Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.						
1. REPORT DATE 05 DEC 2007		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
The Kelvin Wave and the Madden-Julian Oscillation in Aqua-plant Simulations by the Naval Research Laboratory Spectral Element				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
Atmospheric Model (NSEAM)			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, 93943				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII	LABILITY STATEMENT					
Approved for publ	ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	DTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	23	RESI ONSIDEL LEKSON	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

1	The Kelvin wave and the Madden-Julian Oscillation in aqua-plant simulations by
2	the Naval Research Laboratory Spectral Element Atmospheric Model (NSEAM)
3	
4	Young-Joon Kim ¹ , Francis X. Giraldo ² , Maria Flatau ¹ , Chi-Sann Liou ¹ , and Melinda S.
5	Peng ¹
6	¹ Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA
7	² Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA
8	yj.kim@nrlmry.navy.mil
9	
10	5 December 2007
11	Submitted to Geophysical Research Letters
12	
13	The Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Spectral Element Atmospheric Model (NSEAM)
14	with full physics included is used to investigate the organization and propagation of

15 equatorial waves under the aqua-planet conditions. The sensitivity of the simulation to 16 the distribution of the vertical levels and selected details of the model's precipitation 17 physics is discussed utilizing simulated convective precipitation with the aid of the time-18 longitude plots and spectral diagrams. It is shown that the Kelvin wave simulation 19 depends strongly on the details of the vertical level distribution and the methods 20 associated with the lifting condensation level. While significant variability is found 21 among the aqua-planet simulations by global atmospheric models, the new model captures the essential interaction between the dynamics and physics of the atmosphere 22 23 such that the simulated speed and spectrum of the eastward propagating Kelvin waves

and the signature of the Madden-Julian Oscillation are as approximately predicted by
 simplified theory and limited observations.

- 3
- 4

5 1. Introduction

6

7 The US Navy has recently developed a new high-accuracy global atmospheric model, which scales efficiently on current and future state-of-the-art computing 8 9 platforms: the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Spectral Element Atmospheric Model, 10 or NSEAM. Its dynamical core [Giraldo and Rosmond, 2004] is based on "spectral 11 element" methods projected to three-dimensional Cartesian coordinates, which 12 effectively eliminate the pole singularity problem of spherical coordinates and combine 13 the local domain decomposition property of finite-element methods with the high-order 14 accuracy of spectral transform methods.

15 NSEAM can adopt any horizontal model grid, fixed or variable, and various time 16 integrators such as Eulerian [Giraldo and Rosmond, 2004], semi-implicit [Giraldo, 2005], 17 semi-Lagrangian [Giraldo et al., 2003], or hybrid Eulerian-Lagrangian semi-implicit 18 [Giraldo, 2006] methods. Its spectral element formulation maintains the high-order 19 accuracy of spherical harmonics that the current Navy's global atmospheric model is 20 based on, while it offers flexibility to use any form of variable grid to enhance horizontal 21 grid resolutions in strategic regions. Its dynamical core scales efficiently, i.e., allows the 22 use of large numbers of processors, and was validated using various barotropic and 23 baroclinic test cases [Giraldo and Rosmond, 2004]. The model can be discretized

vertically with any grid, but the mass and energy conserving flux-form of the finite difference method on the terrain-following (σ) coordinate is first selected for comparison
 with existing models.

4 For this study, we modify and expand the NSEAM dynamical core to include the 5 physics package used in the operational version of the Navy Operational Global 6 Atmospheric Prediction System or NOGAPS (Hogan and Rosmond [1991]; see also Kim 7 [2007] for a list of recent model physics improvements), but without the land surface 8 parameterization. We select the hexahedral grid that consists of six faces of a 9 hexahedron, each of which contains a desired number of quadrilateral elements [Giraldo 10 and Rosmond, 2004]. The grid can be stretched to enhance the resolution of a selected 11 region, e.g., the tropics.

12 Among the methods to validate an atmospheric model is to force the model under 13 controlled and simplified sets of boundary and initial conditions so that the results can be 14 interpreted in a relatively straightforward manner and also inter-comparable to those of 15 other similar models although the correct solution is not quite known except by 16 simplified theory and limited indirect observations. A good recent example is the aqua-17 planet experiments proposed by Neale and Hoskins [2001a, b] in which the earth is 18 covered with flat water only. These experiments provide a useful and convenient test-bed 19 for investigating the interaction between the dynamics and physics in atmospheric models. 20 In this study, we validate NSEAM by configuring it for aqua-planet experiments 21 following Neale and Hoskins [2001a]. We perform various sensitivity experiments in 22 order to improve the aqua-planet simulation. Sensitivity of aqua-planet simulations to 23 horizontal resolution was studied previously. For example, Lorant and Royer [2001]

