To To The second second

SUPPLEMENT A

(Supplement to Interim Report, "Attitudes of Fighters and Non-Fighters Toward the Combat Performance of Infantry Squad Leaders, by Morris Showel. October 1954.)

UNITE COOPY

Human Research Unit No. 2 Office, Chief of Army Field Forces Fort Ord, California October 1954



.....

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Sublitia)	······	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
ATTITUDES OF FIGHTERS AND NO		Staff Memorandum
COMBAT PERFORMANCE OF INFANT	RY SQUAD LEADERS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(.)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Morris Showel		DA 44-109-qm-650
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Human Resources Research Org 300 North Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314	anization (HumRRO)	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	55	12. REPORT DATE October 1954
Department of the Army		13 NUMBER OF PAGES
- MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	(different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	• • • • • •	Unclassified
		1
Approved for public release;	distribution unlimite	
Approved for public release;	distribution unlimite] ed.
Approved for public release;	distribution unlimite] ed.
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	distribution unlimite] ed.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	distribution unlimite entered in Block 20, 11 different fro	ed.

- 4-1

۰,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

48

	BETWEEN A SQUAD LEADER'S RATING NCY OF PERFORMANCE 1
TABLE I.	Correlations between a Squad Leader's Rating and the Frequency with Which He Performed Various Behaviors in the Control Activities Area When Setting Up a Defensive Position and When in a Fire Fight as Reported by Fighters and Non- Fighters
TABLE II.	Correlations between a Squad Leader's Rating and the Frequency with Which He Performed Various Behaviors in the Intermediary Activities Area When Setting Up a Defensive Position and When in a Fire Fight as Reported by Fighters and Non-Fighters
TABLE III.	Correlations between a Squad Leader's Rating and the Frequency with Which He Performed Various Behaviors in the Inter- personal Activities Area When Setting Up a Defensive Position and When in a Fire Fight as Reported by Fighters and Non- Fighters
TABLE IV.	Correlations between a Squad Leader's Rating and the Frequency with Which He Performed Various Behaviors in the Tactical Activities Area When Setting Up a Defensive Position and When in a Fire- Fight as Reported by Fighters and Non- Fighters
Accession For	7
NTIS GRA&I	
DTIC TAB	
Justification	
- fot aru	X

 NTIS GRA&I

 DTIC TAB

 Unannounced

 Justification

 Justification

 By

 Distribution

 Availability Codes

 Availability Codes

 Availability Special

 i

 I

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN A SQUAD LEADER'S RATING AND HIS FREQUENCY OF PERFORMANCE

Tables I, II, III, and IV indicate the correlation between a squad leader's rating and the frequency with which he was reported to have performed various behaviors while setting up a defensive position and while in a fire fight. Correlations are reported by activity area and by calibre of performance of the subjects.

Tetrachoric correlation coefficients were computed (using tetrachoric tables) to measure the relation between a squad leader's rating and the frequency with which he was reported to have performed the various behaviors.

Squad leaders' ratings were made on the following five point scale: "way above average, " "a little above average, " "about average, " "a little below average, " and "way below average." For purposes of analysis, these ratings were divided into two groups. Squad leaders rated as being way above average or a little above average have been designated GOCD. The remaining squad leaders have been designated PCCR.

Frequency of performance was indicated on the following five point scale: "never," "seldom," "about half the time," "usually," and "always." For purposes of analysis frequency of performance was divided into two groups at the median. The median cutting point varied from behavior to behavior, but the cutting point was constant for both subject groups on a given behavior:

Positive correlations indicate that the behavior was more characteristic of good than it was of poor leaders. Negative correlations indicate the behavior was more characteristic of poor than it was of good leaders.

The identification in the text of a behavior as being "characteristic," "typical." or the "mark of a GOOD or POOR squad leader" is based on the above tetrachoric correlations coefficients.

