AD-A955 994 ceny 152-DOC.LIB. Copy no. 37 NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND 02840 TRIGONCMETRIC SMOOTHING AND INTERPOLATION OF SAMPLED COMPLEX FUNCTIONS, VIA THE FFT by 21.32 Albert H. Nuttall - Technical Memorandum No. TC-94-71 19 April 1971 UNARROUNGED ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION This memorandum was prepared under Project No. A-041-00400, Sub-Project No. 2F XX 112 001, "Applications of Statistics? Communication Theory to Target Detection and Classification," Principal Investigator A. H. Nuttall, Code TCL. The sponsoring activity is Chief of Naval Materiel, Program Manager J. H. Huth. INTRODUCTION In a recent memorandum [1]. the use of the LAPT for trigonometric interpolation of sampled complex functions was presented. Here we consider trigonometric smoothing of samples of a complex function, followed by interpolation of this smoothed function. Such a technique is useful for smoothing random data, for example. As a by-product, a short-cut for finding stationary points of a real function of complex variables is presented in the Appendix. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

4 02.140

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Suppose complex waveform y(t) has been sampled at times Kat, $0 \le k \le N-1$, giving values

$$\left\{ y(K \text{ st}) \right\}_{o}^{N-1}$$
(1)

We wish to construct a smooth curve that approximates the sample values, according to a series of trigonometric functions. To be explicit, we assume that y(t) is periodic*, of period NAt, and we approximate it by low-order harmonics. That is, we choose a smoothed function of the form \underline{M}

$$\widetilde{y}(t) = \sum_{m=-\frac{M}{2}}^{2} C_m \exp(i 2\pi \frac{m}{N \Delta t} t), M < N, M \text{ even}, \qquad (2)$$

and choose the M + 1 complex constants $\{C_m\}$ such that the error between $\tilde{y}(t)$ and y(t) is minimized. Our measure of error is

$$E = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left| \tilde{y}(k \Delta t) - y(k \Delta t) \right|^2$$
(3)

Once the optimum coefficients $\{C_n\}$ have been obtained for minimum error, we wish to know (a) the sense in which smoothing is accomplished by (2), (b) how interpolated values of the smoothed function $\mathcal{G}(t)$ can be obtained from the samples $\{y(K \triangleleft t)\}_{o}^{N-1}$, and (c) the minimum error in (3).

PROBLEM SOLUTION

The analytical details for the solution of the problem posed here are very similar to those given in [1]. Accordingly, reference is made to appropriate sections of [1] in order to avoid re-derivations here.

Substituting (2) in (3), we have for the error,

$$E = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{m=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} C_m \exp(i2\pi m k/N) - y(k \Delta t) \right|^2.$$
(1)

*See [1], Sample Modifications section.



Partially differentiating E with respect to c_j^* (See Appendix for this shortcut) and setting it equal to zero, we obtain

ન્યું જ

•

. 1

1

; ·

i.

$$\sum_{m=-\frac{M}{2}}^{\frac{M}{2}} c_{m} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp(i2\pi(m-j)k/N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y(kat) \exp(-i2\pi jk/N), (5)$$

We define the FFT of sequence $\{y(k \circ t)\}_{j=1}^{N-1}$ as $\{Y_{j}\}_{0}^{N-1}$:

. .

$$Y_{j} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y(k \, \text{st}) \exp(-i 2\pi k j / N), \ 0 \le j \le N-1.$$
 (6)

Then (5) gives for the optimum coefficients for minimum error,

$$c_{j} = \frac{1}{N} \begin{cases} Y_{j}, & 0 \le j \le \frac{M}{2} \\ Y_{j+N}, -\frac{M}{2} \le j \le -1 \end{cases}.$$
 (7)

Equation (7) indicates that the lowest frequency components of the FFT of the sampled waveform should be used for the smoothed function $\tilde{y}(t)$ in (2).

SMOOTHING FUNCTION

To find the smoothed function $\tilde{y}(t)$, we substitute (7) in (2) and obtain [1, Appendix A]

$$\tilde{y}(t) \stackrel{i}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y(k \, \mathrm{at}) \, S_{NM}\left(\frac{t}{\mathrm{at}} - k\right), \tag{8}$$

where smoothing function S_{NM} is

$$S_{NM}(x) = \frac{\sin[(M+U\pi x/N]]}{N \sin[\pi x/N]}.$$
(9)

Equations (8) and (9) indicate the sense in which smoothing is accomplished. The smoothing function S_{NM} has the properties:

(a)
$$S_{NM}$$
 is real .

3

<u>_</u>4

(b)
$$S_{NM}(0) = \frac{M+1}{N}$$

(d)
$$S_{NM}\left(k\frac{N}{M+1}\right) = 0$$
 if $k \neq 0, \pm (M-1), \pm 2(M-1), \dots$

Properties (b) and (d) indicate that the smoothed function does not pass through the sample points (l), but rather performs a local weighted average over approximately N/(M + l) sample values. The smoothing function behaves as

$$S_{NM}(x) \cong \frac{\sin[(M+i)\pi x/N]}{\pi x} \text{ for } \left|\frac{\pi x}{N}\right| \ll 1, \tag{10}$$

which is a scaled sinc-function.

