochannel	111
37	Typical RML-4 Installation	1 16
38	RML-4 System Configuration	117
39	Baseband Spectrum RML-4 RF Channels 1, 2, 3 and 4	120
40	RML-4 Baseband Spectrum, RF Channels 5 and 6	121
41	RML-4 Radar Data Transmission Block Diagram	121
42	16 Mbz Baseband Spectrum	122
43	RML-4 Channels 1, 2 and 3 Ground Test Setup	131
4 4	RML-6 Ground Test Setup	132
45	RML-4 Channel 5 Basic Block Diagram Test Configuration	133
46	RML-4 and RML-6 AGC Characteristics	135
47	RML-4 CW Slot Noise Quieting	136
48	RML-6 CW Slot Noise Quieting	137
49	RML-4 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus PN Interference	140
50	RML-4 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus Noise Interference	141
51	RML-4 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus CW Interference	142
52	RML-6 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus PN Interference	143
5 3	RML-6 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus Noise Interference	144

iх

Figure		Page
54	RML-6 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus CW Interference	145
55	Comparison between PN, Noise and CW Interference to RML-4	147
56	Comparison between PN, Noise and CW Interference to RML-6	148
57	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for PN Interference	150
58	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for PN Interference	151
59	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for Noise Interference	152
60	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for Noise Interference	153
61	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for CW Interference	154
62	Comparison between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-6 (Normal FAA Loading) for CW Interference	155
63	Effect of PN Jamming on Channel 3 Digital Data	159
64	Channel 5, Command and Control Channel Slot Noise versus PN or Noise Interference	161
65	Channel 5 Pilot Desensitization versus PN Interference	163
66	Ideal Flight Patterns for CW Test	167
67	Ideal Radial and Orbit Flight Patterns	168
6 8	FAA Radar Measured Radial Flight Path	169
69	RML-4 Received Power at Seales for Radial Run	170
70	FAA Radar Measured Overhead Flight Path	173
71	Received Interference for Overhead Flight Path	174
72	FAA Radar Measured Offset Flight Path	175
73	Signals Received by RML-4 Antenna System for Offset Flight Path	176
74	FAA Radar Measured Orbit Flight Path	178
75	CW Orbit Across Seales Window at 160 NM	179

Х

Figure		Page
76	Channel 1 Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference	181
77	Channel 1 PPI Display With and Without Interference	184
78	Channel 1 A Scope Display With and Without Interference	185
79	Channel 1 Slot Noise with 1 kW PN Interference	187
80	Channel 2 Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference	189
81	Channel 2 MTI/Beacon Display With and Without Interference	190
82	Channel 3 Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference	192
83	Channe! 3 Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference, Away	194
84	Channel 3 Slot Noise with 100 W PN Interference	196
85	Channel 3 Slot Noise with 5 kW PN Interference	19 8
86	Channel 3 Slot Noise with 1 kW PN Interference	199
87	Channel 2 Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference	202
88	Multiple Window Flight Plan	204
89	FAA Recorded Hardeeville Flight Path	205
90	Channel 2 PPI Display With and Without Interference During Multiple Window Test	206
91	Received Signal During CW Orbit Across RML-6 Reflector Beam	210
92	Received Signal During CW Orbit Across RML-6 Dish Main Beam	212
93	RML-6 MTI/Normal Slot Noise with 10 kW PN Interference	214
94	Probability of Error versus Signal-to-Gaussian Noise	219
95	Outage Time versus Fade Margin	221
96	ATTIC Plot of 15 dB Contours for 8045 MHz	228
97	ATTIC Plot of Multiple Contours for 8045 MHz	229
98	ATTIC Plot of Contours for 135° West Satellite - 8240 MHz	231
99	ATTIC Plot of Contours for 13° West Satellite - 8240 MHz	231

周辺の時代の観日に

THE ALL PARTY

Figure		Page
100	ATTIC Plot of Contours for 135° West Satellite - 8150 MHz	231
101	ATTIC Plot of Contours for 13° West Satellite - 8150 MHz	231
102	15 dB Salt Lake City Protection Ratio Contour	235
103	10 dB Salt Lake City Protection Ratio Contour	236
104	5 dB Salt Lake City Protection Ratio Contour	237
105	Typical S/I Contours	238
106	Goldstone DSN-14 Antenna Pointing Envelopes (6° Minimum Elevation)	249
107	Test Setup for JPL Goldstone X-Band Flight Test	252
108	Orbit Flight Profile	257
109	Predicted Interference Envelopes for Goldstone DSS-14	267
110	ASC-18 Transmitter to ERSUS Wideband Receiver Off Tune Frequency Rejection Curve	274
117	Protection Envelope for ERSOS Earth Station	278
112	Receiver Bandpass and Transmitter Output Spectrum	284
113	Frequency Separation vs Distance for Normalized Loss (45MF9) Receiver	287
114	Frequency Separation vs Distance for Normalized Loss (25MF9) Keceiver	288
115	Frequency Separation vs Distance for Normalized Loss (20MF9) Receiver	289
116	Frequency Separation vs Distance for Normalized Loss (15MF9) Receiver	290
117	Frequency Separation vs Distance for Normalized Loss (10MF9) Receiver	291
A-1	SHF SATCOM Testbed Alrcraft C135B/12662	298
A-2	SHF SATCOM Airborne Antenna	299
A-3	Aircraft Antenna Pattern versus Elevation Angle	301
A-4	Aircraft Antenna Gain Envelope versus Elevation Angle	303
A-5	Vertical Profile of Overhead Passes	304

xti

Figure		Page
A-6	A/C Antenna Pattern - Overhead, Nose-On and Tail-On	305
A-7	Peak Signal Envelopes of Offset Passes	308
8-A	Airborne Antenna Radiation Pattern Envelope	310
A~9	Summary of Aircraft Shielding - Peak Envelopes of Nose-On and Offset Antenna Gain	311
B-1	SHF Communications Terminal Group, Block Diagram	318
B-2	Antenna Control Group, Block Diagram	121
B-3	Communications Control System, Block Diagram	329
B-4	Communications Control Group, Control Panel	330
C-1	Interference to Microwave Link from Airborne Satellite Terminal	337
C2	ATTIC Program Flowchart	338
C-3	Composite S/I Contours	339
C-4	Single S/I Contour	340
C-5	Composite Contours for Four Microwave Receivers	342

FIRMEN

SECTION I GENERAL

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Defense (DOD) plans to implement a Super High Frequency (SHF) satellite communication (SATCOM) capability aboard the E-4 (Advanced Airborne Command Post) in order to provide reliable, jam-resistant communications for the command and control purposes. The SHF SATCOM system is designed to operate over the Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) which operates in the 7.25 to 8.4 GV₂ frequency band. In the DSCS Phase II satellites a portion of this frequency band from 7.25 to 7.30 GHz (downlink) and from 7.975 to 8.025 GHz (uplink) has been allocated exclusively for satellite use. The remainder of the DSCS II frequency band has been allocated as a shared band for ground terrestrial microwave use and other space systems. The users of this shared portion of the band are various government agencies which operate point-to-point microwave links plus other space system'.

Use of the exclusive satellite band by SATCOM terminals does not represent a significant interference threat to ground terrestrial microwave. However, SATCOM terminals in the shared portion of the DSCS II band represent a potential threat to the point-to-point terrestrial microwave users and other space systems. Most ground based SATCOM terminals are specifically located to avoid interference with other terrestrial microwave users. However, due to its mobility, the incorporation of a SHF SATCOM terminal in an airborne command post represents a potential interference to terrestrial microwave users operating in the shared frequency band under the situation



shown in Figure 1. This figure depicts potential interference coupling between the sidelobes of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and the main beam of a terrestrial microwave receiver.

The airborne SHF SATCOM terminal developed for use on the E-4 (AN/ASC-18) can transmit at 1 watt to 10 kilowatts continuous power and utilizes a 32 dB directive antenna to communicate via the satellite.

In view of the potential interference threat which this airborne SHF SATCOM terminal represents when it flies near a terrestrial microwave user the Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) requested that the Air Force perform a detailed investigation to determine the seriousness of the interference threat prior to implementation of the operational SHF SATCOM system.

OBJECTIVE

The U.S. Air Force directed that the Air Force Systems Command (AFSC), who is responsible for both the AN/ASC-18 development and the E-4 program, perform the detailed study necessary to answer the incerference question. AFSC designated the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL) as the Office of Primary Responsibility for conducting the interference investigation. AFAL hosted an initial meeting in May 1974 to define the objective of the test and the approach. The meeting was attended by those government agencies which operated terrestrial microwave links in the SHF shared satellite band and by organizations which intended to participate in the interference study. At this meeting it was decided that the objective of the SHF SATCOM Interference Study would be to <u>"Determine the interference level generated in the</u> terrestric! microwave terminals and space systems in the band by the Airborne SHF SATCOM Terminal, to evaluate the effect of this interference on the performance of the terrestrial microwave system and identify alternate solutions."

APPROACH

In order to accomplish the objectives the following approach was selected:

A. <u>Identification of terrestrial microwave users</u>. The objective of this effort was to identify those agencies with systems operating in the 7.25 to 8.4 GHz band. This involved not only current users, but agencies which might be operating on that band in the future. The Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) accepted the chairmanship of this task. They accomplished this task by reviewing the computer listings for frequency assignments within the desired frequency band. They also polled agencies for potential future users who expected to operate in this band.

B. <u>Terrestrial microwave system characteristics</u>. The objective of this task was to identify the characteristics of the terrestrial microwave systems and other space systems operating within the selected frequency band. The Electromagnetic Compatibility Analysis Center (ECAC) accepted the chairmanship of this task. Their approach was to use the computer listings of the various band users to identify basic equipment types. Further discussions with each individual user to verify, clarify and add to the computer information was necessary in order to obtain the technical characteristics of the terrestrial microwave systems of interest. One of the characteristics to be determined was the expected fading outage. Since the total elimination of interference may not be possible there is a need to establish an acceptable level of inter-ference. In general, if the interference occurs for a small percentage of the

normal fading outage time it would appear to be tolerable. The characteristics of the users' terminals are included in this report.

C. <u>Establish signal-to-interference ratios</u>. The objective of this task was to establish signal-to-interference levels which would provide criteria for protection of terrestrial microwave system operation. The Office of Telecommunication (OT) accepted chairmanship of this task.

Their approach to this task was to develop signal-to-interference (S/I) ratios which could be applied by each of the microwave users. They then assisted the users in evaluating their systems and in developing the necessary S/I ratios and associated maximum probability of occurrence values. These ratios provided the basis for the test analysis criteria and are contained in Reference 1.

D. <u>Define expected SHF SATCOM operation on E-4</u>. The objective of this task was to define the expected operational use of the airborne SHF SATCOM aboard the E-4. This would include the expected frequency, power and data rate to be used in addition to expected time and geographical location of airborne operations. The E-4 SPO at ESD accepted the chairmanship of this task.

Their approach to this task was to quiz the potential E-4 users (SAC and NEACP) to determine their expected operational scenario. They tried to determine who the command post would be operating with, at what data rates, what geographic locations, what satellite modes, what power, and during what times. The results of this effort are in SECTION IV - OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.

E. <u>Interference Probability Analysis</u>. The objective of this task was to determine the likelihood of interference being generated in the terrestrial microwave by the Airborne SHF SATCOM System. ECAC accepted the chairmanship of this task.

Their approach was to conduct a general study of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal's impact on point-to-point microwave and other systems which share the common operating band. Guidelines were developed that aided in the identification of spectrum sharing options. Factors such as desired signal levels, fade margins, typical system characteristics, expected interference signal levels and aircraft overflights were considered. Their analysis is contained in References 2 and 3.

F. <u>Data Collection</u>. The objective of this task was to develop procedures for ground and airborne tests to collect the necessary test data. This included the task of providing the necessary monitoring and interfering equipment for the ground and airborne test. Final effort in this task was to actually perform the ground and airborne flight test. Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL) accepted the chairmanship of this task.

The approach was to first examine the interference criteria and determine what testing needed to be done. Next the test equipment required was defined and collected. A ground test was performed at each site to verify the system parameters and establish a baseline for the flight test. The plan for the flight test was established and actual data collection accomplished by flying the interfering system in the vicinity of the terrestrial microwave link. The test plans and test reports were published in References 4 through 12.

G. <u>Analysis and Evaluation</u>. The objective of this task was to evaluate the data obtained from the previous six tasks and formulate recommended operational and management procedures for compatible operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system and the terrestrial microwave systems. AFAL was chairman of this group.

The approach was to review all the data collected under the previous six tasks and provide a detailed analysis of the interference problem. This final report is the result of this evaluation. In order to cover extensions of these techniques to the more general interference problem, a summary report has been prepared.¹³ That report considers the changes in bandwidths, powers, signal-to-noise or modulation techniques to be taken into account when applying these evaluation techniques to other systems.

It was agreed that the potential interference problem was a world-wide problem. However, it was decided to limit the study to the CONUS (48 contiguous states plus the District of Columbia). Once those problems were solved the effort could be expanded as required.

An initial look at the problem indicated that it would not be possible to perform an actual test against all microwave sites. It was decided to try to group the types of sites and pick representative sites for the actual test. As a result of the grouping six test sites were selected as typical. These were:

a. TVA's McEwen, Tennessee 600 Channel FM Voice Link

- b. AEC's Nevada Test Site Close Circuit TV Link
- c. AEC's Nevada Test Site Digital Link (NADS)
- d. FAA's Jacksonville, Florida RML-4 Radar Remoting Link

e. FAA's Jacksonville, Florida RML-6 Radar Remoting Link

f. JPL's Goldstone, California 210' Space Track System

In selecting an approach for the study it was agreed to attempt to set up and validate an analysis procedure so that as future terrestrial microwave sites are added the interference problem can be satisfied by analysis. Testing against each new site is obviously not practical.

SECTION II

CONCLUSIONS

GENERAL

At the completion of the data collection and analysis the following conclusions were drawn relative to the potential interference between the airborne SHF SATCOM, the terrestrial microwave and other space systems. A more complete discussion of these conclusions is contained in the writeups on each of the individual tests in the later sections of this report. ASSUMPTIONS

The conclusions are based on the following set of assumptions:

1. The analysis was based on the SHF band utilization contained in the IRAC file as of May 1974 updated by information on FAA, TVA, BPA, ERDA and JPL links late in 1975. Future changes to the SHF population will have to be considered to evaluate their susceptibility using the calculation techniques presented in this report.

2. The E-4 aircraft will be equipped with the airborne SHF SATCOM system (ASC-18) in the late 1970s. A total of six aircraft are planned for the E-4 fleet. There would seldom be an occasion for more than two of the six E-4s to be airborne at any one time.

3. The airborne SHF SATCOM system will be operated at the lowest power which will provide the required communication capacity (expected to be 100 to 1000 watts).

4. The planned E-4 SHF frequency utilization envisions two fifty megahertz bands centered at 8.150 and 8.240 GHz. The modulation is a direct sequence periods random noise with phase shift keying. All terminals

will use the same center frequencies and multiple access will be accomplished by code division.

5. While any interference with terrestrial microwave or other space systems is undesirable it is assumed that statistically derived levels of interference that produce a finite increase in outage over that caused by nature alone could be defined and recommended to the effected agencies.

6. The increase in outage time identified in the probability interference analysis was based on the assumption that the aircraft would be present within a specified set of signal-to-interference contours a given number of minutes per day. For any specific flight scenario, the actual flight time within these regions could be less and hence reduce the predicted increase in outage time. During this investigation, insufficient flight scenario data was available to allow the evaluation of flight time constraints in any given area, hence maximum limits have been identified.

7. The main beam of the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna will not be pointed lower than +10° elevation. The only coupling to the terrestrial microwave or other space systems will be through the sidelobes of the airborne antenna.

8. Initial calculations were done assuming an unfaded microwave link. Following that analysis the fading probabilities were evaluated to see what effect the airborne SHF SATCOM system would have on a microwave link during fading. For space systems in or planned for the band typical receiver noise temperatures and/or expected signals were considered.

100 WATT OPERATION

Cochannel operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system at a reduced power of 100 watts reduces the interference to what is judged to be a tolerable level for all systems as long as the main beam of the JPL, ERSOS

and ERDA/NADS systems are avoided. The JPL and ERSOS systems have a main beam which is very narrow, 200 to 1000 feet diameter at expected flight altitudes (24,000 to 35,000 ft msl). The probability of main beam interception is very small, i.e., \approx one in a million. For the ERDA/NADS protection can be provided by avoiding the main beam within 80 nm of the receiver or by tuning to a center frequency at least 45 MHz from the NADS. Use of the planned frequencies (8.150 and 8.240 CHz) would provide the required frequency separation for the JPL, ERSOS and ERDA/NADS systems. 1 kW OPERATION

Cochannel operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system at a power of 1 kW increases the probability of outage to the FAA and one BPA link near Seattle due to interference only slightly from that presently experienced due to natural causes. For example, if the expected outage were presently 1×10^{-3} it might be increased to 1.5×10^{-3} . This probability assumes a limited number of flights through certain high probability areas, such as three hundred flights per year through certain main beams. Interference would not occur unless the FAA or BPA link were in a faded condition. It would still be necessary to avoid main beam interception of the JPL (Goldstone), ERSOS (Sioux Falls) and NADS (Nevada Test Site) systems. Center frequency separations of 50 MHz for JPL, 40 MHz for ERSOS and 48 MHz for NADS would reduce the probability of interference to what is judged to be a tolerable value. Use of the planned frequencies (8.150 and 8.240 GHz) would provide the required frequency separation for JPL, ERSOS and ERDA/NADS systems. 10 kW OPERATION

Operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at its full 10 kW power output using PN modulation could cause interference to FAA (continental),

BFA (one link near Seattle), ERDA/NADS (Nevada Test Site), JPL (Goldstone) and ERSOS (Sioux Falls) systems if the aircraft were to fly through the main beam of the microwave system while operating on the same channel. Other systems such as TVA, BPA (other than one link near Seattle) and ERDA-CCTV have sufficient link margin that there is only a very small probability that they would be interfered with. For example, the TVA outage probability might increase from $.4 \times 10^{-5}$ to $.6 \times 10^{-5}$. Outage would only occur if the TVA link were experiencing fading. If center frequency offsets of approximately 40 to 50 MHz (66 MHz for JPL) are provided between the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and the affected system or if main beam interception is avoided, there is only a very small probability that interference would be encountered. Use of the planned frequencies (8.150 and 8.240 GHz) would provide the required frequency separations for the JPL, ERSOS and ERDA/NADS systems.

GROUND OPERATIONS

The airborne SHF SATCOM system will be operated on the ground while the E-4 is on alert. Calculations were performed to evaluate the potential interference to terrestrial microwave or other space systems located near the airport. The general conclusion was that there is a potential interference problem to microwave systems operating on nearby frequencies. It appears that each site where ground operation is planned will have to be analyzed on a case-by-case basis to assure power levels and operating frequencies are selected which will preclude interference to the local terrestrial microwave users.

EXCLUSIVE BAND OPERATION

Operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system aboard the E-4 will utilize a DSCS-II satellite up until approximately 1980. During this time period the prime frequencies for operation (8.150 to 8.240 GHz) of the airborne SHF SATCOM system will be in the frequencies shared with terrestrial microwave and other space system users. Therefore, interference problems between the airborne SHF SATCOM system and the other users must be addressed. However, the planned development of a DSCS-III satellite includes the ability to shift the narrow beam operation from the shared portion of the band to the exclusive frequency band. The DSCS-III satellite is planned for operation in approximately 1980. At that time if the prime mode of operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system on the E-4 shifts from the shared band to the exclusive satellite bard, possible interference generated by the joint use of the shared portion of the satellite band should no longer be a problem. Operation at that time in the exclusive portion of the satellite band should preclude the possibility of serious interference problems between the airborne SHF SATCOM system, terrestrial microwave systems and other space systems. However, if the prime mode of operation is not shifted to the exclusive band serious restrictions on geographic location and/or frequency assignments of future systems will exist.

「「「「「「「」」」」

- 韓四 - - -

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are offered:

1. As a long term solution to the interference problem, operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system should be moved to the exclusive satellite band. This should be implemented in the DSCS-III satellite planned for the 1980 period. During the interim period operation of the airborne SHF

13

法主动部门 的第三人称单数的 网络马斯特马斯特马斯特尔 法公共公共

SATCOM system in the shared band should be maintained at the lowest power which satisfies the communication requirements.

2. Main beam interception of the other users should be avoided where practical.

3. Procedures should be established to assure that operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM system be accomplished without causing intolerable amounts of interference to other users.

4. Procedures should be established to assure that changes in the frequency assignment or user population will be evaluated to assure continued compatibility.

5. Potential interference problems should be coordinated with the agencies involved.

a Latin Casta June

SECTION III

ANALYSIS APPROACH

GENERAL

The basic concept of the analysis effort was to make a series of measurements and calculations which could be applied to the general problem of interference between an airborne SHF SATCOM system, terrestrial microwave systems and other space systems. This required a series of predictions, calculations, ground (closed-system) measurements, and airborne (open-system) tests. Obviously, it is not possible to test all links nor to test under all possible conditions. Therefore, the plan was to test a representative sample of the types of links in use under realistic conditions.

In order to analyze the interference between the airborne and other systems sharing the band it is necessary to define the system parameters which may interact. These parameters include:

- (1) modulation characteristics
- (2) system frequencies and bandwidths
- (3) type of information being transmitted
- (4) link characteristics, including geometric considerations
- (5) operational periods and data perishability
- (6) design options

ANALYSIS PROCESS

The analysis process to be used in this report includes the following steps:

- (1) Development of basic system equations.
- (2) Application of predicted and measured link parameters.
- (3) Calculation of predicted interference levels.
- (4) Comparison of predicted and measured interference levels.
- (5) Application of probability theory to determine probabilistic aspects of link outage times.

EQUATIONS

The equations contained in this section are used in the report to analyze predicted interference levels.

The interference processing gain (a similar expression could be given for gaussian noise) is generally defined 14 as:

$$PG' = \frac{(S/I)_{OUT}}{(S/I)_{IN}}$$
(3-1)

where

 $(S/1)'_{OUT}$ = output signal-to-interference (or noise) in units

 $(S/I)_{IN}^{i}$ = input signal-to-interference (or noise) in units

For the case in which it is desired to express the processing gain (PG') in dB we have that:

 $PG = 10 \log PG' = (S/I)_{OUT} - (S/I)_{IN}$ (3-2)

where

 $(S/I)_{OUT}$ = output signal-to-interference (or noise) in dB

 $(S/I)_{IN}$ = input signal-to-interference (or noise) in dB

For a multiple channel FM, the gaussian noise PG' for the highest channel and a high input (S/N) ratio is given by: 15

$$PG_{N}^{i} = \frac{(S/N)_{OUT}^{i}}{(S/N)_{IN}^{i}} = \left(\frac{F_{CH}}{f_{m}}\right)^{2} \frac{B_{IF}}{b} \cdot P \cdot W$$
(3-3)

where

 $B_{TF} = IF$ bandwidth

b = bandwidth of the telephone channel (3.1 kHz)

 F_{CH} = the rms test tone deviation per channel (Hz)

 f_m = mid-frequency of the highest baseband channel (Hz)

P = pre-emphasis improvement factor

W = psophometric weighing factor

A typical value for P is 4 dB and W is 2.5 dB. These values were applied where appropriate.

The PG' for the on-tune multichannel case and an unmodulated CW interfering signal is given by:¹⁶

$$PG'_{CW} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{F^2_{CH}f_s}{bf^2_m} \exp(f^2_m/2f^2_s)$$
 (3-4)

where

 $f_s = [\Delta f_b^2 + \Delta f_u^2]^{1/2} = \text{total rms deviation}$ $\Delta f_b = \text{total rms deviation of desired signal}$ $\Delta f_u = \text{total rms deviation of undesired signal}$

The input desired signal can be conveniently calculated from the following expressions:

$$S_{IN} (dBm) = N_{IN} (dBm) + (S/N)_{IN} (dB)$$
 (3-5A)

$$= N_{TN} + (S/N)_{OUT} - PG \qquad (3-5B)$$

「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」「「「」」」」」

$$\simeq$$
 -174 dBm + NF + 10 log B + (S/I)_{IN} (3-5C)

where

 N_{IN} = input noise (typically expressed in dBm) NF = noise figure in dB

B = bandwidth in Hz

The desired signal (S_{IN}) at the receiver input is given by:

$$S_{IN} (dBm) = S_T + G_T + G_R - L_{FS} - L_A - L_{WG}$$
 (3-6)

where

 S_T = desired transmitted signal power (dBm) G_T = transmitter antenna gain (dB) G_R = receiver antenna gain (dB) L_{FS} = free space loss (dB) L_A = atmospheric attenuation (dB) L_{WG} = waveguide loss (dB)

The interfering signal (I_{IN}) at the receiver input can also be expressed as:

$$I_{IN} (dBm) = I_T + G_T + G_R - L_{FS} - L_A - L_{WG}$$
 (3-7)

where

5 18-34 ET . 74 84

 I_{T} = interference transmitted signal power (dBm)

It is also convenient to obtain the interfering signal from:

$$I_{IN} (dBm) = S_{IN} - (S/I)_{IN}$$
 (3-8)

Different threshold criterion can be chosen for $(S/I)_{IN}$ to obtain corresponding input interference criterion.

The input signal-to-noise can be obtained from Equation 3-5 and 3-6 as:

$$(S/N)_{IN} = S_{IN} - N_{IN}$$
(3-9A)

$$= S_{T} + G_{R} - L_{FS} - L_{A} - N_{JN}$$
(3-9B)

When we when the maintain and stated in the formation of the

TYPE OF TESTS

In general, two types of tests (a closed-system test and an opensystem test) are required to completely characterize the potential interference. The closed-system tests are done to provide a baseline for performance. They determine system response to known interference signal. These tests are run on the ground with an interference signal inserted directly into the receiving system along with the desired signal. In this way known levels of interference can be generated and the effects of this interference on the AGC, squelch, processing gain and signal quality can be made.

The first step in the closed test is to calibrate the AGC signal with a known input CW signal Next the input interference level is measured. Then the modulated desired signal is fed to the receiver along with the known interference. The $(S/I)_{IN}$ is varied and the $(S/I)_{OUT}$ is measured. Using Equation 3-2 the processing gain is derived and compared with measurements.

Following the closed-system test actual airborne open-system tests were made using an interference source in the test aircraft. These tests were done to confirm the predicted antenna coupling and microwave system interference. Since the interfering signal overlaps in frequency with the desired signal, it is not possible in the open-system test to directly measure interference power. However, from the baseline closed-system tests the input interference power level can be determined by measuring $(S/I)_{OUT}$. Since the processing gain was determined in the closed-system test, Equation 3-2 can be used to der've $(S/I)_{IN}$. Using this technique the S/I ratios were determined as the aircraft flew through the test area and radiated the potential interfering signal.
To simulate a 600 channel FM microwave system the baseband channel was noise loaded. A series of slots were notched out using 3 kHz slot filters. In this way the effect of the interference signal could be measured on the receiver by noting the rise in the noise in the slot. For the digital link and video link slots were available. The interference could be measured by noting the power rise in these slots.

FLIGHT PATTERNS

For the open-system test several flight patterns are used to investigate the possible antenna coupling. The first flight pattern consisted of inbound or outbound legs where the aircraft flew from over-the-horizon to directly over the terrestrial microwave station, trying to define the beam pattern of the terrestrial microwave.

The second series of flights were over-flights in the area of the terrestrial microwave system. These flights tested the overhead coupling of the terrestrial microwave system with the aircraft.

A third type of flights were an orbit pattern flown in the main beam of the terrestrial microwave system at a distance of 150 to 200 miles from the terrestrial microwave antenna. The purpose of these flights was to determine degradation from the worse case main beam coupling.

These three types of flight patterns provided samples of all possible mutual antenna coupling.

MEASURE OF DEGRADATION

The degradation experienced by a terrestrial microwave system depends upon the type of information being transmitted and the display or output equipment characteristics. For a 600 channel FM terrestrial microwave

system with diversity the degradation caused by an interfering signal appears as the squelching of one receiver channel as the interfering noise rises above a preset threshold.

If squelch or diversity are not available, the interference is noted as a rise in the baseband noise level as the interference increases. For a digital link the interference is measured as a change in the bit error rate.

For a video system the degradation is noted as a change in the video quality.

The FAA conducts air traffic control operations using both broadband and narrowband control systems. For the broadband system the display is a PPI scope. Degradation to the PPI display consisted of white wedges that mask the desired targets. For the narrowband control system the data is digital and the degradation experienced is an increase in the error rate. <u>ANTENNA PATTERNS</u>

A variety of antennas are used for the various terrestrial microwave links. The patterns of these ground antennas are similar. Therefore, for the purpose of this report a standard ground antenna pattern has been used. This pattern (Figure 2) shows the predicted antenna gain for a parabolic antenna and for the periscope antenna which uses a dish at ground level radiating up to a passive reflector on the tower.

The airborne antenna pattern is influenced by the direction the antenna is pointed relative to the nose of the aircraft. After a series of antenna measurements (Appendix A) an envelope antenna pattern was established as shown in Figure 3. This pattern describes the peak gains measured for various angles off the main beam.

21



- The



THE PARTY IN THE PARTY IN

arither many and the many transferring and the second to be

- 44

ATTENUATION

The attenuation value used in the calculations of this report include both free space and atmospheric attenuation effects. The free space curves for a ground-to-air microwave system are shown in Figure 4. This figure shows that free space can be used at distances of 200 miles or less.

Atmospheric attenuation was derived from the material presented in Reference 17. The results are shown in Figure 5 and are used in the system calculations. EFFECIS OF FADING

Terrestrial microwave systems may experience signal fading due to several causes.^{18,19} During a signal fade the terrestrial microwave system may be more vulnerable to interference. However the susceptibility depends upon the cause of the fading.

Ducting or inversion layers can cause fading. However, ducting or inversion layers are more likely to occur between the aircraft and the terrestrial terminal, thereby providing additional shielding rather than additional interference.

The effect of rain cell attenuation between terrestrial microwave transmitter and receiver will cause the same or greater attenuation of the aircraft interfering signal. Therefore, fading due to rain cell attenuation should not affect the signal-to-interference ratio generated by the airborne interference.

Multipath fades may result from gradual changes in refractive index along the propagation path, especially during the evening or morning hours. The fades between the two terrestrial terminals are not expected to be correlated with the multipath fades between the aircraft and the terrestrial receive terminal. Therefore, the terrestrial link will be more susceptible to interference during periods of multipath fading.



/



SECTION IV

SHF SATCOM OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

INTRODUCTION

The E-4 is being implemented to provide a survivable DOD command center. One use of the E-4 is to support the National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP) operating presently out of Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland. NEACP has the responsibility of providing an emergency command and control system which the National Command Authority (NCA) can use to direct military forces in the time of a national emergency. The other use of the E-4 is the operation of the Command-in-Chief of SAC. The SAC command post operates out of Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska to provide directions to the worldwide SAC forces in time of emergency.

The E-4 system is presently in the initial implementation phase. Only one test aircraft is expected to be equipped with the SHF SATCOM system by 1978. Additional aircraft will probably not be equipped prior to 1980. Even when all six E-4 aircraft are equipped with the SHF SATCOM system, only two are likely to be flying at any one time. FLIGHT PARAMETERS

The normal flight routes of the NEACP aircraft in peacetime can be anywhere in the United States. They normally fly direct point-to-point, but may fly airways. The flight altitudes are from 24 to 35 thousand feet. The normal peacetime flight orbit for the SAC airborne command post is in the area around Offutt AFB which covers portions of Nebraska. Iowa, Minnesota and South Dakota.

27

C THE & C. MAN AND THE AND THE AT A PARTY MALE IN CAMPANY P. 14-540 - 444

decision multimente secon

Prior to completion of this report it was not possible to determine the planned maximum transmit power level, time or duration of SATCOM operations. Therefore, the results of this report are structured to allow the users of the E-4 or others to evaluate the effect of various transmit power levels or transmit duration on the probability of causing interference.

SHF SATCOM PARAMETERS

The airborne SHF SATCOM system (ASC-18) has been designed to provide a reliable jam-resistant communication system for high priority traffic between E-4's and other airborne or ground command centers. The ASC-18 utilizes a 10 kW transmitter and a 32 dB gain parabolic antenna to achieve a high effective radiated power to overcome potential jamming threats. The ASC-18 receiving system utilizes the 32 dB gain dish and a low noise parametric amplifier to provide a sensitive receiving system. The SHF antenna can be passively pointed towards the satellite using a computer pointing group which converts the satellite ephemeris and directional information from an inertial navigation system into a pointing vector.

An active tracking capability also exists where the antenna senses downlink energy from a beacon signal transmitted by the DSCS-II satellite. The ASC-18 interfaces with the modulation/demodulation system at a 70 or 700 MHz interface. Appendix B more fully describes the ASC-18. MODULATION

The planned modulation system for the E-4 is a USC-28 pseudo noise (PN) modem. This modem utilizes band spreading to achieve jam protection. This protection is provided by spreading the relatively low data rate of the information signal to be transmitted over a 40 MHz

bandwidth using direct sequence pseudo random noise. The basic modulation/ demodulation technique is phase-shift keying.

A narrowband FM voice modulation may be used for test coordination purposes over the satellite. Since the interference of a narrowband FM is similar to that of CW, it was decided to include CW modulation in the interference test.

DSCS SATELLITES

An exclusive satellite band has been established in the 7.975 to 8.025 GHz uplink band. This falls within the earth coverage - earth coverage mode of the DSCS-II.

The DSCS-II satellites are in a synchronous equatorial orbit. The two satellites in operation at this time are located at $13^{\circ}W$ O°N (#9433) and $175^{\circ}E$ O°N (#9434).

Other Phase II satellites are planned with one to be located at 135°W. Coverage of the Phase II satellites at 135°W and 13°W are shown in Figures 7 and 8.



FIGURE 6 DSCS II SATELLITE FREQUENCY PLAN

1

 $\mathbf{2}_i$

-



a bis south and the meridian for the meridian and the second second and the second second and the second second

- 41

1



By approximately 1980 the third phase of the Defense Satellite Communication System (DSCS-III) is expected to be in operation. For these satellites a different frequency plan is being selected which allows operation of the narrowbeam - narrowbeam mode in the exclusive band (7.975-8.025 GHz uplink).

FREQUENCY

While the E-4 will have the capability of operating its airborne SHF SATCOM terminal on any frequency within the 500 MHz satellite authorization, the present plans are for normal operation to be at the narrowbeam - narrowbeam or narrowbeam - earth coverage frequencies. For DSCS-II the planned uplink frequencies [8.215-8.265 GHz (NE-NB), 8.125-8.175 GHz (NB-EC)] are in the shared part of the band For the planned DSCS-III the narrowbeam - narrowbeam capability will be available in the exclusive band which should minimize the interference problem.

SECTION V

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY/BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

This section summarizes the results of the theoretical and experimental studies conducted by the SHF SATCOM Interference Study Group as they apply to FDM/FM 600 channel microwave links. Earlier theoretical studies concluded, based upon parameter values available at the time, that it was likely that the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal radiation would exceed the minimum signal-to-interference threshold while the aircraft was within the main beam of the microwave station antenna. And it was further determined that this could occur while the microwave signal was not fading. An additional study was undertaken to determine what impact this type of interference would have based upon the probability of its occurrence.^{2,14,20}

Concurrent with these studies, a measurement program was undertaken to verify the theoretical interference criteria and to refine and define some of the parameter values which previously had to be estimated.

The measurement program results are reported in detail in Reference 5 and 7, and are summarized here for the particular areas which apply to the FDM/FM 600 channel type systems.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The general type of system to be considered is a multichannel frequency division multiplexed frequency modulated point-to-point communications system.

These systems transmit voice, analog and digital data over standard 3.1 kHz wide audio channels. The individual channels are multiplexed

together in groups of from 12 to 1200 to form a baseband of frequencies which then frequency modulates the carrier for transmission.

While the individual systems may vary slightly in their noise and RF/IF bandwidth characteristics, the information bandwidths, modulation and signal levels, which are the controlling factors for interference analyses have been standardized through the recommendations of the CCIR and the DOD standards. These standards are adhered to quite rigidly in practice, and will be used here to determine the typical system characteristics for use in the analysis. The performance of a microwave system can be measured in terms of processing gain (PG). This is given by Equation 3-2:

$$PG = (S/N)_{O|T} - (S/N)_{TN}$$
(5-1)

The test program derived PG values for the types of interference which the airborne SHF SATCOM system can generate. The Tennessee Valley Authority made the McEwen, Tennessee operational link available for testing. That system is typical of the equipment operating in this band.

