Report No. 317/2 Watertown Arsenal Problem No. A-7

COATED SHEET METAL

Coated Iron Sheet Manufactured By The Oscar Bach Process.

10/m.

0 n

ないというないではないである

To conduct an examination of so-called non-corrosive costed iron sheet metal manufactured by Oscar B. Bach Studios, Inc., New York City.

OBJECT

CONCLUSION

1. Metal treated by the Oscar Bach Process may be expected to prove generally satisfactory in withstanding the effects of ordinary atmospheric exposure; but its general suitability as a corrosion resisting material is considered limited.

2. Since it is reported by the manufacturer that the process comprises a thermo-chemical treatment applied at about 800°F, it is believed that it may be impractical or undesirable to subject many metal components to such elevated temperatures.

3. The coating produced by the subject process is unaffected by grease, oil, and many of the common solvents and displays fair to poor resistance against hydrochloric and sulfuric acids; resistance to chemical attack by agents such as nitric acid, glacial acetic acid, ammonium hydroxide, and the caustic alkalis is considered to be very poor.

4. Excellent adhesion and resistance to abrasion are possessed by the conting; and in these respects the Oscar Bach Process may offer improvement over some types of commercial synthetic resin finishes in certain applications.

	Cression For	ACOBSON
APPROVED:	Untracturesd	This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.
4/8.	Distribution/ Availability Codes	DTIC
C. LI. COL. S	Ling Spocial	SEP 1 7 1981
Director of Labor	atory INANNOUN	CED

1

> 0.0. 470.1/19799 ₩.▲. 470.1/6538

3rd Ind.

Mann/MJ/mtd

Watertown Arsenal, Watertown, Massachusetts, April 7, 1943

To: Chief of Ordnance, U.S.A., Pentagon Building, Washington, D.C. Attn: SPOTB

1. Inclosed are five copies of Watertown Arsenal Report No. 317/2, entitled "Coated Sheet Metal - Coated Iron Sheet Manufactured By the Oscar Bach Process".

2. A copy of this report has also been sent to Rock Island Arsenal, Frankford Arsenal, and ^Springfield Armory.

3. It is understood that one copy of this report is to be filed in the Ordnance Technical Library. Index cards are inclosed for that purpose.

4. Results of the present investigation indicate that the adherent organic coating produced by the Oscar Bach Process is unaffected by many of the common solvents, possesses satisfactory resistance to salt spray corrosion, but displays only limited resistance to the corrosive action of the common acids and alkalis.

For the Commanding Officer:

2 Incls. Rpt. 317/2 (five copies) Index cards s/t H.H. Zornig Colonel, Ordnance Dept. Director of Laboratory

DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTS

REPORT NO. 31 /---4/7/43 DATE DISTRIBUTED__

ic s.

NO. OF COPIES

in the second

Author - +

t

Lab. File - I

Main Office File

Chief of Ordnance

Springfield Armory -

Watervliet Arsenal

Rock Island Arsenal

Frankford Arsenal ____

Picatinny Arsenal

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Extra Copies _ Y/

Other establishments requesting work.

- 1

INTRODUCTION AND TEST PROCEDURE

The examination of so-called non-corrosive sheet metal manufactured by the Oscar Bach Process was requested, by the Office, Chief of Ordnance(1). In reply to a letter (2) sent by this Arsenal, some information pertaining to the process was furnished by Oscar B. Bach Studios, Inc.

The sample furnished for test purposes represented the process as applied to a piece $(10" \times 7 1/4" \times .012")$ of ordinary soft iron sheet metal similar to that used in the manufacture of tin cens. Both surfaces of the sheet metal sample possessed a smooth continuous black coating. The Oscer B. Bach Studios reported that the process involved baking of the organic coating at oven temperatures of approximately 800°F. No further technical information pertaining to the composition of the costing or actual manufacturing details has been made available to this Arsenal.

The tests to which the sample sheet meterial were subjected are described in the following paragraphs:

1. Physical Characteristics

A. Thickness - The thickness of the costing was determined at various positions over both surfaces of the entire semple sheet by meens of an Aminco-Brenner "Magne-Gauge" magnetic thickness tester.