INTERPOLATION OF THE SMOOTHED FUNCTION

Now we investigate actual computation of smoothed function $\tilde{y}(t)$. Explicitly, suppose we wish to evaluate $\tilde{y}(t)$ at times

$$0, \frac{Nat}{L}, 2\frac{Nat}{L}, 3\frac{Nat}{L}, \dots,$$
(11)

where L is an integer larger than M (and larger than N if desired); there are no other restrictions on L. Substituting (7) in (2), and letting $t = \int \frac{NAT}{L}$, we find [1, Appendix B]

$$\widetilde{y}\left(\mathcal{L}^{(N \text{ at})}_{L} \stackrel{:}{\to} \frac{L}{N} \widetilde{y}_{\mathcal{I}}, 0 \leq \mathcal{L} \leq L-1,$$
(12)

where sequence $\{\gamma_{n}\}_{0}^{L-1}$ is the inverse FFT of sequence $\{\gamma_{n}\}_{0}^{L-1}$, which is obtained from sequence $\{\gamma_{j}\}_{0}^{N-1}$ according to

$$\tilde{Y}_{n} = \begin{cases} Y_{n}, & 0 \le n \le \frac{M}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{M}{2} + 1 \le n \le L - \frac{M}{2} - 1 \\ Y_{Nin-L}, & L - \frac{M}{2} \le n \le L - 1 \end{cases}$$
(13)

That is, sequence $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{M+1}$ is split into three parts; the first part, of length $\frac{M}{2}+1$, is saved intact; the second part, of length N-M-1, is discarded; the third part, of length $\frac{M}{2}$, is shifted to the end of the \tilde{Y}_n sequence.

We can therefore obtain values of the smoothed function as summarized below:

MINIMUM ERROR

The minimum value of error E is found by substituting (7) into (4). After some manipulations and employment of (6), there follows

$$E_{\min} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \frac{N}{2} + 1}^{N - \frac{M}{2} - 1} |Y_{x}|^{2}.$$
 (15)

That is, the minimum error is proportional to the discarded highfrequency components representing the original samples $\{y(k \downarrow b_0)$. This is consistent with the observations under (7) that only the lowest frequency components are used for $\{c_j\}$, and also with (13) where frequency components Υ_{AL+1} through Υ_{AL+1} were discarded.

COMMENTS

The sample modifications and comments in Ref. 1, pp. 6-8, are also relevant here and should be reviewed before application of the formulas given above.

Dr. A. H. Nuttall, Research Associate

ຸ 5

REFERENCES

[1]. A. H Nuttall, "Trigonometric Interpolation of Sampled Complex Functions, via the FFT", NUSC/NL Tech. Memo. No. 2020-217-70, 12 Nov. 1970.

ŝ.

1.

Sie &

۲

APPENDIX. STATIONARY POINTS OF A REAL FUNCTION OF COMPLEX VARIABLES

Man march allow Mr.

2 . 1

Consider the real function II of complex variables $\exists_j = X_j + iy_{j,j}$ $1 \le j \le N$:

$$H(x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = H\left(\frac{z_{1}+z_{1}^{*}}{2}, \frac{z_{1}-z_{1}^{*}}{12}, ..., \frac{z_{N}+z_{N}^{*}}{2}, \frac{z_{N}-z_{N}^{*}}{12}\right).$$
 (A1)

Define the real functions

2.3

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} H(x_{i}, y_{i}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = i H(x_{i}, y_{i}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} H(x_{i}, y_{i}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = H_{j}(x_{i}, y_{i}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N})$$

$$(A2)$$

The necessary conditions for a stationary point of H are

$$H(x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = 0 H_{j}(x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = 0 H_{j}(x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{N}, y_{N}) = 0$$
 (A3)

Eq. (A3) constitutes 2N real equations in 2N real unknowns.

Now consider the complex function G obtained from (A1) by replacing z_j by the complex variable u_j , and z_j^* by the complex variable v_j :

$$\mathcal{G}(u_{1}, v_{1}, ..., u_{N}, v_{N}) \equiv \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{1}-v_{1}}{12}, ..., \frac{u_{N}+v_{N}}{2}, \frac{u_{N}-v_{N}}{12}\right).$$
(A⁴)

Using notation similar to that defined in (A2), and <u>assuming G analytic</u>, we have $G(u_1, v_1, ..., u_N, v_N) = \int H\left(\frac{u_1 + v_1}{2}, \frac{u_1 - v_1}{i2}, ..., \frac{u_N + v_N}{2}, \frac{u_N - v_N}{i2}\right) \frac{1}{2}$

$$+ H_{j}\left(\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{1}-v_{1}}{12}, \dots, \frac{u_{N}+v_{N}}{2}, \frac{u_{N}-v_{N}}{12}\right)\frac{1}{12}, \quad (A5)$$

and
$$G_{ij}(u_{1}, v_{1}, ..., u_{N}, v_{N}) = {}_{j}H\left(\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{1}-v_{1}}{12}, \cdots, \frac{u_{N}+v_{N}}{2}, \frac{u_{N}-v_{N}}{12}\right)\frac{1}{2}$$

