The pake uppering button for the collection of information is estimated is average 1 hour par response, including the tune of nonemetic regarding the future of an event of the collection of information. Second commetic regarding this button, estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information. Second commetic regarding this button, the future and commeticate and completing and response the collection of information. Second commetication of information and the subject to any consider the future of the collection of information. Second commetication of information and the collection of information. Second commetication of information and the collection of information and the collection of information. Second commetication of the collection of the collection of information and the collection of the coll	REP	ORT DOCU	MENTATI	ON PAGE	Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188				
I. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE New Reprint 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) A. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Determination of the 5d6s ^{3}D_{1}; state lifetime and blackbody-radiation clock shift in Yb 5. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-11-1-0202 5b. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHORS 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES, ND ADDRESSE Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 78 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 79 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 70 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 71 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 72 Massachusetts Institute MITE 72 MITE TECHNON AVAILBILITY STATEMENT 73 Massachusetts Institute MITE 73 SUBJECT TRMS 74 METEROV TES 74 METEROV (MITEROVETEROV 74 MASTRACT	The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggesstions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any oenalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.								
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Determination of the 5d6s ^{3}D_{1} state lifetime and blackbody-radiation clock shift in Yb 6. AUTHORS K. Beloy, J. A. Sherman, N. D. Lenke, N. Hinkley, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow 6. AUTHORS K. Beloy, J. A. Sherman, N. D. Lenke, N. Hinkley, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Massachusets Institute of Technology (MIT 77. Masachusets I. Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESSE (ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Amy position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the tytter bium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10 ⁴ (-18) inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significanly. We determine this iteration to the is static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significanly. We determine this iteration to the Static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significanly. We determine th	1. REPORT	DATE (DD-MM-	-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE New Reprint			3. DAT	ES COVERED	(From - To)
5. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHORS K. Beley, J. A. Sherman, N. D. Lenke, N. Hinkley, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow 5. GRANT NUMBER 5. GRANT N	4. TITLE Al Determinat	ND SUBTITLE	s ^{3}D_{1} k shift in Vh	state lifetime and		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-11-1-0202			
6. AUTHORS In Doom Sd. PROJECT NUMBER Sd. PROJECT NUMBER K. Beloy, J. A. Sherman, N. D. Lemke, N. Hinkley, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow Sd. PROJECT NUMBER 5. TASK NUMBER 5. FROMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. 9. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AG 11. 9. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. 11. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT T	blackbody-radiation clock shift in Y b					5b. GRANT NUMBER 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
0. A. O. HOMO D. Lemke, N. Hinkley, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow Se. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Se. TASK NUMBER Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 9. SPONSORING/MONTFORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS Io. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING/MONTFORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS Io. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING/MONTFORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS Io. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING/MONTFORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS Io. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING/MONTFORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS Io. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 10. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) II. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) II. SPONSOR/MONTFOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark effect, which was recently measure to high accuracy [J]. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108	6 AUTHOR	29				5d PRO		BER	
Findoly, A. Jushima, K. D. Edick, K. Hukkey, C. W. Ouks, K. D. Ladlow 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) VI.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 60300-PH-DRP_23 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static State effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theorei	K Belov I	A Sherman N	D Lemke N H	inkley C W Oates A	D	Ju. PRO	Let Now	IDER	
5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) U.S. Amy Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 60300-PH-DRP.23 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Amy position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{^{}}(-18) inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this intractate tractical and the two indeamodates the two indeamodates which which wish wish and accuracy tractice. A loce with essaics endictival atomic frequency standards, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks <td>Ludlow</td> <td>rt. Sherman, it.</td> <td>D. Lenke, IV. II</td> <td>inkley, c. w. Gates, A</td> <td>. D.</td> <td>5e. TAS</td> <td>K NUMBEI</td> <td>R</td> <td></td>	Ludlow	rt. Sherman, it.	D. Lenke, IV. II	inkley, c. w. Gates, A	. D .	5e. TAS	K NUMBEI	R	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 Cambridge, MA 02139 -4307 2. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO V.S. Army Research Office 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) P.O. Box 12211 60300-PH-DRP.23 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 60300-PH-DRP.23 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT ARO Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, noom temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this immeduat enstitic approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends						5f. WOI	K UNIT N	UMBER	
0. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) (ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Research Office 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) P.O. Box 12211 NUMBER(S) Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 60300-PH-DRP.23 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT 60300-PH-DRP.23 Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 60300-PH-DRP.23 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this investing descendent to the date to achieved which wish wield conscients to the state approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this investing descendent to achieved which wish wield conscients. A	 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT 77 Massachusetts Ave. NE18-901 						8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 60300-PH-DRP.23 12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 60300-PH-DRP.23 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this invacetant matrix element by two independent mathed, which wish approximation. We determine this invacetant matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this invacetant matrix element by two independent mathed, which wish approximation the reacine redictive 15. SUBJECT TERMS atomic frequency standards, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Vladan Vuletic 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 617-324-1174	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES)					1	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO		
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this invested a subtrick element to the static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this invested evalues that the independent methods, which wish approximation and the static approximation of the clocks. 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT UU UU UU UU UU UU UU UU	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 60300-PH-DRP.23		S REPORT	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this important matrix alement by two independent methods, which wich dependent webbas, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 15. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 15. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 19. ABSTRACT UU UU UU 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER	12. DISTRIE	BUTION AVAIL	IBILITY STATE	EMENT					
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation. 14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this important metric element by two independent methods, which wish consistent values. A long with provise radiative 15. SUBJECT TERMS atomic frequency standards, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 15. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Vladan Vuletic UU UU UU UU UU 0 0 0	Approved to	T public release; o	UISTIDUTION IS UN	innited.					
14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this innectant matrix element by two independent mathods which viold consistent values. A lane with encodes and interval atomic frequency standards, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT UU UU UU UU UU UU	The views, o of the Army	pinions and/or fit position, policy of	ndings contained or decision, unles	in this report are those s so designated by othe	e of the er docu	author(s) and mentation.	should not	contrued as an o	official Department
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 15. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE ABSTRACT OF PAGES Vladan Vuletic UU UU UU UU 00 617-324-1174	14. ABSTRACT The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10 ^{{-18} } inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this important matrix element by two independent methods, which wield consistent values. A long with provise radiative 15. SUBJECT TERMS atomic frequency standards, atomic lifetimes, optical atomic clocks								
617-324-1174	16. SECURI a. REPORT UU	RITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF T b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE LILL LILL LILL		OF 1	5. NUMBE OF PAGES	R 19a. NA Vladan 19b. TE	ME OF RESPO Vuletic LEPHONE NUI	NSIBLE PERSON	
							617-32	4-1174	