E. Adhesion - The adhesion of the costing to the base sheet metal was tested by scraping the surface of the coating with a sharp knife blade. A small panel of the test material was also subjected to a 180° bend test performed over a mandrel 1/8" in diameter.

C. Abrasion Resistance - Resistance to abrasion was determined in essentially the same manner as described in paragraph F-3j, of Spec. AXS-736, Varnish, Phenolic, Baked (Un-Pigmented), wherein the abrasive material, standard Ottawa sand-was allowed to fall from a height of 3 feet through a 3/4" i.d. tube onto the surface of the test specimen. The test procedure was repeated until the area abraded through to the metal was at least 2 mm. in diameter.

D. Thermal Stability - Small duplicate panels of the sheet metal were subjected to three complete cycles of alternate exposure to a temperature of -40°F ± 1°F. for a period of 3 hours and then to a temperature of 175°F ± 1°F. for a period of 12

 ^{0.0, 470.1/19799;} W.A. 470.1/6538, See Appendix A
 W.A. 470.1/6561
 W.A. 470.1/6607

hours. The panels were examined at the end of each freezing and heating period for evidence of cracking or other surface changes.

2. Solvent Resistence - Strips of the sample sheet metal were tested at room temperature for resistance to the effects of immersion in the following solvents: gasoline, ethyl alcohol, ethyl ether, toluene, chloroform, acetone, trichlorethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and methyl acetate. In the case of toluene a 1-hour test was also included wherein a boiling solution was employed. Inspection of the specimens for film softening or deterioration was made after 1 hour and also at the end of 1 week. The ability of the coating to withstand moderate to heavy scratching with the thumb or fore finger-nail was used as an indication of resistance to softening.

3. Chemical Resistance - The coated sheet metal was tested for resistance to the following chemical agents: glacial acetic acid, olaic acid, lubricating grasse, lubricating oil, hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, sulfuric acid, annonium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, and potassium hydroxide. In the case of nitric acid and sulfuric acid, drops of the respective reagents were applied to the surface of the panels. In all other cases, ponels were completely immersed in the reagent.

4. Salt Spray Resistance - By means of a racor blade two 3" x 2" panels were scratched through to the base metal along the two diagonals. The edges were protected by dipping in molten pareffin and the panels were then exposed at room temperature to continuous spray of 20% sodium chloride solution. Examination of the panels was made after 2%, 48, 144, and 240 hours.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Physical Characteristics

A. <u>Adhesion</u> - Upon scraping the surface of the sheet metal coating there was no indication of poor adhesion as might be evidenced by flaking or chipping of the film. The material also successfully withstood a 160° bend and showed no signs of cracking, flaking or other signs of poor adhesion.

B. Thickness - The average thickness of the coating on the sheet metal was found to be .00085 inches; whereas the maximum deviation of any single measurement from the average was only about $\pm 8\%$. The coating was considered to be very uniform in thickness.

C. <u>Abresion Resistance</u> - Thirty-five liters of Ottawa sand were required to produce an abraded area of at least 2 mm.

- 3-

in diameter on the test panel. Under the same conditions of test and film thickness the following comparative data were obtained with two commercial synthetic finishes applied to panels of **SAE-30** steel:

AXS-750, Primer, Synthetic, Rust-Inhibiting (Forbes Varnish Co.) = 15 liters Opex Sealer, Brown (Sherwin-Williams Co.) = 10 liters

Superior resistance of the subject sheet metal coating to wear due to abrasion is indicated.

D. <u>Thermal Stability</u> - There was no evidence of cracking, warping, or other surface or dimensional changes in the sheet metal after the completion of the alternate freezing or heating cycles. These results indicate the ability of the subject material to withstand sudden changes in temperature with no adverse effects.

2. <u>Solvent Resistance</u> - The resistance of the coated sheet to various common solvents is shown in Table I. Results indicate that the coating is not readily affected by many of the common solvents; although slight softening is experienced in the case of chloroform, acetone and trichlorethylene.