The TVA link tested was an 18.8 mile hop from Johnsonville (Site 1) to McEwen (Site 2), Tennessee. The equipment at both stations is Collins 508D RF with a MX 106 multiplexer. The link is clear from obstructions and antennas are aimed on the horizontal.

The McEwen receiver uses an eight foot Andrews P8-71G dish mounted 100 feet up on a 140 foot tower. The desired signal strength at the McEwen receiver computed by TVA is -33.8 dBm including all waveguide and coupler 10sses. This provides a fade margin of 40.2 dB as calculated in TVA drawing LC-92968 R-1 Sheet 9.²¹ The RF input noise level of the Collins 508D is specified at -88 dBm. This would provide TVA with a minimum signal-to-noise of 14 dB $(S/N)_{OUT}$ in the 508D's baseband channel while

experiencing a 40.2 dB fade. The average signal strength during the testing was -35 dBm.

GROUND TESTS

<u>General</u> - The object of the ground test was to measure in a closed link system configuration basic receiver characteristics required for the interference analysis and the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal test. The ground tests were first conducted on 2-4 October 1974^5 and repeated on 3-7 March 1975 along with the airborne tests.⁷

The block diagram used in the test is shown in Figure 9. The figure indicates the test configuration used for the 70 kHz, 1.248 MHz or the 2.438 MHz channels of a typical 600 channel TVA system. In this test configuration, the signal to be interfered with has baseband slot filters introduced one hop (Site 1) before the site at which the interference was introduced (Site 2). At Site 2, the input interference power levels and the corresponding output slot noise interference power levels were measured. The AGC voltage was measured to obtain a calibration of the input desired signal level. The critical control voltage (CCV) was measured to obtain a calibration of the squelch point. The desired output signal level is obtained from calibration measurements in which the proper level of the desired signal was introduced at Site 1 and measured at the output of Site 2. Sufficient information was available to obtain the relationship between the input and output signal-to-interfarence power ratio and therefore obtain the processing gain (PG) of the system. The output AGC voltage and the CCV was measured as a function of the input inteference signal level.



100 aver the part of the second adama wayada cek

The receiver characteristics measured in the ground tests for the TVA system were:

- 1. AGC and CCV
- 2. Quieting slot noise
- 3. Slot interference power for a Noise loaded baseband (PN, N or CW interference)
- 4. Squelch criteria
- 5. Off frequency rejection

The following describes the results of these measurements.

AGC, CCV Characteristics - The AGC and CCV characteristics of the test TVA receiver are shown in Figure 10. The characteristics were measured during two separate measurement periods. The IF amplifier was changed between the two measurement periods resulting in two different sets of AGC relationships. The data shown was from the March measurement period since this data was used in conjunction with the airborne measurements. The AGC curves were mainly used to calibrate the desired input signal level in all of the subsequent slot noise interference tests. They were also used in the airborne antenna tests to indicate a received input CW signal power level when the TVA microwave signal has been turned off. Quieting Slot Noise - The quieting slot noise curves for the TVA receiver are shown in Figure 11. These curves were obtained by injecting an unmodulated desired signal (CW) and measuring the noise in a slot. Ideally, this noise is directly proportional to frequency squared and inversely proportional to the CW carrier level. For these measurements it was specified that the receiver front end noise level was -88 dBm. Consequently, instead of the input CW carrier level, input signal-to-noise ratio was plotted. Repeated measurements of these curves were made during two separate periods. The



ad voltage in Volts

FIGURE 10 TVA AGC AND CCV CHARACTERISTICS

39

and the second of the



curves show the 70 kHz, 1.248 MHz and the 2.438 MHz slot noise and total baseband output noise as a function of desired input signal power. The straight 1:1 slope of the 1.248 MHz and the 2.438 MHz curves indicate the linear operating region of a good receiver. The curved region of the 70 kHz curve indicates the large distortion typically encountered in the lower channels. If the higher frequency slot noise curves were not linear over a large portion of their operating region, the interference slot noise measurements (these will be described in the next section) would also not be linear and this would have increased the error in subsequent interference measurements. The 1.248 MHz and the 2.438 MHz curves shown in Figure 11 were linear in the normal operating region and, therefore, indicate good operating receivers. The curves indicate non-linearities for very weak and strong desired signal levels which is also normal receiver operation.

Figure 11 also indicates the output signal-to-noise ratio. This was obtained by introducing a noise modulation level at the transmitter proportional to 200 kHz and recording the output receiver signal level (-46.5 dBm). The ratio in a slot is then the ratio of desired <u>noise</u> power to f^2 noise. The processing gain, as defined by Equation 5-1, for a 2.433 MHz channel with noise modulation (NM) was measured as:

PG_{NM} = 24 - 22 dB ≈ 2 dB

Converting this Noise Power Ratio type of PG to a tone signal-to-noise, we need to add the conversion shown in Figure 12. In addition to this factor a filtering factor for the noise should also be considered. The slot noise measurements were made with the HP filter shown in Figure 13.

er man fan de se state

1.0.0



42

1.4: DOP-16 2/9

/ #16



This filter is shown in comparison with the CCIR psophometric filter which has a 2.5 dB filtering reduction above white noise. The HP filter was calculated to have a .9 dB filtering effect. The processing gain for white noise quieting (NQ) is then obtained as:

 $PG_{NQ} = PG_{NM} + 16 dB - .9 dB = 17.1 dB$ (5.2) The theoretical PG is obtained from Equation 3-3:

$$PG_{N}^{*} = \left(\frac{200 \text{ kHz}}{2438 \text{ kHz}}\right)^{2} \frac{22000 \text{ kHz}}{3.1 \text{ kHz}} \rightarrow 16.7 \text{ dB}$$
(5-3)

The measured PG therefore agrees closely with the theoretical PG. This value will be used in the next section to compare with pseudo noise and the noise interference case.

i.

<u>Slot Interference Power for a Noise Loaded Baseband</u> - The most important ground test interference measurements made for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal tests were the slot noise measurements that indicate the degradation of a receiver output channel as a function of the input interference power. Without these measurements it would not be possible during the flight test measurement to know the signal strength of the undesired signal source. This is because the desired FM microwave signal and the undesired signal have overlapping spectrums and cannot be separated with a spectrum analyzer at the receiver input. This type of information is not specifically required for the closed system tests since the desired and undesired signal power can be measured directly at the output of the respective signal generators or input to the receiver.

The slot noise measurements or the measurements of the power in a particular baseband frequency slot can be obtained with a fully loaded or a lightly loaded baseband. Most multiple channel FM systems heavily use

all channels and consequently the baseband loading can be simulated by noise loading the baseband. The TVA channels vary in loading from light to hisvily loaded. The full noise loading measurements were done so that the TVA system could be generally compared with FAA, AEC or other fully loaded systems. The TVA noise loaded receiver measurements are shown in Figures 14 and 15. These are shown for three representative voice slots (70 kHz, 1.248 MHz and 2.438 MHz) and three types of interference (PN, Noise and CW). These curves and all subsequent curves are plotted as a function of the input signal-to-interference power ratio (S/I) $_{\mbox{IN}}$ and both the output slot noise and the noise power ratio (NPR). The original measurements were taken with specific interference and desired signal levels. Since the microwave carrier signal level varies with fading and from one location and/or equipment type to another, the curves have been normalized as a function of the $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio so that the results are directly applicable to all similar types of microwaves providing the desired signal level is known. The curves show good linearity for the upper voice slots for the PN and Noise interference. The lower 70 kHz slot shows the typical lower channel non-linear effects due to intermodulation as was indicated in the previous quicting measurements. Since the 2.438 MHz slot will be used as the main monitor or reference channel, no calibration problems will be encountered since this is a reasonably linear channel.

The measured channel PG values were compared with the theoretically calculated values. The processing gain of the channels can also be calculated and compared with the measurements. The processing gain of a multi-channel FM is given by Equation 3-3 and was found to be 15.7 dB for the particular 600 channel system being examined. The measured PN, N and CW processing gain are found to be:



-

ないたちないです

THE ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF

46

2. 18 Prove the second s

1.0

10. 10



.tes 1 ∹⊊~-,

PG _{PN}	=	25.5	-	22	ť	16	-	.9	dB	=	18.6	dB		(5~4)
PG _N	=	27.1	-	22	+	16	-	.9	dB	=	202	dB	•	(5-5)
PG _{CW}	=	25.5	-	22	+	16	-	.9	dB	=	18.6	dB		(5-6)

These values along with the theoretical noise PG are compared with the corresponding theoretical values in Table 1. The ideal noise interference PG should be 3 dB greater than the quieting PG since the bandwidth of the PN signal is approximately 40 MHz and the bandwidth of the TVA receiver limited IF is 22 MHz. Therefore, we have that:

 $PG_{N} = PG_{NQ} + 10 \log (40 \text{ MHz}/22 \text{ MHz})$ $= PG_{NO} + 3 \text{ dB}$

There is a theoretical 1.5 dB difference between the measured PN interference and the noise interference for the same bandwidth (40 MHz). This is due to the $(\sin x/x)^2$ roll off of the PN spectrum versus the flat gaussian noise spectrum. That is, the PN noise component at 2.438 MHz is effectively higher than the flat noise component at this frequency because the total power of the $(\sin x/x)^2$ is averaged over the 40 MHz bandwidth. This normalization effectively raises the central portion of the spectrum and lowers the tails of the $(\sin x/x)^2$ roll off. This is shown in Figure 16.

The on tune CW processing gain is given by Equation 5-7:¹⁶

$$PG_{CW} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\delta f^2 f_s}{b f_m^2} \exp(f_m^2/2f_s^2)$$
(5-7)
= $\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{(200)^2(871)}{(3.2)(2438)^2} \exp\frac{(2438)^2}{2(871)^2}$
= 231
$$PG_{CW}|_{dB} = 23.6 \ dB$$

TABLE 1	
---------	--

COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND THEORETICAL PROCESSING GAIN

TYPE OF PROCESSING GAIN	MEASURED PROCESSING GAIN IN DB	THEORETICAL PROCESSING GAIN IN DB
Quieting Slot Noise (f ²)	17.1	16.7
PN Interference	18.6	18.2
Noise Interference	20.2	19.7
CW Interference	18.6	23.6





-

The difference between this value and the measured value is 5.0 dB. The greater difference between the theoretical and measured PG value for the CW case compared to the PN and N case is due to the fact that the equation does not apply to low deviation ratios.

The slot noise measurements were run over an interference power range from below system noise up to an $(S/I)_{IN}$ of 0 dB.

The processing gain remained constant for $(S/I)_{IN}$ up to 2 dB for PN interference, Figure 15. This is due to the constant amplitude of the PN signal as opposed to the amplitude variations of gaussian noise.

Thus, the non-linearities and degradation in PG which occur when the classical FM improvement threshold of 10 dB $(S/I)_{IN}$ is broached, do not occur and the system remains linear until the interference begins to capture the receiver.

<u>Off-Tuned Effects</u> - A test was conducted in which the slot noise interference was monitored as a -46 dBm PN signal was injected on-tune and moved in 10 MHz steps out to 50 MHz off-tuned. This data is presented in Figure 17. A computer calculation of the theoretical OFR is in close agreement with this data.

FLIGHT TESTS

<u>General</u> - The objectives of the flight tests were to determine the amount of interference which could potentially be coupled into the TVA system from the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter. Three basic tests were conducted which (a) located the area of maximum power transfer, (b) determined the exact power level of the SHF SATCOM carrier in the TVA receiver, and (c) determined the amount of interference coupled into the baseband channels.

51

an and the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second second second

INPUT $(S/I)_{IN} = 10 \text{ dB}$ 0 10 dB Rejection Relative to On-Tune 20 30 (Col ins 608D) 203040Difference Frequency, MHz 10 FIGURE 17 OFF-FREQUENCY REJECTION TO PN INTERFERENCE

The results of these tests were then used in conjunction with the ground test results and theoretical analyses to determine the degree of degradation to the system.

In the first two tests, the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter was not modulated and was tuned to the center frequency of the McEwen site (Site 2, 8255 MHz). The desired signal from the Johnsonville site (Site 1) was turned off. The AGC voltage, baseband quieting and the received IF power (prior to limiting) were monitored and recorded. The test arrangement for these runs is shown in Figure 18.

For the third test, the aircraft was flown in regions of maximum power transfer. The airborne SHF SATCOM system was placed in various configurations and the interference noise power in the slotted channel of a fully loaded baseband transmitted from Johnsville was recorded. AGC and combiner squelch voltage (CCV) were also recorded. The test configuration for these runs is shown in Figure 19.

The details of the flight configuration and the conduct of the test are presented in Reference 7 and will not be elaborated upon here.

TEST RESULTS

<u>Overflight</u> - Four flights were conducted directly along the main beam azimuth and over the McEwen site to identify the areas of maximum power coupling. The main beam and first sidelobe regions were identified and it was confirmed that these areas did produce the highest interference powers. These areas were located at 122 and 175 nm from the site, Figure 20. No significant antenna lobes were encountered until the aircraft was greater than 100 miles from the site. This test was run with the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna at an elevation angle of -2° . One overflight was





Yes.

Sec. in the



FIGURE 19. TVA GROUND TEST SETUP

. NA SUMPLY OF COMPARENT LAND

States and the second second

Antistantin a sure with the state of the state






conducted with the aircraft antenna at a typical operating elevation angle of $\pm 10^{\circ}$ and no signal was detected closer than 100 miles from the site. <u>Power Coupling-Main Beam</u> - Ten flights were flown through the main beam with the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter radiating 5 kW at relative azimuth angles of 0°, 45° and 135° to the direction of McEwen. The antenna was aimed at $\pm 10^{\circ}$ and $\pm 20^{\circ}$ elevation. The absolute peak power received for the various pointing arrangements is given in Table 2 and a plot of the worst case signal received as the aircraft traversed the beam is given in Figure 21.

TABLE 2

MAXIMUM POWER COUPLING

	Relative	Azimuth to	McEwen
	0°	45°	135°
Received power at 10° elevation	-53 dBm	-53 dBm	–74 aBm
Received power at 20° elevation		-56 dBm	-72 dBm

<u>Theoretical Power Coupling</u> - In the flight test at McEwen, Tennessee, the flights were configured so that the aircraft crossed the main beam of the 8 foot microwave antenna at a distance of 165-180 nm (Figure 20). The aircraft antenna beam was at an elevation angle of 10° and pointed toward the McEwen site. The airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter was radiating 5 kW of CW power. The theoretical received power at the system measurement



ł

٦

į.

.

i

٠

ì

CW interference power at receiver front end. 21

58

and the second

point, i.e., bottom of antenna waveguide run, is given as follows (Equation 3-7):

1

$$I_{IN} = I_T + G_T - L_R - L_{FS} - L_A + G_R - L_{WG}$$

$$+ 67 - 1 - 0 - 160 - 2 + 44 - 2.9 = -54.9 \text{ dBm}$$
(5-8)

The actual measured power level during the flight was -53 dBm maximum. <u>Power Coupling Sidelobe</u> - A full set of data was taken in the region of the first vertical sidelobe. Although the location of this lobe is much closer to the site (i22 miles versus 175 miles) the beam gain is sufficiently reduced to cause the coupled power to be considerably less than in the main beam. The maximum received power during these flights was -65 dBm as opposed to 53 dB for the main beam flights. <u>Interference Flight Tests</u> - Of the 28 flights through the main beam 14 were run at 5 kW with PK modulation, 16 were run at 5 kW with FH modulation and 4 at 10% matts PN. Table 3 presents the worst case slot noise measurement for time various flight test configurations. Each configuration was run at least twice. All other measurements fn each category were from 2 to 6 dB below that which is shown.

Using the 2.438 MHz slot noise versus PN interference curve (Figure 15) generated during the ground measurements the input interference power can be determined. The worst case measured slot noise level of -73 dbm was generated by an input PN signal power of -58 dbm. There is no reading available explanation of exactly why this peak received signal is 5 db below the peak CW signal received during the power coupling fright tests. It will simply be noted that out of the total of 38 flights the peak

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF FLIGHT TEST SLOT NOISE

POWER	MODULATION	ANTENNA ORIENTATION	NUMBER OF MEASUREMENTS	PEAK SLOT NOISE WHILE IN MAIN BEAM
		TOWARD McEwen	6	-74 dBm (~59 dBm)*
	PN	AWAY	8	-84 dBm (-69 dBm)*
5 KW		TOWARD	4	-73 dBm (-58 dBm)*
FH I	FH	AWAY	6	-80 dBm (-65 dBm)*
		TOWARD	2	-85 dBin (-70 dBm)*
W 601	PN	AWAY	2	<u>-87.5 dBm (<-70 dBm)</u>

System noise in slot with no interference -89.5 dBm.

*Input interference PN signal level

AN AN AND PROVIDENT AND A STATE

received power of -53 dBm was reached only three times. All other received interference power levels were at least 5 dB below this level.

Figure 22 is a plot of the typical frequency hopping (FH) and PN interference versus time as the aircraft flew through L a beam. In order to determine the time distribution of the interference power above the microwave system sensitivity level, the slot quieting measurement shown in Figure 21 will be used since an unmodulated FM system has a lower residual slot noise than a noise-loaded FM system. Due to intermodulation of the noise-loaded system the residual slot noise rises about 12 dB above that of the unmodulated system, thus restricting the lower limit to which interference may be sensed. When the system was noise loaded the interference rose only 17 dB above the residual slot noise (Figure 22).

In order to insure that the structure of the peak of the quieting curve was representative of the actual pattern, the curves of all the interference and quieting measurements were plotted on an absolute power basis. The curves were also made symmetrical about their peak value. It was found that the quieting curve of Figure 21, when made symmetrical about its peak, was the worst case and encompassed the values of all other measurements. This curve is plotted in Figure 23.

During the interference tests, two information modulation rates were used (75 bps and 4.8 kbps). No noticeable difference was observed for the PN interference. However, for the FH modulation there was an observable difference in the critical control voltage. This circuit controls the switching of the combiner in the diversity system. It was noted that at low data rates, this circuit was approximately 6 dB more sensitive to FH than PN noise. In both cases, the system squelched at a CCV of 2V which is equivalent to a slot noise of -58 dBm for PN. That equates to a $(S/I)_{OUT}$



.....



1.

4.



of 25 dB and an 8 dB $(S/I)_{IN}$. The frequency hopping mode of interference produced a lower CCV for a given level of slot noise, thus causing the diversity system to function at a 6 dB lower slot noise.

SUMMARY OF TESTS

Ground Tests

 The system vulnerability to noise and PN modulation is approximately the same.

2. Theoretical predictions of off tuned coupling were verified. Flight Tests

1. The worst case measured power coupled into the microwave receiver agrees closely with the theoretical computation.

2. Outside of the main beam and near sidelobe regions the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal signal is virtually undetectable and does not degrade normal signal-to-noise ratios in baseband channel.

3. In the near sidelobe region, the interference power level never exceeded -65 dBm or a slot noise of -80 dBm.

4. Time during which the interference power exceeds a decibel level relative to the peak is given below:

Decibels Below Peak	(Seconds) Time	Decibels Below Peak	(Seconds) Time
0	2	-20	90
-5	10	-30	140
-10	30	-40	190
-15	60	Noise	200

5. Operating the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter at 100W produced a signal which was just detectable (4 dB above system noise for 5 seconds)

while the aircraft traversed the main beam. At all other times the signal was undetectable.

 If the aircraft antenna is aimed 90° or more from the ground site the monitored interference power level is 10 dB less than the worst case.

INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS

<u>General</u> - The basic approach to the interference analysis is to define a baseline system and to determine the worst case impact of the airborne SHF SATCOM system upon its operation.

This baseline system will then be used on a comparative basis in evaluating the impact of the airborne SHF SATCOM system upon the operating systems of the TVA and BPA networks. The McEwen site was originally chosen for testing because it appears to typify a large segment of the operational environment. The test program verified its operating characteristics and the predicted response of the system characteristics. These systems will be used as the baseline for the analysis.

The worst case theoretical and measured data was used to determine the amount and time distribution of the interference to McEwen's normal operation.

It should be emphasized that these studies used assumptions which biased the analyses towards an interference situation. When marginal situations were encountered, some of the assumptions had to be reexamined to determine their applicability to the individual case.

The McEwen system was first analyzed as a non-diversity system to determine the relationship of airborne SHF SATCOM interference signals to the

system performance requirements. This information was then used to determine the probability that the system would fall below a specified performance level while the interference signals were present. These data were subsequently used to evaluate the effects of total frequency and space diversity.

<u>Nondiversity System</u> - The worst case interference will result from radiating 10 kW from the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter. The worst case received interference power measured during the test was -53 dBm while radiating 5 kW. Therefore, the highest received interference power in a full power configuration will be taken as -50 dBm $(I)_{IN}$. The desired signal during the tests was -35 dBm $(S)_{IN}$ and the measured processing gain is 18.6 dB (PG). These values provide input and output signal-to-noise ratios according to:

$$(S/I)_{IN} = S_{IN} - I_{IN}$$
 (5-9)

$$(S/I)_{IN} = -35 - (-50) = 15 \text{ dB}$$

 $(S/I)_{OUT} = (S/I)_{IN} + PG_{PN}$ (5~10)

 $(S/I)_{OUT} = 15 + 18.6 = 33.6 \text{ dB}$

As specified in Reference 1, the minimum acceptable $(S/N)_{OUT}$ or $(S/I)_{OUT}$ is 25 dB. If the interference signal is equated to noise, the maximum interference level is 8.6 dB below the threshold, i.e., $(S/I)_{IN} = 8$ dB.

Interference at the threshold will produce an output signal-to-noise of 25 dB. It is not possible to discuss here the exact effects of the interference on the information in the multiplex channel since the type of information varies widely from system to system. However, the 25 dB

 $(S/I)_{OUT}$ is based upon white noise which shows a rapid decay in $(S/I)_{OUT}$ for $(S/I)_{IN}$ of less than 10 dB. In the measurement, it was demonstrated that the system remained linear for very small inputing all-to-interference ratios. For an $(S/I)_{IN}$ of 2 dB, the processing gain still provided a 17 dB $(S/I)_{OUT}$. Consideration should be given to this fact when evaluating the impact of airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operation on an individual system. Probability of Interference - The impact of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal interfering signal upon the operation of the microwave systems was evaluated by examining the worst case probability of interference. As previously computed, the maximum received interference level is 7 dB below the threshold, i.e., the interference level which would produce a 25 dB $(S/N)_{OUT}$. Therefore, in order for interference to occur, the desired signal must be faded. The normal system outage probabilities versus fade margin and path length are plotted in Figure 24. The values are taken from References 17 and 16 and are for temperate inland conditions over water. As the figure shows, a 20 mile path set up with a 40 dB fade margin will have a probability of outage of 2 x 10^{-5} . The use of these outage values for interference analysis was as follows: As the aircraft flies through the beam of the microwave system the instantaneous fade margin is reduced by the interference to noise threshold ratio.

Examination of the interference power levels given in Figure 23 will clarify this. The peak signal at 0 dB on scale will produce a 7 dB fade margin for two seconds. This means that if the signal faded 7 dB the system would experience an outage for two seconds. Likewise, a 17 dB fade would produce an outage of 32 seconds, etc., on through the range of interference

power levels. The probabilities of outage associated with the various fade margins is taken from Figure 24 and presented in Table 4 for four microwave system path lengths and airborne SHF SATCOM cransmitter powers of 10 and 1 kW.

The outage probability values given in Table 4 are the fraction of time that the signal will fade to the specified depth or greater. Thus, considering the 20 mile path, which is slightly greater than the baseline system, the value of .04 for the 7 dB fade means that 4% of the time the signal will be 7 dB or more below the median and 96% of the time the fade will be less than 7 dB down, thus, no interference. The value in the second line of .013 for an 11 dB fade means that the signal will fade 11 dB or more 1.3% of the time. This means that the signal fades somewhere between 7 and 11 dB 2.7% of the time (4% - 1.3%). The time duration of the interference during such fades is between 2 and 10 seconds. Likewise, the third line in the table indicates the signal fades 14 dB or more 0.6% of the time. Therefore, the signal will fade between 11 and 14 d? for 0.7% of the time (1.3% - 0.6%), with an interference duratiseconds.

The new percentage figures indicate the percent of the ..., number of flights through the microwave beam which will cause an interference outage of a specified duration.

If we assume the affectaft flies through the microwave homonoe a day, the total outage time over a year can be computed and the shoring in Table 5. This computation is for a 20 mile microwave link with the airborne SHF S/JTCOM terminal radiating 10 kW. As can be seen, the total outage



77.42



T	A	B	L	Е	4
---	---	---	---	---	---

PROBABILITY OF OUTAGE

SHE POWER		FOR TOP	ROBABILITY	OF OUTAGE	/ M(
INT FADE	TIME	TUF. TUK	PATH LEN	ICTH	<u></u>
MARGIN dB	SECONDS	10 mi	20 mi	<u>30 mi</u>	40 mi
7	2	.01	.04	.1	.2
17		.0004	.003	.012	.025
17	10	.0015	.013	.05	.1
21		.00015	.0012	.005	.01
14	20	.0008	.006	.023	.05
24		*	*	~	*
19	40	.00025	.002	.007	.015
29		*	*	*	*
23	60	.0001	.0008	.003	.006
33		*	*	*	*
32	120	.000013	.0001	.00035	.0008
42		*	*	*	*
42	150	.+ 000013	.00001	.000035	.00008
52			-		**
£6	180	.0000005	.000004	.000013	.000032
ه هاند پرکاری بیده برد منطقه			ب الاست. من الد	**	-
50	210	.0000002	.0000016	.000005	.000012
-				-	-

*L'ecrease upper number by factor of 10.

Å

time is extremely small: 3 minutes per year, or an outage probability of 6×10^{-6} . The same computation was accomplished for the 40 mile path and the results were 1,212.85 seconds or 20.21 minutes of outage per year for an outage probability of 4×10^{-5} .

The above computations considered the aircraft flying at 90° to the microwave beam. The total time during which the signal was detectable was about 200 seconds for the worst case. This equated to about 20 miles in distance. The aircraft signal was detectable in as close as 100 miles and as far out as 210 miles. Therefore, if the aircraft flew down the beam the total time the signal would be detectable would be increased by a factor of 5. The outage probabilities would then increase to 3×10^{-5} and 2×10^{-4} , respectively, for the 20 and 40 mile microwave links. DIVERSITY

<u>Frequency Diversity</u> - The reliability of a single circuit is increased through the use of frequency diversity¹⁸ by a factor between 10 and 100. Thus, in a frequency diversity system the impact of reducing the reliability of one side of a frequency diversity link by a factor of 10^3 or 10^4 will reduce the overall reliability by no more than the improvement factor of the diversity system 1 self.

In the interference analysis, the governing factor for assessing the impact of the system should be measured in terms of the diversity improvement factor rather than the individual hop fade margins.

Previous analyses 14,20 had concluded that the interference power would exceed the median desired signal level whenever the airborne SHF SATCOM

TABLE 5

a sector sector and the sector of the sector of the sec

مراحلاتهم وكالمساوية

OUTAGE PER YEAR FOR ONE FLIGHT PER DAY AT 10 KW (PERPENDICULAR TO LINK)

			CUDATTON	AVEDACE	4 TIME	ND. OF	TOTAL
FADE EXCEEDED	DURATION EXCEEDED	PROBABILITY	PUKALIUN FROM-TO	DURATION	FADE EXISTS	FLIGHTS	OUTAGE
7 d3	2 sec	-04	2 - 10	6 sec	2.7%	9 . 85	59 sec
ap li	10 sec	£10,	10 - 20	15 sec	0.7%	2.55	_3 sec
14 dB	20 sec	.006	20 - 40	30 sec	0.4%	1.4	42 sec
19 dB	40 sec	.002	40 - 60	50 sec	0.12%	44.	22 sec
23 dB	60 sec	.0008	06 - 09	75 sec	0.05%	. 18	13.5 sec
27 dB	90 sec	.0003	<u> 50 - 120</u>	105 sec	0_02%	.073	7.7 sec
32 dB	120 sec	1000.	120 - 150	135 sec	0.019%	.069	9.3 sec
4 2 dB	150 sec	10000.	150 - 180	165 sec	0.0006%	.0022	.3 sec
46 dB	180 sec	.00004			Ţ	tal Outage	191.8 sec
					ά»	er Year Time	$\frac{3.2 \text{ min}}{6 \times 10^{-6}}$

72

1

Cillin and t

terminal passed through the main beam. Thus, there was a problem that during maintenance routines there was a probability of one that an outage would occur if the aircraft happened into the main beam while one side of the link was down. As was seen in the discussion of probability, with the parameter values available as a result of the measurement program, the anticipated probability of an outage on a single side of a hop is less than one for all cases examined.

It is, therefore, concluded that there is no significant impact on frequency diversity circuits by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal even operating at 10 kW and with minimum angular separations between the main beams. Space Diversity - In the analyses conducted to date it has been assumed that space diversity would offer no protection against airborne SHF SATCOM terminal interference. Considering the nature of the diversity system and the anticipated dynamics of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal signal strength at the microwave receiver, it appears plausible that some improvement could be obtained. Examination of the data acquired during the testing of all systems indicates severe multipath fading exists in the interfering received signal. During the tests at the FAA, three receivers were utilized in an attempt to correlate power density and the signal received by the microwave antenna in hopes of determining the on and off axis gain of the microwave system. These signals (three) were recorded on the same recorder with a common time base. These signals were extremely difficult to correlate. Instantaneous differences of 6 to 10 dB were noted consistently between any two of the receivers. The cyclic nature of the received signal is due to convolving the two multilobed antenna patterns, multipath, path medium fading and aircraft motion.

These recordings are not unlike those taken on space diversity systems during actual fades. Both signals fade on the average nearly the same amount, but on a short term basis they fade out of phase and one signal is available most of the time above the squelch level.

A similar effect appears to be occurring between the separated receivers in the FAA test. Insufficient data was obtained and the test was not configured nor inlended to provide such data, to form any firm conclusion. However, some improvement should be realized.

The computed signal strengths and outage probabilities for the space diversity links will be based upon the assumption that there will be no diversity improvement. However, these observations should be borne in mind when evaluating margin situations. They are indicative that the worse case is under consideration.

TVA SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

<u>General</u> - The TVA system was examined in detail in order to determine the extent to which the individual systems in an overall network will vary from the baseline system typified by the McEwen system. Of particular interest will be changes in antenna configuration, reduced fade margins, and the use of space diversity and non-diversity systems. The Bonneville Power Administration system in northwestern U.S. was examined for the same variations. This system is quite similar to that of the TVA's.

<u>System Description</u> - The TVA system consists of a series of interconnected multiple hop links operating in the 7.250 to 8.4 GHz. These links carry multichannel, analog data and control signals which allow for the control and integration of the various power sources and transmission facilities throughout the TVA area.

74

In the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz portion of the band, the system uses Collins 508 A/B RF and D RF, Collins 518 and Motorola MR-300. All these systems are designed and loaded to CCIR specifications for 600 channels. The majority of the systems operate with frequency diversity. One major link and its spurs (NASH LONS) uses space diversity and three spurs use no diversity.

Virtually all types of antenna configurations are used (tower mounted parabolas, vertical and offset periscopes and midpath reflectors). Table 6 lists the systems operating in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz band.

Effect of Increased Antenna Gain - A system operating at the baseline fade margin with an antenna other than an 8 foot dish or 44 dBi gain will receive an interference power proportionate to the difference in the antenna gains. Examination of the TVA systems listed in Table 6 shows 19 systems using 10 foot antennas. The antenna gain is 2 dB greater than an 8 foot antenna and 3 dB greater when using a properly aligned 10 x 15 foot reflector. Since the baseline system had a 7 dB margin, the itpace of the 2 to 3 dB degradation in interference to threshold ratio will be minimal.

Twelve of these systems operate with space diversity. Six of these systems have fade margins which are sufficiently larger than the baseline system to offset the 2 and 3 dB increase in antenna gain. Six sites (three links) will experience an interference to threshold approximately 2 dB below the baseline value. These are:

Russell Hill to Summer Shade

Ellis Mountain to Sharp Ridge

Signal Mountain to Sequoyah

This will increase the probability of interference by a factor of about 1.5.