TABLE I

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN A SQUAD LEADER'S RATING AND THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH HE PERFORMED VARIOUS BEHAVIORS IN THE CONTROL ACTIVITIES AREA WHEN SETTING UP A DE-FENSIVE POSITION AND WHEN IN A FIRE FIGHT AS REPORTED BY FIGHTERS AND NON-FIGHTERS

	Situation	Con	nbat P	erform	ance
Behavior Wording	and Item Number	Figh	ters 1	Non- Fighte	,
	$s^3 F^4$	r ⁵	р ⁶	r	р
gave his orders in a firm, con-	S- 1	.71	. 001	.20	
fident manner, showing he knew what he was talking a- bout and meant what he said.	F-30	.62	.901	.36	.01
made sure that the orders he	S- 56	.50	.001	.38	.01
gave to his men were clearly understood by the men.	F- 12	.40	.001	.31	. 05
when giving orders to men in his	s - 50	.35	.01	.32	.05
squad, gave them to specific people. He would say "John and Bill clear a field of fire for the machine gun," instead of sayin "Two of you men clear a field fire for the machine gun."	g,	ncluded	ι.		
threatened his men with extra	S- 15	23		23	
details, courts-martial or a "kick in the butt" if they wouldn't do what he told them.*	F- 46	19		36	.01
cussed at his men when he gave	S- 53	25		32	. 05
them an order.	F-17	22		-,82	. 001
checked to see that the orders he		.30	-		. 01
gave his men were carried out promptly and properly.	F- 35	.43	.001	.33	. 05
complimented his men when they	r S- 44	.41	.001	.09	
did a good job and "chewed his men out" when they "fouled up.	F - 53	.33	. 91	. 17	

 ${}^{1}N = 152$. ${}^{2}N = 123$. ${}^{3}S =$ when setting up a defensive position. ${}^{4}F =$ when in a fire fight. ${}^{5}r =$ tetrechoric correlation coefficient. ${}^{5}P =$ probability level or r. *Behavior worded slightly different for the fire fight situation.

	·····			
Situation	Co	mbat Per		
and Item	Figh	nters	Non	
Number			Fight	ers
SF	·r	р	r	р
S- 26	. 38	. 001	. 31	. 05
-				.05
				•••
S- 57	.38	.001	.38	.01
	.40	.001	.36	.01
			-	
it F - 6	. 03		. 14	
S- 8	.36	.001	.13	
F-Not in	cluded.	•		
ok S-3	.40	.001	.45	.001
		. 91	.43	.001
			.09	
	-,31	.01	. 11	
	Number S F S = 26 F = 60 S = 57 ed F = 40 an S = 46 an S = 46 an F = 6 S = 8 F = Not in - ook S = 3 F = 56 S = 27	and Item Figh Number F S F r S-26 .38 F-60 .30 S-57 .38 ed F-40 .40 an S-46 .11 st F-6 .03 S-8 .36 F-Not included. - .40 S-8 .36 F-Not included. - .36 S-27 .40	and Item Fighters Number r p S F r p S-26 .38 .001 F-60 .30 .05 S-57 .38 .001 ed $F-40$.40 .001 an S-46 .11 od $F-6$.03 .01 S-8 .36 .001 F-Not included. - - .40 .001 .5-27 .40 .001	and Item NumberFightersNon FightSFrprS-26.38.001.31F-60.30.05.30S-57.38.001.38edF-40.40.001.36anS-46.11.02anS-46.11.02anS-46.11.02anS-6.03.14S-8.36.001.13F-Not included.S-7.40.001S-2740.001.09

.

TABLE I concluded

3

TABLE II

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN A SQUAD LEADER'S RATING AND THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH HE PERFORMED VARIOUS BEHAVIORS IN THE INTERMEDIARY ACTIVITIES AREA WHEN SETTING UP A DEFENSIVE POSITION AND WHEN IN A FIRE FIGHT AS REPORTED BY FIGHTERS AND NON-FIGHTERS

	Situation	Com	bat Pe	erform	ance
	and Item	Fighte	rs ¹	Nor	n- ²
Behavior Wording	Number			Figh	ters
	s ³ F ⁴	r ⁵	p ^{6.}	r	р
questioned his platoon sergeant	S- 32	.47	.001	.20	
or platoon leader when he did not understand an order he wa given or wasn't sure how it wa to be carried out.	.8	.35	.01	.28	• .
spoke to his platoon sergeant or	s - 39	.49	.001	.18	
platoon leader when he felt that the order they had given him could not be carried out, or could not be carried out in the way they wanted the order carried out.	F- 18	.59	. 901	.67	.001
criticized his superiors (platoon			.001	27	
sergeant or platoon leader) where the men in his squad could hear him.	F- 51	22		.10	
"whined, " "griped, " or argued	S-23	20		40	.01
when he received orders from his platoon sergeant or platoon leader.		25		'77	.001
when he received an order from	n S-20	25		09	
his platoon sergeant or platoo leader, passed the order along to his men as if it was his own order. Said, "Let's set the BA gun over there," instead of sa ing, "The platoon sergeant wa us to set the BAR over there.	g AR Ay- nts	.28	.05	10	
found out all he could about the future plans of the squad, pla- toon, and company he was in.	S-25 F-Not :	.58 ncluded	.001	.40	.01