TABLE I

SOLVENT RESISTANCE AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

Conditon of Coating

Solvent	After 1 Hour	After 1 Week
Gasoline	No Change	No Change
Ethyl Alcohol	No Change	No Change
Ethyl Ether	No Change	No Change
Toluene	No Change	No Change
11	(1) No Change	
Chloroform	(2) Slightly Softened	
Acetone	(2) Slightly Softened	~~
Trichlorethylene	(2) Slightly Softened	
Carbon Tetrachloride	No Change	No Change
Methyl Acetate	No Change	No Change

(1) At boiling temperature

(2) Top film of coating easily scratched with thumbnail

3. <u>Chemical Resistance</u> - Observations of the effects of various reagents on the sheet metal coating are summarized in Table II. The coating showed adequate resistance to the effects of grease and oil, even when continuously exposed at slightly elevated temperature. Hydrochloric and sulfuric acid produced a slight attack whereas nitric acid readily corroded the coating. The coating was badly attacked by strong ammonium hydroxide and was completely dissolved upon continued immersion in strong solutions of the caustic alkalis. Oleic acid showed no effect whatsoever on the coating. On the other hand immersion in glacial acetic acid resulted in severe blistering and peeling.

ł

١

TABLE II

CHEMICAL RESISTANCE AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

Condition of Coating

(1)Glacial Acetic Acid (2)Slight Soften- ing Badly Peeled and Elistered (3)Lubricating Grease (b)No Change No Change (1)Hydrochloric Acid (b)No Change No Change (1)Hydrochloric Acid 1% Slight Staining (2)Slight Soften- ening,Staining 10% Uniform Wt.Stain Uniform Wt.Stain Uniform Wt. 10% Distering, Coating easily 10% Elistering, Coating easily 10% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening,Staining 10% Elistering, Coating easily 10% Distering, Coating easily 10% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening,Wht.Stain 30% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening,Wht.Stain 30% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening,Wht.Stain 30% No Change Endly cracked and peeled 30% No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of coating 10%	Chemical Agent	After 1 Hour	After 1 Week
Oleic AcidNo ChangeNo Change(3) Lubricating Grease(4) No ChangeNo Change(5) Lubricating Oil(1) No ChangeNo Change(1) Hydrochloric Acid1%Slight Staining(2) Slight Soft- ening, Staining10%Uniform Wt. StainUniform Wt. Stain10%Uniform Wt. StainUniform Wt. stain(2) SlightNitric Acid1%Slight Soften- ing10%Slight soften- ingStain(2) SlightNitric AcidSlight softenStain(2) Slight10%Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric AcidNo Change(2) Slight Soft- ening, Wt. Stain30%No Change(2) Slight Soft- ening, Wt. Stain30%No ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2) Slight Soft- ening, Wt. Stain10%(2) Slight Soft- ening, Wt. Stain	(1)Glacial Acetic Acid	(2)Slight Soften- ing	Badly Peeled and Blistered
(3) Lubricating Grease (4) No Change No Change (5) Lubricating 011 (1) No Change No Change (1) Hydrochloric Acid Slight Staining (2) Slight Soft- ening, Staining 10% Uniform Wt.Stain Uniform Wt.Stain 10% Uniform Wt.Stain Uniform Wt. Nitric Acid Slight Soften- ing Stain(2)Slight Nitric Acid Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with 10% Blistering, Coating easily flake? off with thumbnail 10% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain 30% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain 30% No Change Endly cracked and peeled 30% No Change Endly cracked and peeled 30% No Change Feeling of skin- like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening	Oleic Acid	No Change	No Change
 (5) Lubricating Oil (1) Hydrochloric Acid 1% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 10	(3) Lubricating Grease	(4)No Change	No Change
 (1) Hydrochloric Acid 1% Slight Staining (2) Slight Softening, Staining Uniform Wt. Stain Softening Softening Softening Uniform Wt. Stain Sulfuric Acid 1% No Change Softening Uniform Wt. Stain No Change Softening, Wht. Stain Softening So	(5) Lubricating Oil	(1) No Change	No Change
1%Slight Staining(2) Slight Soft- ening, Staining10%Uniform Wt.StainUniform Wt. Stain10%Uniform Wt.StainUniform Wt. Stain(2)SlightNitric AcidingSoftening13Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail Slight Soft- ening, Wt.Stain10%Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain30%No ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFadly cracked off with thus train1%No ChangeFadly cracked off with coating completely ening10%(2)Slight Soft- eningcoating completely ening	(1) Hydrochloric Acid	. ,	
10%Uniform Wt.Stain (2)Slight Soften- ingUniform Wt.Stain Stain(2)Slight SofteningNitric Acid 1%Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail Softening, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail10%Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain10%(2)Slight Soft- coating coating coating coating tike layer of coating coating tike layer of coating coating completely ening	1%	Slight Staining	(2) Slight Soft- ening.Staining
(2)Slight Soften- ing Stain(2)Slight Softening Nitric Acid 13 10% Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail 10% Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail Sulfuric Acid No Change 1% No Change 30% No Change 30% No Change 30% No Change 30% Badly blistered 30% Badly blistered 30% So Change 1% No Change 10% Costing completely coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening	10%	Uniform Wt. Stain	Uniform Wht
Nitric AcidingSoftening13Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail10%Slistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening,Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening,Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 1%No ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%No ChangeFadly cracked and peeled30%Sodium Hydroxide 1%No ChangeFadly cracked and peeled10%(2)Slight Soft- ening10%(2)Slight Soft- coatingCoating completely ening10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely ening		(P)Slight Soften-	Stein(2)Slight