TABLE 6

į

 TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY FIXED MICROWAVE LINKS IN THE 7.9 - 8.4 GHz FREQUENCY RANGE

PARABOLA RFLECTOR GAL? OW W15on- 8.4 MR300 10 10x15 47 0.8 8185 W15on- 8.4 MR300 10 10x15 47 0.8 8185 Surge11 Hill- 32.8 MR300 10 10x15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Sirmer Shade 32.8 MR300 10 10x15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Sirmer Shade 16.0 MR300 10 10x15 45 0.9 Space 8305 Astrittle- 16.0 MR300 6 10x15 46 0.8 8125 Mitson 35.1 MR300 10 10x15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Mitson 8 3x12 44 1.1 70.5 706 705 Mitson- 10 10x15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Astrition- 40.8 0.0 8/////<	FATH	DISTANCE (MILES)	EQUIPMENT		ANTENNAS			DIVERSITY	FREQUENCY (MHz)	FADE MARGIN (dB)
Wilson- 8.4 Wi300 10 45 0.9 Hot Standby 8345 Geillatin 8.2 Mi300 10 10×15 47 0.8 Hot Standby 8185 Russell Hill- 32.8 WR300 10 10×15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Strmer Shade 32.8 MR300 10 10×15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Strmer Shade 16.0 HR300 10 10×15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Strmer Shade 16.0 HR300 10 10×15 45 0.9 Space 8135 Mitson 16.0 HR300 6 10×15 46 0.8 Space 8035 Mitson 35.1 MR300 10 10×15 46 0.9 Space 8035 Mitson 35.1 MR300 10 10×15 46 0.9 Space 8035 Mitson 35.1 MR300 10 10×15 46 0.9 Space 8035 <				PARABOLA (FEET)	REFLECTOR (FEET)	GAIN (dBi)	MQ()			
Russell Hill- 32.6 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8185 Surmer Shade 5. MR300 10 10 × 15 45 0.9 Space 8185 Sharp Ridge- 16.8 MR300 10 10 × 15 45 0.9 Space 8135 Mashville- 16.0 MR300 6 10 × 15 42 0.3 Space 8035 Mashville- 16.0 MR300 6 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8035 Milson 35.1 MR309 10 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Milson 35.1 MR309 10 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Milson 35.1 MR309 10 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Auderson 35.1 MR309 10 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8035 Auderson 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8036 <td>Wilson- Gallatin</td> <td>•.; α)</td> <td>MR300 (Motorola)</td> <td>01</td> <td>10 × 15</td> <td>46 47</td> <td>6.0 8.0</td> <td>Hot Standby</td> <td>8345 8185</td> <td>51.5</td>	Wilson- Gallatin	•.; α)	MR300 (Motorola)	01	10 × 15	46 47	6.0 8.0	Hot Standby	8345 8185	51.5
Sharp Ridge- 16.8 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8305 Mastwille- 16.0 MR300 6 10 × 15 45 0.8 Space 8305 Mastwille- 16.0 MR300 6 10 × 15 46 0.9 Space 8035 Wilson 35.1 MR300 10 10 × 15 44 1.1 359 356 Wilson 35.1 MR300 10 10 × 15 44 1.1 356 305 Milson 10 10 10 × 15 44 1.1 356 305 Misson 10 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Misson 10 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson 28.3 MR4rson- 28.3 0.9 Space 8035 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 10 10 × 15 <	Russell Hill- Summer Shade	32.8	MR 300	01 01	10 × 15	45 47	0.9	Space	8185 8345	40.6
Nashville- 16.0 MR300 6 10 x 15 42 0.8 Space 8035 Wilson- 35.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Wilson- 35.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Russell Hill 35.1 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Anderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Filis Mt. 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Filis Mt. 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Filis Mt. 47.3 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 8350 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 8370 Sharp Lidge	Sharp Ridge- Alcoa	36.8	MR 300	01	10 × 15	45 46	0.9 0.8	Space	8305 8125	
Wilson- 35.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.9 Space 8085 Russell Hill- 40.8 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Russell Hill- 40.8 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Arderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Filis Mt 47.3 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 Space 8035 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 8330 Sharp Ridge- 2.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 8270 Sharp Ridge- 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345	Nashville- Wilson	16.0	MR300	Q	10 × 15	42	0.8	Space	8035	42.5
Russell Hill- 40.8 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8035 Anderson 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Anderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8085 Ellis ML- 47.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 8390 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 10 10 x 15 47 0.8 Space 8390 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345	Wilson- Russell Hill	35.1	MR 300	01 8	10 × 15 8 × 12	46 44	0.9 1.1	Space	8085 7965	42.2
Anderson- 28.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 80% Ellis Mt 47.3 MR300 10 45 0.9 Space 80% Ellis Mt 47.3 MR300 10 10 10 1 87 0.8 Space 8390 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 10 10 1 15 46 0.8 Space 8390 Sharp Ridge 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345	Russell Hill- Ariderson	40.8	MR300	01	8 3 2 8	45	6.0	Space	8035	42,1
Ellis Mt 47.3 MR300 10 10 x 15 47 0.8 Space 8390 Sharp Ridge 10 10 x 15 46 0.8 8270 8270 Sharp Ridge- 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345 Lansdale 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345	Anderson- Ellis Mt.	28.3	MR300	01 01		45 45	0.9 0.9	Space	808F 7905	44.1
Sharp Ridge- 2.1 MR300 4 37 7.2 Hot Standby 8345 Lansdale	Ellis Mt Sharp Ridge	47.3	MR300	01	10 × 15 10 × 15	47 46	0.8 0.8	Space	8390 8270	40°4
	Sharp Ridge- Lensdale	2.1	MR 300	4 3	8 9 1 1 9 9 9 9	37	2.2	Hot Standby	8345	52.4

Service Market

TABLE 6 (CONTINUED)

1

. I

Ì

PATH	DISTANCE (MILES)	EQUIPMENT		ANTENNAS			DIVERSITY	FREQUENCY (MHz)	FADE MARGIN (dB)
			PARABOLA (FEET)	REFLECTOR (FEET)	GAIN (dBi)	MG)			
Rogersville John Sevier	2.8	MN508D (Collins)	শ্ব	10 × 15	37	2.3~	Frequency	7805/8045 7925/8165	41.7
Landsdale- Combs Knob	31.2	MN506D	000	10 × 15 10 × 15	46 45	0.8	Frequency	7805/8045 7925/8165	39.6
Rogersville- Church Hill	20.3	NN508D	မထ	8 x 12	41 44	1.5 0.95	Frequency	7835/8075 7955/8195	39.8
Van Leer- McEwen	5.2	MI4508D	မထ	6 x 8	42 44	1.2 1.05	Frequency	8045/8225 8135/8315	41.0
McEwen- Johnsonville	18.8	MN508D	ωω	10 × 15	44 45	1.05 0.72	Frequency	8165/8345 8075/8255	40.2
Trace Park- Jackson	27.7	MI-508D	ω	8 x 12	44	0.9	Frequency	8040/7850	39.5
Nashville- Germantown	11.1	MW508D	QQ		41 14	1.35	Frequency	8165/8345 8075/8255	43.5
Germantown- Van Leer	30.5	Mi#508D	ထထ	8 x 12 8 x 12	44 44	0.9	Frequency	8105/8195 8285/8375	40.2
Model- Grand Rivers	39.7	Mi4508D	ω ω	8 x 12 8 x 12	44 44	6.0 6.0	Frequency	8070/8250 8160/8340	39.7
Wilson Dam- State Line	۲.12	MW508A/B	4	8 x 12 8 x 12	42 39	0.95 0.95	Frequency	8135/8315 8045/8225	39.7

77

· 14.74。(14.2

TABLE 6 (CONTINUED)

FADE MARGIN (dB) 40.5 39.7 40.3 40.0 39.6 40.3 40.3 48.4 8 8165/8345 8075/8255 8195/8375 8105/8285 8195/8375 8105/8285 8160/8380 8050/8270 8165/8345 8075/8255 8105/8285 8195/8375 8045/8135 8225/8315 FREQUENCY (MHz) 7960/7840 Hot Standby 8370/8250 Frequency Frequency Frequency Frequency Frequency Frequency DIVERSITY Space 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.65 1.3 0.95 1.3 0.78 0.0 8.0 2.3 0.7 MO. -GAIN (dBi) 39 4545 39 44 44 44 33 47 42 REFLECTOR (FEET) ANTENNAS (30 × 40) 6 x 8 8 x 12 2 x 8 x 15 12 222 16 2 × 8 ×× ×× × × ဖ႐ ∞ <u>~</u> အ ဆ $\underline{\sim}$ ω PARABOLA (FEET) 22 မဂ္ဂ ωώ 64 4 00 44 ဖဖ 20 99 MN508A/B MIV508A/B MIV508A/B MIN508A/B MIN508A/B MIN508A/B MW508A/B EQUIPMENT **MW518 MW518** DISTANCE (MILES) 19.0 20.2 5.5 ο C 39.6 32.5 23.8 1.87 92 Trenton-Nickajack Dam Watts Bar NP Watts Bar HP-Norton Hill Norton Hill-State Line-Pickwick Newcastle Signal Mt.-Monte Sario-Sequoyah Newcastle-Madison Cordova PATH Jackson-Aîlen Ccrdova

1

Periscope Antunnas - A previous study² has indicated the possibility exists for high power levels to be coupled into a periscope antenna system when the aircraft flew in the pattern of the vertically aimed dish antenna. Testing described in Appendix A and SECTION VII have shown that the blockage afforded by the aircraft body is sufficient to reduce the power to a level of about -70 dBm. The duration of the detected signal is on the order of 1 cr 2 seconds. In view of the measured results overhead interference of periscope antenna systems is no longer considered a problem. Offset Periscopes and Elevated Reflectors - Many systems use a modification of the standard periscope antenna in which the periscope reflector is placed on some convenient structure or on a nearby hill. These systems can have the parabolas beam ained at elevation angles where the aircraft will encounter them at distances where the propagation loss is much less than at 170 miles and where the aircraft fuselage does not provide any blockage. This situation will exist for antenna beam elevation angles between '0 and 20°. There are three such systems in the TVA environment and these are listed in Table 7. From a generalized standpoint the most severe impact is to the Lonsdale site which could experience an interference level 14 dB above threshold. Since this link is a frequency diversity system the actual impact is not great. The other two systems still have positive interference to threshold levels of 1 and 2 dB.

The Sequoyah receiver operates space diversity and might require protection. However, its operating frequency is in a portion of the band not expected to be used by the aircraft unless the satellite frequency plan is changed.

1
щ
<u> </u>
Ē

SITE NAME	BEAM ELEVATION	BEAMWIDTH	DISTANCE	A PATH LOSS	INT/THRESHOLD	FREQUENCY
SEQUOVAH	1.5/2°	0.9	£0-120	2 HR	2 dB	7960
						(Collins 518)
NICKJACK	1.5	0.8	90-120	6 dB	1 dB	8195
						8375
LONSDALE	140	0.9	l6 mí	21 dB	-14 dB	7925
30 x 40						8165

SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION (BPA)

<u>General</u> - In the frequency range of interest, 7.9 to 8.4 GHz, the BPA system is almost exclusively frequency diversity. There are three non-diversity links, none of which use midpath reflectors, and there are no space diversity systems.

The general system can be typified as having path lengths much longer than those of TVA. There are four paths longer than 60 miles and over ter longer than 50 miles, 22 greater than 40 miles. While all these systems are frequency diversity, there might be some concern regarding the longer paths. As described in Reference 22, the 70 and 80 mile paths experience severe fading characteristics during the summer months.

In addition to the long paths, there are 19 paths utilizing midpath reflectors. Of these, sufficient data was available to approximate the elevation angles of the main beams of 10 sites. Of these ten, four had elevation angles which fall in the critical range. This is the area in which the interaction distance for the main beam is greatly shortened without the introduction of any attenuation due to fuselage blockage.

SITE	DIST	ELEV ANGLE	PATH DIFF
SICKLER	65	3°	-9
MARION	60	3.5°	-9
TACOMA SUB	50	4. 5°	-11
BIG EDDY	40	5.5°	-13

TABLE 8

Table 8 lists the systems which are affected by the elevated antenna beam. The Path Diff column indicates the potential S/I degradation over that of the baseline site at McEwen. It should be noted that terrain effects have not been considered. The remaining nine sites should be investigated to determine the elevation of this main beam only if the protection afforded by their frequency diversity is unacceptable.

The longer paths (80, 70 and 60 miles) are designed to a 35 dB fade margin. The longer paths cause 12, 11 and 9.5 dB more loss, respectively. Operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at 10 kW on-tune in the main beam of these systems will almost certainly squelch one side of the diversity system.

The three non-diversity hops appear to be the only truly vulnerable portions of the network. Two of the three are very short hops 8 and 4 miles and can expect little fading. In addition, their interference fade margins can be expected to be at least 6 and 12 dB better than the baseline system, i.e., instantaneous worst case interference power 11 and 17 dB below the maximum acceptable. These sites are as follows:

RX	FREQUENCY	<u>1X</u>	DIST	AZ	
Chehalis Sub	796 5	Chehalis	4.3	1 80°	
Clatop Sub	8075	Megler	8.6	349°	
The remaining hop	o, Squak Mountain	to Snoking S	Sub is over a	22.3 mile	
long path. This	hop will operate	with a 4 dB	interference	fade margin	
during the worst	interference sit	uation, sligh	itly less than	n that of the	
baseline system.					

RX	FREQUENCY	TX	DIST	<u>AZ</u>
Snoking Sub	82.30	Squak Mountain	22.3	343°
Squak Mountain	8350	Snoking Sub	22.3	1 53°

This system could be protected but due to the pessimistic nature of the interference computations and probability assessments, it is believed there will be little or no impact. In addition, with non-diversity hops the interference threshold which was used was the same as the squelch point for the diversity systems. For a diversity system, this is an on/off situation where if the squelch threshold is exceeded one side of the system shuts off. This occurs well before there is any noticeable degradation to the actual data. In a non-diversity system, fades which could cause this threshold level to be reached or slightly exceeded would still pass information at a substantial signal-to-noise ratio. The degradation would therefore be graceful.

SUMMARY OF SYSTEM STUDY

Addition to be a state of the second state of

Examination of the two rather extensive microwave networks has shown no real cases of troublesome interference. While a few systems do vary considerably from the baseline study, other operating parameters have mitigated the interference potential.

 \underline{TVA} - The space diversity system at Sequoyah might require protection in the future if the airborne SHF SATCOM system alters the satellite frequency plan.

This will not be necessary if the airborne SHF SATCOM system operates at powers less than 5 kW.

<u>BPA</u> - No serious problems were noted. The link to Snoking Substation has a potential for being slightly more vulnerable than the baseline system.

The use of frequency diversity on long hops is the only thing protecting these systems from being extremely vulnerable.

CONCLUSIONS*

1. Interactions between the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and multivoice channel FDM/FM links is expected to be minimal.

2. Outage probabilities resulting from operation of the cirborne SHF SATCOM system at 10 kW and flying through the main beam of any non-diversity link once per day should not exceed:

20 mile path 6×10^{-6} (3 minutes per year)

40 mile path 4×10^{-5} (20 minutes per year)

3. The use of frequency diversity provides significant protection against interference.

4. Operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at powers in the vicinity of 1 kW should have no impact on the environment (FDM/FM) as presently configured.

*See Assumptions in SECTION II.

海棠运行行 不足的 翻

38.084 348.85 AL 1998

SECTION VI

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (ERDA/AEC) MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

This section presents a summary of the measurement and analysis factors necessary to evaluate potential interference problems between the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA, formerly AEC) microwave receiving systems operated at the Nevada Test Site (NTS). After discussions with ERDA personnel two systems were selected as requiring analysis and test. Summary descriptions are presented of the Nevada Automatic Diagnostic System (NADS) and the Closed Circuit Television (CCTV), including those characteristics required in the system analysis. The closed system (ground tests) and the open system (flight test) type of measurements used for the analysis are described. Probability factors necessary to take into account the random flight path of the SHF SATCOM aircraft and the statistical fading characteristics of the microwave signals are also described.

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION MICROWAVE SYSTEM

ERDA has obtained frequency assignments for microwave links in the 7900 to 8400 frequency range. The frequencies are used at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) for remote monitoring of events. Nevada Automatic Diagnostic System (NADS) is the most important type of link. Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) is also relayed by microwave links. A typical communications network at NTS is shown in Figure 25 which includes mobile vans, passive reflectors and the main receiving center CP-1C. Five NADS links may be configured at one time which includes NTS Areas 5, 12, 20, a link to one of the 2, 8, 9 or 10 areas and a link to forward areas from Echo Peak. Echo Peak is a NADS relay point.



÷.,

and a survey of the second second

- A.

86

The NADS system uses wideband microwave links for transmitting data. The present system can operate at 10 or 23 Mbps data rate using Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) modulation. The RF bandwidth for the NADS system is 30 MHz with an IF bandwidth of 19.2 MHz. There are plans for upgrading the NADS link which operates on 7775 MHz to handle data rates as high as 320 Mbps and use PSK quadriphase modulation techniques. The present NADS links utilize four and six foot diameter parabolic reflector type of antennas as well as some passive reflectors. The mobile vans will have deployment areas which can be depicted as an arc which is centered at the adjacent relay point (for example, NADVAN - EVENT #2 may be deployed within an arc centered on Echo Peak). The mobile vans are deployed as required for the various test programs. The NADS assignments include approximately 13 frequencies. Of these the following are in the band of interest: 7903.1, 7962.3, 7962.5 and 8087.5 MHz.

1

ſ

The equipment used by NADS was manufactured by TerraCom. Associated with the NADS link was a signal conditioner, a bit synchronizer and an error counter for performing bit error rate measurements. The transmitter site had a pseudo random type of code generator.

The closed circuit television (CCTV) system at the Nevada Test Site is primarily used for security surveillance. Lenkurt Model 76 microwave equipment is used to relay the video information from an event location to a remote site. The CCTV links use a combination of parabolic reflector type of antennas and some passive reflectors. There are at least eight frequencies in the band of interest which can be used for the CCTV links. With the selection of frequencies available for CCTV it is possible to operate the entire system and not use any selected portion of the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz spectrum.

87

ANALYSIS OF CHARACTERISTIC REQUIREMENTS

The system characteristics specified by ERDA for the NADS and NTS-CCTV are summarized in Table 9. The NADS and CCTV $(S/N)_{IN}$ and fade margin values shown were those calculated for the particular links that were specified. The NADS link that was tested had a received signal level of -43 dBm. The NADS 10 nm link which was tested had a 26 dB fade margin.

GROUND TESTS

<u>General</u> - The purpose of the ground test was to measure in a closed link configuration the receiver characteristics required for the interference analysis and the SHF SATCOM airborne test. The ground tests were conducted at the Nevada Test Site on 16 to 19 December 1974.^{11,12}

<u>NADS</u> - The test equipment diagram for the ground tests at the NADS van is shown in Figure 26. Test signals were coupled into the receive waveguide along with the desired signal. The receiver parameters measured in the ground tests for the NADS link were:

- 1. AGC output voltage
- 2. Quieting slot noise
- 3. Slot interference power for PN, noise or CW interference
- 4. Bit error rate (BER) performance with PN, noise and CW interference.

The AGC voltage was used to monitor the input desired signal level. The AGC characteristic is shown in Figure 27. The slot noise was measured at a 1248 kHz frequency offset from the carrier frequency. The desired signal could not be slot filtered at the source without causing degradation to BER. The quieting slot noise curve is shown in Figure 28. The curve was obtained with an unmodulated desired signal (CW). The curve shows

TABLE 9

ERDA NTS EQUIPMENT CHARACTERISTICS

NADS Digital ($P_{E} = 10^{-6}$) RF Selectivity = 30 MHzBW_{IF} = 40 MHz (specified); 19.2 MHz (measured) BW_{BB} ≃ 10 MHz $D_{PK} = 4 \text{ MHz}$ $(S/N)_{IN} = 35 \text{ dB}$ NF = 13 dBNo Preemphasis Path Length = 20 miles Fade Margin = 21 dB (specified); 30 dB (measured) Required $(S/I)_{IN} = 18 \text{ dB}$ Desired Receive Signal = -50 dBm NTS Mobile CCTV TV (S) = -13 dBm (specified); -37 dBm (measured) $BW_{IF} = 32 MHz$ $BW_{BB} = 8.2 \text{ MHz}$ $D_{PK} = 4 MHz$ $(S/N)_{IN} = 75 \text{ dB}$ NF = 12 dBFade Margin \geq 62 dB + PG No Preemphasis Path Length = 20 miles Required $(S/I)_{TN} = 6 \text{ dB}$ **KEY FACTORS** NADS No Diversity NTS Mobile CCTV Fade Margin



1

^{}

FIGURE 26 NADS GROUND TEST SETUP

01--50 NADS AGC CHARACTERISTIC -60 -70 S_{IN} in dem FIGURE 27 -80 АбС LEVEL IN Volts +0,21 -0,2 -0.8 -1.0 -1.2 0

1

ş

ł

1

۱

3 -

Temportan Antonio antonio anto

.

91

A. 444444031

ARE SEE

THE REPORT OF THE SECTION OF THE SECTION OF THE SECTION

网络你们的现在当时的你们是不可能


· · · · · · · · · · · ·

92

Burney States

A DESCRIPTION OF

slot noise as a function of input signal power level. There is a portion of the quieting curve that is reasonably linear so the following interference measurements taken over this linear operating range can be expected to be valid.

The slot noise levels versus interference levels were measured for PN, noise and CW interference. Typical results are presented in Figure 29. The PN and noise consistently provided the levels shown in this figure. CW interference, however, produced a drifting output level and only one typical curve is shown. During the slot noise test the NADS link was transmitting 10 Mbps data.

The bit error rate measurements were used to evaluate the degradation thresholds of the NADS microwave link with three types of interference, PN, noise and CW. The results are plotted in Figure 30. The theoretical performance (P_E) curve for noncoherent FSK modulation is also shown in this figure. Both PN and noise have similar measured S/I ratios for the NADS link. From the curve in Figure 30 it can be noted that an input S/I ratio of 18 dB is adequate to protect the NADS link from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal PN type of interference. The narrowband FM interference (simulated by the CW) requires an input S/I of 2 dB for the 10^{-6} error rate. A bandwidth correction factor of 1.2 dB needs to be applied to input S/I ratio when calculating the effect of the 40 MHz bandwidth interference power on the microwave bandwidth NADS data link.

<u>CCTV Ground Tests</u> - For the ground test the CCTV link transmitted a "Standard Black and White Test Pattern." Evaluation of the interference effects by means of the slot noise technique was not done because the



а

1

• : • • •





The ARE DE LEASE AND THE DAY OF THE REAL O

introduction of a notch filter in the transmitted video caused a noticeable distortion to the test pattern. The test configuration for the CCTV is shown in Figure 31. The interference signals were coupled into the CCTV receive waveguide at the channel center frequency of 8037.5 MHz. The AGC characteristic of the receiver was measured. The AGC data is presented in Figure 32. The plotted characteristic is nearly a straight line which indicates that the receiver is operating within its linear range. The received signal power level was measured at -37 dBm for the 10 mile link. This signal level plus processing gain provides a link fade margin of more than 50 dB.

The effects of interference injection of PN, simulated narrowband FM (CW) and noise modulation was subjectively evaluated by observing the monitor TV display sets for the video quality. A permanent video record was obtained at this time. For interference signal levels the minimum interference threshold (MINIT) when the interference is barely discernable, was recorded as well as the maximum interference threshold (MAXIT), which represents an unuseable display. The results of this test are presented in Table 10.

The test indicated that the PN modulated signal could be tolerated by the CCTV system at a slightly higher level than could noise type of interference. This effect is probably due to a difference in amplitude distribution of the PN phase modulated signal versus the gaussian type of noise amplitude distribution. The PN signal has a relatively constant amplitude distribution while the gaussian type of noise amplitude ranges over many dB. The higher peaks of the noise interfarence affect the TV picture at a lower average power level than that for the PN signals. The CW interference was detectable at a lower level than the PN.





HILLINE, CALLE 1979.



1

. .



H North

9 N.C.

98

NTS-CCTV INTERFERENCE THRESHOLD SUMMARY

INTERFERENCE	MEASURED INPUT S/I RATIO IN dB	
MODULATION	MINIT	MAXIT
PN	6	2
Noise	7	1
CW	12	0

1

ia stateca

LETTING BLAND AND AND ADDRESS OF A SAME

48.7

10.25

WINDOW COMPANY

AT STALL AL PROPERTY AT AT ...

Examining the CCTV situation indicates that the high fade margin (>62 dB) in conjunction with the low MINIT requirement can protect the system from an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emission, even if the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal without restriction operates continuously in the area of the microwave link. FLIGHT TESTS

<u>General</u> - The flight tests at the Nevada Test Site were performed in order to determine the interference signal levels which could be coupled from an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal to a NADS microwave system. A flight test was not performed for the CCTV at the Nevada Test Site since the ground tests had provided sufficient information to indicate that the CCTV was not susceptible to interference.

The flight test was accomplished with the aircraft transmitting a continuous wave (CW), PN or a FH modulated signal of various power levels. The CW mode was used to investigate antenna coupling between the aircraft and the NADS microwave receiver. The PN, FH and simulated narrowband FM (CW) were used to investigate the level of degradation experienced by the NADS microwave system from antenna coupling in the main beam and from sidelobe coupling. The NADS receiver was located at CP-1 which had its receive antenna initially pointed to the north at the base of a group of hills. The test equipment configuration at CP-1 is shown in Figure 33. NADS CW and Degradation Tests - The 18 December 1974 flight test consisted of two overhead passes with a CW, co-channel signal from the aircraft with 5 kW of power. The aircraft antenna was at 10° elevation angle and pointing in a direction toward CP-1. The received signal level was monitored with the NADS AGC voltage and the IF output level by means of a spectrum analyzer.



The test aircraft flew at 30,000 feet mean sea level (MSL) over the CP-1 site while transmitting at 5 kW. The CP-1 antenna was pointing approximately north toward the base of a group of hills which included Echo Peak. The hills provided significant terrain blockage for the CP-1 antenna main beam. The main beam of the CP-1 antenna was pointing about 1.4° below the top of some hills.

No significant received signal was received at CP-1 as the test aircraft passed directly overhead. As the aircraft flew 22 nm outbound from CP-1 the received signal indicated -95 dBm and then gradually increased to -80 dBm and became steady until the aircraft was 50 nm north of the CP-1. Beyond 50 nm the received signal fluctuated and increased to the level of -65 dBm several times. Beyond 106 nm the received signal drepped off as the local terrain blocked the aircraft signal. The second overhead pass provided similar results.

On the 19th of December the CP-1 antenna pointing elevation angle was raised to 2° in order that the main beam would be above the local terrain. The slant range from the CP-1 antenna to the 30,000 feet msl elevation plane was approximately 95 nm. Racetrack orbits shown in Figure 34 were made by the test aircraft using various modulations, two different transmitter power levels and several antenna coupling situations. The first six passes had CW modulation transmitted at 1 kW of power and the NADS receiver had no desired signal. The aircraft antenna was at 10° elevation angle and pointed in a direction toward the CP-1 antenna. The flight orbits were centered on a point located about 84 nm north of the CP-1 antenna.



At the CP-1 van the AGC voltage and the IF output were monitored and recorded in order to determine the level of the received signal during the orbits. The peak envelope of the NADS AGC voltage indicated that the following signal levels were received: -53, -55, -58, -50, -53 and -54 dBm. For the same respective orbits the received IF levels indicated -59, -83, -57, -49, -52 and -53 dBm. Considering the free space loss factor for 80 nm it appears that the test aircraft was intercepting the CP-1 NADS antenna main beam.

The remaining orbits were interference tested with the NADS 10 Mbps data link having a desired received signal level of -49.6 dBm at CP-1. The bit error rate was measured continuously as was the baseband slot noise level during the remaining 37 passes. The AGC level was recorded during the orbits and it indicated that the desired signal level was not fading. Two orbit passes with PN modulation indicated excessive bit errors for periods of 28 seconds and one for 13 seconds as the aircraft fiew near the CP-1 main beam. The following three passes had the test aircraft transmitting with Frequency Hopping modulation at 1 kW. The excessive bit errors were recorded for interference periods of 32 seconds, 26 seconds and 20 seconds. All of the periods exceeded the permissible error rate for NADS of 1 in 10^{-6} .

The test aircraft transmitter power was increased to 5 kW for the final 23 passes. With the aircraft antenna at 35° elevation, pointing toward the CP-1, CW signals for two passes did not cause any bit errors. PN modulation for the 35° antenna elevation angle caused a 24 second period of excessive bit errors. Backlobe coupling from the aircraft antenna did not cause any bit errors with CW and PN modulation. Sidelobe coupling from

the aircraft antenna did not cause bit errors when CW was transmitted, but PN modulation caused a few random bit errors. With the aircraft antenna pointed at 87° elevation angle the CW interference did not cause bit errors but the PN modulation caused 13 seconds of excessive bit errors for the NADS link at CP-1. The final pass had the aircraft antenna at 0° elevation and a CW signal caused a 28 second period of excessive bit errors.

Test Summary - For the main beam coupling situation 1 kW transmit power of co-channel PN modulation caused heavy interference to the NADS link. With a NADS received desired signal level of -43 dBm, the required $(S/I)_{TN}$ ratio of 18 dB for 10^{-6} error rate would not be maintained. The received interference level was measured at -53 dBm for the aircraft at a distance of 80 nm. Thus the $(S/I)_{TN}$ was only 10 dB while the aircraft flew across the NADS main beam. It appears 1 kW of PN modulation at 2.5 times the 80 nm distance or 200 nm could provide protection to the NADS main beam as long as no fade was being experienced by the NADS link. At 200 nm the main beam $(S/I)_{IN}$ would be expected to be 18 dB. This leaves no fade margin to protect the NADS link from a SHF terminal at 200 nm with 1 kW of transmit power. At 10 kW of power any main beam interception by the SHF SATCOM terminal is predicted to create heavy interference to the NADS link. NADS Main Beam Protection by Frequency Separation - The signal rejection of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emissions can be accomplished by off tuning the SATCOM transmitter from that of the NADS receiver. The TerraCom unit has a four pole preselector filter with a 30 MHz 3 dB bandwidth. The calculation for frequency dependent rejection can be accomplished

with the Off Frequency Rejection Calculation (OFRCAL) Program.²³ The program requires the emission spectrum of the 40 Mbps PN SHF SATCOM and the NADS receiver selectivity characteristics. The emission spectrum for the airborne SHF SATCOM signal is shown in Reference 3. The OFRCAL calculation indicates that 48 MHz frequency separation will provide the 48 dB of isolation needed to protect the NADS link main beam from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emissions at 10 kW. See Figure 35 for the computed curve of the TerraCom off-tuned frequency rejection of the airborne SHF SATCOM emission spectrum. PROBABILITY CONSIDERATION FOR RANDOM FLIGHT PATHS

In determining the performance levels of microwave links which may receive interference from an airborne platform it is necessary to consider the statistics of both the interfering and the desired signal. Reference 19 presents the theoretical approach for analysis of this statistical problem. The technique used is to determine the probability of time that the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal can be in a given area such as the NADS antenna main beam and not increase the original equipment design outage probabilities of the microwave link.

To simplify the derivation of a digital or analog microwave system statistical performance equation, it was assumed that the desired and undesired signal can exist in two states. That is, the desired signal can be considered to exist in a faded and an unfaded state with a probability given by:

- $P_{S, NO FADE} \approx Probability of desired signal not being in fade (relates to the median signal condition)$
- P'S, FADE = Probability of desired signal fading to a specified performance level (fade margin)
- P'', FADE = Probability of desired signal fading to the interference level



and a state of a second

SC15 11

and and the state of the second of the second state of the second



7

Strate and an and the ball of the state of the

海道です

· INTER STRUCTURE IN THE DEPENDENCE DOCTOR OF AN OFFICE TO AN OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OF A DEPENDENCE OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE OFFICIE AND A DEPENDENCE OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE OFFICE AND A DEPENDENCE A

 \mathbb{R}^{2}

The airborne platform generates a source of interference which, in the most general case, is only present for random periods of time. Hence, the state of the interference signals can be defined with probability levels given by:

- P_I = Probability of the median undesired (interfering) signal being present at the microwave receiver
- 1 P_I = Probability of the median undesired signal not being present at the microwave receiver

The interference is considered to exist only in the median signal level condition. If fading of the interference signal is considered, an additional set of probability terms would be required; however, as indicated in Reference 19, they would have little or no impact on the final interference assessment.

For each desired and interfering signal probability state a corresponding system error probability can be defined. Since there are four states, the resultant total system <u>Digital Error Probability</u> (P_E) or <u>Analog Performance</u> (PER) can be expressed by:

 $P_{E} = P_{S, FADE} \times (1 - P_{I}) \times P_{E, FADE}$ $+ P_{S, FADE} \times P_{I} \times P_{E/FADE} + 1$ $+ P_{S, NO FADE} \times (1 - P_{I}) \times P_{E/NO FADE} \qquad (negligible term)$ $+ P_{S, NO FADE} \times P_{I} \times P_{E/NO FADE} + 1 \qquad (6-1)$

The considerations for using the probability equations are presented in SECTION VII of this report.

For the NADS digital link the ratio of (S/I) is 18 dB. Processing gain is assumed to be \approx 0. The probability for digital error is calculated as follows:

$$P_{E} \simeq (10^{-3})(1)(10^{-6}) + (6 \times 10^{-7})(8 \times 10^{-4})(1) + (0.5)(6 \times 10^{-9})(10^{-6}) \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$$
(6-2)

The recommended values for P_I or the flight time of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal should be equal to or less than the summarized results listed in Table 11. The P_I values are predicted to cause a negligible increase in outage time over that of the design outage time of the link.

TABLE 11

RECOMMENDED INTERFERENCE PROBABILITY VALUES

ERDA System	(S/I) _{IN}	PI	Flight Time (minutes/day)
NADS	18 dB	6 x 10 ⁻⁷	.001
	38 dB	6 x 10 ⁻⁵	.1

The 38 dB S/I would be the value to use in selecting a possible interference contour where operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal would have a negligible effect on the NADS link.

INTERFERENCE CONTOURS FOR NADS

Interference contours over a range of S/I values can provide information as to the permissible area of SHF SATCOM terminal operation for a given set of conditions. An example of an interference contour has been included for the NADS link deployed in NTS Areas 2, 8, 9 and 10 which sends data directly to CP-1. This link may operate on four frequencies of interest, 7903.1, 7962.3, 7962.5 and 8087.5 MHz, which could receive interference from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal. The NADS transmitter was assumed to be deployed in the area between the Belted Range and Bald Mountain. This situation would provide significant terrain shielding to a co-channel aircraft terminal operating to the northwest of the NTS. The valley floor between the mountains, however, could permit the NADS main beam a clear line-of-sight directly to the north and for several degrees of azimuth to the northeast. The interference contours for S/I ratios of 8 dB, 18 dB and 28 dB for this example are presented in Figure 36. Note that for 10 kW of airborne SHF SATCOM terminal co-channel signals an S/I of 28 dB will permit the aircraft terminal to fly directly over the NADS receiver area and out to 40 nm in front of the NADS antenna. The main beam coupling area, however, can not tolerate through flights. The wide azimuth area for the main beam is due to the mobile deployment area for the NADS transmitter.

Note that where main beam coupling is possible that the restricted areas R4809, R4807 and R4808 do not provide adequate areas within which interference protection can be achieved for NADS.

Considering that from 2 to 5 NADS links may be configured at the same time at the Nevada Test Site, then it is possible that NTS areas in addition to the one shown may require similar interference protection areas.

Coordination with the NTS frequency manager is essential if the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal intends to operate adjacent to the NTS restricted areas with a frequency overlapping the 7900 to 8087 MHz frequency band.

OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

ERDA-WADS - At the NTS currently four frequencies are of interest (7903 to 8087.5). If these could be deployed in the shortest hops or at locations were terrain shielding is significant, and if other frequencies could be



),

1

٩

ł

Į

used with passive reflectors or with transmitting antennas located on top of mountain peaks, the interference from the SHF SATCOM would be minimized. Also if the four frequencies were not deployed in areas to the south of CP-1, interference would be minimized.

<u>Airborne SHF SATCOM Terminal</u> - A channel frequency separation of 48 MHz should be maintained when operating at 1 kW in areas adjacent to NTS. Coordination with ERDA is recommended if the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal is to operate within line-of-sight of NTS on frequencies in the 7900 to 8100 band.

CONCLUSIONS*

1. Due to the large fade margin for the CCTV links they are predicted to be able to maintain high quality television service with the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operating co-channel, at high power in the same geographic area.

2. When the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates co-channel within 80 nm of a NADS site and in the main beam the NADS link which underwent test was susceptible to PN modulation emission of 125 watts or greater. For the specified NADS signal level the corresponding PN interfering signal level is 40 watts.

3. Operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal adjacent to a S/I contour of 28 dB is predicted to have little effect on the link beyond that of the design outage time.

4. A frequency separation of 51 MHz at 10 kW (or 48 MHz at 1 kW) is predicted to permit the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal to operate in the area of a NADS link without increasing the link outage time beyond the design outage time.

*See Assumptions in SECTION II

5. The line-of-sight links between the JPL sites are in general short hops with adequate fade margin. However, one hop uses a midpath reflector which has a 10° elevation angle. That hop could be susceptible to interference due to main beam interception.

RECOMMENDATIONS

When the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates within line-of-sight of the NTS complex the terminal should maintain at least a 51 MHz frequency separation from assigned NADS frequencies or else coordinate the flight with NTS operations.

SECTION VII

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

This section presents a summary of all the measurement and analysis factors necessary to evaluate potential interference problems between the SHF SATCOM terminal and the FAA microwave receiving systems. A summary description is given of the FAA microwave system and in particular those characteristics required in the system analysis. Both the closed system (back to back) and the open system (flight test) measurements required in the analysis are described. Probability factors necessary to take into account random flight paths of the SHF SATCOM aircraft and statistical fading characteristics of the microwave signals are described. Finally, a computer program is described that generates contours, on a map, proportional to interference intensity or signal-to-interference ratio that allows a comprehensive assessment of the interference potential to the total U. S. FAA microwave environment. The following describes each of these areas in detail.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

<u>General</u> - The FAA uses two types of microwave links in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz band for communication between radar sites and Air Route Traffic Control Centers (ARTCC) or Terminal Radar Control (TRACON) facilities. The Radar Microlink Systems RML-4 are normally associated with the ARTCC while the RML-6 systems usually support the TRACON facility operations. In general, either type of link could be used for relay purposes. The RML-4 links are generally long consisting of multihops, while the RML-6 normally support short one-hop links.

<u>RML-4</u> - The RML-4 relays radar data and control information between the radar transmitter/receiver and the radar display equipment in a Federal Aviation Agency air-route surveillance radar system.²⁴ This system is configured as shown in Figures 37 and 38. The sites (radars, indicators and relays) use both horizontal and vertical polarization. Each link uses six 15 MHz channels. Channels 1 through 4 relay radar information from the radar site to the indicator site, while channels 5 and 6 relay information from the indicator site to the radar site.

Channel frequencies for most RML-4 systems are allocated from the ten groups of frequencies listed in Table 12. Five of the frequency groups are designated for use with vertical antenna polarization and the remaining five groups for use with horizontal antenna polarization.

The data transmitted on individual RF channels are:

Channel 1 (Radar to Indicator)Beacon triggers, beacon video,
and a 5-mc fault-sensing signal.Channel 2 (Radar to Indicator)Radar trigger, normal video,

Channel 3 (Radar to Indicator)

Channel 4 (Radar to Indicator)

Channel 5 (Indicator to Radar)

Radar trigger, normal video, MTI video (nongated), and a 5-mc fault-sensing signal.

Service channel; station alarm tones; coarse, fine and reference azimuth subcarriers, angle marked; SSB voice and data channels; noninstantaneous feedback controls; telemetry information; and a 5-mc fault-sensing signal. الأثاطفيط الداء

Spare for channels 1, 2 and 3, and a 5-mc fault-sensing signal.

Service channel, RF channel switchover tones, system alarm tones, station alarm tones, beacon variable IF gain control, (the beacon gain control channels are presently not used on RF Channel 5) instantaneous and noninstantaneous control functions, SSB voice channels, and a 5-mc faultsensing signal.

