JAMES P. O'LOUGHLIN

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87117

ABSTRACT

When coaxial cable is used for high voltage pulse transmission, a voltage transient appears on the outer sheath conductor. Although the magnitude of the transient is in the order of only a few per cent, this amounts to several kilovolts in many cases and must be carefully considered in terms of its effect on instrumentation, control and safety. To a first approximation, theoretically a coaxial cable should not develop any voltage on the outer sheath. A more refined analysis and model shows that the complete cancellation depends upon the self inductance of the sheath being exactly equal to the mutual inductance between the sheath and the center conductor. This condition is never exactly satisfied due to current distribution effects, even when the distribution is uniform and radially symmetric. The situation becomes worse when proximity effects are accounted for. The predicted sheath voltage agrees with experimental data within reasonable limits.

INTRODUCTION

The analysis of coaxial transmission lines is commonly based upon the incremental section model as shown in Fig 1. The self inductance of the center conductor is L_1 the outer sheath L_2 and the mutual is M_{12} . The lumped equivalent capacitance of the element is C. Also shown in Fig 1 is the equivalent model using uncoupled inductors with the corresponding relations between circuit valves. Note that if $L_2 = M_{1,2}$ the effective inductance of the outer sheath is zero (short circuit) and all the loop inductance is associated with the inner conductor. In reality, $L_2 \approx M_{12}$ to within a few percent, however, there is a multiplicative effect such that a given percentage unbalance between L, and $M_{1,2}$ leads to several times that percentage unbalance in the division of voltage between the inner conductor and sheath. This simple mechanism is the basis for explaining the existance of the voltage transient on the outer sheath. The equation relating the voltage on the sheath to the circuit values is plotted in Fig 2. and reads:

(1)
$$V_2/V = K^*(1-a) / (1+K^*(1-2*a))$$





96

3.2

	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188							
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.								
1. REPORT DATE JUN 1979		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER			
High Power Pulse	ll Transmission Line	es	5b. GRANT NUMBER					
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER						
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER						
			5e. TASK NUMBER					
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMING ORGANI Air Force Weapon	DRESS(ES) nd Afb, Nm 87117		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)					
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited								
^{13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES} See also ADM002371. 2013 IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Digest of Technical Papers 1976-2013, and Abstracts of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science. Held in San Francisco, CA on 16-21 June 2013. U.S. Government or Federal Purpose Rights License.								
14. ABSTRACT When coaxial cable sheath conductor amounts to several instrumentation, co develop any voltag cancellation depen between the sheath distribution effects worse when proxim data within reason	e is used for high vo Although the magni kilovolts in many co ontrol and safety. To e on the outer sheat ds upon the self indu- and the center cond , even when the dist nity effects are account able limits.	Itage pulse transmis tude of the transien ases and must be ca o a first approximat h. A more refined an uctance of the sheat ductor. This conditi- ribution is uniform unted for. The predi	sion, a voltage tra t is in the order o refully considered ion, theoretically nalysis and mode h being exactly ec on is never exactl and radially sym icted sheath volta	ansient appea f only a few p d in terms of a coaxial cab l shows that t qual to the m y satisfied du metric. The s age agrees wit	ars on the outer ber cent, this its effect on ble should not the complete utual inductance te to current situation becomes th experimental			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF								
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT SAR	OF PAGES 4	RESPONSIBLE PERSON			

where: V_2 = voltage on the sheath V^2 = impressed voltage $K = L_2/L_1$ $a = M_{12}^2/L_2$ L_1 = Inher² conductor inductance L_2 = Sheath inductance M_{12}^2 = Mutual inductance

Note that as a changes from a<1 to a>1, the polarity on the sheath reverses.

FACTORS AFFECTING MUTUAL INDUCTANCE

Two factors affecting mutual inductance are the distribution of flux within the finite thickness of the sheath current, and the current distribution in the cable as determined by the proximity effect of other current carrying conditions such as ground plane images, etc. Consider first the simple case illustrated in Fig 3., that of a coax cross-section with a uniform current distribution and thus a flux field which is perfectly concentric. By fundamental definition, mutual inductance is measured by the flux coupling the inner conductor due to a unit current in the outer conductor. Thus. the mutual is measured by all of the flux. Also by definition, the self inductance of the sheath is measured by the flux coupling the sheath current due to a unit sheath current. The sheath current is uniformly distributed over the thickness T and the flux varies linearly from zero at the inner surface to maximum at the outer surface thus the flux internal to the sheath doesn't effectively couple all the sheath current, so L_2 will be less than M_{12} . Modifying the inductance equation for cylindrical conductors given by Grover to account for the uncoupled flux internal to the sheath one obtains the expression in equation (2) for the ratio M_{12}/L_2 :

(2) $M_{12}/L_2 = 1+(1/2)*LN(1/(1-\delta))/2*(LN(B/R_2-1))$

where: R_2 = Mean radius of sheath (cm) B^2 = Length (cm) T = Sheath thickness (cm) δ = T/R₂

Equation (2) is plotted in Fig 4.