¹N=152. ²N=123. ⁵S=when setting up a defensive position. ⁴F=when in a fire fight. ⁵r=tetrechoric correlation coefficient. ⁶p=probability level of r. *Behavior worded slightly different for the fire fight situation.

TABLE III

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN A SQUAD LEADER'S RATING AND THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH HE PERFORMED VARIOUS BEHAVIORS IN THE INTERPERSONAL ACTIVITIES AREA WHEN SETTING UP A DEFENSIVE POSITION AND WHEN IN A FIRE FIGHT AS REPORTED BY FIGHTERS AND NON-FIGHTERS

	Situation		mbat P		
	and Item	Fighter	's ¹	No	n^2
Behavior Wording	Number			Figh	iters
	s ³ F ⁴	• r ⁵	p ⁶	r	p
had a friendly word and a	S- 40	.55	.001	.35	.01
smile for every man in his squad	s F-Not	included	•		
tried to keep his men cheer-	S- 51	.64	.001	.26	
ful and in good humor	F- Not	included	•		
shared his cigarettes with th	ie S-47	.48	.001	.42	.001
men in his squad.	F- Not	included			
neglected to tell his men all	S- 19	40	.001	20	
he knew about the future pl	ans F-Not	included	•		
of the squad, platoon, and					
company they were in.					
					······
$^{1}N_{=}152$. $^{2}N_{=}123$. $^{3}S_{=}$ when	n setting un	a defensio	ve nosi	tion	4 Frwhen
in a fire fight. ⁵ r=tetrechor	ic correlatio	n coeffic	ient. 6	$p_{p=pro}$	bability

level of r.

Ņ,

TA	BLF IV	~~	
CORRELATIONS BETWEEN FREQUENCY WITH WHICH IN THE TACTICAL ACTIVIT DEFENSIVE POSITION AND EY FIGHTERS	HE PERFORM NES AREA WI WHEN IN A H	NED VARIOUS HEN SETTING MIRE FIGHT .	5 BEHAVICRS 5 UP A
	Situation	Combat 1	Performance
Behavior Wording	and Item Number	Fighters	Non- ² Fighters
	S ³ F ⁴	r ⁵ p ⁶	
Communication			-
found out all he could about to position, strength, and act ties of the enemy, and also found out all he could about position, strength, and act ties of neighboring friendly told his men all he knew abo the position, strength, and activities of the enemy, an also told them all he knew the position, strength and activities of neighboring friendly troops.	ivi- F-Not in the ivi- troops. ut S- 7 F- Not i d about	.28 .05	.21 .28 .05 30 .05
 could about the location the squad was in (hill no., town etc.) and the best route to the platoon and company C aid station, ration and amm dump. told his men all he knew abo the location the squad was (hill no., or town) and the best route to the platoon am company CPs, aid station, ration and ammo dump, etc. 	F-Noti n, Ps, no ut S-13 in F-Noti nd	ncluded.	
$1_{N_{z}}$ 152. $2_{N_{z}}$ 123. $3_{S_{z}}$ will when in a fire fight. $5_{r_{z}}$ tet	nen setting up rechoric corr avior worded avior worded	elation coeffi slightly diffe in the opposit	cient. ⁶ pz rent for the