Report Title

Determination of the 5d6s $^{3}D_{1}$ state lifetime and blackbody-radiation clock shift in Yb

ABSTRACT

The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this important matrix element by two independent methods, which yield consistent values. Along with precise radiative lifetimes of 6s6p 3P1 and 5d6s 3D1, we report the clock's blackbody radiation shift to 0.05% precision.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298) (Continuation Sheet)

Continuation for Block 13

ARO Report Number 60300.23-PH-DRP Determination of the 5d6s ^{3}D_{1} state lifetim...

Block 13: Supplementary Note

© 2012 . Published in Physical Review A, Vol. Ed. 0 86, (5) (2012), (, (5). DoD Components reserve a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable right to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the work for Federal purposes, and to authroize others to do so (DODGARS §32.36). The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Determination of the $5d6s^{3}D_{1}$ state lifetime and blackbody radiation clock shift in Yb

K. Beloy,^{1,*} J. A. Sherman,^{1,2,†} N. D. Lemke,^{1,2} N. Hinkley,^{1,2} C. W. Oates,¹ and A. D. Ludlow¹

¹National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA

²University of Colorado, Department of Physics, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

(Dated: August 3, 2012)

The Stark shift of the ytterbium optical clock transition due to room temperature blackbody radiation is dominated by a static Stark effect, which was recently measured to high accuracy [J. A. Sherman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 153002 (2012)]. However, room temperature operation of the clock at 10^{-18} inaccuracy requires a dynamic correction to this static approximation. This dynamic correction largely depends on a single electric dipole matrix element for which theoretically and experimentally derived values disagree significantly. We determine this important matrix element by two independent methods, which yield consistent values. Along with precise radiative lifetimes of 6s6p ³ P_1 and 5d6s ³ D_1 , we report the clock's blackbody radiation shift to 0.05% precision.

PACS numbers: 06.30.Ft,32.60.+i,32.70.Cs

Alkaline-earth-like atoms, such as Yb [1], Sr [2–4], and Hg [5] feature intrinsically narrow ${}^{1}S_{0} \leftrightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ optical transitions capable of serving as stable and accurate frequency references [6] when cooled and held in an optical lattice trapping potential [7, 8]. Accurate knowledge of clock transition frequencies advances timekeeping technology and enables new tests of physics [9–11].

Atomic frequency references are defined by an ideal system: atoms at rest in a null-field, zero-temperature environment [12]. If a physical realization deviates from these ideals, researchers must account for corrections to the measured transition frequency and, importantly, uncertainty present in these corrections. Here, we explore the dominant ytterbium clock correction [1, 13] due to room-temperature blackbody radiation (BBR).

The polarizing effect of BBR largely mimics that of a static electric field due to the low frequency nature of BBR relative to optical transitions involving clock states (see Fig. 1). Writing the BBR clock frequency shift [14]

$$\Delta\nu_{\rm BBR} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta\alpha(0)}{h} \langle E^2 \rangle_T [1 + \eta_{\rm clock}(T)], \qquad (1)$$

highlights its similarity to a static Stark shift, where

$$\Delta \alpha(0) \equiv \alpha_e(0) - \alpha_a(0) = 145.726(3) \text{ a.u.}, \quad (2)$$

is the differential *static* polarizability between clock states $|g\rangle \equiv |6s^2 {}^1S_0\rangle$ and $|e\rangle \equiv |6s6p {}^3P_0\rangle$, now known to high accuracy [13] (a.u. = atomic units [15]). $\langle E^2 \rangle_T \approx$ $(8.3193 \text{ V/cm})^2 (T/300 \text{ K})^4$ is the time-averaged electric field intensity of BBR at absolute temperature T [16]. A small dynamic correction $\eta_{\text{clock}}(300 \text{ K}) < 0.02$ accounts for the frequency dependence of $\Delta \alpha(\omega)$. Over 90% of η_{clock} depends on coupling between $6s6p {}^3P_0$ and neighboring $5d6s {}^3D_1$ (see [14] and supplemental material



FIG. 1. (color online) Most of the room-temperature BBR energy spectrum (thick red line) is far infrared of transitions involving the Yb clock states. The states' polarizabilities [see Eq. (3)], largely constant over much of the BBR spectrum, are balanced at the 'magic' trapping frequency ω^* .

(SM)). But, critically, a measurement [17] and recent precise calculation [18] of the electric dipole matrix element

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv |\langle 6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{0} || \mathbf{D} || 5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1} \rangle|,$$

differ by ~ 3 standard deviations.

In this work, we independently determine \mathcal{D} in order to accurately compute η_{clock} and the clock correction $\Delta\nu_{BBR}$. We present two distinct approaches resulting in good agreement. First, we describe a semiempirical technique which combines existing polarizability data with atomic theory to constrain \mathcal{D} . Then we describe a measurement of the $5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1}$ radiative lifetime in trapped Yb. Since $5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1}$ decays predominantly to the $6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{J}$ manifold, \mathcal{D} is readily extracted. Finally, we discuss current accuracy limitations imposed by $\Delta\nu_{BBR}$.

Method I: Semi-empirical technique—Accurately measured experimental parameters, such as the differential static polarizability [Eq. (2)], also depend on electric dipole coupling between $6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{0}$ and $5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1}$, and subsequently can be used to constrain the value of \mathcal{D} [19]. The electric dipole polarizability of clock state *n* due to radiation at an off-resonant frequency $\omega/2\pi$ reads

$$\alpha_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n' \neq n} |\langle n'| |\mathbf{D}| |n\rangle|^2 \frac{\omega_{n'n}}{\omega_{n'n}^2 - \omega^2}, \qquad (3)$$

^{*} kyle.beloy@nist.gov

[†] jeff.sherman@nist.gov

where $\langle n'||\mathbf{D}||n\rangle$ is a reduced electric dipole matrix element and $\omega_{n'n}/2\pi = (W_{n'} - W_n)/h$ is the corresponding transition frequency. As $\omega \to 0$, we recover the familiar static polarizability expression.