115

A TRANSPORT A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACTORY AND THE





1

Ì

LOW-BAND FREQUENCY GROUPS (7125 to 7650 MC)

Group v (Horizonta) 7320 7410 7135 7135 7135	
Group C (Horizonta!) 7185 7275 7365 7455 7540 7530	7650 to 8400 MC)
Group B (Vertical) 7205 7385 7475 7475 7650 7650	-BAND FREQUENCY GROUPS (
Group A (Vertical) 7160 7250 7340 7315 7515 7515	HIGH
Channel Number 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	D

Group K (Horizontal)	7705* 7825 7945 8065 8190 ** 8310	I LUM SYSTEM
Group J (Vertical)	7765 7885 8005 8125** 8250**	airborne SHF SF
Group H (Horizontal)	7785 7905 8025 8145** 8270**	es with which the
Group G (Vertical)	7725* 7845 7965 8085 8210**	sihle frequencie
Group F (Horizontal)	7745* 7865 7865 7985 8105 8230**	L. L'act ave DOC
Group E	Vertical) 7685* 7925 8045 8170**	•
Ct anne 1	Number 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.02 60.00	•

Frequencies outlined in the block are possib could interfere.

*Low-band equipment used for this frequency only in this group.

**Planned frequencies for the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter consist of two 50 MHz bands centered at 8.150 and 8.240 GHz. These planned frequencies are co-channel with the indicated FAA frequency groups.

Channel 6 (Indicator to Radar)

Spare for channel 5, RF channel switchover tones, station alarm tones, and a 5-mc fault-sensing signal.

Figures 39 and 40 show the baseband spectrums for the six RF channels. Figure 41 shows a block diagram of the radar data transmission system.

Various antennas are used at the radar, relay and indicator sites. These include the Collins 56W1-MW Antenna (5.3 ft diameter dish using an offset feed and employing passive reflectors), the Andrews P8071G (8 ft dish, 43.5 dB gain, 1° beamwidth), and the Andrews P10-71G (10 ft dish, 45.2 dB gain, 0.9° beamwidth).

Approximately fifty Collins antennas have been replaced in cold weather areas by special MSL antennas. Measurements made by $0T^{25}$ indicate that although the beamwidth of the MSL antennas is the same as the Collins antenna at the 6 dB down point, it is approximately 50% wider at the 15 dB down point (approximately 20% at the 25 dB down point). The interference protection ratio contours discussed in latter portions of this section could therefore be wider than indicated for a small number of CONUS hops.

As can be seen from Table 12, no frequencies in the low band frequency groups (A through D) fall within the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz band. In the high band frequency groups (E through K), there are four frequencies in each group (five in group H) that fall in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz range.

The RML-4 transmitters (six at each site) are broadband FM, either 7125 to 7725 MHz or 7750 to 8400 MHz. The transmitter has a 100 milliwatt RF power output and uses a ± 3 MHz carrier deviation. The receiver has a tangential sensitivity of -88 dBm.

At each relay site the signal is received, demodulated to baseband, remodulated and transmitted.

and have an also are an also and the set of the



and the state of the

and the second states of the second

120



新生い

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE

NOTE: BASEBANDS A AND B OCCUR SIMULTANEOUSLY HOWEVER BASEBAND A IS ALWAYS NEGATIVE BASEBAND FULSES WITH TIME SHARING BETWEEN THE BEACON VIDEO AND BEACON MODE TRIGGERS BASEBAND B USES PORITIVE SIGNALS AND IS FREQUENCY SEPARATED BETWEEN THE RADAR AND BEACON PRETRIGGER SIGNALS, THE BEACON PRETRIGGER BEING DERIVED FROM A 4.1 MHZ SUBCARRIER. 2.0 MHC MODULATION BANDWIDTN KEYED CARRIER.



The second s

FIGURE 42 16-MHZ BASEBAND SPECTRUM

122

and the second second of the second second

4

. .

The alarm tones, voice and control tones are SSB modulation of subcarriers (voice subcarriers are in the 60 to 104 kHz range). The subcarriers are then combined to form a baseband which is transmitted via FM modulation of RF carrier.

The entire system is designed to achieve 99.95% time availability. The theoretical performance with the 5 1/3 ft, offset feed parabolic antennas used with a zero gain reflector where required is as follows:

- For beacon video channel with 5 MHz bandwidths, 3 NHz peak deviation, the peak signal to rms noise ratio is 48.1 dB.
- For the normal video (normal radar) signal (2 MHz bandwidth,
 750 kHz peak deviation), peak signal to rms noise is 48.0 dB.
- 3. For a MTI channel with deviation of 1.5 MHz, peak signal to rms noise ratio is 35.4 dB.
- The theoretical signal-to-noise (rms-to-rms) for the voice and control signals is 80.9 dB. Due to non-linear distortion a practical value is approximately 62 dB.

The above is for a one-hop, 30-mile system. This one-hop system requires a 37 dB fade margin for a 99.99% propagation reliability and 23 dB fade margin for 99.90% propagation reliability. The degradation of the above as a function of repeater spacing and number of repeaters is given in the literature.

<u>RML-6</u> - In addition to the RML-4 links which operate in the 7.25-8.4 GHz band, there are RML-6 links. These are normally short one-hop links which connect major airport radars (e.g., ASR-7) to the terminal control facility. The RML-6 uses four channels, two between radar and indicator site and two

123

- I TRANS WEAK WALKS WAS INTO A STRING MARKE

from indicator site to radar. The channel bandwidth is 45 MHz. As with the RML-4, the low band frequencies (7150-7650 MHz) are below the SHF SATCOM system's frequencies. The high band frequencies (7750-8375 MHz) are such that the radar to indicator are the highest (the reverse of the RML-4). The frequencies are given below:

High Band (7750-8375 MHz)

		Group A	<u>Group B</u>	
Channel	1	8375	8325	radar to
Channel	2	8100	8050	indicator
Channel	3	7800	7850	indicator
Channel	4	7900	7750	to radar

With the exception of the wider bandwidths, and being a normally short one-hop link system, the RML-6 is quite similar to the RML-4 in terms of the information relayed, type of modulation and the system reliability required. The baseband spectrum of the RML-6 is shown in Figure 42.

The RML-6 is presently used at 17 locations providing information over 12 separate paths. These locations and the frequency assignments in the 7.9 to 8.4 band are given in Table 13.

ANALYSIS CHARACTERISTIC REQUIREMENTS

1

The following describes those FAA performance characteristics required for the SHF SATCOM analysis. The system characteristics for the RML-4 and RML-6 links as specified by the FAA are summarized in Table 14.¹ The noise processing gain for the RML-4 link is summarized in Table 15.

The RML-4 minimum output (S/I) is 12 dB for the critical links (Normal Video; MTI Video, Beacon, Digital).

The worst case RML-4 median input signal level is given by Equation 3-5 as:

FAA RML-6 LINKS IN CONUS

Frequency (MHz)	Location
7900	Davie - Miami, Florida Atlanta, Georgia Chicago, Illinois (O'Hare-McCook) Grapevine-Bachman, lexas
<i>8</i> 050	Baldwin-Crawford, Florida Chicago, Illinois (O'Hare) Chicagc, Illincis (McCook) Collyville-Grapevine, Texas
8100	Cecil Field-Baldwin, Florida Crawford-Jacksonville, Florida Atlanta, Georgia Chicago, Illinois (McCook-O'Hare) Kansas City, Missouri (Mid-Cont) Bachman-Grapevine, Texas
8325	Baldwin-Crawford, Florida Davie-Ft Lauderdale, Florida Chicago, Illinois (O'Hare) Chicago, Illinois (McCook) Collyville-Grapevine, Texas
8375	Cecil Field-Baldwin, Florida Crawford-Jacksonville, Florida Atlanta, Georgia Chicago, Illinois (McCook-O'Hare) Kansas City, Missouri (Mid-Cont) Bachman-Grapevine, Texas

CALIFY AND THE STRATE THE STRATE AND THE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATE STRATES

1. Sile of the te with the

est set as

575.44

donas.

ANT APTA

1

KEY FAA SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS

EQUIPMENT CHARACTERISTIC 0 Analog (Beacon, Normal, MTI); (S/I)_{OUT} = 12 dB Digital (3 voice channels); $P_E \sim 10^{-5}$, (S/I)_{OUT} = 12 dB $BW_{IF} = 15 MHz (RML-4), 45 MHz (RML-6)$ $BW_{RR} = 7 MHz$ (RML-4), 16 MHz (RML-6) $D_{PK} = 3 \text{ MHz} (RML-4), 6.3 \text{ MHz} (RML-6)$ $(S/N)_{IN} = 38 \text{ dB} (RML-4), (S/N)_{IN} = 53 \text{ dB} (RML-6)$ $NF = 14 \, dB \, (RML-4), \, 10 \, dB \, (RML-6)$ Fade Margin (worst case processing gain) 18 dB - RML-4 33 dB - RML-6 No Preemphasis Path Length 30 miles RML-4, 6 **o** KEY FACTOR RML-4, 6 (Major Equipment Types) Manual Switching (RML-4) Frequency Diversity (RML-6) New RML installations

RML-4 PROCESSING GAIN VALUES

INFORMATION TYPE	CHANNEL NUMBER	PROCESSING GAIN (dB)
Beacon	1	1.3
MTI Video	2	-7.6
Normal Video	2	5.0
Voice and Control	3	42

وكالك كتلفظ فالأبط

1

1

127

R. RAFERS GRANNER

A.1572

17.24
$S_{IN} = N_{IN} + (S/N)_{IN}$	(7-1A)
= ~174 dBm + NF (dB) + 10 log BW + (S/N) _{IN}	(7-1B)
= -174 dBm + 14 dB + 10 log 15 MHz + 38 dB	
= -174 + 14 + 71.7 + 38	
= -50 dBm	

A proposed receiver front-end improvement kit could change the noise figure from 14 dB to approximately 10 dB. This would result in a 4 dB increased fade margin and a small decrease in the allowable flight time values given in Table 21.

The noise processing gain for the RML-6 link is summarized in Table 16.²⁶ These values are derived in detail in the FAA reference and are obtained in a similar manner to the RML-4 values.¹ The RML-6 minimum output (S/I) is also 12 dB for the critical links.

The RML-6 median input signal level is given by:

 $S_{IN} = -174 \text{ dBm} + 10 \text{ dB} + 10 \log (45 \text{ MHz}) + 53 \text{ dB}$ (7-2) = -174 dBm + 10 + 77 dB + 53 dB

= -34 dBm

GROUND TESTS

<u>General</u> - The object of the ground test was to measure, in a closed link system configuration, basic receiver characteristics required for the interference analysis and the SHF SATCOM airborne test. The ground tests were first conducted on 18-24 November 1974⁹ and repeated on 14-23 May 1975 along with the airborne tests.

The details of the November tests are described in Reference 9 and will not be repeated in this report.

TABLE 16

RML-6 PROCESSING GAIN VALUES

INFORMATION TYPE	PROCESSING GAIN (dB)			
Beacon	-5.2			
Normal Video	-7.7			
MTI Video	-7.7			
Azimuth Pulses	-8.2			
Service and Voice Channels	15.8			
60 Voice Channels	6			

The basic block diagram used in the test is shown in Figures 43, 44 and 45. Figure 43 indicates the test configuration used for Channels 1, 2 or 3 of the RML-4. In this configuration, the signal to be interfered with has baseband slot filters introduced one or more hops before the site at which the interference is introduced. At Site 2, the input interference was measured along with the slot noise output interference power. The AGC voltage was used to monitor the input desired signal level. The output desired signal level was obtained from calibration measurements in which the proper level of the desired signal was introduced at Site 1 and measured at the output of Site 2. Sufficient information was therefore available to obtain the relationship between the input and output signal-tointerference power ratio. In addition to this relationship, subjective performance degradation to the output video display (Channel 1 or 2) and error probability evaluation of the narrow band digital signal (Channel 3) was measured at Site 3 for the RML-4. For Channel 1 (Beacon) and Channel 2 (MTI/Normal), the output display (scan converted video) at Site 3 was subjectively evaluated to determine the appropriate degradation thresholds. For Channel 3 the output signal was routed to a FAA computer and evaluated for message errors and loss of messages. Figure 44 indicates the test configuration used for the RML-6. The basic difference between this and the RML-4 is that the subjective performance degradation measurements were made at Site 2. For the case of the RML-6 system, the PPI output was subjectively evaluated for the MTI/Normal and Beacor configuration. The Channel 5 test (Figure 45) was different from the previous tests only in that the microwave path in the opposite direction was being used and consequently the desired signal was introduced at Site 3. The output signal







Contraction of the

STREET ---

/

was monitored at both Site 2 and Site 3 after travelling the entire microwave back and forward path for the Channel 5 test.

The receiver characteristics measured in the ground tests for the RML-4 and RML-6 links were:

1. AGC

ł

1987 (P. 308)

2. Quieting Slot Noise

- 3. Slot interference power for a Noise loaded baseband (PN, N or CW interference)
- 4. Slot interference power for Regular FAA loaded baseband; Channels 1, 2, 3 and 5 (PN, N or CW interference).
- 5. Interference thresholds for MTI/Normal and Beacon of the RML-4 and RML-6.
- 6. Closed System S/I Degradation Criteria

The following describes the results of these measurements.

<u>AGC</u> - The AGC characteristics of the RML-4 and RML-6 receiver are shown in Figure 46. The characteristics were measured numerous times during two separate measurement periods. They are shown both for the measurements obtained by the SHF SATCOM test crew and typical measurements obtained by the FAA at another time. The test crew measurements had a high degree of repeatability and agreement with the FAA measurements. The HP 3403 AGC curves were used to calibrate the desired input signal level in all of the subsequent slot noise interference tests. The RML-4 and RML-6 curves were used in the airborne antenna tests to identify the received CW signal power level when the FAA microwave signal was turned off.

<u>Quieting Slot Noise</u> - The quieting slot noise curves for the RML-4 and RML-6 receiver are shown in Figures 47 and 48 respectively. These curves were obtained with an unmodulated desired signal (CW) and basically measure the noise in a channel that is ideally directly proportional to frequency



an an Arithmann Mary Mary Sugar and the station of the state of the state





She with a part and a part of the second second second so were a statistic design at a second s

squared (f^2) and inversely proportional to the CW carrier level. For these measurements, it was specified that the receiver front end noise level was -88 dBm for the RML-4 and -87 dBm for the RML-6 receiver respectively. Consequently, instead of the input CW carrier level, the input signal-to-noise ratio was plotted. Repeated measurements of these curves were made during two separate periods. The curves show slot noise as a function of desired input signal power. The slot noise filter characteristics were previously discussed and are shown in Figure 13. The curves with a straight 1:1 slope indicate the linear operating region of a good receiver. If these curves are not linear over a large portion of their operating region, the interference slot noise measurements (these will be described in the next section) would also not be linear. This would increase the error in subsequent interference measurements. The curves shown in Figures 47 and 48 are reasonably linear and, therefore, indicate good operating receivers. The curves indicate non-linear operation for very weak and strong desired signal levels which is normal receiver operation (but not ideally desired).

<u>Slot Interference Power for a Noise Loaded Baseband</u> - The most important interference measurements made for the airborne SHF SATCOM system interference tests are the slot noise measurements which indicate the degradation of a receiver output channel as a function of the input interference power. Without these measurements, it would not be possible, during the flight test measurement, to know the signal strength of the undesired signal source. This is because the desired FM microwave signal and the undesired signal have overlapping spectrums and cannot be separated with a spectrum analyzer at the receiver input. This type of information is not specifically required

for the closed system tests since the desired and undesired signal power can be measured directly at the output of the respective signal generators or input to the receiver.

The slot noise measurements or the measurements of the power in a particular baseband frequency slot can be obtained with a fully loaded or a lightly loaded baseband. If a multiple channel FM system uses most of its channels, the baseband loading can be simulated by loading the baseband with noise. The FAA RML-4 channels 1 and 2 are loaded with analog data. Channel 3 of the RML-4 and the RML-6 are loaded with combinations of digital and miscellaneous data as previously described. The loading of these channels is lighter than a typical multiple voice channel system. The RML-4 and 6 systems were tested both with a noise loaded baseband and a normal FAA load. The noise loading measurements were done so that the FAA measurements could be compared with any heavily loaded system (i.e., TVA, AEC and others). The FAA noise loaded RML-4 and 6 receiver measurements are shown in Figures 49 through 54 respectively. These are shown for three representation voice slots (70 kHz, 1.248 MHz and 2.438 MHz) and three types of interference (PN, Noise and CW). These curves and all subsequent curves are plotted as a function of the input signal-to-interference power ratio $[(S/I)_{IN}]$. The original measurements were taken with specific interference and desired signal levels. Since the microwave carrier signal level varies with fading and from one location and/or equipment type to another, the curves have been normalized as a function of the $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio so that the results are directly applicable to all similar types of microwave terminals providing the desired signal level is known. The curves show good linearity for the upper voice slots for the PN and Noise interference. The lower 70 kHz slots shows the

1,79

and the second second second second



RML-4 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) Versus FN Interference 49 Figure

ZHREET HARNATE ALLEMPER



. 4.4 法主义的法法 建具有 化



- H

~ . . .

1

15.5

RML-4 Slot Noise (Noise Loaded) versus CW Interference 5 Figure

1

in Aliantania inatra inatra

142

44.975



. .

Contraction of

ううち あいろう あいちん ちんちん ちんちん

17800.4.946

143

al Mould Straight angle an frank a the state of a life (1944 2015) for the



GALLAMANAHSING MIREL ie, gride



The Toring

HELMEN THE REPAIR AND A CANADA AND A

typical lower channel non-linear effects due to intermodulation. The 2.438 MHz slot was used as the main monitor or reference channel. Therefore, no calibration problems were encountered since this is a reasonably linear channel.

The CW degradation curves shown in Figures 51 and 54 have a different shape than the PN or Noise curves for low signal-to-interference ratios. This difference is due to the hard capture of the receiver by the interference and AGC action.

Another technical area that was checked is the similarity between a true noise interfering signal (i.e., one that exhibits gaussian amplitude statistics) and the pseudo noise (PN) interfering signal that was being examined in the SHF SATCOM study. A comparison between the two types of interference is shown in Figures 55 and 56 for the RML-4 and RML-6 respectively. The figures show that the noise and the PN signal creates approximately the same level of receiver degradation. This in turn means that the noise processing gain analysis equations contained in the literature can be used to predict the degradation effect of the PN signal. It should be noted that there is a slight difference (1.5 dB) between the interference effect of the PN and the noise signal, which is due to the $(\sin x/x)^2$ roll off of the PN spectrum versus the flat gaussian noise spectrum. The PN components at 2.438 MHz is effectively higher than the flat noise component at this frequency because the total power of the $(\sin x/x)^2$ is averaged over the 40 MHz bandwidth. This normalization effectively raises the central portion of the spectrum and lowers the tails of the $(\sin x/x)^2$ spectrum which is shown in Figure 16.



1. The second and the second and the second s



HONDOR

ł



1

Sec. A

i

Slot Interference Power for Standard FAA Loaded Baseband ~ The measurements described in this section are the same type as that described in the previous section except that the baseband signal consists of the standard FAA baseband modulation. The modulation loading is therefore very light relative to the 100% noise loading used in the previous measurements. The RML-4 and 6 curves are given in Figures 57 to 62 for PN, N and CW interference. A comparison between these curves and those previously measured with noise loading shows that the PN and noise (N) curves are very similar. This then shows that the interference in the slot was mainly dependent upon the PN or N interference level and independent of the desired signal modulation. For the CW interference case, this is not true and the level of interference is dependent upon the desired modulation and the slot being measured. It is apparent from the measurements that in the lightly loaded case, there is little coupling of interference power to the 2.4 MHz slot channel (Figure 61 and 62) until the CW level approaches the desired signal or capture level. After this point, a combination of the capture mechanism and AGC action take over to make the curves reverse themselves, Interference Thresholds for MTI/Normal and Beacon Channels of the RML-4 and RML-6 - Channel 1 of the RML-4 carries beacon information while Channel 2 carries normal radar and MTI information. The information is displayed as scan converted video which has the effect of retaining targets and or interference longer than they would on a normal PPI. The RML-6 carries both beacon and MTI/Normal information that is displayed on a PPI. In order to assess interference effects to these channels, it was necessary to subjectively evaluate performance degradation. In order to bracket the interference problem from minimum to maximum levels, it is necessary







<u>.</u>



北京市作用

Comparison Between Slot Noise of RML-4 and RML-5 (Normal FAA Loading) For Noise Interference 59 Figure



Loading) for Noise Interference

٠,

CARLAN AND CARLANDER COMMANDER OF THE

153

121.70



FAA Loading) for CW Interference

154

14 L.C.



to measure the interference level at which interference is first observed [(i.e., a Minimum Interference threshold (MINIT)] and the level at which all useful display information is lost [(i.e., a Maximum Interference threshold (MAXIT)]. The reason for measuring both thresholds is that there is an uncertainty associated with the subjective measurement process and this gives an additional indication of the possible inaccuracy of the threshold values. If the thresholds are only separated by a few dB (which they are in the beacon and MTI/Normal case) there is a small error if one has evaluated a MINIT instead of a MAXIT level.

The MINIT thresholds on the MTI/Normal presentation were obtained by noting an increase in the Normal noise which approximately doubled with the introduction of the PN or N signal. The threshold was variable to ± 1 dB for different observers which was more related to the average intensity of interference than the detection of the interference. This corresponded to a light "dusting" of the screen.

The MAXIT threshold was obtained by increasing the interference until the noiselike undesired signal filled the PPI to a moderate intensity. This corresponds to approximately a 5 dB increase in interference level from the MINIT level. As the level was increased beyond this point, the intensity of interference became extremely heavy and targets were lost.

The next level that was recorded (for the RML-6) was the loss of synchronization. This was the only non-subjective value recorded. It should be noted that the presentation at this point was already unacceptable since most of the targets were gone. The (S/I) values are given in the summary Degradation Criteria Section.

Channel 3 Interference Tests - The 24 voice/data channels (312-552 kHz) used for narrow band digital data and the synchro information, were determined by the FAA to be the critical information carried by Channel 3. The digital data channel was evaluated with two different message error check programs. The programs were the Operational Analysis Program and the CD Quality Precheck Program (Reference 27). Table 17 summarizes the results of the ground tests using both programs for PN, N and CW interference. The "Average Messages" column identifies the number of target returns processed during the fixed time interval of the error check program. Both programs record different types of message errors which can result in more than one error per message being recorded. Since the types of error cannot be separated, only a column labeled total errors has been recorded and lists the sum of the individual group errors recorded by the programs. This number should be used to separate the point where no errors are present from a point at which errors start to be received. In addition to using the total errors as a threshold indicator, the average message rate is also an indicator of when messages are being lost due to desensitization. Figure 63 shows what happened to the total error count and messages received for a typical PN interference case.

Examination of Table 17 indicates an average MINIT threshold of 10 dB for the PN case and 9 dB for the noise case. The MAXIT is approximately 9 dB for the PN and 8 dB for the Noise case. The small difference between these values is caused by the rapid increase in lost messages. The lost messages are caused by the combined effect of FM capture, AGC and signal desensitization which occur simultaneously and make the change from no errors to large errors occur within a few dB.

157

HAR A CALL STORE AND STORE

INDLE 17	TA	BI.	E	1	7
----------	----	-----	---	---	---

AVERAGE MESSAGES	TOTAL Errors	INT. TYPE	IIN	S _{IN}	RF <u>INPUT</u> (S/I) _{IN}	ERROR PROGRAM	IF OUTPUT INBAND (S/I) _{IN}	COMMENTS
759	0	PN	-55	-46	9	OAP	14	Threshold
642	125	PN	-52	-46	6	81	-11	Some desensi- tization
196	174	PN	-49	-46	3	N	8	Heavy desensi- tization
777	0	N	-55	-47	8		13	Threshold
730	20	N	-52	-47	5		10 •	
256	206	<u>N</u>	-49	-47	4	jt	9	
914	0	CW	-55	-47	8	CD Q.P ²	8	
								TOTAL
0	0	CW	-50	-47	3		3	Desensit1zation
150	0	PN	-57	-46	11		16	Below Threshold ³
159	6	PN	-55	-46	9	11	14	Above Threshold
157	563	PN	-53	-46	7	II	12	
150	0	N	-55	-46	9	41	14	Threshold
152	402	N	-53	-46	7	11	12	
17	971	N	-51	-46	5		10	Heavy desensi- tization

CHANNEL 3 CLOSED SYSTEM DEGRADATION TESTS

¹Operational Analysis Program - Interval of check = 1 minute ²CD Quality Precheck - Interval of check = 12 seconds ³10 dB estimated threshold



159

-74

nti.

Channel 3 also carries the synchronization (synchro) information for the MTI/Normal channels. Since this channel will become inoperative if the synchro signal is lost, it is also necessary to determine the susceptibility level of this channel and compare the interference level with Channel 2. The measurements were made by increasing the interference level to Channel 3 and noting when the MTI/Normal display has a foss of synchro information and the sweep stops. This level was measured as 7 dB for PN and 6 dB for Noise which indicates that the Channel 3 digital information or Channel 2 MTI/Normal information is more susceptible to interference than the Channel 3 synchro information.

Channel 5 Interference Tests - The 24 voice/data channels and the 308 kHz pilot were identified by the FAA to be the most important information signals carried by the Channel 5 return link. The output desired signal to undesired interference power was measured for a typical command and control voice channel (Channel 3) and is shown in Figure 64. These curves show both the PN and Noise results measured at both Seales (the site where the interference was being injected) and Hilliard (the end of the round trip, Hilliard, Florida to Jedburg, South Carolina, microwave path for Channel 5). These measurements show that both the PN and N were similar and that there was very little additional noise and distortion added to the interference after the signal had been relayed from Seales to Hilliard through the radar site. The voice channel communication was maintained until a (S/I) of approximately 0 dB was reached. However, desersitization of the desired signal began at approximately 10 dB which is indicated by the change in slope of the transfer curves. The 10 dB input S/I ratio corresponds to an output ratio of 30 dB which is often



ł

1

4 ł

١

i 1

Ţ

D a

1

and And Statistics and services

1

161

1

and when the second in the star of the second in the second in the

used as a minimum high quality criterion for voice communications. The DCA tactical performance standard, as one example, is 30 dB. This level has consequently been used as the threshold level of degradation in quality (not a MINIT criterion) for Channel 5.

In addition to the command and control channels, the pilot was also examined for desensitization and is shown in Figure 65. If a 6 dB desensitization of the pilot tone is caused by the interference, the pilot tone is lost. This loss would, in turn, cause all channels to be improperly demodulated if the system went through sufficient frequency drift. However, the stability of these systems is sufficient that this drift would probably not occur and consequently loss of the pilot tone will cause no system degradation. Figure 65 indicates that a negligible 1/2 dB desensitization is created at an input S/I of 10 dB. This agrees with the criteria chosen for the command and control channels and has also been chosen for the pilot degradation criteria.

<u>Closed System S/I Degradation Criteria</u> - The previous transfer function measurements described the relationship between input and output power ratios without drawing specific conclusions about what level of power is considered acceptable or unacceptable. These results will be used in the airborne tests and in a general comparison between the systems. In addition to these transfer functions, it is necessary to determine from carefully controlled closed system measurements levels of interference that correspond to specific degrees of degradation. In particular, Channels 1, 2, 3 and 5 were tested for a minimum interference threshold (MINIT) and a maximum interference threshold (MAXIT). Channel 3 carries narrow band radar data on three of the voice/data channels between 312 and 552 kHz.

the state of the second



. . .

163

CELLINGS IN A
The performance of the digital channels was measured for the various channels and is shown in Table 18. All the data except Channel 3 digital was obtained by noting the level at which the threshold occurred on the PPI. The digital thresholds were obtained from a FAA computer program which calculated lost messages. The values given are at the point at which messages are first being lost.

FLIGHT TESTS

រ

<u>Introduction</u> - The object of the flight tests was to measure in an operational or open system configuration actual FAA system degradation caused by the SHF SATCOM transmission. These measured interference levels were, however, only sample indications of overall link degradation. In order to determine how much degradation the overall FAA link experienced, it is necessary to use the results of the open system and closed system measurements along with a computer program that can generalize these results to the multihop multilink situation found in the total real end onment. The following is, therefore, divided into:

- A discussion of the flight test measurements and a they compare with the ground tests.
- (2) Probability considerations of random flight paths.
- (3) Protection Ratio Contours [generated with computer simulation program (ATTIC).]

The flight tests were accomplished with the aircraft tran withing either a continuous wave (CW) or a Pseudo Noise (PR) sign 1 or be in a power levels. In the CM mode, the major objective was to investigate antenna coupling between the aircraft and the microwave receiver; consequently, overhead passes, inbound and outbound radial runs and orbits at approximately 180

TABLE 18

	PN		ħ	cw	
Channel	MINIT	MAXIT	MINIT	MAXIT	MINIT
RML-4, CH 1 Beacon	12	7	12	6	2
RML-4, CH 2 MTI/ Normal	15	10	15	10	2
RME 4, CH 2 (AM Subcarrier)	n		12		
RML-4, CH 3 Digital	10	9	9	8	
RML-4, CH 3 Synchro	7		6		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
RML-6, Mil/Normal	29	25	29	25	16
RML-6, Decoded Beacon	13	10	y	8	<u>ل</u>
RML-6, Uncoded Beacon	13	10	11	9	14
RML-4, CH 5 (Voice CH Comm & Cont)	10	Ŭ	10	U	
RML-4, CH 5 Pilot	10	5	10	5	
	}		1		

FAA S/1 THRESHOLDS*

1

*At the Receiver Input and does not include a bandwidth correction factor. For PN and N interference, the inband (S/I) ratio would be increased 5 dB For the RML-4 and 0 dB for the RML-6.

nautical miles were performed. In the PN mode, degradation experienced by the FAA system from signals coupled through the main beam window was of primary concern; consequently, only main beam coupling orbits at approximately 180 nautical miles were tested. Both the RML-4 sites at Seales, Newport and Hardeeville, and the RML-6 site at Jacksonville airport were investigated for interference effects.

<u>RML-4 CW Antenna Tests</u> - To determine the amount of energy coupled into the FAA's RML-4 Microwave System at Seales, Georgia, the test aircraft flew the patterns shown in Figures 66 and 67. The flight patterns consisted of:

- 1. Radial runs to determine the coupling between the microwave main beam and the aircraft sidelobes.
- 2. Cloverleaf and offset patterns to determine the coupling between high overhead elevation angles of the periscope antenna and the backlobes of the aircraft antenna.
- 3. Orbit flights to determine coupling between the microwave main beam and the aircraft sidelobes.

The aircraft transmitted 10 kW CW power on a frequency of 8045 MHz.

1 is the frequency of Channel 4, the spare channel, of the RML-4.

After calibration of the Seales AGC, a direct measure of the energy coupled into the Seales antenna was obtained. For one test, the aircraft flew outbound from **b**. Seales terminal along the boresight of the antenna at an altitude of 24, 4 feet. During this test, the aircraft antenna was positioned at a +10° elecation angle pointed towards the Seales terminal. With actual flight pith of the aircraft is recorded by FAA raders at shown in Figure 68 and indicate — ose agreement with the flight plan. The CW signal coupled into the — les antenna is shown in Figure 69. A limited amount of coupling — moted over the first 50 miles from Seales.

156

1





. . . .





169

..



At approximately 80 miles, the coupling increased as the aircraft encountered the second sidelobe of the Seales antenna. Around 125 miles, the aircraft encountered the first sidelobe of the Seales antenna and greater coupling resulted. At 160 to 190 miles from Seales, the aircraft encountered the main beam of the Seales antenna. The signal strength actually encountered, varied from the calculated received signal strength due to multipath enhancements and cancellations. In the region of 160 miles from Seales, a multipath fade would be expected for every 10 miles traveled. Deep multipath nulls are obvious in the recorded data.

In order to calculate the expected received signal strength at Seales, an aircraft antenna gain was assumed. With the aircraft antenna positioned at 10° elevation angle pointed towards Seales, the gain is approximately -1 dBi (see Figure 3) with a variation of +3 to -13 dB. With the -1 dBi antenna gain and a 10 kW CW transmitting power, the curves were plotted indicating various amounts of antenna gain for the Seales antenna.

In Figure 69, contours are shown for 0, +10, ≈ 20 , ± 30 and ± 40 dB gain of the Seales antenna. The ± 40 dB of gain intercapes the measured beam pattern in the area of 160 miles where the main beam reaches the aircraft altitude.

A commonly used antenna in the FAA system is a periscope or fly swatter type. This antenna has a dish on the ground pointed up to a passive reflector mounted on a tower. The passive reflector redirects the energy horizontally to the next station. Since the parabolic antenna is looking straight up, there is a question as to how much energy will be coupled into that antenna as the aircraft flies directly over the terminal. To measure

this coupling, the test aircraft flew both directly overhead and slightly offset from terminal. The actual overhead flight patterns are shown in Figure 70. The results of a typical overhead pass are shown in Figure 71. Figure 71A shows the actual energy coupled into the FAA antennu system. Figure 71B shows the energy density taken on a separate broadbeam antenna pointed toward the aircraft. Note in Figure 71B the Gradual rise in the recorded energy. This energy peaks over a broad angle at the same time the FAA antenna system has only a few narrow spikes of response. This indicates that the beam pattern overhead for the periscope type antenna is very narrow. These results also show that even when these peak: are encountered, the actual received energy is very low due to shielding of the aircraft antenna by the aircraft structure.

The aircraft also flew offset from directly overhead as indicated in the actual flight paths shown in Figure 72. These patterns were flown alongside the Seales terminal parallel to the direction in which the ground antenna points at an offset distance of 2, 5, 10 and 20 miles. They were also flown in front and behind the terminal, perpendicular to the direction the ground antenna points, at the same set of distances. The results of these coupling tests are shown in Figure 73. The data runs, two miles behind the antenna and 20 miles alongside the antenna, produced no measurable signal coupling. The coupling data is similar to the overhead flights in that the coupling occurs only in narrow areas and is of relatively low intensity.

The other type of CW data which was taken was a racetrack orbit flown in the main beam of the antenna at approximately 170 to 180 nautical miles from the ground terminal. This orbit was positioned such that during the





and the second sec





FIGURE 71 RECEIVED INTERFERENCE FOR OVERHEAD FLIGHT PATH











long straight portions, the aircraft would pass through the main antenna beam. Typical CW and PN orbits (the PN orbit will be discussed in the next section) as measured by FAA radars are shown in Figure 74, and indicate that the aircraft passed through the main beam. Results of one of these orbits can be seen in Figure 75 where the energy coupled into the ground system was recorded. The width of the antenna beam and the measured amplitude agree well with the system calculations as discussed in the PN section. Conclusions -

- Signal levels indicated that the aircraft flew through the main beam window from 160 nautical miles to 210 nautical miles at an average peak CW interference of -50 dBm to -53 dBm. This agrees with theoretical calculations and is further discussed in the PN section.
- 2. Received signal levels indicated that at distances less than 80 nautical miles, no potential interference problem would have been created to the FAA system from the periscope antenna. At this distance, the total antenna coupling from the microwave receiver and the aircraft was approximately +10 dBi.
- 3. The peak overhead received signal level from the periscope antenna was approximately -72 dBm. This peak signal strength occurred for only a period of approximately 2 seconds which indicates a very narrow vertical periscope antenna pattern. These results also indicate heavy shielding of the aircraft antenna by the aircraft structure.