Nitric Acid 13 Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail 10% Elistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnail Sulfuric Acid 1% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain 30% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain Ammonium Hydroxide 10% No Change Eadly cracked and peeled 30% Badly blistered Sodium Hydroxide 1% No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening easily		ing	Softening
13Blistering, Coating easily flake? off with thumbnail10%Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change1%No Change30%No Change30%No Change30%No Change30%No Change30%No Change30%Badly blistered30%Badly blistered30%So Change30%Badly blistered30%Change30%Badly blistered30%So Change30%Badly blistered30%Change30%Badly blistered30%Badly blistered30%Change30%Badly blistered30%So Change30%So Change <t< td=""><td>Nitric Acid</td><td>4 14<u>6</u></td><td>oorvening</td></t<>	Nitric Acid	4 14 <u>6</u>	oorvening
InterformContinue easily flaked off with thumbnail10%Elistering, Conting easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeled30%No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of coating Coating completely ening10%(2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening	13	Blistering.	
10%Staked off with thumbnail10%Shistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeBadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blistered30%No ChangeFeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- ening		Coating eacily	
10%thurbhail Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbhailSulfuric Acid 1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain (2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening, Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide 1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningcoating completely stripped from		flaged off with	
10%Blistering, Coating easily flaked off with thumbnailSulfuric Acid1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeBadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blistered30%Badly blistered10%(2)Slight Soft- eningcoating coating coating coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningform10%(2)Slight Soft- coating tripped from		thumbuail	
ContinueConting easilyflaked off withflaked off withthumbnailSulfuric Acid1%30%No Change10%30%Sodium Hydroxide1%1%10%10%(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain10%10%(2)Slight Soft- ening10%(2)Slight Soft- conting10%(2)Slight Soft- ening10%(2)Slight Soft- ening10%(2)Slight Soft- eningconting stripped from	10%	Fligtering	
Soluting outputSulfuric AcidIf1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium HydroxideNo ChangeEndly cracked and peeled10%No ChangeEndly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium HydroxideNo ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from	-0,-	Costing easily	
Sulfuric AcidthumbnailSulfuric AcidNo Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium HydroxideNo ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium HydroxideNo ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningcoating completely stripped from		floked off with	
Sulfuric Acid 1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeEadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide 1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from		thumhneil	
1%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeEndly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide 1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningcoating completely stripped from	Sulfuric Acid		
30% No Change (2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.Stain Ammonium Hydroxide No Change Endly cracked and peeled 30% Badly blistered Sodium Hydroxide No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of conting 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening Conting completely stripped from	16	No Change	(2) Slight Soft-
30%No Change(2)Slight Soft- ening.Wht.StainAmmonium Hydroxide 10%No ChangeBadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide 1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from	-/-	to onange	ening Wht Stain
JohnNo onengeCarling to onengeAmmonium HydroxideNo ChangeBadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium HydroxideNo ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from	30%	No Change	(2)Slight Soft-
Ammonium Hydroxide 10% No Change Badly cracked and peeled 30% Badly blistered Sodium Hydroxide 1% No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from	50%		ening Wht Stein
10%No ChangeBadly cracked and peeled30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from	Ammonium Hydroxide		ening, and o thin
30% Badly blistered peeled 30% Badly blistered Sodium Hydroxide 1% No Change Peeling of skin-like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from	10%	No Change	Bodly oracked and
30%Badly blisteredSodium Hydroxide1%No ChangePeeling of skin- like layer of coating10%(2)Slight Soft- eningCoating completely stripped from			Dealed
Sodium Hydroxide 1% No Chonge Peeling of skin- like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- ening stripped from	30%	Badly blistered	peered
1% No Change Peeling of skin- like layer of coating 10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from	Sodium Hydroride	Duct Distriction	
10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from	1%	No Change	Pooling of skin
10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from	2 /0	ao onenge	lite lower of
10% (2)Slight Soft- Coating completely ening stripped from			ALE INJEL UI
ening stripped from	10%	(2) S11 obt Saft	Conting dommlation
entre stripped from	1070	CCADITER POIN-	strinned from
shoot metal		CILLIE	epret metal