The so-called 'magic' trapping frequency ω^* , which balances the polarizabilities of the clock states [6],

$$\Delta \alpha(\omega^*) \equiv \alpha_e(\omega^*) - \alpha_g(\omega^*) = 0, \qquad (4)$$

has been measured to high accuracy in Yb [1, 20]. Equations (2) and (4) may be combined to yield

$$\Delta \alpha(0) + b \,\Delta \alpha(\omega^*) = 145.726(3) \text{ a.u.}, \tag{5}$$

where b is arbitrary but may be chosen to to our benefit. In the linear combination

$$\alpha_n(0) + b \,\alpha_n(\omega^*) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n' \neq n} \left| \langle n' || \mathbf{D} || n \rangle \right|^2 \frac{1}{\omega_{n'n}} \\ \times \left(1 + b \frac{\omega_{n'n}^2}{\omega_{n'n}^2 - \omega^{*2}} \right), \qquad (6)$$

the term in parenthesis serves as a 'scale factor' relative to each transition's static polarizability contribution. For instance, for a choice b = -1, this scale factor tends to zero for $\omega_{n'n} \gg \omega^*$ because these transitions contribute nearly identically to both polarizabilities $\alpha_n(0)$ and $\alpha_n(\omega^*)$ [see Eq. (3)]. We find advantage in choosing a value $b \approx -1$ such that contributions from certain low-lying transitions are suppressed in the linear combination $\Delta \alpha(0) + b \Delta \alpha(\omega^*)$, along with contributions from the higher-lying transitions. In Table IV we present contributions to Eq. (6) from the lowestlying transitions in each clock state for both b = 0 and b = -0.75. In each case, we write the contribution from $6s6p {}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 5d6s {}^{3}D_{1}$ in terms of unspecified matrix element \mathcal{D} . Other contributions are derived from experimental lifetimes in Refs. [21-23]. Transitions to the closely spaced states $(4f^{13})5d6s6p\left(\frac{7}{2},\frac{5}{2}\right)_1$ and $6p^{2} {}^{3}P_1$ ('mixed states' in Table IV) are exceptions; these contributions were estimated with a CI+MBPT calculation similar to Ref. [18]. It is evident from Table IV how the choice of b affects the relative importance of certain transitions. For example, while the 'mixed states' contribute sizably to the differential static polarizability $\Delta \alpha(0)$, their contribution to $\Delta \alpha(0) - 0.75 \Delta \alpha(\omega^*)$ is negligible. Moreover, contributions from higher-lying transitions not explicitly shown in the table—which contribute at the $\sim 10\%$ level for both state polarizabilities [24]are also largely suppressed with the choice b = -0.75. Specifically, the 'scale factor' in Eq. (6) is nearly zero for the lowest of these transitions (for which $\omega_{n'n} \approx 2 \omega^*$), rising to just 0.25 for the highest-lying, least important transitions.

Tallying contributions from all transitions, we find

$$\Delta \alpha(0) - 0.75 \Delta \alpha(\omega^*) = 26.8 \mathcal{D}^2 - 64(8) + 0(6), \quad (7)$$

in atomic units. Here the first two terms on the r.h.s. account for contributions from all transitions in Table IV;

TABLE I. Contributions to the static polarizability $\alpha_n(0)$ and linear combination $\alpha_n(0) - 0.75\alpha_n(\omega^*)$ [refer to Eq. (6)] for the lowest-lying transitions from the clock states (a.u.).

n'	$\alpha_n(0)$	$\alpha_n(0) - 0.75\alpha_n(\omega^*)$			
	clock	state $n = 6s^2 {}^1S_0$			
$6s6p {}^{3}P_{1}$	2	-1			
$6s6p {}^{1}P_{1}$	100	-4			
$(4f^{13})5d6s^2 \left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right)_1$	21	1			
	clock state $n = 6s6p {}^{3}P_{0}$				
$5d6s {}^{3}\!D_{1}$	$20.3\mathcal{D}^2$	$26.8\mathcal{D}^2$			
$6s7s{}^{3}\!S_{1}$	37	-65			
$6s6d {}^{3}\!D_{1}$	22	-3			
$6s8s{}^3\!S_1$	2	0			
mixed states	39	0			

the uncertainty is dominated by that of the matrix element $\langle 6s7s \, {}^{3}S_{1}||\mathbf{D}||6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{0}\rangle$ [23]. The additional term 0(6) accounts for contributions from all higher-lying transitions not given explicitly in Table IV. We ascribe an uncertainty to this term based on experimental upper limits to the polarizabilities of the two clock states [24], along with theoretical input from Ref. [18] and present CI+MBPT calculations. Equating the r.h.s. of (7) to experimental result (5) gives $\mathcal{D} = 2.80(7)$ a.u. We compare this result with other determinations and new data below.

Method II: lifetime measurement—Alternatively, measurement of the $5d6s {}^{3}D_{1}$ radiative lifetime τ_{a} yields \mathcal{D} since $\mathcal{D}^{2} = (3\pi\epsilon_{0}\hbar c^{3}\zeta_{0})(2J'+1)/(\omega_{0}^{3}\tau_{a})$, where J'=1; $\omega_{0}/2\pi \approx 2.1587 \times 10^{14}$ Hz and $\zeta_{0} = 0.64(1)$ are the radiated frequency and branching ratio to ${}^{3}P_{0}$, respectively. ζ_{0} is accurately computable because LS-coupling remains valid [25]. In the cascade $5d6s {}^{3}D_{1} \rightarrow 6s6p {}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow 6s^{2} {}^{1}S_{0}$ [see Fig. 2(a)], atoms emit a 556 nm photon during the second decay which is technically easier to detect than the first radiated (infrared) photon [17]. Other states populated by the decay (${}^{3}P_{0}$ and ${}^{3}P_{2}$) are long-lived. If atoms are instantaneously excited at time t_{0} to ${}^{3}D_{1}$, fluorescence from ${}^{3}P_{1}$ follows a double exponential [17, 26],

$$y(t) = A \times \Theta(t - t_0) \left[e^{-(t - t_0)/\tau_a} - e^{-(t - t_0)/\tau_b} \right] + y_0, \quad (8)$$

where τ_b is the radiative lifetime of ${}^{3}P_1$ ($\tau_b > \tau_a$), A is a scaling factor and y_0 accounts for stray detected light. The Heaviside unit-step $\Theta(t-t_0)$ models rapid atom excitation at t_0 . Decay branching ratios affect only the normalization of Eq. (8), *not* its time dependence [27].