- (

ŝ

TABLE IV continued				
	Situation	Combat	Perform	nance
	and Item	Fighters	Non	-
Behavior Wording	Number		Fighte	rs
	SF	rlp	r	'p
found out all he could about what the challenges, pass words and special signals were for the day. forgot to tell his men all he	S- 55 F- Not ir S- 31	• • • • •	. 35	.01
knew about the pass word, challenges and special sig- nals of the day.	F- Not in	ncluded.		
instructed his men to pass any	S- 49	.57 .001	.38	.01
information they had (about the enemy, friendly units, terrain, etc.) to him.	F-Not in	cluded.		
passed any information he had	S- 43	.59 .001	. 12	
(about the squad, the enemy, friendly units, terrain, etc.) along to his platoon sergeant or platoon leader.*	F- 55	.51 .001	.30	.05
Use of Weapons and Equipment				
set up his riflemen where they	s- 6	.67 .001	.60	.001
would do the	F- 4	.45 .001	.26	
most good.	a 10	4.5 0.03	00	
set up the BAR in a poor posi-			09	
tion-a place where it could do little good.	F- 57	49 .001	-,11	
set up his machine guns	S-35	.61 .001	.20	
where they would do the mos good.	t F-15	.69 .001	.55	.001
set up his recoilless rifles an	d S-54	.35 .05	.01	
rocket launchers where they would do the most good.		.60 .001	.71	.001
set up barbed wire where it	S- 12 E Not i	.42 .001 included.	.49	.001
would do the most good. had booby traps, trip flares, and trip grenades placed where they would do the most	S- 30 F- Not i	.48 .001 included.	.40	.01
good. directed mortar and artillery		included.		
fire <u>correctly</u> .	F- 44		01	

TABLE IV Continued				
	Situation	Combat	Perform	ance
Behavior Wording	and Item	Fighters	Non-	i
	Number		Fighte	rs
	SF	r p	r	р
had trouble reading a map or	S- 60	41 .001	17	
using a compass correctly		included.		
set up his field radio or field		.51 .001		.05
telephone fast and correctly	F- 2	.68 .001	.20	
Deployment of Men in a Fire Fig	ht			
put his men in the best possible		included		
formation when moving up in the	e F-27	.54 .001	09	
attack or assault	S Not :	included.		l
put his men in the best possible formation when trying to knock		.59 .001	. 19	
out an enemy automatic weapon	1 - 50	• • • • • • • • • • •	,	1
or enemy bunker. put his men in a poor formation	S- Nat i	included		
for knocking out enemy		37 .01	06	
snipers.	-			
put his men in the best possible		included.		Ì
formation for throwing back an enemy attack.	F- 9	.62 .001	. 12	
organized his squad into a de-		included.		
fensive position (perimeter) as	F-13	.59 .001	. 14	Í
soon as the squad had secured its mission in the attack.				ĺ
its mission in the attack.				
told his men where to move,		included.		
when to move, and how	F- 7	.38 .001	.26	
to move.	S- Not	included		
kept his men moving forward, particularly when they were be-			.47	.001
ing shelled by mortars or artill			• - ·	
assigned men to act as security of		included.		Ì
the squad's flanks and to its	F- 16	.51 .00	.08	ļ
front and rear.				
saw to it that men on key-positio		.36.01	.24	
or on key-weapons who were wounded or killed were replace		.50 .01	. 64	
saw to it that the weapons, ammu		included		
nition, and equipment of the	F-43	.38 .01	. 02	i
wounded or killed were distribut	ited			
among the remaining men.	· · ·		~ ^	
tried to establish contact with		.35 .01		
neighboring friendly units, on the		.57 .00	1.16	
squad's flanks and to its rear.*		•		'

٠í

TABLE IV continued	Situation	Combat Pe	erformance .
	and Item	Fighters	Non-
Eehavior Wording	Number	-	Fighters
	SF	r p	r p
Fire Control in a Fire Fight			
pointed out sector (area) targets	S- Not in	ncluded.	
and specific targets to his men.	F- 33	.62 .001	03
took it for granted that all his	S- Not i		
men were firing their weapons, without checking to see if they really were.	F- 19	25	41 .01
told his men when to fire and how	S- Not i	ncluded.	
often to fire (exercised fire control).	F- 45	.23	.10
made sure that excessive tracer	S- Not i		
ammunition was not used, par- ticularly by the automatic weapo		.43 .001	.13
made sure that his crew-served	S- Not i	ncluded.	
weapons were moved to alternate firing and supplementary firing positions whenever it was neces sary and possible to do so.		.52 .001	.04
requested fire from supporting	S- Not i	ncluded.	
weapons and requested help from neighboring friendly units when he thought it was necessary	F- 59 y.	.55 .001	.06
Setting up a Defensive Position			
found out from the platoon ser- geant or platoon leader the gen- eral area where his squad should set up.		.41 ,001 included.	.23
examined whatever maps he had a looked the terrain over to see where bunkers should be built and weapons set up.		.44 .001 included.	. 12
tried to put his squad in such a position that its field of fire and observation overlapped with those of neighboring units and that in such a position supply routes were available.		.45 .001 included.	.35 .01

