LINER VELOCITY, CURRENT, AND SYMMETRY MEASUREMENTS ON THE 32 MEGAMP FLUX COMPRESSION GENERATOR EXPERI-MENT ALT-1^{*}

D. A. CLARK^{\dagger}, B. G. ANDERSON, G. RODRIGUEZ, J. L. STOKES, L. J. TABAKA

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Mail Stop D410 Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA

Abstract

A flux compression generator pulse power system, designed, built, and fielded by a Russian team at the All Russian Scientific Research Institute of Experimental Physics (VNIIEF), was used to successfully drive an aluminum liner to velocities greater than 12 km/sec. The experiment objective was to demonstrate performance of a precision liner implosion at an Atlas current of 30 MA or greater. Diagnostics to measure liner performance were an essential part of the experiment. An experimental team from Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) provided a suite of diagnostics to measure liner performance. Three diagnostics were fielded: 1. A velocity interferometer (VISAR) to continuously measure the liner innersurface velocity throughout the entire range of travel, 2. Two Faraday rotation devices to measure liner current during the implosion, and, 3. Sixteen fiber optic impact pins to record liner impact time and provide axial and azimuthal symmetry information. All diagnostics performed very well. Major results are maximum current: 32.3 MA, velocity at impact: greater than 12 km/sec, symmetry: the impact pins indicated that the liner was smooth, solid, and axially symmetric upon arrival at the diagnostic package. The LANL team fabricated, installed, and recorded the three diagnostics presented here. All necessary equipment was brought to the site in Russia. The VNIIEF team fielded other diagnostics to measure machine performance. Results of machine diagnostics are reported in other presentations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Liner Technology Experiment, ALT-1, was performed at the All Russian Scientific Research **h**stitute of Experimental Physics (VNIIEF) in Sarov, Russia, 3 November, 1999. The purpose of the experiment was to obtain data on performance of a solid metallic imploding liner at Atlas [1] [2] [3] conditions. Figure 1 is a photograph on the ALT-1 device on the firing point. An experimental team from Los Alamos National Laboratory designed, fabricated, installed, and recorded a suite of diagnostics to measure liner performance. The VNIIEF team designed and fabricated the generator and liner.



Figure 1. Photograph of the ALT-1 device on the firing point. The disk explosive electromagnetic generator (DEMG) is visible inside the heavy steel frame. Above the frame is the cylindrical transmission line, and above that is steel shielding surrounding the imploding liner experimental unit. Two radiographic x-ray sources are to the left of the shielding. Below the generator, not visible in this photograph, is the explosive driven helical electromagnetic generator (HEMG) that provides seed current for the disk generator.

^{*} Work Supported by the Los Alamos National Laboratory under US DOE contract W-7405-ENG-36.

[†] email: daclark@lanl.gov

Report Docume	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2001	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Liner Velocity, Current, And Symmetry Measurements On The 32 Megamp Flux Compression Generator Experiment Alt-1		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AE Los Alamos National Laboratory, Mai 87544, USA	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002371. 2013 IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Digest of Technical Papers 1976-2013, and Abstracts of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science. IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference (19th). Held in San Francisco, CA on 16-21 June 2013. U.S. Government or Federal Purpose Rights License.					
14. ABSTRACT A flux compression generator pulse po Russian Scientific Research Institute o	wer system, designed, built, and field f Experimental Physics (VNIIEF), w	led by a Russian team at the All as used to successfully drive an			

Russian Scientific Research Institute of Experimental Physics (VNIIEF), was used to successfully drive an aluminum liner to velocities greater than 12 km/sec. The experiment objective was to demonstrate performance of a precision liner implosion at an Atlas current of 30 MA or greater. Diagnostics to measure liner performance were an essential part of the experiment. An experimental team from Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) provided a suite of diagnostics to measure liner performance. Three diagnostics were fielded: 1. A velocity interferometer (VISAR) to continuously measure the liner innersurface velocity throughout the entire range of travel, 2. Two Faraday rotation devices to measure liner current during the implosion, and, 3. Sixteen fiber optic impact pins to record liner impact time and provide axial and azimuthal symmetry information. All diagnostics performed very well. Major results are maximum current: 32.3 MA, velocity at impact: greater than 12 km/sec, symmetry: the impact pins indicated that the liner was smooth, solid, and axially symmetric upon arrival at the diagnostic package. The LANL team fabricated, installed, and recorded the three diagnostics presented here. All necessary equipment was brought to the site in Russia. The VNIIEF team fielded other diagnostics to measure machine performance. Results of machine diagnostics are reported in other presentations.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	I ADSTRACT	4	KESFUNSIBLE FERSUN

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

VNIIEF implemented a suite of diagnostics, mostly inductive pickup (b-dot) probes, to record machine performance, and fielded two x-ray sources and film packs for radiographic data on the imploding liner. Results of the VNIIEF diagnostics are reported elsewhere in these proceedings [4]. Unfortunately, the radiographs were lost due to excessive damage [5].