We describe the cooling and confinement of $N_{\rm at} \approx 10^4$ atoms of ¹⁷¹Yb in a one-dimensional optical lattice elsewhere [1]. As depicted in Fig. 2(b), a resonant ' π -pulse' of 578 nm light [28] coherently transfers atoms from ¹S₀ to the long-lived ³P₀ state. Then, a brief ($\tau_e = 25$ ns) resonant pulse of 1388 nm light excites more than half of these atoms to ³D₁. An event counter accumulates the arrival times of radiated 556 nm photons into 5 ns bins. Heating due to photon scattering, background gas collisions,



FIG. 2. (color online) We measure the $5d6s^{3}D_{1}$ radiative lifetime via cascade decay. a) Key lifetimes are labeled τ_{a} and τ_{b} . Double-arrowed lines indicate laser transitions. Wavy lines are important decay channels. b) A representative pulse timing diagram. c) Typical fluorescence data, fit (red line) to Eq. (8). An inset highlights the signal and fit near an excitation time $t_{0} = 523.3(6)$ ns. d) Observed lifetimes vary with atomic density, ρ . Lines are linear regression fits. Logarithmic scaling emphasizes data at low ρ with negligible interaction effects. Error bars represent standard uncertainties obtained from nonlinear fits, and uncertainty in ρ estimates.

and accumulation in ${}^{3}P_{2}$ limit the number of excitations per loading cycle to about 200. Though we estimate a modest light collection/detection efficiency (~ 0.1%), we typically observe $N_{\rm at} \times (1.5 \times 10^{-5})$ green photons per excitation. Biases due to photon 'pile-up' in counter bins are negligible. Between $10^{6}-10^{7}$ excitations are sufficient to obtain satisfactory decay profiles [e.g., Fig. 2(c)].

We fit fluorescence signals to Eq. (8) with a statistically-weighted Levenberg-Marquardt routine. Though covariance in A, τ_a , and τ_b can be significant, simulations establish that fitting biases become negligible with sufficient count totals. In large data sets, event counter technical noise synchronous with a timing oscillator overwhelms the signal shot noise. Re-binning data into 20 ns chunks removes much of this noise, but does not significantly alter the results. A maximum likelihood method yielded statistically similar fits.

Atomic interactions, such as collective emission ('superradiance', 'subradiance') or radiation trapping may



FIG. 3. (color online) The present measurement (*) and semiempirical result (†) (open red circles) are compared to other determinations. Measurements a-j are from, respectively, [29–36], [17], [37]. We note that the small error bar on h accounts only for statistical uncertainty. Green points k-m are calculations [38], [18], [39]. When necessary, we infer lifetimes from reported matrix elements or natural linewidths. We assign an error bar to the τ_a prediction of l using the authors' estimate of uncertainty in a highly correlated polarizability.

TABLE II. The uncertainty in lifetimes τ_a and τ_b due to atomic interactions is largely statistical since we report extrapolations to zero atomic density. Covariant fit biases and distortions due to Zeeman oscillations are estimated from Monte-Carlo simulations. Uncertainties due to 1388 nm pulse duration (τ_e) and stray light are statistically limited.

	$u(\tau_a)$ (ns)	$u(\tau_b)$ (ns)
Atomic interactions	4.3	3.3
Fit biases	0.9	1.5
Zeeman quantum beats	3.0	3.0
1388 nm finite excitation	3.3	4.3
1388 nm stray light	3.4	4.6
Event counter timing	0.2	0.4
Total (quadrature sum)	7.1	7.4

influence radiative decay. We probed these effects by varying the atomic density ρ undergoing decay [40]. Results [Fig. 2(d)] indicate non-negligible shortening of τ_a at high ρ . In the limit of slow dipole dephasing [41], collective emission shortens an observed decay lifetime as $\tau = \tau_0 (1 + \rho L \lambda^2 / 4)^{-1}$, where τ_0 is the single atom value, L is the length of a pencil-shaped atomic cloud, and λ is the radiated wavelength [42]. For $\rho = 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and L = 0.1 mm, the modification in this simple model is about 5%. We see the opposite effect in ${}^{3}P_{1}$, an *increase* of τ_b at high ρ . We explored both effects by varying 578 nm and 1388 nm excitation pulse areas, altering the relative populations of ${}^{1}S_{0}$, ${}^{3}P_{0}$, and ${}^{3}D_{1}$, but observed no substantial change in the systematic effect; further investigation is warranted. Nevertheless, the effects of interactions on observed lifetimes are made negligible over the lowest decade of examined densities [see Fig. 2(d)]. Quenching due to cold-collisions and lattice scattering is negligible.

Potential systematic effects arise from finite 1388 nm pulse duration τ_e , and spurious excitation due to poor extinction. We varied τ_e from 25 ns to 90 ns and observed no significant systematic effect on fitted state lifetimes.

TABLE III. The present results, expressed as reduced matrix elements (a.u.), are compared with selected literature values.

