HCAT/JCAT Program Review Meeting



Cadmium Alternatives for High-Strength Steel JTP – Phase II

Marriott New Orleans New Orleans, LA 24 JAN 07

Air Force Technical Point of Contact: Dr. Elizabeth Berman CTC Subtask Manager: Tamera Crocco

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE 24 JAN 2007		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
Cadmium Alternatives for High-Strength Steel JTP -Phase II			5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
			5e. TASK NUMBER				
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson AFB, OH, 45433 8. PERFORMING				G ORGANIZATION ER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 27th Replacement of Hard Chrome and Cadmium Plating Program Review Meeting, January 23-25, 2007, New Orleans, LA. Sponsored by SERDP/ESTCP.							
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	ь. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 18	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

Project Overview



Objective

Assess DoD-selected cadmium alternatives in accordance with the DoD-approved Joint Test Protocol (JTP) for both traditional plating and brush plating of HSS applications (JTP available at www.jgpp.com – JCAT links)

Approach

• Three-phased approach (JTP Test Matrix)

- Phase I (preliminary requirements focused)
- Phase II (overall performance focused)
- Phase III (fatigue testing focused)
- Down-selection of candidates for further testing after each phase of testing is complete (Phases I & II)

Project Team Members



- AFRL Dr. Elizabeth Berman
- CTC Ms. Tamera Crocco and Ms. Leanne Debias
- NAVAIR Mr. Steve Brown
- Boeing Mr. Joe Osborne
- ARL Mr. Brian Plosankis
- WMTR Mr. Jay Curry
- Hill AFB Mr. Nate Hughes
- Alumiplate Mr. Gus Vallejo
- Marshall Laboratories Mr. John Marshall

Phase I Overview and Selection Process



Coatings tested during Phase I

- Traditional plating (primary coatings):
 - Sputtered Aluminum (Marshall Labs)
 - Electroplated Aluminum (Alumiplate)
 - LHE Zn-Ni (Dipsol IZ-C17)
 - Acidic Zn-Ni (Boeing, Seattle)
 - Sn-Zn (Dipsol)

Tests Conducted

- Hydrogen Embrittlement
- Re-embrittlement
- Adhesion

Down-Selection Process to Phase II

- WebEx Teleconference to review results
- Team Members voted on Phase II candidates Completed Jan 06

- Brush plating (repair coatings):
 - Brush Zn-Ni (SIFCO 4018)
 - Brush Sn-Zn (LDC 5030)
 - Spray Aluminum-ceramic (Sermetel 249/273)

Alternative Selection – Phase I

Coatings selected for Phase II

- Primary test coatings
 - LHE Zinc-Nickel (Dipsol IZ-C17)
 - Electroplated Aluminum
 - Sputtered Aluminum
 - Controls Cadmium and IVD AI
- Repair test coatings
 - Aluminum-Ceramic Repair Coating (Sermetel)
 - Zinc-nickel brush repair
 - Tin-Zinc brush repair
 - Control Cd Brush

All Phase II testing methods will be performed according to the procedures and requirements in the JTP

Phase II Tests



Test Category	Test	Testing Facility	
Concret Properties	Appearance	CTC (POC – Leanne Debias)	
	Throwing power and alloy composition uniformity	CTC	
General Properties	Strippability	NAVAIR (POC – Steve Brown)	
	Galvanic potential	ARL (POC – Brian Plosankis)	
	Bend adhesion	NAVAIR	
Adnesion	Paint adhesion	NAVAIR	
	Unscribed NSS* (bare)	ARL	
	Scribed NSS* (bare)	ARL	
Corrector	Galvanic corrosion resistance	ARL	
Corrosion	Fluid corrosion resistance	ARL	
	Scribed, painted salt spray	NAVAIR (paint), ARL (test)	
	Scribed and unscribed SO ₂ salt spray	NAVAIR	
	Run-on/Break-away torque	WMTR (POC – Jay Curry)	
Lubricity	Torque-tension & torque-tension of corrosion- exposed fasteners	WMTR	
	Appearance & Thickness	CTC	
Paparahility	Bend adhesion	ARL	
Reparability	Paint adhesion	ARL	
	Scribed and unscribed salt spray	ARL	
Quality Assurance	Hydrogen embrittlement – notched bar	NAVAIR	

Status of Phase II Testing



		Received from vendor	In Testing		
Coating	Vendor		NAVAIR	ARL	WMTR
Primary		•	· .		÷
Cd Plate - Control	OO-ALC	2/16/07*	2/26/07*	2/26/07*	2/26/07*
IVD Aluminum - Control	OO-ALC	2/16/07*	2/26/07*	2/26/07*	2/26/07*
Sputtered Alumium	Marshall Labs	12/4/06**	1/12/07	1/29/07*	1/12/07
Electroplated Alumium	Alumiplate	10/23/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	1/12/07
LHE Zinc – Nickel	Dipsol of America	11/13/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	1/12/07
Repair					
Cd Brush – Control	Boeing St. Louis	11/13/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	NA
Sermetal	Boeing St. Louis	11/13/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	NA
LHE Zinc - Nickel Brush	Boeing St. Louis	11/13/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	NA
LHE Tin - Zinc Brush	Boeing St. Louis	11/13/06	11/30/06	1/29/07*	NA

*Anticipated dates ** Flat panels only

Phase II Down - Selection Processie

- Same Down-Selection Procedure Will be Used at the End of the Phase II As Was Used at the End of the Phase I
 - Down-Selection Test Report to be issued for AFRL/JCAT review (JUL 07)
 - WebEx teleconference to review the Phase II Test Report (AUG 07)
 - Team Members to Vote on Candidates for Inclusion in the Phase III effort
 - Any alternatives with clear deficiencies in a number of test categories will be eliminated from Phase III testing
 - If all Phase II test results are acceptable, then all primary and repair coatings will be tested in Phase III

