

U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Enabling Sustainable NESHAP Compliance for Army Installations

Patrick Taylor

Hughes Associates, Inc.

RDECOM Environmental Acquisition & Logistics Sustainment Program

7 May 2009

| maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing | lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number. | ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Infor | regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports | or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis | nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. REPORT DATE 07 MAY 2009 | A DEDORT TYPE | | | 3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009 | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER | | |
| Enabling Sustainable NESHAP Compliance for Army Installations | | | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | | |
| | | | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited | | | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the Ni held 4-7 May 2009 | DIA Environment, I | Energy Security & S | ustainability (E2 | S2) Symposit | um & Exhibition | |
| 14. ABSTRACT | | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC | 17. LIMITATION OF | 18. NUMBER | 19a. NAME OF | | | |
| a. REPORT unclassified | b. ABSTRACT unclassified | c. THIS PAGE unclassified | Same as Report (SAR) | OF PAGES 19 | RESPONSIBLE PERSON | |

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188





- What higher HQ is doing to enable NESHAP compliance
- What regulated sources need to do to take advantage
- What happens next



RDT&E Approach to Compliance: Background (1)

- Sustainable Painting Operations for the Total Army (SPOTA) program to eliminate hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) in coatings
 - Materials developed and tested on lab-scale "03-"07
 - Materials demonstrated in real environments "08-"11
 - Materials approved and implemented throughout
 - Baseline HAP-containing materials phased out after implementation



RDT&E Approach to Compliance: Background (2)

- Focusing on six major classes of materials used on all families of Army materiel
 - Paints, including the Chemical Agent Resistant Coating (CARC) system
 - Solvents, thinners and cleaners
 - Depainting materials (a.k.a., paint strippers)
 - Rubber-to-metal bonding adhesives
 - Other miscellaneous sealants and adhesives
 - Coatings intended for use on munitions
- Official endorsements from HQDA and numerous PEOs
 - Demonstrations at AMCOM, TACOM, CECOM, JMC, IMCOM sites



RDT&E Approach to Compliance: Select Products Already Implemented

- HAP-free, water-dispersible CARC topcoat, all types
 - MIL-DTL-64159 specification adopted 2002
 - Type III touch-up kits added 2007
- HAP-free, single component CARC topcoat, type II
 - MIL-DTL-53039 specification revised 2005
 - Type VIII touch-up kits added 2009
- HAP-free enamel for munitions, type II
 - MIL-DTL-11195 specification revised 2003
- HAP-free degreasing solvent
 - MIL-PRF-680 specification revised 2006
- Non-chromate epoxy primers, MIL-PRF-23377 class N and MIL-PRF-85582 class N
 - Developed by NAVAIR, approved by AMCOM 2008
- Non-chromate trivalent chromium pretreatment (TCP)
 - Developed by NAVAIR, approved by AMCOM 2009

 TRL

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

ı



RDT&E Approach to Compliance: Select Products to Look for Near-Term

- HAP-free CARC primers, all types
 - MIL-P-53030 and MIL-P-53022 to be revised
- CARC powder primers
 - New specification to be developed
- Cobalt-free CARC topcoats, all types
 - Green 383 pigment to be replaced by Green 808
 - All specs to be revised
- HAP-free cleaners for wipe, flush and immersion
 - Demonstrations underway, joint specification in development
- HAP-free immersion paint remover
 - One application already demonstrated, others to follow
- HAP-free thinners for paints and adhesives
 - To be incorporated into existing specifications and SOPs
- HAP-free anti-tamper sealant
 - Demonstrated in 2008, implementation to follow

TRL

9

3

7

b

5

4

3

7

1



RDT&E Approach to Compliance: Select Products to Look for Mid-Term

- HAP-free system to replace trichloroethylene vapor degreasers
 - Demonstrations underway for two applications
- CARC powder topcoats
 - New specification to be developed
- HAP-free, non-skid coatings
 - To replace MIL-PRF-24667 and similar products
- HAP-free, high temperature coatings
 - To replace MIL-P-14105 and similar products
- HAP-free, general purpose adhesives
 - To replace MMM-A-121 and similar products
- Non-chromate wash primer
 - To replace DOD-P-15328 and similar products
- HAP-free munitions coatings
 - Numerous different specifications and applications

TRL

9

2

7

6

5

4

3

7

1



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance:Surface Coatings (1)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Numerical HAP content limit on 20 highest use coating specifications

- Demonstrating HAP-free coatings to meet the specifications
- Revising coating specifications to incorporate HAP limits
- Updating qualified product lists to disqualify high-HAP coatings
- Establishing new NSNs for HAP-free coatings, as appropriate
- Cancelling/reassigning existing NSNs for high-HAP coatings
- Changing TMs/DMWRs/TDPs to reference HAP-free coatings
 - Not a short process



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance:Surface Coatings (2)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Numerical HAP content limit on 20 highest use coating specifications

What you still need to do

- Follow all technical data failure to do so could be a violation!
- Order coatings through GSA/DLA to ensure they are qualified
- If you buy these coatings directly from a supplier, either:
 - Require they comply with the <u>MOST CURRENT</u> version of the specification, or
 - Insert HAP limits directly into procurement language
- Keep copies of all technical data
 - TMs/DMWRs/TDPs/SOPs
 - Specifications/Standards
 - MSDSs/Product Data Sheets



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Substrate Cleaning and Paint Thinning (1)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