وتحتاه ومتكلفته وتطلقاته

Ì



and the second second

10,100 0 202

ţ

- **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1**

RML-4 PN DEGRADATION TESTS

The PN flight tests were divided into tests of the degradation experienced by Channels 1, 2 and 3. The flight tests were flown with the same orbits described in the CW tests. Received interference from a typical PN orbit is shown in Figure 76. All tests were performed with a 10° antenna elevation (the minimum or worst case elevation angle). Interference effects were recorded on video tape for Channel 1 (Beacon) and Channel 2 (MTI/ Normal). The interference power at the RML-4 receiver input at Seales was also recorded in terms of the slot noise in the 2.438 MHz channel. For Channel 3, errors recorded and the messages lost, as indicated by an FAA error check program, were recorded along with the 2.438 MHz slot noise. The subjective effect of the interference on the PPI synchronization was also noted for Channel 3 at the ARTCC center. The received slot noise and the AGC voltage were recorded on an HP 7414 oscillographic strip chart recorder. The following are brief discussions and analysis of selected strip chart recordings which show the peak coupling of interference power as the aircraft passed through the main beam of the RML-4 antenna. Channel 1 - 10 kW - The interference effect to the Channel 1 beacon signal for the case of a 10 kW PN signal from the SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 76. This figure shows the PN slot noise and the desired signal level as recorded on an oscillograph strip chart. A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19 The first row shows the average input desired signal level \mathbf{S}_{IN} which was estimated directly from Figure 76. The next row shows the minimum interference threshold (MINIT) in terms of the signal-to-interference ratio



TABLE 19

and a second second second

TIN

COMPARISON BETWEEN FLIGHT TEST AND CALCULATED INTERFERENCE LEVELS

					KML-2					6
TEST	Channel 1 10 kW	Channel 1 KW	Channel 2 10 kW	Channel 3 10 kW	Channel 3 10 kW	Channel 3 100 W	Channel 3 5 kW	Channel 3 3 kW	Multale	MTI/Normal 10 kH
PAROMETER	Towards	Towards	Towards	Towards	Away	lowards	lowards	IOWArds	(Lhannel 2) Towards	Reflector
S _{IN} in dBm	- 49	-48	-49	-48	-48	-49	-40	-49	-49 (assumed)	-36
(S/I) _{MINIT} in dB	12	12	15	10	10	10	01	10	15	29
T _{IN} , MINIF in dBm	-61	-60	-64	- 58	~58	-59	-58	-59	-54	-55
IOUT, MINIT in dBm	-59	-59	-62	-57	-57	-57	-57	-57	-62	-63
T > MINIT in sec	06~	0	~8E	85	0	O	7	0	75	~20
ÎOUT, MEAS În dBm	-51	-63	-50	- 50	- 59	-70	-55	-60	-52	-55
EIN, MEAS	-53	-65	-52	-51	-60	-72	-56	-62	-54	-57
ÎIN, CALC în dBm	- 54	-64	-54	-54	-66	-74	-57	-64	n-54	-54
∆Î _{IN} in dB	l	-	2	3	6	2	-	2	0	-3
TVICEO TAPE in sec	06~	0	60 Heavy 60 Light	~85	Û	0	0	¢	05~	short burst
Comrents	Heavy Inter- ence	No Inter- ference	Heavy Inter- ence	98% Lost Messages	0% Lost Messages	0% Lost Messages	1.3% Lost Messages	0% Lost Messages		PPI Display

182

li T

. : **/**

as previously measured in the closed system tests and summarized in Table 18. The average input MINIT value is shown in the next row which was obtained from Equation 3-8:

 $I_{IN, MINIT (dBm)} = \overline{S}_{IN} - (S/I)_{MINIT}$ (7-3) where

 \overline{S}_{IN} = average input signal level

1

The strip chart was recorded in terms of the output slot noise interference level. Figure 57 shows the results of the ground test calibration of the output slot noise level as a function of the input (S/I) ratio. The MINIT S/I of 12 dB corresponds to an interference output level of -59 dBm. Figure 76 shows that the interference exceeded the -59 dBm threshold level for approximately 90 seconds. This interference should, therefore, be seen on the video recording of Channel 1 for the same length of time. Interference was recorded on the beacon video tape for approximately 90 seconds which agrees closely with the strip chart records. Severe interference was also noted on the beacon video. Severe interference is also evident in the slot noise recording of the main portion of the strip chart where the interference level equals -53 dBm and therefore exceeds the MINIT threshold by approximately 9 dB. According to Table 18 this exceeded the maximum interference threshold of -57 dBm [(S/I)_{IN} = 4 dB] by 4 dB and, therefore, would indicate severe interference for the 20-second period that the signal was at this level. Typical pictures of what Channel 1 video looked like with no interference and during the peak interference window, is shown in Figure 77. This figure shows a severe level of degradation for the peak portion of the interference which agreed with the closed system measurements. Figure 78 shows A-scope





4:1 Signal/Interference

No Interference

1.1

CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A DECEMBER

1:1 Signal/Interference

No Interference



Bei entre de services de la service de services

Ξ,

CH454 . (04

197418

FIGURE 78 CHANNEL 1 A SCOPE DISPLAY WITH AND WITHOUT INTERFERENCE

pictures with no interference and during a portion of the interference. This figure shows severe interference since the interference in the worse case exceeds the standard FAA 4:1 signal-to-noise criteria.

The next area examined was a comparison of the received interference level and the calculated interference level using typical antenna gain and propagation loss values. The average peak slot noise level in Figure 76 was approximately -51 dBm which corresponds to an input (S/I) ratio of 4 dB (see Figure 57). This, therefore, translates to an input interference level of -53 dBm. The average peak values were used because the antenna gain values are also based on average peak (worst case) specifications. The expected interference level at the receiver input for the case considered was

 $I_{IN} (dBm) = I_T + G_R + G_T - L_A - L_{WG}$ (7-7)

The RML-4 antenna gain at Seales was listed as 39 dB in the Government Master File (GMF). The aircraft antenna gain was previously discussed and showed a major sidelobe gain of approximately -1 dBi. The orbits were performed at a distance of approximately 170 nautical miles. The atmospheric absorption at 8 GHz is shown to be 2 dB in Figure 5. Substituting these values, the peak received power was calculated as:

 $I_{IN} = 70 \text{ dBm} + 30 \text{ dB} - 1 \text{ dB} - 160 \text{ dB} - 2 = -54 \text{ dBm}$ (7-4) This value does agree with the average peak measured value. The second level is caused by the aircraft mution relative to the microwave antenna patterns.

<u>Channel 1 - 1 kW</u> - The interference effect to the Channel 1 beacon signal for the case of a 1 kW PN signal from the SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 79.

THE WARD AND AND A CONTRACTOR



A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests is shown in Table 19. The method used to obtain these values was previously discussed. For the 1 kW case, the peak interference measured was less than the MINIT level and, therefore, no interference was expected or noted on the beacon video display. The calculated interference level was 2 dB higher than the measured value.

Although no interference effects were expected or observed for this 1 kW case, it does not mean that this interference level could be continuously tolerated since fading statistics of the desired signal also need to be considered. This will be discussed further in the section on probability considerations.

<u>Channel 2 - 10 kW</u> - The interference effect to the Channel 2 MTI/Normal signal for the case of a 10 kW PN signal from the SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 80. A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The MTI/Normal video display had heavy interference for approximately 60 seconds and light interference for approximately 60 seconds. This agrees closely with the oscillograph recordings illustrated in Figure 80 where 85 seconds of interference above the MINIT level is shown. Figure 81 shows typical pictures of the MTI/Normal display with and without interference. The peak interference level shown in Figure 81 constitutes unacceptable degradation.

The calculated peak interference level was 1 dB less than the measured value.



200 10

CHANNEL 2 SLOT NOISE WITH 10 KW PN INTERFERENCE Figure 80

189

A CALLER AND A CALLER



CHANNEL 2 MTI/BEACON DISPLAY WITH AND WITHOUT INTERFERENCE FIGURE 81 「「「「「「」」

<u>Channel 3 - 10 kW</u> - The interference effect to the Channel 3 narrow band digital signals for the case of a 10 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna, looking at the site at Seales, is illustrated in Figure 82.

A summary comparison of flight test measurements and closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19.

The interference exceeded the MINIT (or MAXIT) level for approximately 85 seconds and should have created a large number of errors in the digital messages. Message errors were recorded by the FAA CD Quality Precheck Program previously discussed. A summary of the CD Quality Precheck errors for all the Channel 3 interference tests "events" are shown in Table 20. During the main "window" portion of event 25 (the test being analyzed), 1315 messages were sent and only 29 were received. The interference signal levels were therefore clearly too high. This conclusion can also be reached by examining the data on Figure 82 and noting that the interference exceeded the MINIT threshold by 7 dB. Since the difference between the MINIT and MAXIT from the closed system measurements is only 1 dB, this clearly shows that unacceptable errors should be received. The time that the interference is above the slot noise threshold level was approximately 85 seconds. The average peak interference ievel was 2 dB higher than calculated for this event.

<u>Channel 3 - 10 kW, Away</u> - The interference effect to the Channel 3 narrow band digital signal for the case of a 10 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna looking away from the site at Seales, is indicated in Figure 83.

A summary of comparisons between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The peak interference



NUMBER OF STREET

APP-MAR

Contract Contract

TABLE 20

DATE	EVENT	INTERFERED*	NON-INTERFERED**	MESSAGES LOST	ANTENNA POSITION	POWER
5/19/75	22	1402	1941	539	Towards	10 kW
	23	1179	1648	469	ii	0ł
11	25	29	1315	1286	11	11
11	26	400	1553	1153	li	11
0	27	292	1 3 2 6	1034	11	81
13	28	2905	2905	0	Away	**
11	29	1509	1535	26	"	91
	30	2023	2023	0		100 W
"	31	2218	2218	0))	11
U.	32	1084	1084	0	"	10 kW
11	33	1096	1096	0	h	100 W
11	34	1852	1852	0	Towards	63
11	35	1638	1638	0	Away	"
н	36	1574	1574	0	e1	10 kW
[
5/20/75	9	1270	1790	530	Away	<u>10 kW</u>
11	10	2466	2499	33	Towards	5 kW
11	11	1913	1913	0		5 kW
11	_12	1823	1823	0	0	<u>1 kW</u>
81	13	1 308	1308	0	"	11
"	14	1470	1819	349	u	10 kW
	15	1565	1575	10	 //	ti
"	16	2081	2081	0	Away	11
11	17	1621	1621	0	11	1j

CHANNEL 3 FLIGHT TEST DATA SUMMARY

A STREET

*RML-4 messages (interfered with messages from Jedburg)

**Transmitted via telephone lines (non-interfered with messages from Jedburg)

1 17.000 17.000

neeneletalkärtere kir den statistikaning den er daren. Annie de



န≊ာ မြန်နော် ၂၂၂

was less than the closed system interference threshold level, therefore, no errors should be generated. This was confirmed by the CD Quality Precheck Program and is summarized in the results shown for event 28 in Table 20. The main difference between this test and the previous test was that the antenna was pointed 180° away from Seales with a 10° elevation angle rather than towards Seales. This reduced the received interference level by 9 dB. Examination of the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna pattern shown in Figure 3, indicates that the difference between the front porch and the back of the antenna is a difference of 12 dB [-1 dBi - (-13 dBi) = 12 dB]. The flight test measurements agreed with these antenna measurements within 3 dB. <u>Channel 3 - 100W</u> - The interference effect to the Channel 3 narrow band digital signal for the case of a 100W PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 84.

A summary of comparisons between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The peak interference was less than the closed system interference threshold level, therefore, no errors should be generated. This was confirmed by the CD Quality Precheck Program, the results of which are summarized for event 34 in Table 20. The main difference between this test and the previous full power test (10 kW towards) was that 100W was being transmitted. Although the overall peak interference level basically confirms a 20 dB reduction, the average peak level in the center of the window is down only about 11 dB. This probably indicates that major sidelobe antenna coupling occurred during this portion of the test.



1.

Channel 3 - 5 kW - The interference effect to the Channel 3 narrow band digital signal for the case of a 5 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 85. Summary results of comparisons between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The peak interference was only a few dB greater than the closed system interference threshold level and occurs for only 7 seconds, therefore, few errors should be generated. This was confirmed by the CD Quality Precheck Program which showed 1.3% lost messages for the entire window time. This is shown in event 10 of Table 20. The main difference between this test and the full power test was that 5 kW is being transmitted instead of 10 kW. Although the peak interference level confirms a 3 dB reduction, the average peak level in the center of the window was narrower than the 10 kW case. Channel 3 - 1 kW - The interference effect to the Channel 3 narrow band digital signal for the case of a 1 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the site at Seales is indicated in Figure 86. A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The peak interference is less than the closed system interference threshold level and therefore indicates that no errors should be generated. This was confirmed by the CD Quality Precheck Program as shown in event 12 of Table 20. The main difference between this test and the previous full power test is that 1 kW was being transmitted instead of 10 kW. Although the peak interference level confirms a 10 dB reduction, the average peak level in the center of the window was down an additional 5 dB.

197

Shares - Frank Street Wester Barrier & Street & Long & Barrier & Street & S



A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE



「日本の一日日日日」
<u>Channel 3 Interference and ATC Automation</u> - The digital data carried on Channel 3 provides inputs to the automated enroute ATC system. During the airborne tests the interfered with and clear Channel 3 data were recorded on magnetic tape for subsequent investigations. The main point of interest was to identify what affects, if any, the interfered with Channel 3 data would have on the automated radar data processing program. During a series of tests at the FAA's NAFEC facility in Atlantic City, New Jersey, the recorded Channel 3 data was used as input to the enroute ATC test bed. During the tests the clear and interfered with data were processed by the ATC control program.

Before the test results can be interpreted they must be put into an operational context. Based on information provided by the FAA it was determined that the automated enroute control system is designed for and uses multiple radar data coverage. A scheme where they create a mosaic of the radar is employed that identifies a Primary, Primary Supplemental, and Secondary Supplemental data source for each radar coverage sort box. If a track is established, the tracking algorithm predicts that data should be present in a particular sort box. If no data is present because of interference, loss of coverage or other reasons, the systems' program will automatically search for returns from the Primary Supplemental source and Secondary Supplemental source if necessary. If data from the supplemental sources are available tracking continues normally; if not, tracking will continue but without updates. If a specified number of consecutive updates are lost (the exact number is part of the ARTCC adaptation and can vary between 3 and 6) then the track goes into a "coast" mode. The implications of going in a "coast" mode are that tracks must be reestablished either

manually or automatically. Those aircraft that have a discrete beacon code will be reestablished as a track within approximately two scans (24 sec) after good data is again received. Aircraft that are operating without discrete beacon codes must be reacquired manually by the controller. This could be time consuming if a number of aircraft in this category were present in his area of control responsibility. With this background in mind the results of the 10 kW PN test at NAFEC could be interpreted as follows:

- High power 10 kW operation of the airborne SHF terminal can cause a significant loss of data to the ATC control program.
- (2) Since the dwell time in the main beam window for perpendicular flight paths was approximately 90 seconds, this could result in having all tracks associated with a particular microwave input go into a "coast" mode with single target coverage.

<u>Channel 2 - 10 kW, Multiple Window, Hardeeville</u> - The interference signal level to the Channel 2 MTI/Normal signal for a 10 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal is shown in Figure 87. In this case the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna was directed toward a satellite at 135°W as the aircraft flew through the Hardeeville main beam. This figure shows the PN slot noise at Seales after being transmitted down the microwave link and the desired signal level, measured at Seales. A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19.

This test was designed to test simultaneous coupling to multiple antenna windows and coupling to different sites in the microwave link. Although the results of this test are similar to other 10 kW PN interference



runs, the flight configuration was completely different. The flight plan for this test is shown in Figure 88. The actual flight path as recorded by the FAA is shown in Figure 89. This figure shows that the flight was flown close to the specified plan. The flight path was laid out so that it crossed the main beam patterns of Newport and Hardeeville. The coupling could therefore be through Newport, Hardeeville or simultaneously to Seales and Newport or Newport and Hardeeville, No simultaneous coupling between the hops was noted. Therefore, only the coupling to one of the other main beam windows (Hardeeville) was analyzed. For the Hardeeville window, the aircraft was approximately 175 nautical miles from the receiving site and crossed the beam at an angle of approximately 30°. The antenna was aimed at a hypothetical satellite at 135°W to simulate a worst case interference configuration with an antenna elevation of 25°. The aircraft was out of Jacksonville Center and into the Washington ARTCC area for this pertion of the test. The interference was received at Hardeeville and relayed down the link to Seales where it was recorded. The diversity combiners were disabled so that monitoring could be made of the PN slot noise at Seales. However, the AGC voltage was not monitored at Hardeeville so that the exact input desired signal level could not be determined. Examination of Figure 87 indicates that the output interference level was about the same as previous 10 kW PN runs and since the hops are designed with the same requirements, the desired signal level from Seales should also be a reasonable estimate for Hardeeville. Table 20 shows that the interference was above the MINIT level for 75 seconds. Figure 90 shows typical Channel 2 PPI display with and without interference. The





いきちは だんり

A STATE OF THE AND A STATE AND A STATE

(神经外中的):"福安东下公共115年,115447



CHANNEL 2 PPI DISPLAY WITH AND WITHOUT INTERFERENCE DURING MULTIPLE WINDOW TEST FIGURE 90

interference was observed for approximately 90 seconds on the video and showed severe or unacceptable interference conditions. The received interference level differed from the calculated level by 1 dB (using the estimated desired signal level). In general, the interference to this remote site was coupled the same as theoretically predicted.

RML-6 CW ANTENNA TESTS

÷.

The RML-6 CW tests at Jacksonville airport were conducted in basically the same manner as those for the RML-4 at Seales, Georgia. The airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter was radiating 10 kW CW power with its antenna at a 10° elevation aimed towards a midpath reflector at Jacksonville Airport.

The RML-6 system tested has a midpath reflector on the link to Cecil Field through the Crawford repeater site. The antenna for the receiver under test is a six foot dish mounted atop the FAA building at Jacksonville Airport at a height of 20 feet. The dish is aimed at a 90° azimuth towards a 10 x 15 foot reflector. The reflector is mounted part way up a water tower 4000 feet away at an elevation of 107 feet. The beam is deflected to an azimuth of 274° toward the Crawford repeater. During the test, the RML-6 transmitter at Crawford was turned off and the receiver at Jacksonville was monitored, measuring, quieting, IF power, and AGC voltage.

A radial flight was flown along the theoretical azimuth of the beam from over the Jacksonville site and Crawford repeater on out to beyond the horizon. No main beam was detected on this flight.

The aircraft was then flown on a course 90° to the orientation of the beam at a distance of approximately 180 nautical miles from the site. Three passes were made through the theoretical beam location. On the second pass,

207

A STATISTICS AND AND A STATISTICS

a very definite beam was observed on the recorders and the received power was higher than the predicted values. All three passes across the beam were within a five mile window centered at 180 nautical miles. A well defined beam was not observed on the first and third passes. The measured beam was extremely narrow and very difficult to locate on subsequent flights.

The theoretical received power is given in Equation 3-6 as:

$$S_{IN} = S_T + G_T + L_{FS1} + G_{REF} + L_{FS2} + G_R - L_L - L_A$$
 (7-5)
here

$$L_{FS1} = \text{free space propagation loss (aircraft to reflector, 161 dB)}$$

$$G_{REF} = \text{reflector two way gain, 102.5 dB}$$

$$L_{FS2} = \text{free space propagation loss (reflector to RML, 112 dB)}$$

$$L_{L} = \text{line loss, 1.0 dB}$$

$$G_{REF} = \frac{\pi A \cos x}{\lambda^{2}}$$
(7-6)

where

A = area of reflector, 150 sq ft

x = included angle between incident and reflected beam, 1°

 λ = wave length, 0.13 ft

Therefore, the received power is found to be

$$P_R = 70 \text{ dBm} = 1 \text{ dB} = 161 \text{ dB} + 102.5 \text{ dB} - 112 \text{ dB} + 40.4 - 2 \text{ dB}$$

= -64.1 dBm (7-7)

The peak measured power was -54 dBm. The measured and the theoretical values differ by more than 6 dB which is the amount of reinforcement possible due to perfect multipath propagation. Therefore, the results of this measurement is outside the expected range of possible error.

However, other characteristics of the measured beam tend to indicate some other phenomenon is occurring rather than simple multipath.

Figure 91 is a plot of the actual recorded received signal for the second pass through the beam. Based upon the beamwidth (in seconds) and the reported aircraft ground speed, the beamwidth was computed to be 0.23 degrees.

The theoretical beanwidth of a 10 x 15 foot reflector is approximately 0.65 degrees.¹⁸ If multipath alone were causing the increased signal level, the expected trace on the recorder would be a series of peaks and troughs occurring over the entire beamwidth of 0.65 degrees. The average value for the signal should be close to that predicted. This is clearly not the case. The 0.65 degree beam would be 18 seconds wide. All signals in the region are greater than 20 dB down with the exception of a single narrow spike which is located very close to the theoretical position of the first sidelobe of a pattern of a 0.23 degree main beam antenna.

A plausible explanation for this discrepancy is that this beam is the result of illuminating the entire water tower. The exact dimensions of the water tower are not known. It appears to be approximately 30 feet in diameter. This closely approximates the size aperture required to produce a 0.2 degree beamwidth. Apparently then the pattern observed is the result of illuminating the entire, almost spherically shaped water tower, and the higher gain pattern from this effectively masks the pattern from the reflector alone.

Concern might be expressed over the existence of the higher antenna gains which are essentially impossible to account for in a theoretical analysis. However, the remainder of the testing confirms the real effect





210

The The PARTY AND A STORE DE AND A THE SHE

of these narrow beams. Three hours of concinuous flying out in the vicinity of the beam and making a concerted effort to locate it resulted in duplicating the maximum signal level only twice. The narrower these beams get, the much lower the probability of encounter becomes.

The effect of coupling into the primary beam of the microwave dish was also investigated. Due to air space restrictions, the aircraft could not operate where it would normally intercept this beam at 24,000 feet. The intercept instead occurred at an altitude of 10,000 feet at a distance of 35 miles. The beam was detected and appeared to suffer little distortion due to the blockage by the water tower as shown in Figure 92. The received interference power was measured as -40 dBm. The theoretical power can be computed from Equation 3-6 to be:

 $S_{IN} = S_T + G_T - L_{FS} + G_R - L_S - L_A$

= 70 - 1 - 146 + 40.4 - 1 - 2 = -40.4 dBm (7-8)

These values are well within the measurement error and verify the fact that primary as well as reflected beams must be considered when assessing the potential interference to such systems,

RML-6 PN DEGRADATION TESTS

The RML-6 PN flight tests were limited to an examination of the interference potential to the MTI/Normal channel since this was the most susceptible channel. Previous sections have discussed the fact that the interference window for the RML-6 at Jacksonville Airport was narrower than normally had been encountered since the main beam was a reflected and not a direct beam. In addition the degradation to the MTI/Normal on the Beacon Channel was being observed on a typical PPI with a short persistence time. The combination of these two facts made it difficult to observe interference on the PPI. Unly one noticeable interference event occurred for this condition.

ar heite ar the sector with the destrict of the sector and the sector of the sector sector sector sector sector



RECEIVED SIGNAL DURING CW ORBIT ACROSS RML-6 DISH MAIN BEAM FIGURE 92

(-1, -)

The interference effect to the MTI/Normal signal for the case of a 10 kW PN signal from the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna looking at the RML-6 reflector at Jacksonville is indicated in Figure 93. This figure shows the PN slot noise and the desired signal level. A summary of the results of a comparison between the flight test measurements and the closed system ground tests are shown in Table 19. The time that the interference was above the -50 dB level was very short and was effectively only two seconds. A small burst of interference was noted on the PPI at this time. The average peak interference level (neglecting one major peak) differed from the calculated value by 4 dB. The variation between these peaks and their corresponding lows indicates a different degradation result than the previously discussed RML-4 cases. The RML-4 varied about 10 dB while Figure 93 indicates a variation of 25 dB.

This larger variation resulted in the interference level dropping below the MINIT level for at least half of what appears to be the main window. This was probably caused by the narrow reflected beam and reduced the degradation that was experienced by the RML-6 receiver.

<u>Summary of FAA Flight Test Measurements</u> - A summary of the significant results of the FAA flight tests are:

RML-4 Results

1. Significant degradation to Channels 1, 2 and 3 was experienced from the 10 kW PN signal for the case of an unfaded desired signal.

2. The effect of Channel 3 lost messages on the ATC automation is noticeable on the controller's display with single radar coverage. It appears that when multiple coverage is available, no operational degradation to the ATC system should exist because of interference to the Channel 3 inputs. However, multiple coverage does not exist in enough of the CONUS to have this technique become a general solution to the problem.



3. No degradation to Channels 1 and 3 (and 2 theoretically) was experienced from a 1 kW PN signal for the case of an unfaded desired signal. (NOTE: This does not mean that 1 kW is a satisfactory operating PN signal level since fading statistics were not considered in the flight test; see the section on probability considerations for a consideration of this factor.)

4. The closed system (S/I) protection ratios correctly predicted the open system degradation effects.

5. The combination antenna gain and loss values were accurate with an average difference of +1.3 dB between measured and theoretical values. This tends to confirm that the front porch of the aircraft antenna is -1 dBi as measured in the AFAL tests.

6. The average time of the noticeable interference effects for a perpendicular beam crossing was 90 seconds. This corresponds to antenna window angle of approximately 3.4° (approximately twice the 3 dB antenna beamwidth of a 39 dB antenna).

7. The analysis procedure and the parameters used in the analysis (antenna gain, propagation loss, S/I criteria, etc.) are correct.

8. The performance degradation to a multichannel FM system from PN interference is approximately the same as from gaussian noise.

RML-6 Results

1. The measured RML-6 reflector beamwidth was a very narrow 0.23 degrees.

2. Both primary and reflected beams were encountered for the RML-6 system.

PROBABILITY CONSIDERATION OF RANDOM FLIGHT PATHS

In order to determine performance levels of microwave links which are subject to airborne platform interference, it is necessary to consider the statistics of both the interfering and the desired signal. This problem was initially discussed in Reference 1. The following summarizes the analysis contained in the reference and determines the probability or time that the SHF SATCOM aircraft can be in a given area so as to not change the design outage probabilities of a microwave link.

To simplify the derivation of a digital or analog microwave system statistical performance equation, it was assumed that the desired and undesired signal can exist in two states. That is, the desired signal can be considered to exist in a faded and an unfaded state with a probability given by:

P _S ,	NO FADE	=	Probability (relates to	of desired the median	signal signal	not bei conditi	ing ion)	in Fade).
P's,	FADE	=	Probability performance	of desired level (fade	signal e margin	fading 1).	to	a specified
Ρ",	FADE	=	Probability interference	of desired e level.	signal	fading	to	the

The airborne platform generates a source of interference which, in the most general case, is only present for random periods of time. Hence, the state of the interference signals can be defined with probability levels given by:

ΡĮ

= Probability of the median undesired (interfering) signal being present at the microwave receiver.

1 - P₁

= Probability of the median (non faded) undesired signal not being present at the microwave receiver.

The interference is considered to exist only in the median signal level condition. If fading of the interference signal is considered, an additional set of probability terms would be required; however, as indicated in Reference 1, they would have little or no impact on the final interference assessment.

For each desired and interfering signal probability state, a corresponding system error probability can be defined. Since there are four states, the resultant total system <u>Digital Error Probability</u> (P_E) or <u>Analog Performance</u> (PER) can be expressed by:

 $P_{E} = P_{S}^{i}, FADE \times (1 - P_{I}) \times P_{E}, FADE$ $+ P_{S}^{"}, FADE \times P_{I} \times P_{E}/FADE + I$ $+ P_{S}, NO FADE \times (1 - P_{I}) \times P_{E}/NO FADE$ $+ P_{S}, NO FADE \times P_{I} \times P_{E}/NO FADE + I$ (Negligible term)

where

P _{E/FADE}	= Probability of error in the system when the desired signal is faded
^P e/NO FADE	= Probability of error in the system when the desired signal is not faded
^P E/FADE + I	= Probability of error in the system when the desired signal is faded and the interference is present
^P E/NO FADE + I	= Probability of error in the system when the desired signal is not faded and the interference is present

and Analog Performance can be expressed by:

$$PER = P'_{S, FADE} \times (1 - P_{I}) \times PER/FADE$$

$$+ P''_{S, FADE} \times P_{I} \times PER/FADE + I$$

$$+ P'_{S, NO FADE} \times (1 - P_{I}) \times PER/NO FADE \quad (Negligible term)$$

$$+ P'_{S, NO FADE} \times P_{I} \times PER/NO FADE + I \quad (7-10)$$

where

PER/FADE	=	Performance in appropriate RMS error, etc.) in the sys signal is faded	units (i.e., S/N, stem when the desired
PER/NO FADE	=	Performance in appropriate when the desired signal is	units in the system not faled
PER/FADE + I	=	Performance in appropriate when the desired signal is ference is present	units in the system faded and the inter-
PER/NO FADE + I	1	Performance in appropriate when the desired signal is	units in the system not faded and the

interference is present

The groups of terms that evaluate the error probability (P_E) or analoy performance (PER) for the non-faded desired signal and no interference state is typically negligible relative to the other terms. For example, the typical error probability associated with a non-faded or median signal-to-noise (S/N) of 50 dB is approximately 10^{-64} (this can be obtained from an extrapolation of the curve in Figure 94) for the case of gaussian noise. It should be noted that the use of gaussian noise error curve is not exact for low (S/N) ratios in FM systems since it does not consider FM "click" or "pop" noise, but should be a good estimate for high (S/N) ratios. For the case presently being considered, the 10^{-64} is such a small number that the product of this and P_S, NO FADE (.5) and $(1 - P_{T})$ [(≈ 1)] is very small relative to the other terms and consequently will be neglected. Therefore, the performance equation has three baric parts that should be considered in more detail. The $\mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{r}}_{\mathsf{S}}$ and P_S^* terms should actually consider the distribution of the fading of the desired signal. Reference ¹ discusses examples which indicate that representative values for the probability of error can be obtained when only the probabilities associated with signal fade are considered (see



Figure 95). That is, for the $P_{S, FADE}$ distribution, only the term involving the probability of fading to the specified performance level (i.e., typically the median output (S/N) minus the fade margin) need be evaluated. For the fading probability involving the presence of the interfering signal, the $P_{S, FADE}^{"}$ term is obtained by calculating the probability that the signal will fade to the interference level (i.e., the specified S/I ratio). The $P_{S, NO}$ FADE term is the median value and therefore equal to a value of 0.5.

The system error probability terms of Equation 7-9 are evaluated for the (S/N) levels that correspond to the indicated probability states. The $P_{E/FADE}$ is the error probability given that the desired signal has faded. The $P_{E/FADE} + 1$ term is evaluated at the ideal capture level of the receiver (i.e., $(S/I)_{IN} = 0$ dB) and is given as 0.5 for an ideal receiver. It should be noted that this value could actually vary between 0.5 and 1 for a particular receiver structure. This possible variation would not significantly change the performance levels. The final $P_{E/NO}$ FADE + I term is approximately given by the probability of obtaining a (S/N) level (from Figure 94) that corresponds to the specified (S/I) ratio.

The probability of interference term (P_I) is now fixed for a particular operational scenario or could be varied along with assumed (S/I) ratios to obtain a parametric range of trade-off values. If a range of trade-off values are being examined and desired performance levels cannot be changed, the product of P_S , FADE and P_I should be generally equal to or less than the probability of fading to the noise capture level (i.e., S/N = 0 dB). In addition to this constraint, the product of P_I and $P_{E/NO}$ FADE + I should be examined to determine if it is equal to or less than the performance





221

CANNON INTEL ---

MARKA &

with no interference present (given by $P_{S, FADE} \times P_{E/FADE}$). The total probability of error (P_E) or analog performance (PER) is given by the sum of the three terms in Equation 7-9 or 7-10.

For the analog case, it is not possible to simply multiply all the terms together in Equation 7-10 and obtain a total system measure. Instead, there are three statements which are equivalent to saying that there is a probability of having a given (S/N) ratio. Although, in general, this makes the formulation more difficult, the same general procedure that was outlined for the digital system can be applied to the analog system to determine trade-off (S/I) and P_{T} levels.

For the FAA RML-4 case presently being considered Table 15 indicated that the worst case processing gain was -8 dB. Table 19 indicated that the corresponding protection ratio for Channel 2 is 15 dB. The corresponding inband (S/I) ratio is 20 dB, i.e., [15 dB + 10 log (40 MHz/15 MHz)]. Substituting these values in Equation 7-10 and using the criteria that the interference terms should be one-half of the left hand design performance term to be negligible, we obtain for Channel 2 that:

PER =
$$(3 \times 10^{-3})(1)(\text{for } 12 \text{ dB}) + (2 \times 10^{-3})(3 \times 10^{-3})(\text{for } 0 \text{ dB})$$

+ $(.5)(3 \times 10^{-3})(\text{for } 12 \text{ dB})$
= 4.5×10^{-3} (for 12 dB) (7-11)

where

 $(S/I)_{IN} = 20 \text{ dB}$ PG = -8 dB Channel 2 FM = 30 dB

The right hand expression, which is controlled by the median desired signal term, is the predominant interference term in the expression. The performance

level in the left hand term, which is due to the fading of the desired signal, without interference, is therefore set equal to the right hand term.

For Channel 5 we find that for the case of
$$(S/I)_{IN} = 15 \text{ dB}$$
 that:
PER = $(9 \times 10^{-4})(1)(\text{for 15 dB}) + (6 \times 10^{-3})(9 \times 10^{-4})(\text{for 0 dB})$
+ $(.5)(9 \times 10^{-4})(\text{for 15 dB})$
 $\approx 13.5 \times 10^{-4}$ (for 15 dB) (7-12)

where

	(S/I) _{IN}	- 1	5 dB		
	PG	= (0 dB	Channel 5	
	FM	= 2	3 dB		
For	the case	of	(S/I) _{IN}	= 25 dB, we find that:	
	PER = (9 x	10 ⁻³)(1)	$(for 25 dB) + (6 \times 10^{-4})(9 \times 10^{-1})$	⁻³)(for 0 dB)
	+	(.5)(9 x 10) ⁻³)(for 25 dB)	
	≃]	3.5	x 10 ⁻³	(for 25 dB)	(7-13)

where

 $(S/I)_{IN} = 25 \text{ dB}$ PG = 0 dB Channel 5 FM = 23 dB

The minutes per day flight time [or equivalently the interference probability (P_I)] values summarized in Table 21 are based upon a criteria of negligible increase in the system outage time. The examples above are given for a 50% increase (i.e., 9 x 10⁻⁴ to 13.5 x 10⁻⁴). Different values could be similarly derived for other increases. The minutes per day flight times

are the maximum that can be allowed without increasing the outage time beyond the specified 50% increase. In any given area, the flight scenario may be such that the actual flight time in that area is considerably less than the maximum value. This would consequently result in a much smaller increase in the outage time than the 50% value specified. Insufficient information was available to evaluate the actual flight time in any given area so that only the maximum time has been given.

In summary, it is recommended that the actual values of P_I or the flight time of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal be equal to or less than shown in Table 21 so as to negligibly increase the design outage times of the links.

ATTIC ANALYSIS

<u>General</u> - In order to examine potential interference problems to a complete microwave system, a computer program was developed which can be used to examine <u>Airborne Ierminal-to-Ierrestrial terminal Interference Calculations</u> (ATTIC). This program computes the level of interference coupling to all the microwave receivers in an environment and then determines (S/I) protection contours around the microwave receivers corresponding to these interference levels. This program is described in greater detail in Appendix C and in Reference 28. For the present problem the ATTIC program will be used to examine (S/I) contours around Jacksonville for the 8045 MHz test frequency and the total U.S. at a typical airborne SHF SATCOM system operating frequency of 8240 and 8150 MHz.

<u>Jacksonville ATTIC Analysis</u> - The previous section examined measured performance degradation to particular RML-4 and RML-6 links in the Jacksonville

And the state in the last in below the second

CHANNEL	(S/I) Inband in dB	P* I	FLIGHT TIME (minutes/day)	
Channel 2 (worst case)	20	3×10^{-3}	4.4	
Channel 5	15	9 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.3	
	25	9×10^{-3}	13	

RECOMMENDED INTERFERENCE PROBABILITY VALUES

 $*P_{\underline{J}}$ indicates the maximum probability that an interference source can be present.

area. A general conclusion that was reached from an examination of these measurements was that the analysis procedure and the characteristics used in the analysis (antenna gain, propagation loss, S/I criteria, etc.) were correct. Therefore, as a starting point for a system examination of the total U.S. FAA environment, the Jacksonville area links will be examined using the system characteristics contained in the Government Master File (GMF) and the ATTIC program. For the 8045 MHz test frequency the general RML characteristics are obtained from the GMF. The airborne SHF SATCOM system characteristics utilized are those presented in Appendix B. A typical computer (S/I) contour plot is shown in Figure 96 for the 8045 MHz test frequency and a 15 dB (S/I) criterion. The program simulated that the airborne SHF SATCOM system was transmitting 10 kW of power to a satellite at 13°W. The 15 dB (S/I) criterion signifies the Minimum Interference Threshold (MINIT) for Channel 3 and Channel 5 (see Table 18). The (S/I) ratio is the ratio of the median desired to an inband interference level and represents unfaded signal levels. The 8045 MHz corresponds to the center frequency of Channel 4 at the test site (Seales, Georgia) and is being used to show typical computer protection ratio contours. Figure 96 shows main beam and sidelobe protection contours. The sidelobe contours are caused by coupling between the sidelobes of the antennas and only occur near the receiving site (denoted by unprimed letters). The aircraft antenna coupling model used in the ATTIC program does not take into account aircraft shielding to an antenna directly below the aircraft. The flight test results previously described indicate that the contours near the site would not really exist. The main beam contours (denoted by primed letters) are caused by coupling between the main beam of the microwave and the sidelobe of the aircraft. The main beam ATTIC

226

Superiors with a State state and a state of the state of

STATES COMPANY

patterns appear separated into smaller areas in certain parts of the main beam patterns. See for example, the Hardeeville beam labeled C. The beam breakup is a resolution problem caused by having insufficient sample points in the main beam area to adequately define the beam. The beam is actually continuous in this area and is defined by a contour surrounding the smaller zones. The main beam pattern generally occurs from approximately 150 to 250 miles from the receiving site and has a width slightly wider than the beamwidth of the microwave antenna. Appendix C further discusses the ATTIC program and the resulting shape of the protection ratio contours.