	\mathcal{D}	$ \langle 6s6p {}^{3}P_{1} \mathbf{D} 6s^{2} {}^{1}S_{0}\rangle $
Experiment	2.77(4)	0.542(2)
Semi-empirical	2.80(7)	
Experiment [17]	2.58(10)	0.547(16)
Calculation [38]	2.61(10)	0.54(8)
Calculation [18]	2.91(7)	0.587
Calculation [39]	2.58(23)	0.41(1)

We configured the apparatus for fast actuation and high (60 dB) extinction of the 1388 nm light. A single-mode fiber-coupled mechanical shutter (500 μ s rise-time) precedes a fiber-coupled acousto-optic modulator (AOM). A second (free-space) AOM, driven with a tuned delay, aids in pulse shaping and extinction. A beam dump, iris, and baffles minimize the influence of scattered light. The final AOM imposes a 160 MHz frequency shift, detuning scattered light from resonance and increasing effective extinction. With up to 1 mW of deflected 1388 nm light focused to a 30 μ m spot (an intensity $I = 7 \times 10^5 \text{ W/m}^2$), we attain a Rabi frequency $\Omega \propto \sqrt{ID}$ exceeding 1 GHz. We observed no significant changes to τ_a and τ_b when varying an optical attenuator over 4 dB to test for dependence on Ω and stray light intensity.

We systematically excited to both hyperfine components ${}^{3}D_{1}(F' = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, which are split by 3.07(7) GHz. We observe no hyperfine quantum beats [43] due to the large splitting and selective laser excitation. We observed no Zeeman oscillations [17] or significantly different results when an applied magnetic field \vec{B} varied from 0.01 mT to 0.1 mT. 1388 nm excitation light propagated along the lattice axis and was polarized perpendicular to \vec{B} . We detected 556 nm photons $\approx 45^{\circ}$ from the lattice axis with largely polarization insensitive optics. We observed a slight dependence on the number of excitations per loading cycle but believe this effect is due to atomic interactions since increased scattering reduces the atom number. Varying the lattice laser intensity over 50% yielded no significant change in observed lifetimes.

We report the radiative lifetimes $\tau_a = (329.3 \pm 7.1)$ ns and $\tau_b = (866.1 \pm 7.4)$ ns. Table II enumerates measurement uncertainties. We compare our results to existing measurements and calculations in Fig. 3. Our result for τ_b agrees with many prior measurements. Our result for τ_a lies between the only other measurement [17] and a recent calculation [18]. Our semi-empirical method exhibits good agreement with the measurement. Table III lists the results as inferred matrix elements.

 $BBR \ clock \ shift$ —The BBR Stark shift to the clock frequency is found from the expression

$$\Delta \nu_{\rm BBR} = -\frac{1}{2h\epsilon_0} \int_0^\infty u_T(\omega) \,\Delta\alpha(\omega) \,d\omega, \qquad (9)$$

where $u_T(\omega)$ is the BBR spectral energy density corresponding to temperature T, given by Planck's law. A static approximation neglecting the slight frequency dependence of $\Delta \alpha(\omega)$ over the BBR spectrum (refer to Fig. 1) is formally obtained by making the substitution $\Delta \alpha(\omega) \rightarrow \Delta \alpha(0)$ in Eq. (9). An improved approximation takes into account the lowest-order frequency dependence of the polarizability arising from the low-lying $6s6p^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 5d6s^{3}D_{1}$ transition: $\Delta \alpha(\omega) \rightarrow \Delta \alpha(0) + (2/3\hbar)(D^{2}/\omega_{0}^{3})\omega^{2}$. Integrating over ω analytically, we interpret the additional shift as $\eta_{clock}(T) \approx \frac{80\pi^{2}}{63}\frac{D^{2}}{(\hbar\omega_{0})^{3}}\frac{(k_{B}T)^{2}}{\Delta \alpha(0)} \approx 0.017 \left(\frac{T}{300 \text{ K}}\right)^{2}$ from Eq. (1), where k_{B} is Boltzmann's constant. A more thorough account of small contributions from all other transitions, including the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ state and next-order terms ($\propto T^{4}$) yields

$$\eta_{\rm clock}(T) = 0.0173(5) \left(\frac{T}{300 \text{ K}}\right)^2 + 0.0006 \left(\frac{T}{300 \text{ K}}\right)^4.$$

We omit higher order terms ($\propto T^6, T^8, \ldots$) which are negligible at $T \lesssim 300$ K. We provide more details of this evaluation, including multipolar effects [14], in the SM.

Conclusion—Assuming an ideal BBR environment at 300 K, we use the present results to calculate $\Delta \nu_{\rm BBR} =$ -1.2774(6) Hz. The present determination of $\eta_{\rm clock}$ sets an uncertainty limit for $\Delta \nu_{\rm BBR}$ at 1.1×10^{-18} . In practice, uncertainty in $\Delta \nu_{\rm BBR}$ also arises from imprecise knowledge of the thermal environment. In an existing apparatus, we estimate an effective temperature uncertainty of 1 K due to non-uniformity, corresponding to a fractional clock uncertainty of 3.3×10^{-17} [1]. The present results therefore motivate further efforts to control the thermal environment of the clock chamber [44].

Acknowledgements—The authors acknowledge NIST, DARPA QuASar, NASA, and the NRC RAP program for financial support. We thank J. Ye and J. Bergquist for equipment loans; A. Derevianko and V. Dzuba provided useful discussion. We thank N. Phillips for laboratory contributions.

- N. D. Lemke, A. D. Ludlow, Z. W. Barber, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 063001 (2009).
- [2] R. Le Targat, X. Baillard, M. Fouché, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 130801 (2006).
- [3] A. D. Ludlow, T. Zelevinsky, G. K. Campbell, *et al.*, Science **319**, 1805 (2008).
- [4] S. Falke, H. Schnatz, J. Winfred, et al., Metrologia 48, 399 (2011).
- [5] J. J. McFerran, L. Yi, S. Mejri, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 183004 (2012).
- [6] A. Derevianko and H. Katori, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 331 (2011).