1 found from general circulation model (GCM) experiments that with higher resolution the 2 convective cells are more intensified and concentrated, being accompanied by improved 3 simulation of equatorial waves that modulate near-equatorial convection. Sensitivity of 4 aqua-planet simulations to vertical resolution was also studied earlier. For example, 5 Inness et al. [2001] compared between 19 and 30 (unevenly-spaced) layer versions of 6 their GCM and discussed its implications for Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) in view 7 of the moisture budget. They reported that the effect of convection is to moisten / dry the 8 lower troposphere in their 19 / 30 layer simulations, respectively. In the present study, 9 we investigate further sensitivity of the aqua-planet simulations to selected details of the 10 model such as the vertical distribution - as well as the resolution - of the model levels 11 and the way the lifting condensation level (LCL) is calculated or used in the context of 12 the frequency and propagation of simulated Kelvin waves and MJO.

13

14

15 **2. The Aqua-Planet Experiments**

16

17 NSEAM is configured to perform aqua-planet simulations basically following the 18 Aqua-Planet Experiment (APE) Intercomparison Project recommendations [*Neale and* 19 *Hoskins*, 2001a, b]: The earth is covered with water with no orography, land or sea ice. 20 The sea surface temperature prescribed is zonally uniform and symmetric with respect to 21 the equator as in the "control" case of *Neale and Hoskins* [2001a] – see Fig. 1. Earth 22 eccentricity and obliquity are set to zero. The solar insolation is fixed to the equinoctial 23 condition with solar constant of 1365 Wm⁻². We include the diurnal cycle in this study. 1 CO_2 is prescribed to the amount of 348 ppmv following the Atmospheric Model 2 Intercomparison Project (AMIP; Gates [1992]) II. The ozone is also taken from AMIP II 3 and zonally averaged, but is symmetrized with respect to the equator for this study. 4 Furthermore, we take the year 2005 averages of humidity and air temperature from the 5 National Centers for Environmental Prediction / National Center for Atmospheric 6 Research (NCEP/NCAR) Reanalysis data, which are also zonally-averaged and 7 symmetrized with respect to the equator. We impose this latitudinal symmetry of the 8 ozone, humidity and air temperature to remove the initial asymmetry so that any 9 asymmetry that develops during simulation is due solely to the model physics.

10 The time integration is done semi-implicitly with second-order backward 11 difference method. The time step is 300 s while the radiation parameterization is called 12 every hour. We include a simple second-order hyper-viscosity for physics by generalizing the approach introduced in *Giraldo* [1999] with $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = S(q) + \mu \nabla^2 q$, where q is 13 any prognostic physical variable, S represents the forcing, and $\mu = 1 \times 10^6 \text{ (m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$ is the 14 default value used in this study. For the horizontal grid, we choose 6 elements on one 15 face in one direction and 8th polynomial order of the basis functions (Fig.1). This 16 17 horizontal resolution is roughly equivalent to the triangular spectral truncation at 18 wavenumber 54 (i.e., T54) or 2.2°. We use 20 or 30 vertical levels, either evenly-spaced 19 or unevenly-spaced in σ with the model top pressure of 1 hPa (Fig. 2). The simulations 20 are run for one year with the first 30 days considered a spin-up period and excluded in the 21 averages of 12-hour interval outputs.

22

3. The Simulation of Kelvin Waves and Madden-Julian Oscillation

2

3 Figure 3 shows time-longitude (or Hovmoeller) plots of the convective 4 precipitation averaged over the equator $(5^{\circ}S \sim 5^{\circ}N)$ from simulation day 30 to 120. We 5 found that after about 20 days, the simulations become very steady (at least for two years) 6 and selected this 90-day interval to be compared with Fig. 3a of Neale and Hoskins 7 [2001b]. There is clear difference between L20 (Fig. 3a) and L30 (Fig. 3b) evenly-8 spaced vertical level cases (L20e and L30e, hereafter) in the speed of the eastward-9 propagating waves (i.e., Kelvin waves) while the magnitude of the precipitation is similar. 10 With L20e it takes about slightly more than two months in average for the Kelvin waves 11 to circle the earth along the equator, but with L30e it takes more than four months. This 12 speed difference can be explained by the greater vertical scale of the convective systems 13 in the L20e case, which implies greater equivalent depth and higher vertical modes 14 generated in this case. The vertical scale for both cases was estimated from the average 15 cloud-top height, which was about twice higher in L20e than in L30e.