The path of the aircraft flown in the flight test and previously discussed in Figure 88 for the Multiple Window, Hardeeville test is also shown in Figure 96. This path shows that unacceptable interference should have been received since the aircraft crossed the main beam protection contours. The previous discussion of the flight test measurements showed that this is exactly what happened. The ATTIC plots, therefore, for steady state signal conditions are a good indicator of potential interference problems. In addition to the examination of critical MINIT (S/I)contours, it is also desired to consider higher level protection ratio which essentially consider various degrees of fade margins for the desired signal. The multi-level contours of 15, 20, 25 and 30 dB for the same set of conditions previously discussed is shown in Figure 97. Since the (S/I) contours were plotted for median or unfaded signal levels, the 30 dB contour could represent that contour required for protection when the desired signal fades 15 dB. The increase in the areas required for this contour is quite large and essentially covers the whole area above Jacksonville. The



A State of the second

「日本の時間になる」「日本日本

FIGURE 96 ATTIC PLOT OF 15 DB CONTOURS FOR 8045 MHz



ž

next section will discuss the probability associated with these multi-level contours.

U.S. ATTIC Analysis - The next step is to examine for a typical airborne SHF SATCOM system operational frequency protection contour required for the entire United States. Figure 98 shows the 15 dB, 25 dB and 35 dB nested contours for the entire U.S. at a frequency of 8240 MHz. The program simulated that the airborne SHF SATCOM system was transmitting 10 kW of power to a satellite located at 135°W. Figure 99 shows the same set of nested contours for the eastern portion of the U.S. and a satellite location of 13°W. Figures 100 and 101 show similar contours for a frequency of 8150 MHz. The frequencies of 8150 and 8240 MHz were previously discussed in SECTION IV as being planned transmission frequencies for the narrow beam to narrow beam and narrow beam to earth coverage satellite transmission modes. The 135°W satellite location can be used to cover the entire U.S. or the western portion of the U.S. The 13°W satellite location can only be used for the eastern portion of the U.S. Both satellite location maps are shown because the difference in the pointing angle of the aircraft to the satellite causes a maximum 12 dB difference in antenna coupling to the microwave receiver. That is, when the aircraft antenna is pointing at 13°W, the coupling from the aircraft antenna is from the -1 dBi front porch shown in Figure 3 and when the aircraft antenna is pointing at $135^{\circ}W$, the coupling could be from the back of the antenna at a level of -13 dBi. This is particularly evident by examining the two contour plots and noting the increase in the size of the contours for the same (S/I) ratio.

FIGURES 98, 99, 100, AND 101 ARE OVERSIZE. THEY ARE LOCATED IN THE BACK OF THE REPORT.

The 135°W satellite map shows that for the 8240 MHz frequency, five areas in the U.S. are interfered with. The interfered with areas are Salt Lake City, Kansas City, Memphis, Atlanta and Washington. For each of these areas both main beam and sidelobe protection ratio contours are shown. The area inside the 15 dB contours (the shaded areas) should be avoided with the aircraft. That is, if the aircraft flies through the 15 dB contours, unacceptable interference will be created. The 15 dB MINIT criterion has been chosen for the 8240 MHz operation frequency because this is the criterion for Channel 5 and this frequency can only interfere with Channel 5. This is shown in the FAA frequency plan, Table 12. Table 19 summarized that the MINIT for Channel 5 was 10 dB. Since the ATTIC plots are shown for inband (S/I) : atios, this corresponds to an inband (S/I) of 15 dB $[10 + 10 \log (\frac{40 \text{ MHz}}{15 \text{ MHz}}]$.

The 15 dB contours shown in Figure 98 represent considerable areas that must be avoided. The areas are also reasonably scattered across the U.S. so that not one overall area can be avoided. If it is assumed that it is desired to fly through the 25 dB contour and cause only negligible degradation to the system, the number of flights that are flown must be limited. In particular the Interference Probability Section showed that for the 25 dB contour, 13 minutes per day of flight could be flown through this contour. This means that although once a day a typical 25 dB contour could be crossed in this period of time, a flight could not be flown through a long path of the contour. A typical example is shown in the Salt Lake City region by the dotted flight paths A or B. The time for A and B is approximately 60 minutes for an aircraft flying 360 nautical miles/hour. It can be reasonably

concluded, therefore, that one needs to avoid an area approximately bounded by the 25 dB contours (the length of the 35 dB contours is in most cases about the same as the 25 dB contours).

<u>Microwave System Considerations</u> - The previous section analyzed the results of the ATTIC program for particular FAA and airborne SHF SATCOM system configurations. The system parameters that should mainly be considered over a general parametric range are an operating frequency between 7.9 and 8.4 GHz and a transmitter power between 10 kW and 100W.

7.9 to 8.4 GHz Operating Frequency Range - It is desired to examine potential airborne SHF SATCOM system operating frequencies between 7.9 and 8.4 GHz. A previous report by $ECAC^2$ generated a number of microwave receiver antenna pointing angle plots which showed that the average density of FAA microwave receivers across the U.S. was approximately the same no matter which frequency was chosen for the SHF SATCOM transmitter. Therefore, although particular frequency assignments will determine somewhat different protection contours, the general conclusions will remain the same as previously discussed in the U.S. ATTIC Analysis section. Table 12 shows that the 8.240 GHz frequency could interfere with frequency groups F and J of Channel 5. The frequency 8.150 GHz could potentially interfere with Channel 5 of frequency group E, and Channel 4 of frequency groups H and J. Channel 4 is a spare channel for Channels 1 to 3. Channel 5 carries information in the reverse direction (i.e., from the control center to the radar). The main function of Channel 5 is to carry voice signals, remote switching tones (both Channels 5 and 6 must be interfered with at the same time to interfere with this information), and a sensing signal which determines if the signal levels are operating according to specifications.

The frequencies between 7.900 GHz and 8.025 could potentially interfere with channels 2 and 3. For a particular example, the frequency 7.965 GHz could potentially interfere with frequency groups F, G and K of Channel 3.

10 kW to 100W SHF SATCOM Transmitter Power - It is generally desired to examine the interference effects from the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter powers from 10 kW to 100W or lower, if required. From the previous ATTIC plots shown in Figure 98, it is apparent that for the critical case of the 15 dB (S/I) criterion and 10 kW transmitted power main beam and sidelobe restricted areas are encountered. Figures 102, 103 and 104 show the 15 dB, 10 dB and 5 dB contours, respectively, for the typical case of Salt Lake City. The 5 dB figure shows that the contours have been reduced to a negligible area. Since the 5 dB (S/I) contour for 10 kW transmitted power is the same as a 15 dB (S/I) contour for 1 kW transmitted power, it can be concluded that the power should be reduced to 1 kW. This would mean that for the median signal condition, one would not encounter severe problems operating at 1 kW. However, it would not mean that the 25 dB contour should not be protected with a given probability level. In particular, it can be readily determined that the heavy shaded areas in Figure 98 should now be protected with a P_{I} value of 9 x 10⁻³ (15 minutes/day). Smooth protection contours should now be drawn around the shaded area. This area is now considerably smaller than the previous 25 dB contour area shown in the 8.240 GHz ATTIC plot. This would allow approximately one flight per day along the direction of the main beam.

A sketch of possible flight paths for the airborne SHF SATCOM system transmitting between 10 kW and 100W is summarized in Figure 105.










OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

A number of points should be discussed regarding the relationship between the FAA microwave systems and the SHF SATCOM system. The RML-4 systems provide communication channels between remote radar sites and the enroute control centers (ARTCC). Currently four 15 MHz channels go from the remote radar to the ARTCC and two in the reverse direction. The channels from the radar support both the broadband (non automated) and the narrowband (automated) Air Traffic Control (ATC) configurations.

Most ARTCC's currently operate in the narrowband or automated configuration for approximately 16 hours per day. During the remaining nonpeak time the conventional broadband configuration is activated to allow for narrowband system software and hardware maintenance. FAA is currently working on methods that will allow full 24-hour per day operation in the narrowband mode which would keep broadband system exclusively for backup. The backup role for the broadband system may be limited since FAA is currently developing a digital backup capability (Direct Access Radar Channel - DARC) that uses the narrowband data as input. With the installation, checkout, commissioning, and suitable trial period for DARC, it would appear that a need for any broadband capabilities would cease to exist. When this occurs the RF channel needs would be reduced from six to four, i.e., a primary and a spare channel in each direction. If this condition evolved and only the top four channels of the existing frequency plans were used, this would place all channels except one below 7.9 GHz. This of course assumes that Channels 1 and 2 would be in the forward direction. Of the ten frequency plans shown in Table 12, only six would have Channels 3 and 4 above 7.9 GHz. If it is assumed that Channel 3 is primary and 4 is backup then the maximum frequency for any prime channel in the reverse

direction would be 8.025 GHz. The maximum frequency for Channel 4 (the backup channel) would be 8.145 GHz. Under this set of conditions considerable non-overlapping spectrum would exist, hence precluding possible interference. This is an area that the FAA and the USAF should remain cognizant of as their respective systems evolve.

The interference probabilities discussed in this section have been developed considering individual hop outages. Since the overall system's performance is governed by a link's performance, the effect of interference on the link should also be considered. Since the link noise per hop is additive, the overall link noise increases in proportion to the number of hops. For the worst case situation in which the microwave main beams are aligned in a straight line, the interference is coupled into the link in approximately a continuous manner from every other hop in sequence. For this worst case situation, the system noise increases while the interference remains approximately constant. Therefore, the "nature caused" outage time increases and a larger outage time could be specified for the increased outage due to interference. In addition, microwave links are not typically designed to run in a straight line so that the worst case interference coupling situation should never occur. Deriving interference probabilities from individual hop outage times is, therefore, a worst case situation. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the overall investigation of potential interactions between the airborne command post and FAA microwave equipments, the following conclusions are presented. The bounding assumptions were previously stated in SECTION II.

240

1 2 Marth - 2 84 83# \$1077 Martin

1. The detailed test and supporting analytic efforts validated the original predictions of interference to the microwave equipments at high transmitted power levels.

2. The performance degradation to a multichannel FM system from PN interference is approximately the same as that from gaussian noise.

3. There is potential for severe interference to all FAA microwave equipments (RML-4, RML-6) which could effect ATC operations. The actual extent of this potential interference is, however, highly dependent on the frequencies selected, power transmitted, flight paths flown, satellites used for relay of communications traffic, and fading conditions on the microwave links.

4. Interference to the broadband terminal and enroute configurations could be in the form of extensive strobing on the display and possible loss of display synchronization. During the PN high power 10 kW flight tests, display strobing was experienced for approximately 90 seconds which is a lower bound number, i.e., the aircraft was flown perpendicular to the main beam which represents the shortest dwell time in the beam. For an upper bound number while flying down the main beam, the periodic strobing of the display might extend over 7 or 8 minutes.

5. For narrowband operations (the automated enroute configuration), extensive loss of messages could be experienced during interference to Channel 3. During the 10 kW tests, data rates were reduced to zero for approximately one minute.

6. Under conditions when the microwave links are not fading, interference can only occur for specific physical configurations, i.e., both the microwave antenna and the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna must be pointed in the general direction of one another (i.e., within approximately 60°). 7. Potential interference could exist for any physical orientation of the aircraft relative to the microwave receiver during periods of microwave link multipath fade.

8. Severe interference to the microwave channels will occur when the aircraft transmits 10 kW. For 10 kW operation, care must be taken to restrict flights from some areas and severely limit flight time within other regions. For 1 kW operation, some flight time limitations are required in specific but limited areas. If 100 watt operation is satisfactory, no flight time limitations are required.

9. The enroute automated system can automatically mosaic up to three levels of radar data to assure reliable coverage in areas where overlapping coverage exists. It appears that where multiple coverage exists, this feature would tend to negate the effects of losing one Channel 3 input because of interference. However, multiple coverage does not exist in enough areas in the CONUS to have this technique become a general solution to the problem.

10. Because of DSCS-II satellite band assignments, it appears that the aircraft must utilize frequencies that lie within the following two bands, 8.125 - 8.175 GHz and 8.215 - 8.400 GHz. Two planned frequencies have been identified (8.150 GHz and 8.240 GHz) which place the aircraft signals in conflict only with five Channel 5 and two channel 4 RML-4 frequency families. Channel 4 is a spare channel. Channel 5 carries information from the control center (ARTCC) to the radar site, i.e., Backup Emergency Communication (BUEC) voice/data channels, remote switching tones, and some link performance sensing signals.

242

WHYTELS WERE AND THE MERICAN OF ANY ME . WERE TRACK WORLD

11. A reversal of RML-4 Channels 5 and 6 (assign 6 active role and use 5 as the spare) would place the aircraft frequencies in potential conflict with the spare and not the active channel.

12. Based on known RML-6 frequency families and the representative aircraft frequencies, it appears that no interaction between the systems should exist.

13. The ATTIC program was used to identify S/I regions associated with two aircraft uplink frequencies, 8.150 and 8.240 GHz. Restricted zones and limited flight time areas associated with 10 kW aircraft operations as well as limited flight time areas for 1 kW and 100 watt options were identified. Considering the CONUS in relationship to the calculated S/I contours, there appears to be considerable airspace and flight path options available that would not create conflicts with FAA operations.

14. Depending on the frequencies selected, the restricted zones and limited flight time areas would be placed in different areas of the country but would, in general, be of the same order of magnitude. The zones for a specific frequency set will, however, change depending on what satellite is being used, i.e., 135°W satellite use creates a somewhat different set of contours than the 13°W satellite.

15. For those links that employ passive reflectors both reflector main beam and parabola main beam interference areas need to be considered.

16. It appears that if specific attention is given to those points identified as being significant (selection of flight paths, frequencies, power budgets, and satellites), then compatible operations can be achieved.

243

NEW CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

ALC: N. 7. 1935

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are presented based on a review of conclusions regarding potential interactions between the airborne command post and FAA microwave systems.

1. It is essential that the organization developing or operating the airborne SHF SATCOM system establish an initial and a continuing coordinated flight planning and frequency assignment process for the command post aircraft to take into account any changes in the microwave frequency usage and aircraft frequency requirements. Effective coordination is likely to be a difficult management process.

2. If the high power 10 kW option is required for test, evaluation or operation, extreme care should be take to: (a) assure that restricted interference zones are avoided; and, (b) that flight time limitations in other specified areas are maintained.

3. Every effort should be made to assure that follow-on satellites (DSCS-III) are designed to allow high power operations in the exclusive satellite bands. Concurrently, efforts should be initiated to develop a timetable with FAA such that their limited use of the exclusive bands for microwave communications can be phased out on a schedule that complements the DSCS-III phase-in dates.

4. If the command post can limit the transmitted power to 1 kW, this would allow overflights of all FAA systems if flight time limitations (approximately 13 minutes per day for the 50% outage time criteria) are maintained. Every effort should be made to plan aircraft operations and associated power budgets within these general guidelines.

5. If the command post must operate with the DSCS-II satellites within the general bounds typified by the planned frequencies (8.150 GHz and 8.240 GHz), then the FAA should be encouraged to reverse their RML-4 Channels 5 and 6, i.e., 6 would be active and 5 the spare. This administrative action would eliminate the possibility of interference to all FAA RML-4 systems for all aircraft power options except during periods of Channel 6 failure at which time Channel 5 would be active.

SECTION VIII

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY GOLDSTONE MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

The Deep Space Network (DSN) established by the NASA Office of Data Tracking and Acquisition is under the system management and technical direction of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). It is designed to maintain two-way communication with NASA unmanned spacecraft traveling to the farthest planets of our solar system. Space platforms such as the Mariner, Helios, Viking and Pioneer series send data to the stations of DSN from interplanetary distances. The MARS DSS-14 Station at Goldstone, California has a deep space link which operates at X-band frequency (3.4 to 8.5 GHz). This frequency band is adjacent to the uplink frequency band proposed for use by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal aboard the E-4. Since it is planned that the E-4 can operate anywhere in CONUS, there is the potential for interference to the Goldstone DSN-14 X-band downlink channels from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emissions. There is also a potential problem to susceptible airborne electronics when operating in the Goldstone main beam due to the high power flux density from the interplanetary radars. Goldstone MARS DSS-14 operates an S-band (2390 to +5 MHz) planetary radar with a 400 kW peak power capability. In the near future a 400 kW X-band (8495 + 5 MHz) planetary radar will also be operated at the Goldstone site. For both of these reasons it is advisable to avoid flying through the MARS antenna main beam. The characteristics for the Goldstone MARS DSS-14 are listed in Table 22.

TABLE 22

GOLDSTONE MARS STATION (DSS-14) CHARACTERISTICS

RECEIVER (X-band downlink):	
Noise Temperature Antenna Gain Antenna 3 dB beanwidth Frequency Bandwidth of Maser (3 dB)	25°K 71.5 <u>+</u> 0.6 dBi 0.04° 8.4-8.5 GHz 69 MHz
PLANETARY RADAR (X-band CW uplink):	
Frequency Power	2.29-2.3 GHz 8.4-8.5 GHz (future) 8495 <u>+</u> 5 MHz 200-400 kW
TRACKING COVERAGE:	
Elevation Typical Azimuths	6° minimum 100° to 130° 230° to 260°
COORDINATES:	35°25'33.34"N
Station Elevation	116~53'19.15"W 1031.8 meters

A quiet area with a minimum of man-made radio interference is very important for the successful reception of data from interplanetary spacecraft. Goldstone mission support requires two or three daily tracking passes of eight to ten hours duration. The Mariner and Pioneer series missions are planned through 1981. In addition, the planetary radars may operate at planetary conjunctions which vary from every three months for Mercury and to every 27 months for Mars. Some radio astronomy scientific investigations are also performed at Goldstone.

1

The Goldstone tracking missions are usually along or near the ecliptic plane. The spacecraft for deep space missions appear in the east and set in the west in the same manner as celestial objects. Therefore, the north and south excursions of the antenna are usually limited at Goldstone within a tracking volume between plus thirty-seven and minus thirty degrees declination. Goldstone antenna tracking envelopes are shown in Figure 106. GOLDSTONE X-BAND RECEIVER

The X-band receivers for deep space communications are designed to receive information with low signal-to-noise ratios. The high sensitivity DSN receivers are characterized by low noise temperatures and high stability for both wide and narrowband reception. The low noise temperature is achieved with a cooled traveling wave tube maser amplifier and a carefully designed antenna system. Narrow bandwidth receiver channels use phase lock techniques to track carrier in a 12 Hz loop noise bandwidth. The present maser amplifier has a 61 MHz bandwidth (1 dB points) which receives 8.40 to 8.44 GHz. Although the entire 100 MHz band allocation from 8.4 to 8.5 GHz has future data channel assignments for the DSN, there are only four assignments presently in use (8402.7, 8409, 8415 and 8420 MHz).



GOLDSTONE DSN-14 ANTENNA FOINTING ENVELOPES (6° MINIMUM ELEVATION) FIGURE 106

ज्यात्रकोट कर कर कि में के

That the state of the

- - - A.d.

-

1 m m

1.1.1.

and the sublement

DEGRADATION CRITERIA FOR GOLDSTONE MARS X-BAND

The frequency allocations for Goldstone DSN X-band and the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal are not overlapping. Therefore, no co-channel interference situations between these two systems have been identified. The adjacent signal emissions from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal on the E-4, however, present sources of potential interference to the MARS X-band system.

Adjacent out-of-band signal interference (that has significant energy within the Maser RF noise bandwidth such as uplink klystron amplifier (mannel 6 of the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter) can degrade the DSN maser amplifier and drive it into saturation. A signal level of -90 dBm is necessary to drive the X-band traveling wave tube maser amplifier into saturation. Reference 29 states that degradation of the maser performance, however, can be caused by undesired signals at a much lower level than -90 dBm.

In addition, any wideband signal or noise spectrum which overlaps the maser bandwidth can degrade the receiver signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio. The degraded S/N ratio will affect the phase lock loop and data channel performance. The reason for this low level of interference causing degradation is that the DSN receivers obtain data from signals that are typically only 2 to 5 dB above the receiver system noise temperature. The thermal noise (KTB) for this X-band maser was calculated to be -106.2 dBm, using an effective system noise temperature of 25° Kelvin and a 3 dB noise bandwidth of 69 MHz. Interference power levels of -106.2 dBm within the RF bandwidth are lower than the -90 dBm X-band maser gain suppression threshold. Therefore, the maser gain will not be affected by this signal.

A third degradation mode for the X-band receiver can be caused by wideband noise from frequency adjacent transmitters. This interference situation exists for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal which has transmitter emission noise spaced several hundred megahertz from the carrier frequency. With a system noise temperature of 25° K, the noise spectral density for the X-band receiver is -184.6 dBm/Hz. Reference 29 stated that the interference criterion for wideband noise is that it is at least 5 dB below the receiver noise spectral density level. This level is predicted not to degrade the receiver performance by more than 1 dB (an increase in system noise temperature of 5.7°). For this X-band receiver, the maximum permissible wideband noise interference spectral density is, therefore, considered to be -190 dBm/Hz. This interference threshold will be referred to later in this report as the 'JPL criteria" for system noise temperature. JPL MARS JEST CONFIGURATION

To evaluate the potential interference a series of ground and flight test measurements were made. Prior to the test the measuring equipment was set up and confirmated at the JPL Goldstone facility to evaluate the amount of energy coupled into the JPL 210 foot antenna X-band receiver system from the SHF SATCOM test aircraft. During the test an R&D maser amplifier was used with a 3 dB bandwidth of 20 MHz. This has now been replaced by the operational widebard maser which has a 3 dB bandwidth of 69 MHz.

In preparation for this SHE airborne flight test the R&D Y band maser amplifier with its 20 MHz bandwidth was connected to the 2.0 foot 60.44 tone antenna system. See Figure 10/ for the ground test equipment set up. The effect of interference on the IF broadband noise in a 1 Mm/ bandwidth was monitored with a square law detector for any increase in the amplent





noise level. Off-tuned response or susceptibility of the wideband maser was approximated for this test by tuning the R&D maser amplifier at 8405 MHz.

The amount of interference received by the JPL X-band system was evaluated by observing any increase in the X-band system noise temperature. The R&D maser amplifier did not have the telemetry capability so no bit error rate measurements could be obtained during this flight test.

The JPL technical personnel calibrated the X-band system noise temperature at 22.2°K while the antenna was pointed near its zenith point (elevation 88°, azimuth 41°). During the flight test strip chart recorders were used to continuously record the X-band system noise temperature over the range from 22.2°K to 100°K.

FLIGHT TEST AT THE JPL MARS FACILITY

A flight test to evaluate the effects of interference from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emissions on the MARS 210 foot antenna and X-band system were performed on 28 May 1975. It has been predicted that operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal within the MARS 210 foot antenna main beam or sidelobe would cause interference at the receiver input of the MARS X-band system. Therefore, the flight tests were planned to determine the frequency and distance separation situations which would protect the MARS X-band system from the SHF terminal emissions for a given set of operational conditions. This involved flying in an area near the MARS 210 foot antenna main beam. During most of the flight tests, the SHF SATCOM antenna was in the operational satellite mode orientation which would be pointed at 42° elevation and at an azimuth toward the position of the western DSCS Phase II satellite. The aircraft operated at an altitude of 26,000 feet ms1.

The MARS 210 foot antenna was pointed near its zenith at 88° elevation and 41° azimuth for all of the flight tests. The MARS X-band system noise temperature was continuously monitored during the flight test for any increase above the 22.2°K ambient noise temperature. For this first "worst case" overflight, the SHF terminal transmitted PN on the klystron amplifier Channel 6 (8360 MHz center frequency) with a 40 Mbps data rate. The transmit power level was switched between 100 watts and 10 kW at one minute intervals. As the test aircraft flew northward from a point 90 nm south of the MARS antenna, no increase in MARS X-band system noise temperature was observed until the aircraft was 74 nm south of the MARS antenna. At that distance, the noise temperature increased for a four second period by 0.2° to a level of 22.4°K. The interference density level required to raise the MARS X-band -185 dBm/Hz noise floor by 0.2° is -205.4 dBm/Hz. Sampled data points from the strip chart of this overflight are included in Table 23. At the closest approach to the MARS main beam, the MARS X-band system noise temperature was observed to go off the strip chart indicating a level in excess of 100°K (Reference GMT 17:08). Note in Table 23 that the JPL interference criteria of +1 dB of noise density increase (+5.7°C above 22.2°K) was exceeded for a 12 second period. A 2 nm offset ground distance from the MARS antenna was noted as the aircraft flew by the MARS antenna. This 2 nm offset calculates for a MARS antenna off boresite angle of about 28° which results in a reduced coupling factor from the MARS antenna. The geometry for this offset situation represents an aircraft antenna off-axis angle of 104° . The calculated mutual antenna coupling factor for the 2 nm offset geometry was -29 dB. Thismmutual antenna coupling loss may be accounted for by a combination of aircraft

TABLE 23

MARS X-BAND SYSTEM NOISE TEMPERATURE DURING FIRST OVERFLIGHT

CMT	SEPARATION	RE NOISE TE		RECEIVED	
REFERENCE	SOUTH FROM	ΔTe	Te	DENSITY IN	INTERFERENCE
TIME	MARS IN NM	IN °C	IN °K	dBm/Hz	IN SECONDS
1654	885	0	22.2	-	
1656	74S	0.2	22.4	-205.4	4
1650	62S	1.35	23.6	-197.2	3
1700	50S	1.48	23.7	-196.7	13
1702	385	1.0	23.2	-198.5	7
1704	25S	1.56	23.8	-196.4	
1706	135	2.3	24.5	-194.8	1
		5.7	27.9	-191.0	exceeded for 12 seconds
1708	15	78.0	100.0	-179.5	exceeded for 4.8 seconds
1710	אונ	0	22.2	-	
1712	23N	0	22.2	-	
1714	35N	0	22.2	-	
1716	47N	0.8	23.0	199.4	2
1718	58N	0.2	22.4	-205.4	
1720	70N	0.4	22.6	-202.4	
1722	82N	0	22.2	-	

NOTES: Aircraft at 26,000 feet, antenna had a simulated satellite orientation of +42° elevation and pointing towards Goldstone.

Modulation PN, Channel 6, Power 10 kW

Offset was 2 nm from MARS main beam

100 watt intervals not included in table since noise temperature indicated ambient level.

1

141.4812 States - 44.44

shielding at 2 nm for -22 dB (see Figure A-9) and the CCIR antenna envelope gain prediction at 28° off axis of -6 dBi for the MARS 210 foot antenna. Two more overflights were made with Channel 6 at 10 kW with PN modulation. The interference levels coupled into the MARS X-band were similar to those listed in Table 23. The greatest distance separation for an observable increase in X-band noise temperature was during an outbound pass at 132 nm when a 0.2° temperature increase was recorded.

To further bracket the potential interference the final overhead pass at 19:14 GMT had the transmit power levels switching between 1 kW and 10 kW. Channel 6 and the PN modulation were selected. The 1 kW power level was observed to cause a small increase in X-band noise temperature which did not exceed the JPL criteria of +5.7°C except for one spike of +11° amplitude. This occurred about 6 nm from the MARS antenna. As the aircraft passed overhead the MARS antenna main beam, the JPL threshold criteria was exceeded for 24 seconds when 10 kW PN modulation, Channel 6 was transmitted. The peak envelope of the interference was unknown since it exceeded the 100°K calibrated level of the strip chart for about 9.6 seconds. A spectrum analyzer display of the receiver bandwidth for this peak envelope interference provided an estimate of about 12-15 dB above the ambient level.

One overflight which did not produce any noticeable increase in the monitored X-band noise temperature occurred when Channel 1 transmitted an alternate 10 kW and 100 watt power with PN modulation. Channel 1 center frequency was 7940 MHz.

The remaining portion of the tests consisted of orbit type of flight profiles. The orbit center was located approximately 36 nm south of the MARS 210 foot antenna. See Figure 108 for a diagram of the orbit profile



and its relative location to the MARS 210 foot antenna. During an orbit with simulated satellite antenna orientation, Channel 4 (8225 MHz) with PN modulation and 10 kW of power did not cause any noticeable increase in the X-band noise temperature. To increase signal coupling, the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna elevation was decreased from 42° to 10° elevation. With this increased signal coupling, the Channel 4 still did not cause any observable increase in the X-band system noise temperature. Channel 5 (8275 MHz, PN modulation, 10 kW) was also evaluated with increased coupling at 10° elevation while performing an orbit. No increase in the X-band noise level was observed.

During an orbit, Channel 6 (8360 MHz) was selected with PN modulation and 100 watts transmit power. With the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna in the typical or the simulated satellite orientation towards the MARS station, there was not any observable increase in MARS X-band noise level.

When Channel 6 operated with 10 kW and PN modulation, interference was observed at the MARS X-band system. During several of the orbit profile passes, the JPL noise temperature criteria was exceeded while the MARS antenna was pointing near its zenith and the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal was 30 to 41 nm south of the MARS antenna. The aircraft antenna was either at a simulated satellite orientation angle or at 10° elevation and the aircraft was at 26,000 feet msl. A summary of selected orbits is presented in Table 24. The GMT times are for referenced events 12, 13, 14, 23 and 24 on the flight test log for 28 May 1975.

While still transmitting on Channel 6 at 10 kW, the modulation was changed to a frequency hopping mode for one orbit. The frequency hopping (FH) did not cause any noticeable increase in the monitored X-band noise

TABLE 24

1

.....

SHF SATCOM ORBIT TEST SUMMARY AT DSS-14 ON 28 MAY 1975

		, TV PUD	UDM	SHF SATCOM ANTENNA	RE(CALCHLATED	TEMPERATURE 1/N RATIO	PERIOD JPL NOISE CRITERIA
GMT	ORBJT LEG	IN KW	TYPE	ELEVATION	*	I, dBm/Hz	in dB	WAS EXCEEDED
18:47:51	East turn	10	PN	4 2°	69	-181.8	+3.2	12 seconds
18:48:00	4 to 1	10	Nd	42°	>100	-179.8	+5.2	011
18:50:18	West turn	10	Nd	42°	70	-181.7	+3.2	30
18:52:00	2 to 3	ω	Nd	10°	>100	-179.8	+5.2	18
18:53:44	East turn	8	N	10°	>100	-179.8	+5.2	30
18:55:42	4 to 1	ω	Nd	10°	96	-179.8	+5.2	96
18:58:00	West turn	ω	Nd	10°	72	-181.5	+3.5	24
18:59:22	2 to 3	ω	FH	10°	22.2	8	t 8 1	0
19:25:10	4 to]		None	- <u>۲</u> ۰	23	-199.4	-14.4	0
19:25.40	2 tc 3		Nd	42°	22.2	8 8 8 8 8 8	8 2 1	0
19:30:45	East turn	-	Nd	100	27.9	191-	-6	0.5
19:32:45	4 to 1	-	Nd	100	24.2	-195	01-	0
19:34:45	West turn		PN	10°	33.8	-187.8	-2.8	2.4

Aircraft at 26,000 msl, orbit at 30-40 nm south of MARS transmit frequency 8360 MHz with indicated modulation MARS antenna at 88° elevation, 41° azimuth, R&D maser (20 MHz)-8405 MHz

ALL CALLER CONTRACTOR OF THE SALE OF THE S

temperature. The FH signal however, was observed on the spectrum analyzer at the out-of-band lower edge of the MARS receiver response. This indicates that because of FH modulations lower sideband content, which did not spill into the MARS bandpass, the 10 kW Channel 6 FH signal did not exceed the out-of-band power density criteria for degradation.

An evaluation of interference from Channel 6 with CW modulation (8360 MHz) simulating a narrowband FM (10 kHz p-p deviation) at 10 kW was performed. No increase in the MARS X-band noise level was observed. The CW signal was observable on the spectrum analyzer 45 MHz below the MARS center frequency of 8405 MHz.

Evaluation of the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter thermal noise as an interference source was performed by setting Channel 6 (8360 MHz) at 10 kW output power and then inhibiting the IF drive input signal. This created the highest transmitter noise floor output. There was no observable increase in the MARS X-band noise level during an orbit with the aircraft interna in a simulated satellite orientation. When the aircraft antenna was depressed to a -7° elevation directly towards the first in the create a situation of maximum coupling (an increase of 181) there was observed a small increase of X-band noise te is used to a -3° elevation directly towards the first of a bout 0.8°C. This 0.8°C increase represents an interference noise level of -199.4 dBm/Hz from the aircraft at 38 nm distance separation from the MARS antenna.

Channel 5 (8275 MHz) with PN modulation at 10 kh = n wer did not cause any noticeable increase in the X-band fise less while the aircraft made an orbit.

There is one more mutual antenna coupling situation that was not evaluated during the flight test. It involves the MARS antenna pointing low in elevation angle in the direction of the orbiting aircraft. This situation will be discussed in the following section of this report. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Considering the coupling geometry for the JPL MARS 210 foot antenna during the flight test there are several observations that can be made for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal when its antenna is oriented toward the location of the western DSCS Phase II satellite position. Klystron amplifier thannels 5 through 1 can be operated adjacent to the MARS 210 foot antenna 6° elevation tracking volume (see Figure 106) without causing interference levels to MARS X-band system which exceed the JPL criteria (4) dB noise level increase). Klystron amplifier thannel 6 (8360 MHz) can be operated at 10 kW in an area adjacent to the MARS 210 foot tracking envelope without exceeding the JPL criteria for three conditions: (1) Harrowband FM, (2) frequency hopping (FH) mode, and (3) without RF drive (Elystron thermal noise).

Channel 6 with PN modulation can operate at 100 watts transmit power without exceeding the JPL criteria in areas adjacent to the MARS 6° tracking envelope. All of the above situations assume that the airborne SHE SATCOM antenna is satellite oriented, at 42° elevation angle when operating near the MARS facility.

For Channel 6 with PN modulation, there are additional restrictions for high power operation (1 kW and 10 kW) when in the areas adjacent to the 5° elevation MARS tracking envelope. When the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates in an area to the east of the MARS X-band facility, there will

be the possibility of increased coupling over the test orbit condition and the overflight situation if the MARS antenna is also pointing low in elevation and toward the east. Compared to the situation of a low elevation angle for the MARS antenna, the orbit test flights had increased isolation due to the MARS antenna far out sidelobe coupling in the direction of the aircraft. The overflights had more isolation due to aircraft shielding (about -22 dBi coupling loss when the aircraft was overhead). Note that during portions of both the orbit flights and the overflights that the JPL criteria was exceeded when Channel 6 transmitted with PN modulation at 10 kW.

An estimate of the received interference signal level by the NARS X-band system when its antenna is pointing low in elevation angle over an orbiting aircraft which is 36 nm away from the MARS antenna can be extrapolated from the orbit test flights, which had the MARS antenna at zenith. For this situation, the MARS antenna is assumed to be low in elevation and pointing with only a few degrees off-axis from the direction of the aircraft. The MARS off-axis antenna envelope coupling in the direction of the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna is expected to increase from -6 dBi (80° off axis) to +4 dBi for 3° to 4° off-axis angle. The strip chart recording indicates that when the aircraft flew in front of the MARS antenna at distances of 30 c 41 nm to the south, transmitting on Channel 6 with PN modulation either 8 and 10 kW, that the MARS X-band system noise temperature increased from 22.2°r to over 100°K for two passes, see Table 24. A 100°K increase corresponds t 5 db increase over the ambient level of -185 dBm/Hz or a received interfer density level of -180 dBm/Hz. This interference

level is 10 dB above the JPL criteria of -190 dBm/Hz. For the MARS low elevation angle situation, the X-band noise level in the direction of the aircraft is expected to increase by 16 dB due to the decreased MARS antenna off-axis coupling. The expected received interference level could be -164 dBm/Hz on 26 dB above the JPL criteria.