TABLE IV continued

9

~1

TAPLE IV continued		•		
	Situation	Combat Pe	rforma	nce
Behavior Wording	and Item Number	Fighters	Nor Fight	- 1
	S.F	r p	r	P
tried to pick positions for each of his men so that their fields of fir and observation overlapped, so that they could communicate with one another, and so that special protection was given crew-servic weapons.	e F- Not in	.68 .001 acluded.	.45	. 501
let his men pick their spots to build their foxholes or bunkers- didn't tell them where to dig-in.	S- 29 F- Not in	52 .001 acluded.	08	
assigned alternate and supplemen- tary positions for his men.	S- 17 F- Not in	.38 .001 ncluded.	.18	
set up listening posts and ob- servation posts and assigned men to them.	S- 10 F- Not in	.40 .001 ncluded.	.10	
made sure that one of the first things his men did was to set up their weapons.	S- 22 F- Not in	.41 .001 included	.29	.05
made sure that all of his men dug-in.	S- 52 F- Not ir	.57 .001 included.	.45	.001
did what he could to have enemy approaches covered with mines, booby traps, trip flares and barbed wire fence.		.49 .001 ncluded.	.10	
prepared sketches (maps) of the squad's position and its fire plan, and gave one sketch to his platoon sergeant or platoon leade	S- 4 F- Not in er.	.33 .01 ncluded.	.30	.05
Concern For Men				
knew where every man in	F- 29 S- 16	.48 .001	.18 .23	.001
gun emplacements for cover, concealment, fields of fire, fields of observation, as well as for supply route.**	F- 34	62 .001	01	

TAPLE IV continued

TABLE IV continued					
	Situation	Com	bat Pe	rforma	ince
	and Item	Fighte	rs	No	
Behavior Wording	Number			Figh	ters !
	SF	r	р	r	<u>P i</u>
made sure that his men did noth-	S- 45	.29	. 05	.20	:
ing that would attract enemy	F- 50	.48	. 901	. 09	i
fire, such as bunch-up, fire					1
their weapons when there was no target, smoke where it could	a				
be seen by the enemy, etc.*	4				
saw to it that men who were in-	S- 37	.68	.001	.28	Í
jured or sick were either given	F- 58	.46	.091	.30	. 05
first aid or were taken to the					1
aid station or hospital.* encouraged his men to talk	S- Not	included			é t
it up	F- 25		.001	.36	.01
neglected to rescue wounded mer	S-Not	included	•		
if it meant exposing himself	F- 3	39	.001	17	
to enemy fire.	C Not	included			1
remained in a forward position covering his men until his men		.39		20	į
could pull back.		•••	• • -	•••	
_					
assigned inexperienced (new) me		.40	.001		.01
to bunkers with combat veteran or to places where combat vet-		.51	,001	.32	. 05
erans could watch and help the					
placed men who he thought might		.37	.001		
bug-out in places where he or	F- 5	,50	.001	10	
other combat veterans could					
watch them.*					
Initiative					
had to be told by his superiors		included		22	
exactly how to do something, right down to the last detail.	£ - 42	46	,001	ίί	
took charge of the platoon or		included .42		.09	
section when the platoon ser- geant or platoon leader was	r = 10	. 70	• 91	• • • •	
absent (killed, wounded, etc.)					
ordered his squad to pull back	_	included	•		
before he received orders from		27		.09	
his platoon sergeant or platoon leader to pull back his squad.	· ,				
reader to putt back into squad.					
1					

1 1

TABLE IV continued

11

ح ب

1	on- hters P			
r 42	р			
42				
	. 901			
	. 901			
.21				
-				
l				
.18	1			
. 17				
	•			
19				
	1			
.22				
39	. 91			
	-			
27				
	1			
lot included.				
20				
	39 27			

TABLE IV concluded

•7