The propagation speeds of Kelvin waves in both L20e and L30e are fairly low compared with the observed atmospheric Kelvin waves (e.g., *Masunaga et al.* [2006]) and other simulations (e.g., *Neale and Hoskins* [2001b], *Moncrieff et al.* [2007]). However, changing the vertical structure of the model strongly influences the phase speed of the modeled waves. Figure 3c shows the Hovmoeller diagram for L30u (L30 with unevenly-spaced levels), with tightly spaced levels near the surface and at the top of the atmosphere and loosely spaced levels in between (compare Figs. 2b and 2c). The Kelvin wave propagation speed for L30u is higher than those for L30e and L20e and
 closer to the observed values.

3 The corresponding convective precipitation, averaged over about 11 months (days 4 30~365), reveals relatively narrow / broad and strong / weak distribution along the 5 equatorial band with L30e / L30u case, respectively (implicitly shown in Figs. 3b and 3c; 6 note the difference in the color scale). In order to understand this difference, we 7 computed the difference of the moisture between these two cases and found the moisture 8 over the equator in the lower troposphere (averaged over $700 \sim 950$ hPa) was greater with 9 L30u, implying that more moisture is available for L30u with the vertical intervals in this 10 height range larger than L30e (σ ~0.93 corresponds to 940 hPa; see Fig. 2).

11 We then compared the zonally averaged cumulus heating rate (also averaged over 700 ~ 950 hPa for days 30~365) between L30e and L30u, which is derived from the 12 13 temperature tendency calculated by the Emanuel convective cloud parameterization used 14 in our model [Emanuel and Zivkovic-Rothman, 1999; Peng et al., 2004]. There was a 15 marked difference between the two cases; L30e showed the highest peak directly over the 16 equator and secondary peaks over the middle latitudes whereas L30u showed the highest 17 peaks over the middle latitudes and the sharp secondary peak over the equator. It turned 18 out (not shown) that in L30e the moisture (although itself is less abundant) is more localized over the equator, the easterly winds are stronger over the equator and the 19 20 meridional winds are stronger toward the equator, resulting in stronger and more 21 localized low-level moisture convergence toward the equator and, consequently, stronger 22 and more localized moisture convection and convective precipitation.

1 The spectral analysis of tropical waves introduced by *Wheeler and Kiladis* [1999] 2 is an effective and convenient tool for investigating the organization and propagation of 3 equatorial waves. Figure 4 compares the (equator-) symmetric component of the 4 wavenumber-frequency decomposition divided by the background spectrum obtained 5 using the simulated convective precipitation. The excessively low propagation speeds of 6 L20e and L30e shown by the Hovmoeller plots (Figs. 3a, 3b) are confirmed in Figs. 4a 7 and 4b whereas L30u (Fig. 4c) reveals much improved frequency compared with the 8 theoretical lines obtained from the dispersion relations for a shallow water system (see 9 the Fig. 4 legend for details).

10 Moreover, we find that the Kelvin wave propagation depends critically on details 11 of the model physics; particularly those that involve vertical motion. First, we modify the 12 Emanuel convective parameterization so that that a thicker layer is used to determine the 13 LCL. The Hovmoeller plot from this experiment with L30u is shown in Fig. 3d. The 14 rather scattered cloud clusters that were present in L30u with the old LCL formulation 15 (Fig. 3c) are now better organized with the new LCL formulation (Fig. 3d) while 16 maintaining the dominant propagation speed of the old LCL case (Fig. 3c). Next, we 17 change the limiting value of the specified temperature deficit at the LCL (ΔT_{max}) in the 18 Emanuel convective cloud parameterization from 0.9 to 1.2 K, which then enhances 19 chance of the convection. The result (Fig. 3e) involves far stronger organization of the 20 cloud clusters. It is interesting that increasing ΔT_{max} produces the bimodal structure of 21 the eastward propagating perturbations with the slow moving waves propagating with the 22 speed of MJO (marked by dashed lines) and faster waves corresponding to observed 23 Kelvin waves (solid lines). When the precipitation fields are filtered for the observed 1 MJO and Kelvin wave modes, we can see the resemblance between the modified ΔT_{max} 2 case and observed Kelvin and MJO modes, with more intense Kelvin waves developing 3 during the "wet" MJO phase. This relationship is not present in other experiments 4 presented in this study.