TABLE II (CONTID)

Chemical Agent	After 1 Hour	After 1 Week	
Potassium Hydroxide 1%	No Change	Peling of skin- like lay-r of	1
10,0	(2)Slight Softening	costing Coating completely stripped from sheet metal	

(1) Edges of panel protected with paraffin.

(2) Top Film of coating easily scratched with thusbail

(3) Semi-fluid aluminum-base grease

(4) At 175°F

(5) SAE-30 Grade

(5) All concentrations shown in 5 by weight in distilled water.

⁴. <u>Salt Spray Resistance</u> - In Table III are shown the results obtained upon exposure of the subject material to 20% salt spray atmosphere. The coating itself proved highly effective in withstanding the corrosive effect of the salt spray. After about 4S hours, rusting of the steel at the scribe marks occurred. At 144 hours slight undercutting of the film was evident; while at the end of 240 hours of exposure, undercutting had advanced somewhat further and two pinhole rust spots had appeared on the coated regions of each of the test panels.

TABLE III

RESISTANCE TO 20% SALT SPRAY AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

Elapsed Time (Hrs.)	(1) Condition of Panels
24	Excellent. No visible change either on conted regions or at scribe marks.
μ <u>β</u>	Slight rust formation at scribe marks. No change on coated regions.
11/4	Slight blistering of film adjacent to scribe marks. No change on coated regions.
2,10	Coating 1/16 in. either side of scribe marks readily flaked off with thumbnail. Two min- ute rust spots on coated regions of each parel

(1) Edges protected with paraffin

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Results of the present tests indicate that the coating produced by the Oscar Bach Process would be expected to prove generally satisfactory in withstanding the effects of ordinary atmospheric corrosion. The coating appears to be immune to the solvent action of gasoline, grease, oil, ether, carbontetrachloride, methyl acetate, alcohol and toluene; but it exhibits some softening when allowed to remain in contact with chloroform, acetone or trichlorethylene. In applications involving exposure to hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, sulfuric acid, glacial acetic acid, ammonium hydroxide and the caustic alkalis, effects ranging from slight softening to complete decomposition of the coating may be expected.

The flexibility and adhesion of the coating produced by this process, however, are noteworthy; and in this respect the process may offer advantages over some types of synthetic resin finishes in certain applications.

Most baking varieties of organic finishes are heated at oven temperatures ranging between 300 - 400 F., whereas it is to be noted that the Oscar Bach Process is reported to require a baking temperature of about 300°F., -- a temperature to which it may not be desirable or practical to subject certain metal components. The inability to employ directly coldering or brazing operations on metal parts possessing organic films constitutes another general limitation on protective finishes of this type.

There are, currently, at this Assend no applications where it is considered that the adoption of the Uscar Each Process would offer decided improvement in corrosion resistance or would effect sporeciable economies in materials. APPENDIX A

•

WAR DEPARTMENT Office of the Chief of Ordnance Washington, D.C.