1

Interference protection to the JPL MARS system in this low elevation angle situation can be provided by distance separation or frequency separation. Reference 28 presents a method for predicting the required distance or frequency separation between the MARS station and the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal. Table 25 contains examples of separation distances for off-axis antenna coupling situations.

The separation distances listed in Table 25 assume an airborne SHF SATCOM terminal altitude of 24,000 feet ms1. If a higher operational altitude is required the separation distances may have to be increased.

As previously mentioned any main beam coupling situation to MARS from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal has a very high probability of resulting in interference to the MARS X-band system. The actual main beam encounter by an aircraft flying through the MARS 210 foot tracking volume (most of the 6° elevation volume for 24,000 foot msl altitude is located within restricted airspace) is very unlikely. A model for predicting main beam encounter for an aircraft randomly flying through the MARS tracking volume is presented in Reference 29. The probability of a single overflight penetrating the MARS antenna main beam is less than 8.7 x 10^{-5} or one in 11,489 overflights. At least academically it can be argued that with sufficient frequency separation (a 66 MHz separation can protect MARS from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emissions as long as MARS main beam

TABLE 25

SEPARATION DISTANCES TO PROTECT GOLDSTONE X-BAND FROM SHF SATCOM EMISSIONS

	SHF SAT	COM	SEPARATION FROM	1 GOLDSTONE
<u>Channel</u>	Power	<u>Modulation</u>	<u>Distance in nm</u>	Direction
6	10 kW	PN	124	East-radius
			9 0	NE, SE
			35	NW, SW
			4 6	West-radius
6	10 kW	Narrowband	36	East & West radius
		FM & FH	28	N and S
6	1 kW	PN, FH	60	East-radius
		Narrowband	45	NE, SE
		FM	28	NW, SW
			36	West-radius
5~1	10 kW	All types	36	East-radius
			28	N and S
			36	West-radius

264

PO1761

coupling does not occur). Only one out of 11,500 overflights are likely to produce interference to the MARS X-band system. CONCLUSIONS

an eine finder andere anderer der

The flight tests have provided information on the distance and frequency separation necessary to protect the MARS X-band system from potential interference from SHE SATCOM terminal emissions. This is based upon the MARS antenna never pointing to within 2° of the SHE SATCOM aircraft. Other assumptions are stated in SECTION II.

1. The MARS antenna main beam encounter can be avoided by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at 24,000 feet msl if it maintains a radial separation distance to the east and west of 36 nm from the MARS station and parallel distance separation to the north and south of 28 nm.

2. Klystron amplifier Channels 1 through 5 (7940 to 8275) can operate at 10 kW of power with PN, FH and narrowband FM modu ation in areas adjacent to the envelope described in 1.

3. Klystron amplifier Channel 6 (8360 GHz) can operate at 10 kW with two types of modulation, FH and narrowband FM as long as the separation distances in 1 are maintained.

4. Channel 6 with PN modulation can operate at 1 kW in areas adjacent to the envelope bounded by a radius of 60 nm east of MARS, a radius 36 nm west of MARS, and north and south separation distance of 45 nm along the east radius and a north and south separation distance of 28 nm along the west radius.

5. Channel 6 with PN modulation and 10 kW of power requires a separation area bounded by an east radius distance of 124 nm, a west radius of 46 nm, a north and south separation distance of 90 nm along the

east radius and a north and south separation distance of 35 nm along the west radius. Figure 109 is a summary of these areas.

6. As long as the MARS antenna main beam encounter is avoided by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal and a channel center frequency separation of 66 MHz is maintained, it is predicted that an overflight could occur with the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operating at 10 kW and interference to the MARS X-band facility will have the probability of occurrence of less than 1 in 8.7×10^{-5} . This is once in 32.3 years at the rate of one overflight per day.

RECOMMENDATIONS

All encounters with the MARS antenna main beam should be avoided by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal.

The interference protection envelopes shown in Figure 109 should be maintained by the airborne SHF SA1COM terminal.

As the present restricted airspace which protects the MARS station covers most of the protection envelopes area, consideration should be given to using this area as the boundary for 10 kW Channel 6 PN operation. The uncovered area is in use for only 3 to 4 months a year when the ecliptic plane cuts the Barstow area. Also, major airlines with "hot jet exhaust" presently fly across this area with about 25 to 100 flights per day without apparently causing problems. At a cruise airspeed of 400 to 450 kts, a jet aircraft flies quickly across this area.



SECTION IX

EARTH RESOURCES SURVEY OPERATIONAL SYSTEM (ERSOS) ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

The Department of the Interior has submitted a plan to IRAC containing the radio communication requirements for the ERSOS program [sometimes referred to as the Earth Exploration Satellite (EES)], originally proposed for implementation in 1978 (Reference SPS-663/1-1.14.10 and DOC 14830/1-4.9.6). The system for ERSOS includes one or more low altitude satellites (witch can optically survey the earth's surface every 18 days), numerous data collection earth platforms, a data handling facility for processing data for users, and the satellite command and tracking facilities. The only portion of the ERSOS system which is of concern in this investigation is the downlink from the satellite which has its proposed operational frequencies in the 8.025 - 8.4 GHz band. The ERSOS satellite system is proposed as an operational follow-on to the present NASA experimental Earth Resources Technology Satellites (ERTS or Landsat). ERSOS will be operated by the Department of the Interior/NASA and includes proposed receiving earth stations at Sioux Falls, South Dakota and Fairbanks, Alaska.

The planned deployment for one of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminals is in a peacetime orbit in the north-central CONUS area which includes Sioux Falls, South Dakota. The orbiting aircraft may penetrate the tracking volume surrounding the ERSOS earth station.

The channel frequencies proposed for ERSOS are 8.3025, 8.3525 and 8.3875 GHz. These ERSOS downlink channels are in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz band to be used by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal.

ERSOS TECHNICAL CHARACTERISTICS

The ERSOS satellites will transmit three types of information. Two are from imagery sensors, a four band "multispectral scanner system" (MSS), and a "return beam vidicon" (RBV) camera system. The downlink data from these sensors will be transmitted from the satellite on two wideband (25 MHz) channels. The MSS data will use PCM/FM modulation with a 15.06 Mbps data rate. The RBV information will utilize FM/analog modulation with a baseband response requirement of DC to 3.2 MHz. A third downlink channel from the satellite will be used for relaying telemetry information. This telemetry channel will have PCM/PSK/PM modulation with a narrow bandwidth of 5 MHz. The ERSOS earth-station characteristics used in the analysis are listed in Table 26.

The planned orbit for the ERSOS satellite will be sun-synchronous with a 103.3 minute period. The satellite will, therefore, be above the earth's surface by 570 statute miles (970 km). The orbit inclination angle of 99° will shift the apparent position or ground track of successive passes for coverage of the entire globe every 18 days. Information exchange can occur when the satellite is visible to either one of the ground stations. Although the downlink data will be transmitted in 10 minute periods, this does not help much in reducing the overall tracking volume required for data acquisition. Nearly full east/west azimuthal coverage will be needed for data acquisition at some time by the earth stations, due to the apparent shift in the ground tracking path.

INTERFERENCE TO ERSOS EARTH STATIONS

Interference from the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter to the ERSOS earth-station receiver can occur when the input signal-to-interference TABLE 26

ERSOS SHF BAND DOWNLINK ANALYSIS CHARACTERISTICS

EARTH STATION	CHANNE	EL
PARAMETER	WIDEBAND (RBV + MSS)	NARROWBAND (TLM)
System Noise Temperature at 5° Antenna Elevation	165°K	125°K
Noise Bandwidth	30 MHz	5 MHz (TLM)
Modulation Type	FM Analog (RBV) PCM/FM (MSS)	PCM/PSK/PM (TLM)
Baseband Data Rate	DC-3.2 MHz (RBV) 15.06 MLps (MSS)	l to 24 kbps (TLM)
Peak Deviation	5.6 MHz (RBV) 5.6 MHz (MSS)	
Baseband Filter B.W.	3.5 MHz (RBV) 15 MHz (MSS)	
FM Improvement Factor (Processing Gain)	13 dB (RBV) O dB (MSS)	
Channel Frequency	8.3025 GHz (RBV) 8.3525 GHz (MSS)	8.3875 GHz (TLM)
Receive Antenna Gain with Right Hand Circular Polarization	55 2 dBi	55 0 dBi
Antenna 3 dB beamwidth (30 foot diameter Cassegrain)	.28°	.28°
FRSOS SATELLITE	·······	
PARAMETER	WIDEBAND	NARROWBAND
TX Power	20 watts	0.2 watts
System Loss	2 dB	2 dB
Antenna Gain	4 (dBi)	4 (dBi)
Camera SNR (in IF) (Peak Signal/RMS Noise)	30 dB	
MSS	$BER < 10^{-5}$	
TLM		BER < 10 ⁻⁶

270

1.111

11.

 $(S/I)_{IN}$ protection ratio is reduced below an acceptable value. The $(S/I)_{IN}$ protection ratio for the ERSOS downlink channels varies as a function of the level of the received desired signal, the type of modulation, and the requirement for quality of data. For example, the RBV camera information has a signal-to-noise $(S/N)_{IN}$ power ratio in the IF channel of 30 dB. The degradation limit was specified as a decrease of 1 dB in this camera $(S/N)_{IN}$ ratio. The downlink microwave transmission must have a $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio which is adequate to preserve the 29 dB video $(S/N)_{IN}$. Determination of the applicable $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio includes a calculation of the desired received signal-to-noise $(S/N)_{IN}$ power ratio for the ERSOS receiver and an estimation of the FM improvement factor for each type of channel. The desired received signal $(S/N)_{IN}$ can be calculated with the aid of Equation 3-9 after rearranging terms which is expressed in logarithmic form:

 $(S/N)_{IN} = P_T - L_S + G_T + G_R - L_{FS} - N_S - L_A$ (dBm) (9-1) where

 P_{T} = satellite transmitter power in dBm

 L_S = coupling device loss in the satellite in dB

N_S = receiver noise calculated from KTB

The rest of the terms are defined in SECTION III.

When the satellite is directly over the ERSOS earth station, the downlink $(S/N)_{IN}$ was calculated to be 31.6 dB into the receiver. A theoretical FM improvement factor for the microwave channel with the camera data of 13 dB was calculated. OT investigations indicate that a $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio of 18 dB is adequate to protect this wideband microwave link.¹⁴ A summary of the S/I ratios which are predicted to protect the downlink ERSOS data channels is listed in Table 27. The downlink channel
with the RBV camera data is the most critical from an interference standpoint. The RBV camera channel will be considered in this analysis.

TABLE 27

MINIT (S/I) IN PROTECTION RATIOS FOR ERSOS DOWNLINK WITH SATELLITE AT ZENITH

	ERSOS	Data	Channels
	RBV	MSS	TLM
S/I in dB	18	15	12

When the ERSCS satellite is close the herizon (5° elevation), the received signals at the earth station have a lower level by 9 to 10 dB than for the zenith situation. For the low elevation tracking angle signals, the MSS and TLM channels S/I ratios listed above are still adequate, but the RBV channel $(S/I)_{IN}$ ratio must be increased to 20 dB.

The undesired received power (1) can be calculated by Equation 9-1.

The airborne SHF SATCOM terminal deployed near Sioux Falls, South Dakota would communicate with the geostationary DSCS Phase II satellite located at 135° west longitude. The look angle to this satellite will be above 25° elevation. This elevation angle is high enough to prevent the main sidelobe (and main beam) from possible energy-coupling to the ERSOS earth-station receive antenna at Sioux Falls. Thus, the median sidelobe gain value of -1 dBi will be used as the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna gain in this analysis.

ERSOS MAIN BEAM COUPLED INTERFERENCE.

While the probability that an aircraft would actually encounter the ERSOS antenna main beam is very small, the worse case coupling with the ERSOS main beam is considered here to provide an upper bound on the problem.

The ERSOS receive antenna gain has a main beam value of 55.2 dBi. The antenna configuration is cassegrain with a 30 foot diameter parabolic reflector. The ratio of aperture-to-wavelength for this antenna is great enough to apply the CCIR antenna model for large antennas for computing the antenna sidelobe envelope.³⁰ The receive antenna gain, represents the main beam gain reduced by the off-axis factor. The victim antenna is considered to be pointing in the general direction of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal.

The Off Frequency Rejection (OFK) curve for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal emission and the ERSOS wideband receiver channel was calculated. This curve was obtained from the emission spectrum in Reference 3 and calculated with the ECAC OFRCAL program. The off frequency rejection curve is shown in Figure 110.

The propagation loss was computed for the situation at the ERSOS earth station. The airborne SHF SATCOM terminal was assumed to be at 24,000 feet altitude. The propagation loss curve is shown in Figure 4.

Interference to the ERSOS earth station from the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter is predicted to occur when:

 $S - I \leq (S/I)_{MINIT}$ protection ratio (9-2) where

S = the desired received carrier power, which is the SNR + N for ERSOS, in dBm

I = the undesired interference power as computed in dBm

(S/I)_{MINIT} = the signal-to-interference protection ratio for RBV camera data from Table 27 in dB

Equations 9-1 and 9-2 can be combined, rearranged and solved for either the loss L_{FS} required, for no interference (with no 0FR) or for 0FR values



with selected separation distances. For the third situation, a separation distance is selected and the OFR values for various off-axis gain values for the ERSOS antenna are calculated.

The ERSOS main beam coupling to SHF for 10 kW of transmit power peak sidelobe calculation indicated that the propagation loss required for no interference was 225 dB. With the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at 24,000 feet ms1 altitude, the no-interference separation distance was beyond the line-of-sight. Since a power level restriction for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operation may not be desirable, frequency separation could be considered as a means of reducing the potential for interference to the ERSOS earth station. The path loss from the ERSOS earth station pointing to an aircraft at 24,000 feet (ms1), which is operating in an area adjacent to the ERSOS 5° elevation tracking volume, is predicted to be 144 dB (42 nm). Frequency separation of 94 MHz is predicted to reduce the received interference signal level at the ERSOS station to the permissible interference threshold of -102 dBm.

Since protecting the ERSOS main beam from interference requires such large restriction area or frequency separation for airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operation it is informative to estimate the probability of main beam encounter when an aircraft penetrates the ERSOS tracking volume.

The probability of an aircraft intercepting the ERSOS main beam while on a random overflight can be estimated from a ratio of the main beam window to the tracking airspace at 24,000 feet msl and the ERSOS satellite visibility period for Sioux Falls, South Dakota. The Airspace viewed from a 5° elevation tracking angle has a 42 nm radius at 24,000 feet msl. The main beam window at the edge of the 42 nm circular airspace

is approximately 0.21 nm in diameter (for the ERSOS 0.28° beamwidth). The area ratio can be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{(0.21 \text{ nm diameter})^2}{(84 \text{ nm diameter})^2} = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$$

The ERSOS satellite visibility for data acquisition at Sioux Falls, South Dakota can provide five passes per day, each of which is about ten minutes in duration. The dedicated earth station visibility factor can be calculated as follows:

 $\frac{(5 \times 10 \text{ minutes})}{(1440 \text{ minutes})} = 3.5 \times 10^{-2}$

The probability of main beam interception for a single overflight through the Sioux Falls, South Dakota tracking volume is 8.7×10^{-7} . Thus a single overflight has a probability of intercepting the ERSOS main beam of less than one in a million.

If the ERSOS antenna were pointing at a low elevation angle and the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal happened to intercept the main beam, the maximum duration of the interference would be less than two seconds.

OFF-AXIS ANTENNA COUPLING

The airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operational restrictions in the area near Sioux Falls, South Dakota can be reduced from those presented above if the off-axis coupling characteristic of the ERSOS 30 foot antenna is considered.

<u>Probability Off-Axis ERSOS Coupling</u> - A band sharing situation is presented here which involves a smaller ERSOS tracking volume to be protected and a consequently smaller area to be restricted for the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operation. For example, assume that the minimum tracking elevation angle (for the ERSOS earth station) to be protected from interference on a continuing basis is 9°. Whether or not restricting the ERSOS data acquisition to a minimum tracking angle of 9° would have any significant impact on ERSOS data collection should be explored with the Department of the Interior/NASA agencies.

The off-axis antenna coupling angle from the ERSOS antenna to the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal will be assumed to be maintained at 2° or more at all times for protection considerations. This allows the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal to operate in areas adjacent to a 30 nm radius, centered on the Sioux Falls coordinates of 43°32'31"N and 96°45'428"W (see Figure 111). A peak gain or antenna coupling value for 2° to 3° off axis was assumed to be plus 10 dBi. This reduces the path loss requirement from '25 dB to 180 dB which is predicted to protect the ERSOS earth station from 10 kW co-channel operation of the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal.

Aircraft flight test data indicates that coupling values for the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna at 24,000 feet will be -1 dBi when the aircraft is to the northeast from the ERSOS earth station, -8 dBi when the aircraft is to the north or south, and -13 dBi when the aircraft is to the west. With the aircraft operating to the northeast in areas adjacent to the 30 nm radius from Sioux Falls, South Dakota, frequency separation can reduce the possible received interference to permissible levels. To protect the ERSOS downlink when it is tracking in the northeast direction, the following center frequency separation should be maintained for airborne SHF SATCCM channels:



10111

PROTECTION ENVELOPE FOR ERSOS EARTH STATION FIGURE 111

a a water a constant

278

ъ÷Г.

YAN BOMAN MANYANYI WANA MANAGEMBAR IN ANA INA

35 MHz for 100 watts

40 MHz for 1 kW

44 MHz for 10 kW

When the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates in the quadrants around Sioux Falls other than the northeast, the frequency separation can be reduced as follows:

For the northwest and southeast:

29 MHz for 100 watts

36 MHz for 1 kW

41 MHz for 10 kW

For the southwest quadrant:

20 MHz for 100 watts

34 MHz for 1 kW

38 MHz for 10 kW

One final situation for frequency sharing can be suggested after considering the low probability for an aircraft encountering the ERSOS antenna main beam while flying through the tracking volume. Frequency separation of 44 MHz will reduce potential interference to the ERSOS earth station from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal at 10 kW of transmit levels for the 2° or 3° off-axis coupling situation even during overflight. This leaves only the ERSOS main beam area for interference reception and the probability of an aircraft intercepting the 30 foot antenna main beam is very unlikely.

279

In the Work Construction of the States

CONCLUSIONS*

1. No interference with the ERSOS system is predicted when the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates beyond line-of-sight from the ERSOS earth station at any transmit power level or frequency.

2. When the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal is at separation distances of 30 nm from the ERSOS earth station at least 44 MHz of frequency separation is required to avoid possible interference if 10 kW of transmit power is used.

3. Interception of the ERSOS main beam by an aircraft flying through the ERSOS tracking volume is very unlikely.

4. For direct overflights above the ERSOS earth station a 50 MHz frequency separation is predicted to permit 10 kW operation with a very low probability of interference to ERSOS data acquisition.

5. The above restrictions are for the most susceptible channel which is RBV. The MSS and TLM channels have greater protection.

RECOMMENDATIONS

 It is recommended that the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal restrict its operation from an area of approximately 30 nm radius centered on Sioux Falls, South Dakota once the ERSOS earth terminal becomes operational.

It is recommended that when the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operates within line-of-sight of an ERSOS earth station that approximately
44 MHz of frequency separation be maintained.

*See Assumptions in SECTION II.

SECTION X

OTHER SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

GENERAL

There are a number of other microwave systems which could be effected by the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal operation in the air or on the ground. The effects on these systems were analyzed³ by calculations or comparison with systems described in SECTIONS V through IX. The analysis was based on the assumptions in SECTION II and on frequency assignments as of May 1974. Separate calculations of the effect of ground operation was accomplished.

CONCLUSIONS OF ANALYSIS ON OTHER MICROWAVE SYSTEMS

Analysis of the following four microwave systems indicates that no serious interference is expected from the airborne SHF SATCOM terminal. <u>DOD Users</u> - DOD terrestrial microwave users have links which are very similar to the TVA's 600-channel FM voice links. Therefore, the TVA conclusions appear to apply to the DOD users. That is, the amount of interference expected from the E-4 SHF SATCOM system appears to be small compared with the natural outages which occur on the links in the absence of SHF SATCOM interference signal. However, if long haul non-diversity transportable links are deployed during a contingency operation, there is a potential for interference.

<u>TDRSS</u> - The Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS) has a revised frequency plan which no longer contains operational frequencies of concern in the same band as the airborne SHF SATCOM system. Therefore, no interference is expected.

<u>MSS-GOES</u> - The Meteorological Satellite System which is planned for the late 1970s plans to operate in the 8 GHz region. Operation of this system is an uplink from a ground station to a satellite. Since this uplink frequency falls in the guard band between DSCS-II frequencies, there appears to be no problem of interference.

<u>FLEETSATCOM</u> - The Navy's FLEETSATCOM broadcast station will operate an uplink in the exclusive band of the DSCS-II frequency allocation.

Since this signal is an uplink signal and since the satellite will be located at some distance from the DSCS-II satellite, no interference is expected for the airborne SHF SATCOM system.

AIRBORNE SHF SATCOM GROUND OPERATION

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A

<u>General</u> - Often it will be necessary to operate the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter while the E-4 aircraft is on the ground. This will be done while the aircraft is on alert, preparing to depart for a flight or for various maintenance and training activities. When operating in this manner, the airborne SHF SATCOM will be functioning as a Small Earth Terminal (SET). Coordination procedures and band sharing constraints for operation of such systems (SET's) are presently being formulated in various study groups of the IRAC and CCIR. When finalized, these procedures should be applied to the selection of operational locations, frequencies and power levels for the E-4 ground operations. At present, the number of air bases which might be equipped for E-4 operations is large and deployment plans have not been finalized. It is likely that extensive operations will be conducted out of Offutt AFB, Omaha, Nebraska and Andrews AFB, Maryland.

282

This section presents a method of identifying FDM/FM systems in the vicinity of the E-4 ground operational location which must be examined in order to determine if the system will experience interference. In order to ensure that all possible interference victims are considered, a set of parameters was chosen which presents the worst case interference situation. The computations are presented so that maximum use can be made of the data in the IRAC frequency assignment files (location, frequency, bandwidth and antenna orientation) in order to eliminate from further consideration systems with which no interference is anticipated.

<u>FDM/FM Equipment Characteristics</u> - The characteristics of the victim microwave equipments were selected based upon examination of a number of different nomenclatures which are common in the environment. The IF bandpass characteristics were found to be typified by a six element Butterworth filter with the far out attenuation characteristics modified by the effects of an RF filter. A typical curve for a 20MF9 receiver is presented in Figure 112. For the basic computation, the receivers are assumed to be using a 45 dBi gain antenna at a height of 200 feet and to have a 12 dB noise figure (-90 dBm in a 20 MHz IF). The worst case gain values for various angles off the main beam are given in Yable 28.

TABLE 28

FDM/FM ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS

DEGREES OFF MAIN BEAM AZIMUTH	FDM/FM ANTENNA GAIN (dBi)
<u>+</u> 1	45
1-5	30
5-10	20
10-20	10
20-100	0
100-180	-10



RECEIVER BANDPASS AND TRANSMITTER OUTPUT SPECTRUM FIGURE 112

<u>SHF SATCOM Characteristics</u> - The output spectrum of the airborne SHF SATCOM transmitter was measured by $AFAL^{33}$ and presented in Reference 3. It is redrawn and presented in Figure 112.

Since this study is to develop a culling process, the airborne SHF SATCOM antenna is assumed to have somewhat higher sidelobes than those presented in APPENDIX A. This is done to ensure no systems are overlooked. These worst case gain values are given in Table 29. The minimum antenna elevation will be 10 degrees.

TABLE 29

AIRBORNE SHF SATCOM ANTENNA GAIN

DEGREES OFF MAIN BEAM AZIMUTH	<u>ANTENNA GAIN (dBi)</u>
0-20	+5
20-100	C
100-180	-5

The height of the airborne SHF SATCOM dish at its planned location atop of the $E \cdot 4$ fuselage is approximately 30 feet.

<u>Frequency and Distance Separation Plots</u> - A standard automated ECAC routine²³ was used to determine frequency and distance separation requirements. The routine uses the receiver bandpass characteristics and transmitter spectrum to determine the amount of rejection to the unwanted signal for various degrees of off tuning. This rejection is then compared to a specified total rejection value to determine the amount of propagation loss needed to preclude interference. The propagation model is then examined to determine the distance separation which will produce the required loss. The propagation model used considers no terrain blockage other than that due to earth curvature and assumes multipath reinforcement is occurring.

The total loss mentioned above (L_T) is determined from the worst case parameters and is given in the following equation:

$$L_{T} = P_{T} + G_{T} + G_{R} - I_{R}$$

= 70 dBm + 5 dBi + 45 dBi - (-90 dBm) = 210 dB (10-1)

where

 $L_T = total required loss (dB)$

 P_T = airborne SHF SATCOM power output (dBm)

 G_T = airborne SHF SATCOM antenna gain (dBi)

 $G_{R} = FDM/FM$ antenna gain (dBi)

 I_R = interference threshold (dBm)

Note that for culling purposes, an interference threshold equal to the receiver noise level has been used. If problems are flagged, then consideration should be given to such factors as hop distances, desired signal levels, required performance requirements, etc., in a manner similar to that used in this report to analyze airborne operations.

Plots of the relationship of off tuning to separation distance were generated for five receiver bandwidths (45, 25, 20, 15 and 10 MHz). Each plot shows the relationship for nine levels of total loss (Figures 113 through 117).

Along with variations in transmitter power (P_T) and receiver interference sensitivity (I_R), the relative pointing angles of the two antennas will determine the required loss value. The values of G_T and G_R in Equation 10-1 are combined in Table 30 and presented as a single value G_m for various pointing angles.









and the second sec

A STATE OF A





いたが、「大いな」があるかが、「たいたい」で、「たいない」では、「たいない」です。 しょうしょうかん 一手をかくやまできる なんがみ かまま かまま かまま ほうちょう かんしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう

FREQUENCY SEPARATION VS DISTANCE SEPARATION FOR NORMALIZED LOSS (15MF9 RECEIVER) FIGURE 116

n Mandia Antonia

「日本」の一日日日日の小田田田田田田田田田田田田田田



. .



TABLE 30 MUTUAL ANTENNA GAIN (G_m), dBi

			FDM/F	-M Az off Ma	iin Beam (de	jrees)		
		ہ] ا+	1°-5°	5°-10°	10°-20°	20°-100°	>100°	
Airborne SHF SATCOM	0-20°	50	35	25	15	വ	- ک	
Antenna AZ off Main	20-100°	45	30	20	10	0	01-	
Beam in Degrees	100-180°	40	25	15	5	-5	-15	

The range of interference power levels are seen to vary over 65 dB due to antenna orientation. Due to probable use of lower airborne SHF SATCOM transmitted powers and possible introduction of more sensitive receiver in the environment, a total range of 90 dB will be considered in this analysis.

The nine plots on each graph are labeled from +10 to -80 dB of normalized loss (L_n). The proper curve to be used is determined by solving Equation 10-1 relative to the main beam case loss (L_T).

 $P_{T} + G_{m} - I_{R} - 210 = L_{n}$

The analysis presented here does not consider what are called cosite effects, image, spurious and intermodulation response, desensitization, etc. Any equipment operating within one mile of the site should be given separate consideration since it is not covered by this approach.

Examination of the worst case curves, Figure 113, indicates that the environment within 60 nm of the site should be subjected to the cull process over a frequency range of ± 100 MHz from the airborne SHF SATCOM tuned frequency. For each system identified with the culling distance, the L_n must be computed. Then the appropriate graph is entired at the actual distance and frequency separation. If the intersection of the two values is to the right or above the appropriate L_n plot no problem exists. If it is to the left, then some further analysis is necessary. The first step should be to investigate the value I_R or interference criteria. If, for example, the microwave path is very short, consideration could be given to changing the amount of interference which could be tolerated. In addition, consideration should be given to terrain shielding, required signal-to-interference ratios, etc.

<u>Site Analysis</u> - In order to test the effectiveness of the cull process, the environment within 100 miles of Offutt and Andrews AFB's was examined, within ± 100 MHz of a hypothetical airborne SHF SATCOM frequency.

No systems were found in the vicinity of Offutt AFB which fell within frequency and distance constraints.

Twenty-four systems (excluding other space systems) were identified around Andrews AFB. Of these, the culling procedure eliminated 17 from further consideration. Of the seven remaining systems, four operate over very short transmission paths and an appropriate modification of the interference threshold level eliminates them as potential problems. Two other systems were eliminated based upon the additional path loss due to terrain effects. Only one system remained which would require a thorough analysis to determine the seriousness of the interaction and the best course of action for resolution of the problem.

In addition to the analysis of specific sites, potential interference problems could also be created by a transmission from the aircraft during take-off (or landing) flight paths in the immediate vicinity of the airport. That is, for certain mission requirements it may be necessary to have continuous transmission and lock-in with the satellite as the aircraft changes from airport to take-off to in-flight (or reverse) locations. During take-off and before the aircraft has reached cruising altitude, potentially strong, but short, interference coupling situations could be encountered which should be investigated as part of the airport site analysis.

SUMMARY

The findings of this ground operation study are summarized below:

1. Consideration should be given to the environment within 60 miles of an E-4 base of operation with ± 100 MHz of the planned airborne SHF SATCOM frequency.

2. The culling process using the frequency vs distance curves presented in this section could be used when investigating E-4 operating bases and satellite frequencies.

3. The potential interference problem caused by continuous transmission during take-off should be included as part of the airport site analysis investigations.

APPENDIX A

AIRCRAFT ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS

INTRODUCTION

The SHF SATCOM system for the Agvanced Airborne Command Post aircraft transmits in the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz band. Various government ground microwave links (FAA, TVA, AEC, etc.) use the same frequencies, consequently the SHF SATCOM system is a potential interference source. The aircraft antenna will always be pointed upward toward the DSCS satellites, hence the main beam should not illuminate the ground-based victims. Therefore, potential interference signals will be radiated from the side and backlobes of the aircraft antenna. To obtain better estimates of the aircraft antenna characteristics, a series of airborne measurements were conducted 31 using the facilities of the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio) and the 4950th Test Wing C-135 SATCOM testbed aircraft. The rationale for scheduling airborne tests to obtain additional antenna pattern data was twofold: (1) data could be obtained for various pointing angles and aircraft headings that would tend to overcome multipath problems normally experienced during ground-based tests; and (2) information could be gathered regarding possible shielding effects of the aircraft as it passes over a potential interference victim. This latter part is of particular interest since numerous microwave systems in the 7-8 GHz band employ periscopic antenna configurations. On 27 February 1975 the aircraft (Figure A-1) equipped with the ASC-18 SHF SATCOM Terminal flew a prescribed pattern to obtain measurements at numerous relative angles. The SHF antenna under investigation is shown in Figure A-2. Flight test radar provided

297

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



Figure A-1. SHF SATCOM Testbed Aircraft Cl35B/12662



aircraft positional information. Additional measurements were taken on 5 May and during the Jacksonville FAA test of 19-20 May 1975.

AIRCRAFT ANTENNA SIDELOBE PATTERNS

1

11

Tc determine the sidelobe structure for distances greater than 30 nautical miles, three parameters were varied as signal strength was recorded.

(1) The angle from the nose of the aircraft to the ground receiver is defined as the <u>relative bearing</u> to the ground receiver. Angles chosen were 0° (over the nose), 30° , 90° , 120° (over the wing), 150° and 180° (over the tail).

(2) The angle of the aircraft antenna relative to the aircraft nose is defined as the <u>antenna azimuth</u>. For each given relative bearing, the antenna was rotated at a constant rate in azimuth. Each rotation provides one cut of the antenna pattern. Five rotations comprised each sample as defined by relative bearing and elevation.

(3) The aircraft <u>antenna elevation</u> was also set to a new value after azimuth rotation was completed at a given relative bearing. The elevation angle was changed and the azimuth rotation repeated.

Figure A-3 shows the antenna pattern measurements with a relative bearing of 90°. This produced the strongest signal and will be used as the standard pattern. Note how the sidelobes around the main beam diminish as the elevation is raised. In particular, note that except for the first sidelobe of the main beam, all sidelobes are from 0 dB1 (RHCP) to -25 dBi (RHCP) referenced to a circularly polarized isotropic source. The -25 dBi (RHCP) is the noise floor limit of the test aircraft. Other relative bearings have aircraft blockage, reducing the sidelobe gain below that of the 90° relative bearing plots.



ą

1

the second statistic on the second statistic to the second s

A polar plot of the estimated average of the 90° relative bearing data for different azimuths and elevations is shown in Figure A-4. This is a useful general summary of the gain relative to an isotropic source. AIRCRAFT_SHIELDING AT CLOSE DISTANCES

Any aircraft shielding of the SHF signal would be of great importance in reducing potential interference to a ground station. The amount of shielding experienced was investigated as a function of distance between the aircraft and ground site. This shielding was expected to vary according to whether the blockage was by the aircraft nose, wing, tail or fuselage. Therefore, three types of shielding tests were performed.

(1) Inbound overhead passes beginning 50 nautical miles away were flown to determine nose and fuselage blockage.

(2) Short overhead passes 10 nautical miles long, centered above the ground station were flown to refine fuselage and tail blockage.

(3) The effect of wing blockage and fuselage blockage off the side of the aircraft was investigated by flying the aircraft straight and level but offset from overhead of the station. The received signal strength was converted to isotropic gain by correcting for distance (different space loss) and the receive antenna pattern.

The first overhead pass was flown on 27 February 1975. The antenna was pointed over the aircraft nose toward the ground station. Antenna elevation was 45° The vertical profile of this flight path is shown in Figure A-5. The peak received signal strength for the overhead path is shown in Table A-i and the data points are plotted as dots in Figure A-6. Due to the multipath scalloping of the received signal strength, an envelope touching the peaks was used as the signal level for this and all other plots.



CRAPPERS', ACTURE WIN, J E. LANDER



and the second second

304

ANA MANY SUPPLY PROMINEN



FIG. A-6 A/C ANTENNA PATTER' - OVERHEAD, NOSE -ON AND TAIL-ON

	RESULTS			CORRECTION FACTORS		
DISTANCE (NM) HORIZONTAL/ SLANT RANGE	RAW SIGNAL LVL RECEIVED (dBm)	ANTENNA GAIN REL TO ISD- TROPIC (RHCP) (dBi)	FREE SI LOSS FREE SPACE LOSS	PACE S REL TO 50 NM	REC LVL REL TO THE PEAK OF THE MAIN BEAM - MAIN BEAM PEAK -50 dBm	
50/50	Main Beam -50	+32	150	0	0	
50/50	-80	+2	150	0	-30	
40/40	-77	+3	148	-2	-27	
35/35	-77	+2	147	-3	-27	
30/30	-79	-1.5	145.5	-4.5	-29	
25/25	-80	-4	143.8	-6.2	-30	
20/20	-85	-11	142	-8	-35	
15/15.07	-85	-13	139.5	-10.5	35	
10/10.11	-90	-21.5	136.5	-13.5	-40	
5/5.21	-90	-27.5	130.5	-19.5	-40	
overhead 1.47	-85	~35	118	-32	-35	

NOSE-ON OVERHEAD FLIGHT TEST DATA

TABLE A-1

Isotropic Gain - Main Beam Gain - Sidelobe Level Relative to Peak + a Distance Correction

CHART STREET, SAN DE LE CARDINE DE LE CA

ALL TROAM STORY

4

TLE MART THE OTHER OF

On 5 May 1975 an additional overhead pass was made with the aircraft antenna elevation at $+10^{\circ}$ This is plotted as triangles in Figure A-6.

To further define the aircraft fuselage shielding, the aircraft was flown directly overhead of the field intensity receiver set up at the FAA Seales RML-4 site near Jacksonville, Florida. Then a series of overhead passes were flown with the aircraft approaching from different directions. The aircraft antenna in these passes was at +10° elevation and pointed at the nose of the aircraft. This data is plotted as a field of x's with boundaries in Figure A-6. Note that when the tail of the aircraft is toward the ground receiver (aircraft antenna is still looking forward) the SHF signal is significantly blocked by the tail structure.