5 The Wheeler-Kiladis diagrams corresponding to these additional experiments are 6 presented in Figs. 4d and 4e. It is apparent that with these physics modifications the 7 wave spectrum patterns deviate more from the linear solutions (the theoretical lines) than 8 the original case (Fig. 4c). It is perhaps due to a separation of the wave modes from pure 9 Kelvin wave mode to a faster moving Kelvin wave mode and a slower moving mode. 10 This is clearly seen as separated spectral peaks in Fig. 4e and additionally in Fig. 4f that 11 is from another experiment with both the new LCL and ΔT_{max} . The spectral peaks with 12 periods greater than 30 days at eastward propagating wave numbers 1 and 2 are 13 considered a signature of the MJO [Neale and Hoskins, 2001b]. Our spectrum (Fig. 4f, in 14 particular) is fairly similar to that based on observed deep-storm cloudiness and rainfall 15 (see Fig. 1e of Masunaga et al. [2006] and Fig. 10d of Cho et al. [2004], respectively). 16 In this regard, the slower moving mode, which is represented by the slower moving 17 clusters (Figs. 3d, 3e) and the low frequency spectral peak (Figs. 4c, 4e, 4f), may be 18 associated with the MJO. On the other hand, the spectral peak that appears at wave 19 number 5 in Fig. 4d, which is also found in Fig. 3b of *Neale and Hoskins* [2001b], 20 requires further investigation.

21

22

23 4. Concluding Remarks

2 Even under a controlled environment of the aqua-planet experiments, the propagation of equatorial waves vary widely among the models - even the simulated 3 4 propagation directions of the equatorial waves are opposite in some models (see, e.g., Fig. 5 3 of *Moncrieff et al.* [2007]). This can be due to many reasons, but the convective cloud 6 parameterization may be the prime suspect. Atmospheric models are sensitive to many 7 details of the model, in particular to the physical parameterizations involving vertical 8 motions of air parcels. Indeed, cumulus parameterization is considered one of the most 9 dominant modeling components that generate uncertainties in large-scale atmospheric 10 simulation (e.g., Tomita et al. [2005], Moncrieff et al. [2007]).

11 In this study we have explored such uncertainties utilizing our model, NSEAM. 12 We have demonstrated that the simulations of convective activities can be quite different 13 even with the same convective cloud parameterization depending on the distribution of 14 vertical levels as well as they are sensitive to the details of the parameterization itself. 15 For this reason, some standardization of vertical levels may be useful for more direct 16 intercomparison among the models (e.g., for the APE). Furthermore, we have presented 17 results demonstrating that the model can be calibrated to capture some basic 18 characteristics of the Kelvin waves and MJO, which is encouraging considering the wide 19 variability found among similar aqua-planet simulations.

- 20
- 21

22 Acknowledgments

1	The support from the sponsor, the Office of Naval Research under ONR Program
2	Elements 0602435N and 0601153N is acknowledged. The comments from R. Hodur, S.
3	Chang, and discussion with T. Hogan are appreciated. The computing time was provided
4	jointly by the Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, and the DoD NAVO MSRC (Naval
5	Oceanographic Office Major Shared Resource Center) via the HPC program.
6	
7	
8	References
9	
10	Cho, HK., K. P. Bowman, and G. R. North (2004), Equatorial waves including the
11	Madden–Julian Oscillation in TRMM rainfall and OLR data. J. Climate 17, 4387-
12	4406.
13	Emanuel, K. A., and M. Zivkovic-Rothman (1999), Development and evaluation of a
14	convection scheme for use in climate models, J. Atmos. Sci. 56, 1766-1782.
15	Gates, W. L. (1992), AMIP: The Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project. Bull.
16	Amer. Meteorol. Soc. 73, 1962–1970.
17	Giraldo, F. X. (1999), Trajectory calculations for spherical geodesic grids in Cartesian
18	space. Mon. Wea. Rev. 127, 1651-1662.
19	Giraldo, F. X. (2005), Semi-implicit time-integrators for a scalable spectral element
20	atmospheric model. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 131, 2431-2454. doi: 10.1256/qj.03.218
21	Giraldo, F. X. (2006), Hybrid Eulerian-Lagrangian semi-implicit time-integrators.
22	Computers and Mathematics with Applications. 52, 1325-1342.