- [7] S. G. Porsev, A. Derevianko, and E. N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. A 69, 021403 (2004).
- [8] M. Takamoto, F. L. Hong, R. Higashi, and H. Katori, Nature 435, 321 (2005).
- [9] T. Rosenband, D. B. Hume, P. O. Schmidt, et al., Science 319, 1808 (2008).
- [10] S. Blatt, A. D. Ludlow, G. K. Campbell, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 140801 (2008).
- [11] C. Chou, D. Hume, T. Rosenband, and D. Wineland, Science **329**, 1630 (2010).
- [12] B. N. Taylor and A. Thompson, eds., *The International System of Units (SI)* (U.S. Government Printing Office, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA).
- [13] J. A. Sherman, N. D. Lemke, N. Hinkley, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 153002 (2012).
- [14] S. G. Porsev and A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A 74, 020502 (2006).
- [15] The atomic unit for polarizability is 1 a.u. = 0.248 8319× $h \times \text{mHz}(\text{V/cm})^{-2}$, where h is Planck's constant. Expressed in atomic units, $e = m_e = \hbar = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 1$ [45].
- [16] E. J. Angstmann, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A 74, 023405 (2006).
- [17] C. J. Bowers, D. Budker, E. D. Commins, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 3103 (1996).
- [18] V. A. Dzuba and A. Derevianko, J. Phys. B 43, 074011 (2010).
- [19] S. Porsev, A. Ludlow, M. Boyd, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. A 78, 032508 (2008).
- [20] Z. W. Barber, J. E. Stalnaker, N. D. Lemke, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 103002 (2008).
- [21] Y. Takasu, K. Komori, K. Honda, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 123202 (2004).
- [22] K. B. Blagoev and V. A. Komarovskii, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 56, 1 (1994).
- [23] M. Baumann, M. Braun, A. Gaiser, and H. Liening, J. Phys. B 18, L601 (1985).
- [24] K. Beloy *et al.*, in preparation.
- [25] W. Martin, R. Zalubas, and L. Hagan, Atomic energy levels-the rare-earth elements, NSRDS-NBS (U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, 1978).
- [26] D. Budker, D. DeMille, E. D. Commins, and M. S. Zolotorev, Phys. Rev. A 50, 132 (1994).
- [27] D. Budker, D. Kimball, and D. DeMille, Atomic Physics: an exportation through problems and solutions (Oxford University Press, 2004).
- [28] Y. Y. Jiang, A. D. Ludlow, N. D. Lemke, *et al.*, Nature Photonics 5, 158 (2011).
- [29] M. Baumann and G. Wandel, Phys. Lett. 22, 283 (1966).
- [30] B. Budick and J. Snir, Phys. Rev. A 1, 545 (1970).
- [31] W. Gornik, D. Kaiser, W. Lange, J. Luther, and H. Schulz, Opt. Comm. 6, 327 (1972).
- [32] F. H. K. Rambow and L. D. Schearer, Phys. Rev. A 14, 738 (1976).
- [33] M. L. Burshtein, Y. F. Verolainen, V. A. Komarovskii, A. L. Osherovich, and N. P. Penkin, Opt. Spectrosc. (USSR) 37, 351 (1974).
- [34] K. B. Blagoev, V. A. Komarovskii, and N. P. Penkin, Opt. Spectrosc. (USSR) 45, 832 (1978).
- [35] M. Gustavsson, H. Lundberg, L. Nilsson, and S. Svanberg, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 69, 984 (1979).
- [36] J. E. Golub, Y. S. Bai, and T. W. Mossberg, Phys. Rev. A 37, 119 (1988).
- [37] M. Kitagawa, K. Enomoto, K. Kasa, Y. Takahashi, R. Ciuryło, P. Naidon, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A

77, 012719 (2008).

- [38] S. G. Porsev, Y. G. Rakhlina, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A 60, 2781 (1999).
- [39] K. Guo, G. Wang, and A. Ye, J. Phys. B 43, 135004 (2010).
- [40] Here, ρ is defined as the mean density during the repeated cascade decay sequence [see Fig. 2(b)] and is measured by alternately inserting pulses of resonant 399 nm light before and after the sequence. We assume fluorescence, properly normalized against background light, is $\propto \rho$.
- [41] The dephasing timescale in cold trapped atoms is likely set by inhomogeneous ac-Stark shifts. We estimate $T_2^* \approx 5 \ \mu$ s, which is somewhat longer than τ_a and τ_b .
- [42] M. Gross, C. Fabre, P. Pillet, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1035 (1976).
- [43] S. Haroche, J. Paisner, and A. Schawlow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 948 (1973).
- [44] T. Middelmann, C. Lisdat, S. Falke, J. Vellore Winfred, F. Riehle, and U. Sterr, IEEE Trans. Instr. Meas. 99, 1 (2011).
- [45] J. Mitroy, M. Safronova, and C. Clark, J. Phys. B 43, 202001 (2010).
- [46] M. Baumann, M. Geisler, H. Liening, and H. Lindel, Optics Comm. 38, 259 (1981).
- [47] J. W. Farley and W. H. Wing, Phys. Rev. A 23, 2397 (1981).

Supplemental Material

I. TABULATED RESULTS FOR ATOMIC FACTORS

Table IV presents transition frequencies and dipole matrix elements for transitions from the clock states, as well as corresponding contributions to static polarizability $\alpha_n(0)$, polarizability at the magic frequency $\alpha_n(\omega^*)$, and the linear combination $\alpha_n(0) - 0.75\alpha_n(\omega^*)$ for both clock states. Also provided are contributions to the atomic properties $\alpha_n^{(2)}$ and ρ_n , which are discussed in the following section.

In the upper portion of Table IV, explicit values are given where experimental data is available, with uncertainties being derived from the corresponding references. For remaining transitions, contributions to the static polarizability are given as unknown parameters $\chi_{1,2,3}$. Corresponding contributions to the other atomic properties are a written as a range $(a, b)\chi_{1,2,3}$, where the range (a, b) is determined purely by the atomic spectrum and magic frequency. This helps illustrate the relative importance of the unknown contributions in each case. For example, while χ_2 denotes the combined contribution to static polarizability $\alpha_e(0)$ due to the 'mixed states', the associated contribution to $\alpha_e(0) - 0.75\alpha_e(\omega^*)$ is comparatively suppressed, necessarily being between $-0.033\chi_2$ and $0.004\chi_2$.