The offset passes were flown nominally at distances 2, 5 and 10 nautical miles offset from the ground station. The envelope of the peak signal received for a given offset run is plotted in Figure A-7. These were for the approaching portion of the offset run.

After passing abeam, the received signal dropped abruptly, similar to the "tail-on" portion of the overhead passes shown in Figure A-6. The gain during the departure side varied from -25 dBi to -50 dBi, and is not shown on Figure A-6.

The gain envelope will be conservatively taken to be the composite peak envelope of offset envelope gains. This is shown as the heavy line in Figure A-7.

CONCLUSIONS

The sidelobe structure varies from 0 dBi (RHCP) to at least -25 dBi (RHCP), except for the first sidelobes which are 12 to 15 dB below the main beam. As the elevation of the antenna is increased, all sidelobes

307

LANDAR & HATSHIDS LAND AND CALL OF STREET

NITER THE REPORT AND DESCRIPTION OF A SUPERIOR OF A SUPERIOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A



Figure A-7. Peak Signal Envelopes of Offset Passes

D-T Statistics
throughout a 360° of azimuth reduce to at least -25 dBi (RHCP). At other than 90° relative bearing the aircraft adds varying amounts of blockage to the sidelobe structure in the direction of the aircraft nose, tail and wings.

Major aircraft blockage began at 30 nautical miles for the "nose-on" case and about 8 to 10 nautical miles for the "off-the-side" case.

The absolute signal level received at the ground station remained relatively constant or dropped, even though the aircraft flew from 50 miles away to directly overhead. As shown in Table A-1, isolation increased (shielding) more rapidly than the distance effect dropped. Aircraft wings and tail provided large irregular shielding of -25 to -55 dBi during close-in flying.

At the beginning of the SHF Interference Study, an aircraft antenna gain of +1 to 0 dBi, based upon "aircraft-on-the-ground" measurements (Reference 15) was used to size the potential interference problem. The results of the "in-flight" aircraft antenna pattern test show that new aircraft antenna gain values should be used in any interference model. Figures A-8 and A-9 summarize the results of the antenna tests. Figure A-8 is the envelope of the peaks of the antenna gain curves for all relative bearings and all elevations. The antenna gain pattern is modeled as boresight, first sidelobe, and a series of three gain plateaus. In the boresight plane the main beam gain is 32 dBi (RHCP). The first sidelobe is 17 dBi (RHCP). From the first sidelobe to $\pm 80^{\circ}$ the sidelobe structure is about -1 dBi (RHCP). From $\pm 80^{\circ}$ to $\pm 120^{\circ}$ the sidelobe gain slopes to -8 dBi (RHCP). From $\pm 120^{\circ}$ to $\pm 180^{\circ}$ the sidelobe gain decreases

309

. 19 Miller, sauterburgh



FIGURE A-8 AIRBORNE ANTENNA RADIATION PATTERN ENVELOPE

and the second state of the second second

新台湾市场省省





1

. .

311

and the second of the second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second

iranî.

to -13 dBi (RHCP).

For the close-in and overhead case, the aircraft antenna gain envelope of peaks is shown in Figure A-9. This is the worst case gain to be expected as the aircraft flies overhead or nearby a terrestrial microwave station.

APPENDIX B

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS OF ASC-18 SHF SATCOM TERMINAL

GENERAL

The SHF SATCOM Set AN/ASC-18(V)(XA-1) consists of three groups.³² These groups and the types of modulation available are described in this Appendix. Baseline operating characteristics are also presented. COMMUNICATION TERMINAL GROUP, OW-72(V)(XA-1)/ASC-18

The Communication Terminal Group is composed of all the RF equipment in the AN/ASC-18 Satellite Communication Set with the exception of the antenna. The receiver equipment items are a pre-selector filter and low noise amplifier, two communications receivers, and a beacon receiver. The transmitter section consists of two exciters and a power amplifier. The group also contains a liquid heat exchanger with associated controls for cooling the power amplifier, an atomic frequency standard with an emergency battery power supply, and a test translator for direct input to output system testing. The operating characteristics of the terminal are given in Table B-1. The operation of the system is shown in the block diagram, Figure B-1, and is discussed briefly in the following paragraphs.

The transmitter accepts inputs of 70 or 700 MHz at 0 dBm and provides an output power up to 11 kW at the transmitter output throughout the 7.9 to 8.4 GHz frequency range. The signals from the two exciters are combined to provide simultaneous dual carrier transmission capability.

The power amplifier portion of the Transmitter Subsystem consists of a Traveling Wave Tube Intermediate Power Amplifier and a High Power Klystron Amplifier. The Klystron provides six preset 100 MHz channels selectable by a manual control on the tube.

TABLE B-1

COMMUNICATION TERMINAL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

GENERAL

Low Level Cabinet Dimensions Weight Power Input Requirements

Power Amplifier Cabinet (without heat exchanger) Dimensions

Weight

Power Input Requirements

TRANSMITTER

Frequency Range Power Level Range Exciter Inputs: Frequency Level Impedance Bandwidth (1 dB) Amplitude Response

Phase Linearity

RF Channels

Frequency Selection

Carrier Stability

60-1/2" H by 21" W by 25-1/2" D 350 pounds 115 VAC, 380 to 420 Hz, 1750 W; +28 VDC, 425 W

60-1/2" H by 27" W by 25-1/2" D 750 pounds 208 VAC, 3 phase, 4 wire, 380 to 420 Hz, 45 kW; +28 VDC, 250 W

7.9 to 8.4 GHz Adjustable from 0.25 to 11.00 kW

70 MHz	700 MHz
) <u>+</u> 1 dBm	0 <u>+</u> 1 dBm
50 Ohms	'50 Ohms
10 MHz	100 MHz

2.0 dB p-p over any 100 MHz portion of the Transmit Band

 ± 0.5 Radian over the central 80 MHz of any Klystron Channel

Continuously tunable in 10 Hz steps from 7.9 to 8.4 GHz

Front Panel direct r adout or Remote Digital Control

2 parts in 10¹¹ per month

FRAME ASSAULT THE MANDER OF THE STREET, MANDER OF THE STREET, MANDER OF THE STREET, MANDER OF THE STREET, MANDE

COMMUNICATION TERMINAL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

the Line of Line of the

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Frequency Range	7.25 to 7.75 GHz	
Input Level Range	-140 to -70 dBm	
Noise Temperature	Less than 200°K	
IF Outputs:	Lo Level Hi Level	_
Frequency	700 MHz 70 MHz 70 MHz	
Impedance	50 Ohms 50 Ohms 50 Ohms	
Level Range	-123 to -53 dBm -123 to -53 -60 to + dBm dBm	10
Bandwidth (1 dB)	100 MHz 40 MHz 40 MHz	
Amplitude Response	l.5 dB p-p over the central 80 MHz of the Receiver passband	
Phase Linearity	± 0.5 Radjan over the 100 MHz passband of the Receiver	
RF Channels	Continuously tunable in 10 Hz steps fro 7.25 to 7.75 GHz	m
Frequency Selection	Front Panel direct readout or Remote Digital Control	
Carrier Stability	2 parts in 10 ¹¹ per month	
BEACON RECEIVER		
Frequency Range	7.25 to 7.75 GHz	
Input Level Range	-140 to -110 dBm	
Modem/Tracking Receiver Output	;s:	
Frequency	0.5 MHz	
Impedance	50 Ohms	
Level Range	-70 to -40 dBm	
Bandwidth (3 dB)	120 kHz	
Amplitude Response	0.5 dB p-p over the central 20 kHz	
Doppler-Corrected Outputs:		
Nominal Frequency	5 MHz	
Correction Sense	Plus and minus doppler	
Impedance	50 Ohms	
Level	0.5 VRMS	

COMMUNICATION TERMINAL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

And the second s

A STATE STATE

BEACON RECEIVER (Continued) IF Outputs: 70 MHz Frequency 50 Ohms Impedance Level Range -123 to -53 dBm when used as a **Communication Receiver** Bandwidth 40 MHz Amplitude Response 3 dB p-p over 40 MHz of bandwidth Lock and Capture Range +10 kHz of doppler shift **RF** Channels Tunable in 10 kHz steps from 7.25 to 7.75 GHz Front Panel direct readout or Remote Frequency Selection Digital Control 2 parts in 10¹¹ per month Carrier Stability TEST_TRANSLATOR **RF** Input 7.9 to 8.4 GHz +10 to +30 dBm Level Impedance 50 Ohms 7.25 to 7.75 GHz RF Output -40 dBm or -80 dBm, switch selectable Level Impedance 50 Ohms Translation Frequency 720 MHz, phase-locked to frequency standard Amplitude Response +0.25 dB over any 100 MHz +0.1 Radian over any 100 MHz segment Phase Linearity FREQUENCY STANDARD Output: 100 kHz, 1 MHz, 5 MHz sinusoidal and Frequency

100 kHz clock drive

m weather when the second

COMMUNICATION TERMINAL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

فالداديمة

FREQUENCY STANDARD (Continued)	
Stability	Long Term: less than <u>+2</u> x 10 ⁻¹¹ per month
	Deviation Avg Time
	Less than 7×10^{-12} 1 sec
	Less than 2.2×10^{-12} 10 sec
	Less than 7×10^{-13} 100 sec
Levels	1 VRMS into 50 Ohms: clock drive 0.5 VRMS into 1000 Ohms

4

317

OT ALL ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION



Figure E-1 SHF Communications Terminal Group, Block Diagram

STREET, ST

and the second state of the second

,《王静·张吉怀能用他拉张拉拉第一个,在我就是你不是我的时候给你还要要要我回到了。""你…………

The exciter frequency is determined by an Integral Frequency Synthesizer that is continuously tunable in 10 Hz steps over the range of 7.9 to 8.4 GHz. The synthesizer frequency reference is derived from a Rubidium Atomic Frequency Standard. A frequency reference signal with doppler correction is provided by the Beacon Receiver.

The Receiver Subsystem contains a pre-selector filter, a low-noise parametric amplifier, and two communications receivers that operate from 7.25 to 7.75 GHz. Outputs from the receivers are at 70 MHz and 700 MHz, and the levels are compatible with satellite communication modems. Instantaneous 1 dB bandwidth for the Receiver Subsystem is 100 MHz at the 700 MHz output terminal, and 40 MHz at the 70 MHz output terminal. Each receiver has an Integral Frequency Synthesizer identical to the one in the exciter that is continuously tunable in 10 Hz steps over its operating range.

The Beacon Receiver Subsystem receives Beacon signals from the satellite and converts these signals to a 70 MHz IF, a 0.5 MHz modem and a 0.5 MHz tracking receiver output. The Beacon Receiver contains an Integral Frequency Synthesizer that is continuously tunable in 10 KHz steps over the 7.2 to 7.75 GHz range. The Beacon Receiver also supplies doppler corrected 5 MHz reference signals to the receiver and transmitter that may be used in place of the Atomic Frequency Standard.

The Test Translator is used to check the terminal in a back-to-back mode. It accepts a sample of the signal from the transmitter and translates it down by 720 MHz into the receive band. The sample is then applied to the receivers. The DSCS II satellite frequency translation, however, is 725 MHz for channels 1, 2 and 3 thus allowing the translator to perform

on-line checking of the terminal without interference with the satellite signal. This on-line check is in addition to normal RF back-to-back terminal maintenance and confidence checks. It should be noted that on-line translator operation during a mission which utilizes satellite cross channel 4 will not be possible due to this channel's lower translation frequency.

The Heat Exchanger Pump and Control Unit provides the fluid, power, regulation and control for the liquid cooling circuits in the SHF Power Amplifier. The air flow through the system results from either ram air or blown air. The ram air is for operation during flight and is supplied from side mounted air scoops. The blown air mode is used during terminal operation while on the ground and is supplied from the built-in blower system. ANTENNA CONTROL GROUP, OE-150(XA-1)/ASC-18 The SHF Airborne Antenna Control Group consists of a lightweight, high power, high gain, narrow beam antenna designed specifically for communications from an aircraft to a synchronous satellite. On board electronics are used to stabilize the antenna and keep it pointing at the satellite. The antenna is located within a low loss radome on the top midsection of the test aircraft. A block diagram of the Antenna Control Group is shown in Figure B-2. This system's characteristics are listed in Table B-2 and briefly discussed in the following paragraphs.

The antenna is a Cassegrain System composed of a 33-inch Parabolic Main Reflector and a six inch Hyperbolic Sub-Reflector. The system has a power handling capability of 12.5 kW without liquid or forced air cooling. This power handling capability was achieved by improving the thermal design of an existing airborne antenna system for better conduction, convection, and radiation cooling and by improving the RF efficiency to reduce the heat input.

BEACON RECEIVER SUBSYSTEM INTERFACE COMMUNICATION CONTROL GROUP **BEACON SIGNAL** TRANSMITTER RECEIVER Ŧ FROM COMPUTER EL A BEACON TRANSMITTER RF SIGNAL BEACON RCVR LOCK-ON RECEIVER RF SIGNAL DETECTED TRACKING SIGNAL BEACON DETECTOR LOCK-ON RADOME E ANTENNA PEDESTAL SERVO CONTROL UNIT START SEARCH CONTROL BCX DRIVE ц ΑZ AZ É NN N 긃 ACO SCAN GEN.

WARTHIN HUMBERSON

Mar all the state with the second

1

1

Figure B-2 Antenna Control Group Block Diagram

And an inter the second of the second of

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE

a state of the sta

321

211 mart a charter and the and the second states

TABLE B-2 ANTENNA CONTROL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

ANTENNA	
and the second se	

Frequency	7.25 - 8.4 GHz
Gain	33.2 dB at Tx, 34.3 dB at Rx
Polarization	Xmit RHCP, Rcv LHCP
Axial Ratio	1.0 dB
Beam Shape	Symmetrical
Sidelobes	-14 dB
VSWR	1.35
Losses	0.5 dB
Power Handling	12.5 kW CW
Isolation	20 dB
Antenna Noise Temperature	Less than 92°K (calculated from measured data at 83.6°K)

PEDESTAL

Mode of Operation: Manual

Computer

A PARTY OF THE PAR

Search/Track

Tracking Scan Frequency (nominal)

Two-speed 360 degree azimuth and -5 to +88 degree elevation positioning. Zero azimuth and elevation referenced to direction of flight.

360 degree azimuth and -5 to +88 degree elevation positioning as commanded from Computer D/A Converter.

Automatic raster scan search at 8° /sec maximum over a 20 x 20 degree window. A target (Satellite Beacon) signal of 34 dB (nominal) carrier-to-noise ratio in a 1 Hz bandwidth (C/N₀) initiates 0.5 x 0.5 degree track scan.

and the second second

1 Hz Elevation 0.5 Hz Azimuth

West Harrison at the state of the second

ANTENNA CONTROL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)

ITEM

CHARACTERISTICS

Radiation Hazard Cut-Off Subsystem Weight

Antenna

Portion below mounting plane (aircraft interior)

Limited Profile

Approximately 300 pounds (plus nitrogen unit)

13 inches maximum diameter

16 inches maximum length, excluding Electrical, Microwave and Nitrogen System interface connections

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

The antenna is housed in a radome located on the top midsection of the test aircraft's fuselage. The radome has very low loss characteristics for a structure with the rigidity required to resist deformation from the wind load stresses encountered. This low loss characteristic was accomplished by the use of a low density (honeycomb) dielectric material, bonded between thin, high density inner and outer skins. The signal attenuation at transmit frequencies is zero and about 1 dB at receive frequencies. This receive attenuation holds for all antenna bearings except when pointed toward the tail (azimuth 180 degrees) at near zero elevation angles where the attenuation increases 3 to 4 dB.

The antenna is mounted on an elevation over azimuth pedestal designed for continuous sky coverage from below horizon to nearly vertical with each axis being positioned by the use of direct drive DC torque motors.

Antenna pointing is accomplished by one of the following methods:

<u>Manual Mode</u> - The antenna is pointed by the operator through the use of azimuth and elevation slew switches which provide direct rate inputs to each axis of the pedestal's servo system. When "at rest" in this mode, the system is space stabilized by two rate gyros mounted on the back of the main reflector.

<u>Computer Mode</u> - The antenna is pointed by commands from the Communications Control Group (not part of the Antenna Control Group). This computer provides continuously updated pointing signals to the antenna pedestal's servo system based on inputs derived from the aircraft's navigation system and satellite ephemeris data.

<u>Active Track Mode</u> - The antenna continuously points at a satellite by locking on to the satellite beacon signal. The sequence of events to accomplish lock-on initially requires the antenna to be manually positioned as closely as possible. A spatial acquisition mode is then activated which provides an automatic raster scan of ± 10 degrees to aid in locating the satellite beacon. When energy is detected, the raster scan is inhibited, and a frequency search is initiated to phase lock the

system to this beacon signal. The system finally assumes an automatic bow-tie scan mode to maintain correct antenna pointing. This bow-tie scan resolves azimuth and elevation error by applying the error signals to the appropriate axis drive for corrective positioning.

COMMUNICATIONS CONTROL GROUP, OK-227(XA-1)/ASC-18

1

The OK-227 Communications Control Group incorporates a computer capability into the Antenna Tracking System to provide the communications set with added flexibility and operational capabilities. Acquisition of the satellites is significantly reduced in complexity and time by computer designation of the antenna pointing angles to the satellite based on aircraft location and orientation data, and satellite ephemeris data. The computer may also be used to supply doppler and range information to the terminal.

The computer capability permits operation with "silent" satellites and allows quick reacquisition with "active" beacon satellites in the event of loss of a beacon signal. In addition, computer aided acquisition and tracking becomes invaluable if a number of satellites are to be sequentially used to maintain communications. The handover operation, which normally required several minutes of coordinated effort by the operator and pilot, is accomplished in a few seconds by computer substitution of new satellite ephemeris data without requiring special operations or calculations by the operator or pilot. The computer-augmented system also permits the tracking of non-synchronous satellites where the satellite position (relative to the aircraft) rapidly changes, preventing the use of conventional manual designation, beacon signal acquisition and tracking techniques.

- 1 9 - The AMERICAN COME MADE . AND COMPARED AS

The Communications Control Group consists basically of a small computer with the required I/O devices and other miscellaneous peripheral equipment. A list of the equipment items (including some not technically within this system but closely associated with it) is given in Table B-3. A basic block diagram indicating the interrelationship as shown in Figure B-3, and a brief discussion of several of the more pertinent characteristics is given in the following paragraphs.

The Computer Pointing System (CPS) Control Panel, as illustrated by Figure B-4, provides selection of any one of three operating modes. The Computer Designate and Track Mode (CMPTR DES/TRK) operates the antenna in a "non-active track" configuration and can be used with silent satellites. A second mode (MAN DES ACTIVE TRK) is provided for use with active beacons and allows the antenna to operate without the computer by using the conventional raster search pattern, manual designate and active track processes. The third mode (CMPTR DES ACTIVE TRK) is a mixture of the previous two with computer designation of the target, followed by active beacon tracking. The difference between the true tracking angle (active track) and the computer tracking angle is indicated as degrees of traverse and elevation error by the two meters mounted on the panel. Other panel controls are provided for selection of the ephemeris data to be used from the four sets of data stored in computer memory, for the display of system condition and for the selection of a computer self-test mode.

The computer unit utilizes the data inputs from the other associated units to automatically designate the SHF antenna to within the prescribed limits of the selected satellite position. This is accomplished by computing instantaneous pointing angles to the satellite relative to the

326

STA MONTANIN

TABLE B-3

COMMUNICATION CONTROL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS

ITEM

ः <u>।</u>

CHARACTERISTICS

INPUTS	
Fine Altitude	Synchro from CPU-66/A-I
Azimuth	Synchro from INS
Pitch	Synchro from INS
Roll	Synchro from INS
Latitude	Serial digital from INS
Longitude	Serial digital from INS
Ground Speed	Serial digital from INS
Wander Angle	Serial digital from INS
Time of Day	Serial digital IRIG-B
Antenna Azimuth	Synchro from Antenna Control System
Antenna Elevation	Synchro from Antenna Control System
Program	High speed tape reader
<u>OUTPUTS</u>	
Antenna Azimuth Commands	Analog
Antenna Elevation Commands	Analog
Satellite Range	Analog and digital
Satellite Doppler Correction Signal	Analog and digital
INPUT/OUTPUT	
Satellite Ephemeris Data, Course	Teletype and Tape
Altitude, Diagnostic Data	Reader/Punch
Accuracy at end of 12 Hour Operation*	
Antenna Pointing Accuracy**	<u>+</u> 1.5°
Doppler Output Accuracy	<u>+</u> 300 Hz
Range Output Accuracy	<u>+</u> 1000 meters

327

COMMUNICATION CONTROL GROUP CHARACTERISTICS (Continued)

*Based on INS (LTN-51) 30 positional error 6.75 arc minutes per hour of flighttime.

******To be met under the following conditions:

Pitch Rate10 deg/secPitch Acceleration10 deg/sec/secRoll Rate10 deg/secRoll Acceleration5 deg/sec/secYaw Rate4 deg/secYaw Acceleration4 deg/sec/sec



5

1

÷

٦

1

ł

1

.

Figure B-3 Communications Control System Bleck Diagram

17 V

9 7 9 9 8 B

6**23**73, 292-.

1. 1. 1. 1. 14

/

Sila kapiya tes

 $\cdot'_{k!}$

٠,

Der Stander

يرو ور الار ال

• :44





Water Starte and Att Autor

antenna pedestal. These angles are a function of three separate conditions: satellite position, aircraft position and aircraft attitude. The following is a brief summary of the computations performed to determine these angles. The satellite and aircraft positions are converted to the same system of coordinates (geocentric). Corrections are inserted for aircraft attitude to provide a stable reference platform. When all variables have been compensated, the satellite coordinates relative to the airframe are determined, and the antenna pointing angles are computed.

The computer interface unit consists of registers, buffers, decoders and timing and control logic to handle the flow of data into and out of the computer. A front panel on the interface unit contains indicator lamps and switches used for monitoring certain system parameters. Additional monitoring indicators are located on the CPS control panel.

The teletypewriter set is used primarily to insert up to four sets of satellite ephemeris data into the computer memory via the interface unit. It punches a paper tape which then supplies the data to the computer at a relatively low speed. The teletypewriter is also used as a fault isolation and monitoring tool.

The synchro-to-digital converter receives azimuth and elevation position signals from the synchros on the antenna pedestal of the SHF antenna subsystem, attitude data from the aircraft's air data computer, and some of the INS inputs. It converts these analog signals to digital form and supplies the digital data, via the interface unit, to the computer for real-time use.

The inertial navigation system (not part of the Communications Control Group) is the prime source of aircraft flight motion data. Longitude,

Les man sha will be water to water

WGW MARCING IN SEASON

latitude, velocity, pitch, roll and heading data are fed into the computer, via the interface unit, in real-time.

MODULATIONS AVAILABLE

The ASC-18 transmitter can accept a variety of modulations. Four types were used for interference testing. These were:

- (1) Pseudo-noise Phase Shift Keyed modulation from the USC-28 Modem.
- (2) A frequency-hopping Multiple Frequency Shift Keying modulation from the Wideband Anti-Jam Modem, ASC-18(OM-42).
- (3) A narrowband frequency modulation from a Collins Radio FM Modem (965-R1).
- (4) An unmodulated carrier (CW) from any of several sources.

<u>USC-28</u> The AN/USC-28 PN Modem utilizes the band spreading nature of a high rate 40 megabit direct sequence pseudo-random noise to phase modulate a carrier. Combined within this are two link order wires, a data user and an address code for the specific user selected. The spectrum³³ is a $(\sin x/x)^2$ and is limited only by the bandpass of the transmitter.³⁴ This modulation was the primary test modulation.

<u>AN/ASC-18(OM-42) Wideband Anti-Jam Modem</u> - The modem's signal structure uses Reed-Solomon encoding with signaling by Multiple Frequency Shift Keying. The band spreading results from frequency hopping the carrier according to a selected code and address. This code may be a fixed or a pseudo-random code. Only the pseudo-random code hopping was used for the interference test. Since the hopping rate is only a little faster than the user data rate (19.2 Kbps maximum), the sidebands outside of the actual hopping bandwidth are relatively small. <u>Narrowband Frequency Modulation</u> - The Collins FM Modulator-Demodulator (965-R1) has twelve half-duplex FM channels, one of which may be used at a time. These channels span plus or minus 250 kHz from the center frequency of 70 MHz. Deviation is 10 kHz peak-to-peak for audio voice from 300 to 3000 Hz. This FM voice channel was used as an order wire during testing. <u>Continuo' 2 Wave Modulation (CW)</u> - The ASC-18 transmitter produced a SHF CW carrier when fed with a CW IF signal at either 700 MHz or 70 MHz. The IF CW source was one of the above modems in a CW mode or an appropriate frequency generator. All CW signals were of sufficient purity that residual modulation was not of concern.

APPENDIX C

ATTIC ANALYSIS PROGRAM

With the installation of any microwave communication system, a primary consider. ion must be to establish an operating environment which will create no more than a maximum permissible level of interference with co-channel users. Methods of establishing and maintaining such an environment for proposed or planned fixed terrestrial stations have been devised and are in accepted practice. However, until now, such procedures have not been implemented with proposed or planned airborne communication systems. Implementation of such procedures has previously excluded airborne communication systems because of the tremendous amount of analysis required of a system whose parameters vary in time and space. With the design and contruction of the computer program (ATTIC)*, the frequency manager or spectrum analyst now has access to a powerful tool which allows one to include both airborne and fixed terrestrial systems in analyzing an operational environment. Specifically, ATTIC has the ability to automatically compute and plot coordination areas around existing microwave systems when new airborne systems are proposed or planned.

A family of signal-to-interference ratio (S/I) contours plotted on a map with state boundaries is the primary output produced by ATTIC. The interference (I) is from a transmitter on an aircraft which flies near terrestrial microwave receivers; the signal (S) is the median received signal at each terrestrial receiver from its associated transmitter. The map represents the area over which the aircraft is allowed to fly with its transmitter in operation.

*Airborne Terminal to Terrestrial Terminal Interference Calculations

335

A MARCHINE MERTING AND AND THE REPORT OF THE AND

FRECEDING PAGE, BLANK-NOT FILMED

Figure C-1 illustrates the interference situation which ATTIC models. A general flow chart for this process is illustrated in Figure C-2. Assuming that the desired received signal of the terrestrial station does not change (as a result of fades, etc.) as the aircraft flies around the receiver, then the computed S/I values will depend on the aircraft geometry with respect to the receiver, the aircraft's antenna gain in the direction of the ground receiver (all other system variables are held constant). One would expect as the aircraft flies closer to the receiver, the received interference power will increase. Also, as the main beams of the aircraft antenna and the terrestrial receive antenna become more closely aligned, the received interference power will increase.

Every S/I contour map and its associated output comprises four distinct sections each of which is plotted on separate microfilm frames. The four sections are:

- Input and control information used during the interference analysis.
- (2) The resultant S/I contour map.
- (3) S/I area statistics from the S/I contour map.
- (4) Tabulated parameters of those receivers for which S/I calculations were made.

Each S/I contour map can be plotted for one or a number of user supplied values. Figure C-3 illustrates a typical composite S/I contour plot for a range of values that extend from 26 to 36 dB S/I in 2 dB intervals. A single contour for 28 dB S/I is illustrated in Figure C-4. It snould be noted that S/I "contour islands" of the same level occur at





Figure C-2. ATTIC Program Flowchart



1

1427 ji

The State of the Annual State of State of State State of State State of Sta

Figure C-3. Composite S/I Contours

339

WERE AND THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR



Figure C-4. Single S/I Contour

71-1-2

11. 45 EBS 44.4. Vena

two widely separated distances along the main beam path of the terrestrial receiver. The analysis may be tempted to perceive these discrete islands as one large "contour island." However, this would be a misconception as to the actual S/I levels encountered. Though not intuitively obvious, this "contour island" formation is merely caused by interaction of basic transmission loss in conjunction with path antenna gain. The "contour islands" furthest from the terrestrial receiver (the aircraft appears close to the terrestrial receiver's horizon and main beam path) correspond to high coupling through antenna patterns. At this distance the basic transmission loss varies quite slowly with change in slant range. As the aircraft starts to approach the terrestrial receiver along its main beam path, path antenna gain coupling drops dramatically with small angle changes from the antenna main beam while the basic transmission loss decreases only slightly. However, upon further approach to the terrestrial receiver, the path antenna gain becomes fairly constant but the basic transmission loss drops rapidly. Interference is high when the aircraft appears on the terrestrial receiver's horizon because it passes through the receiver's main antenna beam. Interference is again high when the aircraft is close to the terrestrial receiver only because of a large decrease in basic transmission loss. Therefore the "contour islands" are correct as they stand.

Figure C-3 and C-4 only consider a single microwave receiver. The program will also consider multiple receivers in the environment and can provide either a composite plot of all S/I values (see __gure C-5) as well as a single value.

341

water a second a second

A Work & Start

CONTRACTOR PROPERTY.

I OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF T





REFERENCES

- Mayher, R. and R. Parlow, "Recommended Interference Criteria for Microwave Equipments in the 7.9 - 8.4 GHz Band," Office of Telecommunication, March 1976.
- Kelly, M., "Probability of SHF SATCOM Interference to Point-to-Point Microwave Systems," IITRI/ECAC, Annapolis, Maryland, ECAC-PR-75-017, March 1975.
- Groot, P., "Analysis of SHF AIRSATCOM EARTH STATION Compatibility with Unique SHF Systems," IITRI/ECAC, Annapolis, Maryland, ECAC-PR-75-013, March 1975.
- 4. "SHF SATCOM Interference Ground Test Plan for TVA's McEwen, Tennessee Site," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 23 September 1974.
- 5. "SHF SATCOM Interference Ground Test TVA McEwen, Tennessee Site," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio 2-4 October 1974.
- 6. "Airborne Test Plan (TVA) SHF SATCOM Interference Study," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 18 February 1975.
- "SHF SATCOM Interference Study Flight Test at TVA's McEwen, Tennessee Site," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 3-7 March 1975.
- 8. "SHF SATCOM Interference Ground Test Plan for FAA's Jacksonville ARTCC and RAPCON Microwave Links," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 1 November 1974.
- "SHF SATCOM Interference Ground Test FAA's Jacksonville ARTCC and RAPCON Microwave Links," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 1 March 1975.
- Wasson, Major R., "SHF SATCOM Interference Flight Test Plan for FAA Terrestrial Microwave," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 1 March 1975.
- 11. "SHF SATCOM Interference Ground and Flight Test Plan for AEC NADS and CCTV Microwave Links," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 23 November 1974.
- 12. "SHF Interference Test Report AEC NADS, CCTV Nevada Test Range," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, 13-20 December 1974.

 Mayher, R., "The Analysis of Pseudo Noise, Noise and CW Interference to Multichannel FM Microwave Receivers," Office of Telecommunication, Annapolis, Maryland, April 1976.

1

ĩ

فيريسها فكميانه كيليك فيتكسف بالفادات المساقفان كم

- Mayher, R. and R. Parlow, "Spectrum Resource Assessment in the 7.25 -8.40 GHz Band," Office of Telecommunication, Annapolis, Maryland, Report No. 6/71-P2, September 1973.
- 15. International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR) XIIth Plenary Assembly, New Delhi, 1970, Vol IV, Part 2, page 128.
- 16. International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR) XIIth Plenary Assembly, New Delhi, 1970, Vol IV, Part 2, page 203.
- Bean, B. R. and E. J. Dutton, "Radio Meteorology," National Bureau of Standards, Central Radio Propagation Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, Monograph #92, 1 March 1966.
- 18. "Engineering Considerations for Microwave Communications Systems," Lenkurt Electric, San Carlos, California, June 1970.
- Pearson, K. W., "Method for the Prediction of Fading Performance of a Multisection Microwave Link," Proceedings of IEEE, Vol No. 112, No. 7, July 1955.
- Spaulding, A. D., L. L. Proctor, and A. F. Barghausen, "DSCS Airborne Earth Terminal Terrestrial Microwave Link Compatibility Measurements in the 7.25 - 8.40 GHz Band," Office of Telecommunication, Annapolis, Maryland, Tech Memo 73-143, June 1973.
- 21. "System Microwave Radio Repeater and Terminal Station Data," Tennessee Valley Authority, TVA Drawing LC92968, Sheet 9, undated.
- 22. Marihart, D. J. "Microwave System Design, Fade Problems and Solutions," Bonneville Power Administration, IEEE Power Engineering Society, Paper 7-72-510-6, February 1972.
- Fleck, R. "Procedures for Computing Separation Criteria and Off-Frequency Rejection in Electro Magnetic Compatibility Problems," Electromagnetic Compatibility Analysis Center, Annapolis, Maryland, ESD-TR-67-5, March 1967.
- 24. Federal Aviation Agency, "Instruction Book, Radar Microwave Link Systems," Type RML-4, Serial No. 1-40, Sertions 1-3, 27 June 1960.
- 25. Newell, A. C., "Performance of MSL Antenna," Office of Telecommunication (ITS), Boulder, Colorado, Ltr to FAA, 26 February 1975.






FAA STATIONS ONLY ATRBORNE SHE SATCOM TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY 8150 MHz SATELLITE POSITION 13° WEST (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -80 DBM BANDWIDTH = 15 MHz (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -64 DBM

FIGURE 101: ATTIC PLOT OF CONTOURS FOR 13° WEST SATELLITE - 8150 MHz

Š.















FAA STATIONS ONLY AIRBORNE SHE SATCOM TRANSMITTER FREQ SATELLITE POSITION 135° WEST (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -80 DBM BAND (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -64 DBM FIGURE 100: ATTIC PLOT OF CONTOURS FOR 135° WES1

FAA STATIONS ONLY AIRBORNE SHF SATCOM TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY 8150 MHz SATELLITE POSITION 135° WEST (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -80 dbm Bandwidth = 15 MHz (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -64 dbm

FIGURE 100: ATTIC PLUT OF CONTOURS FOR 135° WEST SATELLITE - 8150 MHz







FAA STATIONS ONLY Airborne SHF Satcom Transmitter Frequency 8240 MHz Satellite Position 13° West (ASR-) Signal Level = -80 dbm Bandwidth = 15 MHz (ASR-) Signal Level = -64 dbm

FIGURE 99: ATTIC PLOT OF CONTOURS FOR 13° WEST SATELLITE - 8240 MHZ

Ž

L













(





FAA STATIONS ONLY AIRBORNE SHF SATCOM TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY 8240 MHz SATELLITE POSITION 135° WEST (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL - -80 DBM BANDWIDTH = 15 MHz (ASR-) SIGNAL LEVEL = -64 DBM

FIGURE 98: ATTIC PLOT OF CONTOURS FOR 135° WEST SATELLITE -8240

17

- 26. Federal Aviation Agency, "RML-6 Performance Analysis," Section 11, unpublished, Office of Telecommunication Document, undated.
- 27. "User's Manual Quick Analysis of Radar Sites (QARS) Program," IBM Federal System Division, 3 September 1974.
- 28. Wheeler, J. K. and E. J. Haakinson, "Airborne Terminal to Terrestrial Terminal Interference Calculations," Office of Telecommunication, Inst for Telecommunication Sciences, Boulder, Colorado, unpublished report, undated.
- 29. "Goldstone Air Space Utilization," Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, Report No. 890-24, September 1972.
- 30. "Radiation Diagrams of Antennae for Earth Stations in the Sited Satellite Service for Use in Interference Studies, CCIR XIIth Plenary Assembly, Volume IV, Part 2, Report 391-1, Geneva, 1970.
- Swanson, R., Maj R. Wasson, and W. Fischbach, "SHF Aircraft Antenna Patterns," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, AFAL-TM-75-11-AAI, April 1975.
- Allison, K., J. Iwaniec, and T. Holmes, "Airborne SHF Satellite Terminal Test," Electronic Communications, Inc., St Petersburg, Florida, AFAL-TR-73-299, December 1973.
- Swanson, Roger L., "Expected Output Spectrum of the USC-28 Pseudo Noise Moder," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, A/I-TM-74-9, 16 July 1974.
- 34. Wasson, Major Robert and Roger L. Swanson, "ASC-18 SHF SATCOM Terminal Characteristics," Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL/AAI), WPAFB, Ohio, AAI-TM-74-8, 31 May 1974.



ú