The generator was a 400 mm diameter, 10 element, explosive driven disk generator with a 240 mm diameter explosive driven helical generator to provide 6 MA seed current. Generator output was specified to be 30 MA with 4.0 microsecond risetime. A capacitor bank provided 100 kA seed current for the helical generator. The liner was 40 mm outside diameter, 2 mm thick, technically pure aluminum.

II. EXPERIMENT

The three diagnostics fielded by LANL were a velocity interferometer (VISAR)[6], two Faraday rotation current loops, and 16 fiber optic impact pins. All data presented in this paper is on a time scale that refers to one of the generator triggers, BY-19-2. Start of liner current on the BY-19-2 time scale is about 24.4 microseconds.

The VISAR probe was located along the liner axis in the Central Measuring Unit (CMU), with a 45 degree mirror installed to direct the laser beam to the liner inner surface. The CMU was a 20 mm diameter stainless steel cylinder, placed between the glide planes, that contained the fiber optic pins and VISAR probe. One half the length of the CMU contained the VISAR probe and mirror, and the other half contained the 16 fiber optic pins.

Figure 2 presents the VISAR velocity data and Fig. 3 presents radius vs. time derived from the velocity.



Figure 2. VISAR velocity data. The VISAR was able to track the liner inner-surface almost to the point of impact with the central measuring unit. Maximum velocity recorded is about 12 Km/sec.

Times of liner impact from the fiber optic pins are also shown in Fig. 3 to emphasize how close to the end of travel the VISAR system was able to record high quality data.

The VISAR signal was very good throughout most of the liner travel. At the very end of travel, signal was weak due to the reflection moving out of the probe field of view. Maximum recorded velocity was greater than 12 km/sec. Accurate liner velocity data has contributed to verification of improved conductivity models [7].



Figure 3. Radius vs. time VISAR data. Liner inner radius is the integral of the velocity data. Diamonds at the end of the RT line are the impact pin data. Pin tips were located at radius 9.5 mm. Eight of the ten pin times are located under the right hand diamond.

For the liner current measurements, two Faraday rotation loops [8] [9], FR1 and FR2, were located in the radial transmission line between the generator output and the liner. Diameters of the two loops were 384.5 mm and 396.5 mm, respectively.

Each Faraday unit consisted of a single turn of twisted fiber illuminated from one end with a diode laser, a length of polarization-maintaining fiber to transmit the signal to the quadrature splitters, the quadrature splitters themselves, and a pair of photodiode detectors.



Figure 4. Raw Faraday rotation data for loop 1. Only one of the two quadrature signals is shown.

Laser wavelengths were 835 nm for FR1 and 837 nm for FR2. The splitters were located a few meters from the ALT-1 device. Detectors and lasers were located in the bunker, connected to the loops and splitters with fibers.

Raw data from one of the Faraday rotation channels is shown in Fig. 4. The oscillations are "fringes" that relate to current through the Verdet constant. In this case, the Verdet constant is 1.19 MA/fringe at 826 nm. Two signals from each loop were recorded with the quadrature analysis system. In the figure it can be seen that clear data were obtained from the Faradays.

Figure 5 presents the unfolded current data from each of the four Faraday detectors overlaid on a single plot. Clearly, there is excellent agreement between all of the channels. Peak current is 32.3 MA.



Figure 5. Analyzed Faraday rotation data. Data are unfolded from each of the two quadrature channels for each loop. Wavelength corrections are included. Peak current is 32.3 MA.

Sixteen fiber optic impact pins were located in the lower half of the CMU. Fiber optic pins are simply a 100 micron core PolyMicro synthetic silica fiber oriented radially with the end at a specific radius, and covered with a 25 micron thick aluminum foil. The foil prevents ambient light from entering the fibers. When struck by the fast moving liner, shock induced heating in the fiber produces light. Quasi-logarithmic photomultiplier tubes detect the light, and the signal is recorded on digitizers.

The pins were arranged in two axial rows of six pins each, separated azimuthally by 180 degrees. Each row started 1 mm from the CMU centerline, and the pins were separated by 3 mm. An azimuthal array of pins was bcated 7 mm from the centerline. All pin tips were at 9.5 mm radius.