+ $H_{j}\left(\frac{u_{1}+v_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{1}-v_{1}}{12}, \dots, \frac{u_{N}+v_{N}}{2}, \frac{u_{N}-v_{N}}{12}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{12}\right)$ (A6)

, 6

31 2

> Tech. Memo. No. TC-94-71

> > *

ļ

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{j}\left(\mathbf{z}_{1},\mathbf{z}_{1}^{*},...,\mathbf{z}_{N},\mathbf{z}_{N}^{*}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{j} H\left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}+\mathbf{z}_{1}^{*}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}-\mathbf{z}_{1}^{*}}{12},...,\frac{\mathbf{z}_{N}+\mathbf{z}_{N}^{*}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{z}_{N}-\mathbf{z}_{N}}{12}\right) \\ &+ \mathbf{i} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{H}_{j}\left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}+\mathbf{z}_{1}^{*}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}-\mathbf{z}_{1}^{*}}{12},...,\frac{\mathbf{z}_{N}+\mathbf{z}_{N}^{*}}{2},\frac{\mathbf{z}_{N}-\mathbf{z}_{N}^{*}}{12}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

and

$${}_{j}G(z_{i},z_{i}^{*},...,z_{N},z_{N}^{*}) = G_{j}^{*}(z_{i},z_{i}^{*},...,z_{N},z_{N}^{*}), \qquad (A8)$$

since $_{j}H$ and H_{j} in (A7) take on real values. Therefore the necessary conditions in (A3) for a stationary point of H dictate that

$$G_{j}(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}, ..., z_{N}, z_{N}^{*}) = 0 + i0, 1 \le j \le N.$$
 (A9)

(The conditions (A3) also dictate that

$$\mathcal{G}(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}, ..., z_{N}, z_{N}^{*}) = 0 + i0, 1 \le j \le N;$$
 (A10)

however (AlO) follows from (A8) and (A9) and furnishes no new conditions.) Eq. (A9) constitutes N complex equations in N complex unknowns, and are often of simpler form than (A3).

A rigorous interpretation of (A9) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{j}} H\left(\frac{u_{1}+Y_{1}}{2}, \frac{u_{1}-Y_{1}}{i2}, ..., \frac{u_{N}+V_{N}}{2}, \frac{u_{N}-V_{N}}{i2}\right) = 0+i0, \ j \le j \le N.$$
(A11)

However, the following imprecise interpretation is easier to use:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{*}} H\left(\frac{z_{i}+z_{i}^{*}}{2}, \frac{z_{i}-z_{i}^{*}}{12}, \cdots, \frac{z_{N}+z_{N}^{*}}{2}, \frac{z_{N}-z_{N}^{*}}{12}\right) = 0+i0, \ 1 \le j \le N,$$
(A12)

where Z_j , $1 \le j \le N$, are considered fixed during the differentiation with respect to Z_j^* . (A12) is the main result of this appendix. Example:

$$H\left(\frac{2_{1}+2_{1}^{*}}{2},\frac{2_{1}-2_{1}^{*}}{12},...,\frac{2_{N}+2_{N}^{*}}{2},\frac{2_{N}-2_{N}^{*}}{12}\right) = \frac{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} Z_{k}M_{k-q}Z_{q}^{*}} = \frac{N_{um}}{D_{en}}.$$
 (A13)

. 7

Matrix $[M_{\kappa}]$ is Hermitian. Notice that a common complex scaling of every χ does not change the value of H.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^*} H\left(\frac{z_1 + z_1^*}{2}, \frac{z_1 - z_1^*}{i^2}, \dots, \frac{z_N + z_N^*}{2}, \frac{z_N - z_N^*}{i^2}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Den}\left(\sum_{n=1}^N z_n\right) - \operatorname{Num}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N z_k - \operatorname{Num}\left(A^{1/4}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Den}^2}$$
(A14)

From (Al2), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_k M_{k-j} = \frac{Den}{Num} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_n, 1 \le j \le N.$$
 (A15)

Since complex scaling is irrelevant, and the right-hand side of (A15) is a constant, set

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k} M_{k-j} = 1, \ 1 \le j \le N.$$
 (A16)

Then

$$\max\left\{\frac{N_{um}}{Den}\right\} = \frac{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{q=1}^{N} Z_{q}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{n}, \qquad (A17)$$

where $\{z_n\}_{i=1}^{N}$ follow from (A16). Alternately,

2 5

$$\max\left\{\frac{N_{llm}}{Den}\right\} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} -m_{jk}, \qquad (A18)$$

where $m_{j\kappa}$ is the j, k-th element of the inverse of matrix $[M_{\kappa_{\tau j}}]$.