Phase III Testing



Phase III Testing Methods

- Primary Coatings
 - Rotating beam fatigue smooth bar
 - Rotating beam fatigue notched bar
 - Bend adhesion (quality assurance)
 - Hydrogen embrittlement (quality assurance)
- Repair Coatings
 - Rotating beam fatigue smooth bar
- Phase III Test Facilities
 - All fatigue testing will be performed at WMTR
 - NAVAIR will perform the quality assurance testing

Timeline



Activity	Start Date	End Date
Selection of Alternatives from Phase I	Nov-05	Jan-06
Formulation of Phases II and III Test Plan	Jan-06	Mar-06
Purchase/receive materials & secure subcons for Phase II	Mar-06	Sept-06
Ship and coat samples for Phase II	Sept-06	Jan-07
Phase II Testing	Nov-06	Jun-07
Phase II Interim Report	Feb-07	Jul-07
Selection of Alternatives for Phase III	Jul-07	Aug-07
Purchase/receive materials & secure subcons for Phase III	Aug-07	Jan-08
Ship and coat samples for Phase III	Jan-08	Apr-08
Phase III Testing	Apr-08	Sep-08
Final Technical Report (JTR)	Aug-08	Nov-08

Summary



- Selected alternatives from Phase I have been coated
- Alternatives are currently in Phase II testing (CTC, NAVAIR, ARL, WMTR)
- The Phase II Interim Report is planned to be complete in JUL 07
- A web-ex teleconference will be held to review the report and select alternatives for Phase III – AUG 07
- Phase III is planned to begin in AUG 07





Testing Procedures



Description of Testing Methods

General properties (primary coatings)

- Appearance visual exam
- Throwing power
 - Test fixture surrounds panel, with one access slot
 - Fixture + panel is placed in solution at 3 different orientations
 - Uniformity of coating is measured at 3 locations on each panel
- Strippability
 - Specimens are stripped by vendor-recommended method
 - Half of specimens are tested
 - Remaining specimens are recoated and tested
 - Hydrogen Embrittlement
 - Adhesion
- Galvanic Potential
 - Three types of measurements are performed over 5 days: open circuit potential measurement, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, and tafel analysis



Adhesion (primary coatings)

- Bend adhesion
 - Specimen is bent back and forth through 180° until the coating and/or substrate ruptures
- Wet tape paint adhesion
 - Primers are applied to test panels (14 day cure)
 - MIL-PRF-85582 Type I, Class C1
 - MIL-PRF-85582, Type I, Class N
 - MIL-PRF-23377 Type 1, Class C
 - Panels are immersed in distilled water at following conditions:
 - 23°C for 24 hours
 - 49°C for 96 hours
 - 65°C for 168 hours
 - Perform tape adhesion according to ASTM D3359, Method B



- Corrosion (primary coatings)
 - Unscribed and Scribed Neutral Salt Spray (bare)
 - Bare panels exposed to a 5% NaCl solution sprayed at 35°C, until coating failure
 - Galvanic corrosion resistance
 - Components of test assemblies: 2024 or 7075 AI test block, coated with MIL-PRF-85582, Class 1, Type N, test washer (4 alloys), nuts, bolts, anodized washers
 - Test assemblies are exposed to salt fog for 168 hours and cyclic corrosion for 336 hours
 - Fluid corrosion resistance
 - Immerse panels in specified fluid at 100°F for 7 days
 - Test fluids: sea water, deicers, paint removers, cleaners, lubricants (14 total)



Corrosion (continued)

- Scribed Painted Neutral Salt Spray
 - Test panels are primed with
 - MIL-PRF-85582 Type I, Class C1
 - MIL-PRF-85582, Type I, Class N
 - MIL-PRF-23377 Type 1, Class C
 - Test panels are exposed to 5% NaCl solution at 35°C for 3000 hours or until red rust

Scribed and Unscribed SO₂ Salt Spray

- Unpainted panels and scribed, painted panels (same primers as above)
- Expose to 5% NaCl and SO₂ gas IAW ASTM G85 A4 until coating failure (red rust)



- Lubricity (primary coatings)
 - Run-on/Breakaway Torque
 - Record maximum locking torque after 2 complete turns from point where the top of the nut is flush with the end of the bolt
 - Breakaway torque is measured during removal of the nut
 - Measure for 15 lock/breakaway cycles and examine at 10x for thread damage
 - Torque Tension
 - Measure torque and induced load with test fixture for the range of 30%-60% of the bolt UTS
 - Repeat for a total of 5 cycles
 - Torque Tension of corrosion-exposed fasteners
 - Assemble bolts/nuts/washers onto an AI test block
 - Torque to 60% of UTS for bolt and exposed to cyclic corrosion for 28 days
 - Measure breakaway torque and compare to unexposed set



- Repairability (repair brush coatings)
 - Initial qualification coating applied to bare substrate and tested
 - Final qualification candidate primary coating of choice is abraded to generate a bare area and then repaired with a brush plating
 - Testing Methods
 - Appearance visual exam
 - Bend adhesion bend specimen back over itself until rupture
 - Thickness cross-section and microscopy
 - Scribed and unscribed salt spray (bare) until failure
 - Paint adhesion apply primers, immerse in distilled water at same temps/times as primary coatings, and perform cross-hatch adhesion according to ASTM D3359, method B
- Quality assurance HE testing to compare to Phase I