

AD-A954 321

~~TOP SECRET~~
~~UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(1)



WATERTOWN ARSENAL
LABORATORY

AT 1-126237

WAL 710/610

MEMORANDUM REPORT

NO. WAL 710/610

Effect of Quilting upon the Resistance to
Perforation of Fiber Glass ECC-11-162

DTIC FILE COPY

BY

J. F. SULLIVAN
Jr. Engineer

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 7 1984
A

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT
IS NOT TO BE RELEASED WITHOUT PRIOR
APPROVAL OF COMMANDING OFFICER
WATERTOWN ARSENAL, WATERTOWN 72, MASS.

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

DATE 20 April 1944

WATERTOWN ARSENAL
WATERTOWN, MASS.

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

84 10 22 058

UNCLASSIFIED

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Watertown Arsenal Laboratory

Memorandum Report No. WAL 710/810

First Partial Report on Problem B-8.9

20 April 1944

Effect of Quilting upon the Resistance to

Perforation of Fiber Glass ECC-11-162

1. In response to a request from the Office, Chief of Ordnance,¹ ballistic tests have been conducted at this arsenal on fiber glass ECC-11-162 cloth submitted by Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation.

2. The results of these tests indicate that, on the basis of resistance to perforation per unit weight, this material compares well with 17-1/2 oz. nylon duck under impact of standard cal. .45 ball ammunition but is inferior to that material under impact of the cal. .22 fragment simulating projectile, G-2. Various intervals of quilting and various combinations of this material with nylon duck failed to vary these results significantly.

3. In previous programs of testing prospective body armor components, impacts have been made with standard cal. .45 ball ammunition and with projectiles G-1-A (cal. .30, 150 grains), G-1-S (cal. .30, 34 grains) and G-2 (cal. .22, 17 grains) developed at this arsenal.² It is now felt that in the study of materials equivalent in weight per unit area to .050" or less of steel, firing should be restricted to that of cal. .45 ball ammunition and projectile G-2, since these two types probably reproduce the two extremes of service impact which such materials may reasonably be expected to withstand. Thus tests of this material have been so restricted. A summary of the results of these tests appears in Table I.

¹ O.O. 400.112/12514(e) - Wtn. 400.112/3061(c) dated 6 April 1944.

² WAL Memorandum Rpt. No. 762/247(c) - "Development of Projectiles to Be Used in Testing Body Armor to Simulate Flak and 20 mm. HE Fragment", 17 Dec. 1943.

WAL Memorandum Rpt. No. 762/253(c) - "Development of Projectiles to Be Used in Testing Body Armor to Simulate Flak and 20 mm. HE Fragment", 7 Jan. 1944.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

4. Several combinations of layers of fiber glass and nylon duck, approximately equivalent in weight per unit area to Hadfield steel now being used in body armor assemblies, were tested. No combination attempted exhibited resistance characteristics superior to an equivalent weight of 17½ ounce nylon duck and as might be anticipated from such results combinations employing the larger numbers of nylon duck layers showed better resistance characteristics.

5. In an attempt to disclose the effects of various intervals of quilting a constant combination of fiber glass and nylon duck was tested in several samples which varied only as to the distance between the rows of stitching. No appreciable variation in results developed and it was decided that the interval of quilting was not critical and even an absence of quilting would probably not produce deleterious effects upon the ballistic resistance of this material.

6. As compared with the steel currently used as a body armor component (Hadfield manganese steel) this material, as well as other fabrics tested here, offers considerably less resistance to perforation by either type of projectile. Of the fabrics tested here, this material is inferior to 17½ ounce nylon duck, but superior to #8 cotton duck and to various samples of nylon and glass beltings.

7. The subject material tended to leave a slight impression on the jackets of standard cal. .45 ball ammunition which was not left by other fabrics tested. There is some reason to suspect, therefore, that the same basic substance in a form and texture similar to that of 17½ ounce nylon duck might offer superior resistance. In its present form, however, further consideration of this material as an armoring material is to be discouraged.

APPROVED:

N. A. Matthews
N. A. MATTHEWS
Major, Ord. Dept.
Chief, Armor Section

J. F. Sullivan
J. F. Sullivan
Jr. Engineer

Accounting	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proc. Work	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dist. TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or Special
<i>A-1</i>	

TABLE I

Summary of Ballistic Tests Conducted at Watertown Arsenal on

Various Assemblies of Fiber Glass ECC-11-162

<u>Test Sample</u>	<u>Quilting Interval</u>	<u>Equivalent Steel Gauge</u>	<u>Ballistic Limit a-2 (Cal. .22, 17 Grains) Standard Cal. .45 Ball</u>
<u>Tightly Stretched on Rigid Wooden Frame - Back Unsupported:</u>			
21 plies fiber glass plus 1 ply nylon duck	8"	.045"	1130
"	4"	.045"	1148
"	1"	.045"	1173
"	1/2"	.045"	1138
23 plies fiber glass	2"	.045"	--
17 plies fiber glass plus 3 plies nylon duck	2"	.045"	1208
23 plies fiber glass plus 1 ply nylon duck	2"	.049"	1290
21 plies fiber glass plus 3 plies nylon duck	2"	.053"	1335
12 plies nylon duck	11"	.044"	1360
Hadfield Manganese Steel (Average)	-	.044"	1650
23 plies fiber glass	2"	.045"	1189
23 plies fiber glass plus 1 ply nylon duck	2"	.049"	1238
11 plies nylon duck	11"	.040"	1360