In the middle portion of Table IV, contributions to the differential properties [e.g., $\Delta \alpha(0) \equiv \alpha_e(0) - \alpha_g(0)$] are tallied. Here Δ ('main states') incorporates all values given explicitly in the upper portion of the table. Δ ('mixed states') is estimated by CI+MBPT calculations similar to those described in Ref. [18], whereas Δ ('all others') is estimated with CI+MBPT calculations together with additional theoretical input from Refs. [18, 24]. Theoretical uncertainty for Δ ('mixed states') and Δ ('all others') is difficult to assess; the numbers given in the table represent reasonable estimates of this uncertainty. We reiterate that methods developed in the main text minimize the influence of these contributions.

In Table IV, contributions from the $6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1}$ transition are derived from our most accurate determination (see main text) of the matrix element $\mathcal{D} \equiv |\langle 6s6p \, {}^{3}P_{0}||\mathbf{D}||5d6s \, {}^{3}D_{1}\rangle| = 2.77(4)$. In Table V, we compare these results with contributions derived from other determinations of this matrix element.

n'	$\omega_{n'n}$	$ \langle n' \mathbf{D} n angle $	$\alpha_n(0)$	$\alpha_n(\omega^*)$	$\alpha_n(0) - 0.75\alpha_n(\omega^*)$	$\alpha_{n}^{(2)}/10^{3}$	$ ho_n/10^3$
	clock state $n = 6s^2 {}^1S_0$						
$6s6p {}^{3}P_{1}$	0.08198	$0.542 \pm 0.002^{\rm a}$	2.39 ± 0.02	5.14 ± 0.04	-1.47 ± 0.01	0.355 ± 0.003	-0.219 ± 0.002
$6s6p {}^{1}P_{1}$	0.11422	$4.148 \pm 0.002^{\rm b}$	100.40 ± 0.09	138.7 ± 0.1	-3.601 ± 0.003	7.696 ± 0.007	-0.2760 ± 0.0003
$(4f^{13})5d6s^2 (\frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2})_1$	0.13148	$2.03\pm0.04^{\rm c}$	20.8 ± 0.8	26 ± 1	1.10 ± 0.04	1.20 ± 0.05	0.063 ± 0.002
all others			χ_1	$(1.00, 1.14)\chi_1$	$(0.15, 0.25)\chi_1$	$(0.00, 0.03)\chi_1$	$(0.000, 0.005)\chi_1$
				clock state	$n = 6s6p {}^{3}P_{0}$		
$5d6s {}^{3}D_{1}$	0.03281	$2.77\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	156 ± 4	-67 ± 2	206 ± 5	145 ± 4	192 ± 5
$6s7s {}^3\!S_1$	0.07020	$2.0 \pm 0.1^{\rm d}$	37 ± 4	136 ± 16	-65 ± 8	7.4 ± 0.9	-13 ± 2
$6s6d {}^{3}\!D_{1}$	0.10261	$1.82\pm0.03^{\rm e}$	21.6 ± 0.7	33 ± 1	-3.02 ± 0.09	2.05 ± 0.06	-0.287 ± 0.009
$6s8s {}^3\!S_1$	0.11084	$0.64\pm0.04^{\rm d}$	2.4 ± 0.3	3.4 ± 0.4	-0.15 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.02	-0.012 ± 0.001
mixed states			χ_2	$(1.33, 1.38)\chi_2$	$(-0.033, 0.004)\chi_2$	$(0.07, 0.08)\chi_2$	$(-0.0025, 0.0003)\chi_2$
all others			χ_3	$(1.00, 1.31)\chi_3$	$(0.02, 0.25)\chi_3$	$(0.00, 0.07)\chi_3$	$(0.000, 0.005)\chi_3$
$\Delta(\text{main})$			93 ± 6	-64 ± 16	142 ± 10	146 ± 4	179 ± 5
Δ (mixed states)			39 ± 13	52 ± 17	0.0 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.9	0.1 ± 0.1
Δ (all others)			16 ± 16	20 ± 20	0 ± 6	1 ± 1	0.08 ± 0.08
Δ (total)			148 ± 21	8 ± 31	142 ± 11	149 ± 4	179 ± 5
$\Delta(\text{expt.})$			145.726 ± 0.003	0	145.726 ± 0.003		

TABLE IV. Transition frequencies, matrix elements, and contributions to various atomic properties of interest for the Yb clock states. The magic frequency is $\omega^* = 0.06000$ [1, 20]. $(a, b)\chi$ denotes a range $(a\chi, b\chi)$. All values are in atomic units.

^a expt., present

^b Ref. [21]

^c weighted mean from four values compiled in Ref. [22]

^d Ref. [23]

^e Ref. [46]

	$\left \langle 6s6p\ ^{3}\!P_{0} \mathbf{D} 5d6s\ ^{3}\!D_{1} angle ight $	$\alpha_e(0)$	$\alpha_e(\omega^*)$	$\alpha_e(0) - 0.75\alpha_e(\omega^*)$	$\alpha_{e}^{(2)}/10^{3}$	$\rho_e/10^3$
unspecified	${\cal D}$	$20.32\mathcal{D}^2$	$-8.666\mathcal{D}^2$	$26.82\mathcal{D}^2$	$18.88\mathcal{D}^2$	$24.92\mathcal{D}^2$
expt., present	2.77 ± 0.04	156 ± 4	-67 ± 2	206 ± 5	145 ± 4	192 ± 5
semi-emp., present	2.80 ± 0.07	159 ± 8	-68 ± 3	210 ± 11	148 ± 7	195 ± 10
expt., Ref. [17]	2.58 ± 0.10	135 ± 10	-58 ± 4	179 ± 14	126 ± 10	166 ± 13
theor., Ref. [18]	2.91 ± 0.07	172 ± 8	-73 ± 4	227 ± 11	160 ± 8	211 ± 10
theor., Ref. [39]	2.58 ± 0.23	135 ± 24	-58 ± 10	179 ± 32	126 ± 22	166 ± 30

TABLE V. Comparison of the $6s6p {}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 5d6s {}^{3}D_{1}$ contribution to the atomic properties in Table IV with different determinations of the dipole matrix element. Here *e* specifies the excited clock state $6s6p {}^{3}P_{0}$. All values are in atomic units.