1	Giraldo, F. X., J. B. Perot, and P. F. Fischer (2003), A spectral element semi-Lagrangian
2	(SESL) method for the spherical shallow water equations. J. Comput. Phys. 190,
3	623-650.
4	Giraldo, F. X., and T. E. Rosmond (2004), A scalable spectral element Eulerian
5	atmospheric model (SEE-AM) for NWP: Dynamical core tests. Mon. Wea. Rev. 132.
6	133-153.
7	Hogan, T. F., and T. E. Rosmond (1991), The description of the Navy Operational Global
8	Atmospheric Prediction System's spectral forecast model, Mon. Wea. Rev. 119,
9	1186-1815.
10	Inness, P. M., J. M. Slingo, S. J. Woolnough, R. B. Neale, and V. D. Pope (2001),
11	Organization of tropical convection in a GCM with varying vertical resolution;
12	implications for the simulation of the Madden-Julian Oscillation, Clim. Dyn. 17,
13	777-793.
14	Kim, YJ. (2007), Balance of drag between the middle and lower atmospheres in a
15	global atmospheric forecast model, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D13104,
16	doi:10.1029/2007JD008647.
17	Lorant, V., and JF. Royer (2001), Sensitivity of equatorial convection to horizontal
18	resolution in aqua-planet simulations with a variable-resolution GCM. Mon. Wea.
19	<i>Rev. 129</i> , 2730-2745.
20	Masunaga, H., T. S. L'Ecuyer, and C. D. Kummerow (2006), The Madden-Julian
21	Oscillation recorded in early observations from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring
22	Mission (TRMM). J. Atmos. Sci. 63, 2777-2794.

1	Moncrieff, M., M. A. Shapiro, J. M. Slingo, and F. Molteni (2007), Collaborative
2	research at the intersection of weather and climate. WMO Bulletin. 56 (3).
3	Neale, R. B., and B. J. Hoskins (2001a), A standard test for AGCMs and their physical
4	parameterizations. I: The proposal. Atmos. Sci. Letters. 1,
5	doi:10.1006/asle.2000.0019.
6	Neale, R. B., and B. J. Hoskins (2001b), A standard test for AGCMs and their physical
7	parameterizations. II: Results for the Met Office model. Atmos. Sci. Letters. 1,
8	doi:10.1006/asle.2000.0020.
9	Peng, M. S., J. A. Ridout, and T. F. Hogan (2004), Recent modifications of the Emanuel
10	convective scheme in the Naval Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System.
11	Mon. Wea. Rev. 132, 1254-1268.
12	Tomita, H., H. Miura, S. Iga, T. Nasuno, and M. Satoh (2005), A global cloud-resolving
13	simulation: Preliminary results from an aqua planet experiment, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
14	32, L08805, doi:10.1029/2005GL022459.
15	Wheeler, M., and G. N., Kiladis (1999), Convectively coupled equatorial waves: analysis
16	of clouds and temperature in the wavenumber-frequency domain. J. Atmos. Sci. 56,
17	374–399.
18	
19	
20	Figure Captions
21	
22	Fig. 1. The sea surface temperature [K] prescribed for the aqua-planet experiments with
23	NSEAM, proposed by Neale and Hoskins [2001a]. Superimposed are the hexahedral grid

points with 6 elements on one face in one direction and 8th polynomial order of the basis
 functions.

3

Fig. 2. The vertical grids used for the NSEAM aqua-planet simulations. (a) 20 evenlyspaced σ levels, (b) 30 evenly-spaced σ levels, and (c) 30 unevenly-spaced σ levels with
tightly-spaced levels near the surface and the top and loosely-spaced intermediate levels.

7

Fig. 3. Time-longitude plot from days 30 to 120 of the convective precipitation [10- 1 mm/day] averaged for an equatorial band (5°S ~ 5°N) from the NSEAM aqua-planet experiments (a) L20e, (b) L30e, (c) L30u, (d) L30u with new LCL, and (e) L30u with modified ΔT_{max} . Note that a smaller contour interval is used for (c) ~ (e). The solid lines are drawn to help estimate the propagation speed of cloud clusters. The dashed lines in (d) and (e) are drawn to identify slower moving clusters distinguished from faster moving counterparts denoted by the solid lines.

15

Fig. 4. The symmetric component of the wavenumber-frequency decomposition of the 16 17 convective precipitation following Wheeler and Kiladis [1999] for cases (a) L20e, (b) 18 L30e, (c) L30u, (d) L30u with new LCL, (e) L30u with modified ΔT_{max} , and (f) L30u 19 with both new LCL and modified ΔT_{max} for days 30 through 365. The straight lines 20 denote the shallow-water dispersion relations for equatorial Kelvin waves as shown in 21 Fig. 3b of Neale and Hoskins [2001b]. Also shown in (a) are the approximate Kelvin 22 wave propagation speeds, which correspond to equivalent depths of 50, 25 and 12 m from 23 left.



Figure 1



Figure 2







Figure 4



Figure 4 (cont.)



Figure 4 (cont.)