HAP-free requirement unless otherwise authorized by technical instructions

- Demonstrating HAP-free solvents in a variety of applications
- Revising MIL-PRF-680 specification to be HAP-free
- Developing new Joint, HAP-free General Cleaning Specification
- Establishing new NSNs for HAP-free solvents, as appropriate
- Cancelling/reassigning existing NSNs for high-HAP solvents
- Revising coating specifications to call out thinning procedures
- Changing TMs/DMWRs/TDPs to reference HAP-free solvents
 - Not a short process



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Substrate Cleaning and Paint Thinning (2)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

HAP-free requirement unless otherwise authorized by technical instructions

What you still need to do

- Follow all technical data failure to do so could be a violation!
- When not instructed which solvent to use, <u>ALWAYS</u> select HAP-free
 - Refer to new Joint spec
 - Good idea to tighten hazmat control/pharmacy procedures
- When buying solvents, either:
 - Require they be HAP-free, or
 - Ensure that they are called out by a technical instruction
- Keep copies of all technical data
 - TMs/DMWRs/TDPs/SOPs
 - Specifications/Standards
 - MSDSs/Product Data Sheets



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Paint Equipment Cleanup (1)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Choice of HAP-free solvents or work practice standards

- Demonstrating HAP-free solvents for cleanup of common paint equipment and coatings
 - Investigating dual use as both cleaner and thinner
- Developing new Joint, HAP-free General Cleaning Specification
- Establishing new NSNs for HAP-free solvents, as appropriate
- Cancelling/reassigning existing NSNs for high-HAP solvents



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Paint Equipment Cleanup (2)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Choice of HAP-free solvents or work practice standards

What you still need to do

- Follow all technical data failure to do so could be a violation!
- Change SOPs to implement either:
 - HAP-free cleanup solvents,
 - Enclosed cleaning system,
 - Disassembled spray gun cleaning in closeable container,
 - Non-atomized discharge into closeable container, or
 - Atomized discharge into vapor capture device
- Keep copies of all technical data
 - TMs/DMWRs/TDPs/SOPs
 - Specifications/Standards
 - MSDSs/Product Data Sheets

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSEL



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Methylene Chloride (MeCl) Depainting (1)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Work practices and 50% growth cap for large vats; usage cap outside of vats

- Demonstrating HAP-free chemical paint removers in large vats
- Demonstrating HAP-free chemical paint removers outside of vats
- Demonstrating a variety of mechanical depainting methods
- Revising depainting specifications to eliminate MeCl, as appropriate
- Establishing new NSNs for HAP-free removers, as appropriate
- Changing TMs/DMWRs/TDPs to implement demonstrated methods
 - Not a short process



Enabling DLSME NESHAP Compliance: Methylene Chloride (MeCl) Depainting (2)

Anticipated DLSME NESHAP Limit

Work practices and 50% growth cap for large vats; usage cap outside of vats

What you still need to do

- Follow all technical data failure to do so could be a violation!
- Change SOPs to incorporate compliant work practice standards
 - May require vat modifications
- When expanding or adding production capability, design for HAPfree depainting methods
- When buying removers, either:
 - Require they be HAP-free, or
 - Buy less than the usage cap
- Keep copies of all technical data
 - TMs/DMWRs/TDPs/SOPs
 - Specifications/Standards
 - MSDSs/Product Data Sheets

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



Beyond DLSME:

A Model for Sustainable NESHAP Compliance (1)

- Allocate funding to <u>eliminate pollution</u>, not to:
 - Hunt for loopholes
 - Justify inaction or non-compliance
 - Comply with bare minimum regulatory requirements
- Work with EPA, not against them
 - Both sides benefit DoD gets achievable standards while EPA gets greater emission reductions

Beyond DLSME:

A Model for Sustainable NESHAP Compliance (2)

- Adopt an <u>evolutionary approach</u> to pollution reduction
 - Establish cooperative agreements between agencies
 - Align EPA regulatory timescale with DoD technology timescale
 - EPA would set initial standards at levels DoD can achieve without having to install pollution control devices
 - DoD would commit to improving the state of the technology to exceed initial standards on a continuous, spiraling basis
 - Emissions levels would be reduced faster and greater than through a traditional NESHAP with 10-year review cycle
 - Upshot: the Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) floor would constantly be lowered until it approaches zero emissions



Coming Down the Pike: Aerospace NESHAP Dilemma (1)

- Promulgated 1995, effective 1998
- DoD has already spent \$ millions demonstrating compliance with standards that <u>DO NOT</u> eliminate pollution
 - Ex. "Specialty coatings" with no requirements
 - Ex. "Parts normally removed" with unlimited use of MeCl
 - Ex. "Composite vapor pressure" limits on solvents
 - Most HAP solvents are low in vapor pressure !!!
 - Xylene, ethylbenzene, MIBK, toluene all < 45 mm Hg!!!
 - MEK > 45 mm Hg but no longer a HAP !!!
- 10-year review currently underway
 - Aerospace NESHAP not expected to fulfill recent court rulings on Brick, Boiler and Plywood NESHAPs
 - Too many instances of "no control" MACT floors



Coming Down the Pike: Aerospace NESHAP Dilemma (2)

- How will DoD allocate \$ for more stringent Aerospace NESHAP?
 - Fight for loopholes and exemptions?
 - Install pollution control devices?
 - Try to opt into the DLSME NESHAP?

Why not an evolutionary MACT approach???