Consider now the effect of a non-uniform current distribution, the radially symmetric flux of Fig 3 will no longer exist, in fact, the flux between the sheath and center conductor will no longer be zero. The simple evaluations of self and mutual inductance as above are no longer possible.

An evaluation of the proximity effect on mutual inductance for simple geometrical cases was done by computer using the model shown in Fig 5. The inner and outer conductors and their images were modeled using 100 independent current filaments, 50 for



each. By symmetry, the total number of filaments in the model is 400. Using expressions for the self and mutual inductances in terms of the geometry, a solution for the 100 independent currents was obtained using Creamers rule to solve the loop equations on a CYBER 176 computer. The ASPLIB library program DECOMP was used to evaluate the 100 x 100 determinants. This model was used to evaluate only the proximity effect, thus in free space, i.e. no images, it was calibrated to give zero voltage on the sheath. This was accomplished by adjusting the diameter of the filaments to null the sheath voltage to less than one part in 10° per unit of impressed voltage. The diameter used to accomplish this was 1.07596 times the circumference of the conductor being modeled divided by 100.

The net proximity effect on $M_{1,2}$ as a function of the distance of a RG-19 coas above a ground plane is shown in Fig 6. In Fig 7 are current distributions due to various proximity effects. The cases shown are for a RG-19 cable spaced 1.04 sheath radii from a ground plane. Case 1 is the distribution in the outer conductor with the coax center conductor used as a return in the normal manner. Case 2 is with the center conductor removed and an infinite ground plane carrying the return and Case 3 is with the center conductor removed and the image carrying the return (two wire open line). Notice the remarkable insensitivity to the proximity effect a coax has (1.5%) compared to the other cases, The effects of various geometrical distortions are shown in Fig 8. The initial geometry of the three cases shown is an RG-19 spaced 1.04 radii from ground. Case 1 is for the center conductor moved off center along the X axis by <u>+</u> .25 sheath radii. Case 2 is

for the center conductor moved along the γ axis by \pm .25 sheath radii. Case 3 is for an eliptial distortion of the sheath, elongated along the γ axis by 0 to .25 sheath radii.

Comparing the data of Figures 2, 6, and 8 it is obvious that the ratio of mutual to self inductance M_{12}/L_2 is predominantly determined by the thickness of the outer sheath and the proximity and mechanical distortion effects can be neglected in most cases.





CASE 1, Coax above ground plane CASE 2, Round conductor above ground plane

FIGURE 7

Current Distribution

vs Ø

 $R_1 = .33 (cm)$ $R_2 = 1.1938 (cm)$ D = 1.2438 (cm)

MODEL OF A REAL CIRCUIT

Shown in Fig. 9 is the circuit model of a pulse transmission coax including the ground plane. The cable is a Dielectric Sciences DS-2019, 61 meters long and modeled at an average of 15 cm above the ground plane. The distributed circuit of the cable and ground plane is modeled by 100 finite elements. The driving source is 330 KV with a one microsecond rise time and a 27.68 ohm source resistance. A FORTRAN computer code was used to solve the circuit by coventional loop current techniques. The result of the analysis giving the voltage from sheath to ground at the sending end is plotted in Fig. 10, also shown is the measured voltage. The cable was driven through a pulse transformer, CCG is the secondary to ground capacitance and RA is a 60 onm resistor used to monitor the voltage via a current transformer.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the transient voltage which develops on the sheath of a coaxial cable under pulse conditions may be explained, analyzed and reasonably well predicted based upon the difference between the mutual inductance and the sheath inductance of the cable.

REFERENCES

- John D. Ryder, Networkds Lines and Fields, 2nd Ed, Chapt 6, Prentice Hall, 1955.
- Frederick W. Grover, Inductance Calculations, Working Formulas and Tables, P 271, Dover, 1962.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is expressed for the assistance and cooperation in providing experimental data to J. J. Moriarty, P. A. Corbier, and Dr F. Donald Angelo of Raytheon Missile Systems Division.





MODEL OF DS 2019 CABLE 15 cm ABOVE GROUND

R	22. 6 8	RÁ	60.0				
Ľ1	1.65 E- 8	M12	8.03E-8	С	9.3°E-11		
L2	5.77E-8	M13	3.23E-8	CG	7.98E-12		
L3	4.30E-8	M23	4.085-8	CCG	2.00E-9		