To insure Prompt Attn. in Replying Refer to <u>No. 470.1</u>/19799 Attn.Of <u>SP07B</u>

January 25, 1943

Subject: Non-Corrosive Sheet Metal Manufactured Under the Oscar Bach Process

To:

Commanding Officer Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Mass,

1. There is inclosed herewith a sample of a socalled non-corrosive sheet metal manufactured under the Oscar Bach Process. This sample was submitted to this office by the War Production Board with a request that an examination be made. It was reported that the process can be applied to any kind of metal and renders it as noncorrosive as stainless steel. The sample herewith shows the process on ordinary soft iron sheet metal same as used for tin cans. The War Production Board reported that the only tests they had made so far were made by Standard Brands Incorporated. A copy of their report is attached.

2. It was reported that the producer of this metal is Mr. Oscar Each, 610 Fifth Avenue, New York City, who is a German born naturalized United States citizen. The following is quoted from the letter from the War Production Board: "Iron Age refers to Mr. Bach as 'the foremost metal craftsman of this Country'. He was decorated by the German Government for his work in stainless steel." The present product is reported to be a result of work done in marine stainless steel ornamental work which Mr. Bach has executed on a considerable number of ocean liners. After some years it was noted that the colored stainless steel which he developed stood up better than the uncoated stainless steel. The War Production Board is particularly interested because if the process can serve a useful purpose in the war program, it will be possible to convert facilities that are now standing idle in every section of the Country to the manufacture of this product and female labor can be used thereby releasing men for other critical products.

3. It is requested that an examination be made of this process and at least two copies of a report be submitted to this office at an early date. It would be appreciated if the report could be expedited since the War Production Board is interested in having the Ordnance Department give them an indication as to whether or not this process offers any promise. Especial attention should be given to the Process to ascertain whether or not it could be used as substitute for terme plate in ammunition boxes. It is suggested that, if desirable, contact be made with Mr. Bach in order that further details relative thereto may be obtained directly from him. A similar sample and letter is being sent to Frankford Arsenal.

By Order of the Chief of Ordnance:

S. B. RITCHIE Colonel, Ord. Dept. Assistant ł

Incl. Sample of sheet metal Report

WA 470.1/6538

HCM/MJ/mtd

February 4, 1943

Laboratory

t

Mr. Oscar Each FlO Fifth Avenue New York, New York

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to the Oscar Bach process for the manufacture of so-called non-corrosive sheet metal.

The shove mentioned has been brought to the attention of this Arsenal by the Office of the Chief of Ordnance, Washington, T.C. with the view to conducting certain laboratory studies to determine the general suitability of the process for Ordnance applications.

Since very limited technical data has been made available, it is requested that further general information relative to this process be furnished. In addition, comments pertaining to the following specific questions are requested:

- (1) What is the general chemical chemical nature of the coatings produced by the Bach process?
- (2) In connection with the processing, is it possible under some conditions to employ an airdrying operation in place of bake-drying?
- (3) Prior to processing, is stringent metal surface preparation prescribed?
- (4) Is the coating produced by this process considered to be inhibitive with respect to its behavior toward steel?

Your cooperation in furnishing the above information will be appreciated.

For the Commanding Officer:

H. H. ZORNIG Colonel, Ord. Dept. Director of Laboratory OSCAR B. BACH STUDIOS 510 Fifth Avenue, N.Y. La Maison Francaise Rockefeller Center

February 26, 1943

Commanding Officer War Department Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts

Attention: H.H. ZORNIG, Col., Ord. Dept.

Dear Sir:

.)

0

<u>c</u> <u>p</u>r

> This will acknowledge your inquiry dated February fourth, with reference to non-corrosive treatment of sheet metal. Answering your specific inquiries, please note following:

- 1. Process is a thermo chemical treatment applied in an oven at temperature of about SDOPF.
- It is not possible to use any time pir drying in place of bake oven.
- Stringent metal surface preparation is required, preferably sand blast.
- 4. Surface produced is considered to be inhibitive with respect to its behavior toward steel.

We refer you to Chemical Warfare Service, New York Office, attention of Captain Henry, for reference to detailed tests they have made prior to their selection and approval of this process.

Any further information we will be glad to furnish.

Very truly yours,

Oscar B. Bach

OBE:WO