The azimuthal array consisted of four additional pins that were positioned between the axial rows. When combined with one axial pin from each row, an azimuthal array of six pins, spaced at 60 degrees, was formed. Unfortunately, data from the azimuthal array were not recorded.

Ten of the twelve axial pins were successfully recorded. Figure 6 presents the raw digitizer data for those pins.



Figure 6. Raw data for fiber optic impact pins. Six of ten recorded axial pins are shown here. Slow leading edges are thought to result from light leaking into the fibers. Pin times are taken from the very sharp rising edges.

Time of arrival is taken from the sharp rising portion of the waveform. Appearance of a sharply rising portion of the waveform is an indicator of a clean, unperturbed, liner. Data from other experiments, performed with high quality radiography, have shown that the presence of instabilities on the liner surface will cause the pins to indicate no well-defined arrival time.

Figure 7 is a plot of the time-of-arrival for the ten pins recorded. Pins located at 16 mm are very close to the glide plane, and may indicate early arrival due to the hot plasma and metallic distortion near the sliding contact of liner with the glide plane. The remaining pins indicate the liner was very uniform. Maximum displacement from uniform arrival times is about 100 ns, which transforms to 1.2 mm spatially.



Figure 7. Time of arrival of the liner at the fiber optic pin tips vs. axial distance from the longitudinal center of the central measuring unit (CMU).

III. SUMMARY

An explosive driven imploding-liner experiment was successfully fielded in Sarov, Russia. The purpose of the experiment was to observe the behavior of an imploding liner at Atlas-like parameters. A team from Los Alamos National Laboratory brought a diagnostic package to the experimental site. Three major diagnostics were VISAR to measure liner inner-surface velocity, Faraday rotation systems to measure liner current, and fiber optic pins to measure liner symmetry upon arrival at the diagnostic package. Maximum liner velocity was greater than 12 km/sec, maximum liner current was 32.3 MA, and the pins indicated that the liner was stable and axially symmetric upon arrival at the diagnostic package.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express deep gratitude to W. Anderson, E. Armijo, J. Bartos, T. Pierce, and B. Randolph of the Los Alamos National Laboratory Target Fabrication Facility for their part in manufacturing the diagnostic assembly.

V. REFERENCES

[1] D. W. Scudder, S. A. Archuleta, E. O. Ballard, G. W. Barr, et al., "Atlas – a new pulsed power tool at Los Alamos," Proc. PPPS-2001 IEEE Conf. on Pulsed Power and Plasma Science, June 2001.

[2] G. A. Wurden, H. A. Davis, A. Taylor, D. Bowman, E. Ballard, S. Ney, D. Scudder, and J. Trainor, "Atlas chamber, power flow channel, and diagnostic interface design," in Proc. 11th IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference, vol. II, 1997, pp 1291-1296.

[3] I. R. Lindemuth, W. L. Atchison, R. J. Faehl, R. E Reinovsky, "The magnetically driven imploding liner parameter space of the Atlas capacitor bank," Proc. PPPS-2001 IEEE Conf. on Pulsed Power and Plasma Science, June 2001.

[4] A. M. Buyko, V. K. Chernyshev,, G. G. Ivanova, Y. N. Gorbachev, et al., "Simulation of parameters of the stationary facility "ATLAS" by means of disk EMG," Proc. PPPS-2001 IEEE Conf. on Pulsed Power and Plasma Science, June 2001.

[5] V. K. Chernyshev, A. I. Kuzyaev, A. A. Petrukhin, V. A. Vasyukov, V. V. Chernyshev, "Film protection in the radiographic experiments studying the liner compressed by magnetic field from disk EMG with HE mass of ~100Kg," Proc. PPPS-2001 IEEE Conf. on Pulsed Power and Plasma Science, June 2001.

[6] W. F. Hemsing, "Velocity Sensing Interferometer (VISAR) Modification," Rev. Sci. Inst., vol. 50 1979, pp.73-78.

[7] W. L. Atchison, R. J. Faehl, I. Lindemuth, "Implications of recent improvements in conductivity models on liner, wire, and fuse design," Proc. PPPS-2001 IEEE Conf. on Pulsed Power and Plasma Science, June 2001.

[8] L.R. Veeser, G. I. Chandler, and G. W. Day, "Fiber optic sensing of pulsed currents," Photonic: High Bandwidth Applications, James Chang, ed., (SPIE 648. Bellingham, WA, 1986). p.197

[9] Stokes, J. L., et al., "Precision Current Measurements on Pegasus II Using Faraday Rotation," in Tenth IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference (Albuquerque, NM), edited by W. Baker and G. Cooperstein, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 1995, Vol. 1, pp. 378-383