II. BBR CLOCK SHIFT

Atomic units are employed throughout this and the following section. The usual definitions, $e = m_e = \hbar = 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 1$, are supplemented with the additional definition $k_B = 1$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. We also define a reference temperature T_0 equivalent to 300 Kelvin and having a value $T_0 = 9.50 \times 10^{-4}$ in our system of units. We base our unit system on SI electromagnetic expressions, with the Bohr magneton being given by $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e = 1/2$. The speed of light c is used in favor of the fine structure constant α in expressions to follow to avoid notational confusion; $c = \alpha^{-1} \approx 137$ in atomic units.

The energy shift to clock state n due to electric dipole coupling with thermal radiation reads

$$\Delta E_n = -2\pi \int_0^\infty u(\omega) \,\alpha_n(\omega) \,d\omega,\tag{10}$$

where $u(\omega)$ is the spectral energy density at (angular) frequency ω and $\alpha_n(\omega)$ is the frequency-dependent polarizability,

$$\alpha_n(\omega) = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n' \neq n} |\langle n'| |\mathbf{D}| |n \rangle|^2 \frac{\omega_{n'n}}{\omega_{n'n}^2 - \omega^2}.$$
(11)

The Cauchy principal value is implicitly taken for the integral of Eq. (10), as well as for the integral of Eq. (13) to follow [47]. For BBR, the spectral energy density $u(\omega)$ may be written in terms of temperature T using Planck's law,

$$u(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\omega/T} - 1},$$

and it follows that the energy shift may be recast as

$$\Delta E_n = -\frac{T^3}{c^3} \sum_{n' \neq n} \left| \langle n' | | \mathbf{D} | | n \rangle \right|^2 F\left(\frac{\omega_{n'n}}{T}\right),\tag{12}$$

where F(y) is the function introduced by Farley and Wing [47],

$$F(y) = \frac{2}{3\pi} \int_0^\infty dx \left(\frac{1}{y+x} + \frac{1}{y-x}\right) \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1}.$$
(13)

The function F(y) is displayed in Figure 4. The atomic transition frequencies all satisfy $\omega_{n'n} \gg T_0$, and it follows that for room temperature (or below), the factor $F(\omega_{n'n}/T)$ appearing in Eq. (12) may be well-approximated with the leading terms of the asymptotic expansion $F(y) = 4\pi^3/45y + 32\pi^5/189y^3 + 32\pi^7/45y^5 + \dots$ [14, 47]. The energy shift may then be decomposed into respective terms,

$$\Delta E_n = -\frac{2\pi^3 T^4}{15c^3} \alpha_n^{(0)} - \frac{16\pi^5 T^6}{63c^3} \alpha_n^{(2)} - \frac{16\pi^7 T^8}{15c^3} \alpha_n^{(4)} - \dots, \qquad (14)$$

where we have introduced the frequency-independent atomic factors $\alpha_n^{(k)}$,

$$\alpha_n^{(k)} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n' \neq n} \frac{|\langle n'||\mathbf{D}||n\rangle|^2}{\omega_{n'n}^{k+1}}.$$
(15)



FIG. 4. (color online) Function F(y) (solid) and it's asymptotic form truncated at the leading three terms (dashed). For Yb, all transition frequencies satisfy $\omega_{n'n}/T_0 > 34$. We find the three-term asymptotic expansion of F(y) to be accurate to better than 4 parts in 10⁵ for y > 34.

Noting the equivalence between $\alpha_n^{(0)}$ and the static polarizability, $\alpha_n^{(0)} = \alpha_n(0)$, we identify the leading term in Eq. (14) with the 'static approximation' of the energy shift. To connect with Eq. (1) of main text, we write $\Delta \nu_{\text{BBR}} = (\Delta E_e - \Delta E_q)/2\pi$ with the static contribution factored out:

$$\Delta\nu_{\rm BBR} = -\frac{\pi^2 T_0^4}{15c^3} \Delta\alpha^{(0)} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^4 \left[1 + \frac{40\pi^2 T_0^2}{21} \frac{\Delta\alpha^{(2)}}{\Delta\alpha^{(0)}} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^2 + 8\pi^4 T_0^4 \frac{\Delta\alpha^{(4)}}{\Delta\alpha^{(0)}} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^4 + \dots\right],\tag{16}$$

where $\Delta \alpha^{(k)} \equiv \alpha_e^{(k)} - \alpha_g^{(k)}$ is the differential atomic factor taken between the excited (e) and ground (g) clock states. The correction factor $\eta_{\text{clock}}(T)$ can then be equated to the terms in square brackets succeeding the leading 1.

III. EVALUATING $\eta_{clock}(T)$

With $\Delta \alpha^{(0)}$ known accurately from recent experimental measurement [13], the leading term of η_{clock} is determined by the differential atomic factor $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$. Table IV tabulates contributions to $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$, yielding a final result

$$\Delta \alpha^{(2)} = 1.49(4) \times 10^5.$$

We see that the $6s6p {}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 5d6s {}^{3}D_{1}$ transition dominates. While other contributions are smaller in comparison, they are nevertheless non-negligible at our accuracy.

As mentioned previously, theoretical uncertainty is difficult to assess for contributions from 'mixed states' and 'all others'. For this reason, we also consider an alternative extraction of $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$ by defining a property

$$\rho_n \equiv \alpha_n^{(2)} + \frac{0.75}{\omega^{*2}} \left[\alpha_n(0) - \alpha_n(\omega^*) \right] = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n' \neq n} \left| \langle n' || \mathbf{D} || n \rangle \right|^2 \frac{1}{\omega_{n'n}^3} \left(1 - 0.75 \frac{\omega_{n'n}^2}{\omega_{n'n}^2 - \omega^{*2}} \right)$$

From this definition, we get an expression for $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$:

$$\Delta \alpha^{(2)} = \Delta \rho - \frac{0.75}{\omega^{*2}} \left[\Delta \alpha(0) - \Delta \alpha(\omega^*) \right] = \Delta \rho - 30.3659(6) \times 10^3,$$

where we have utilized accurately known experimental parameters $\Delta \alpha(0)$, $\Delta \alpha(\omega^*)$, and ω^* in the last equality. Since the last term is known precisely, uncertainty in $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$ is then commensurate with uncertainty in $\Delta \rho$. Table IV gives contributions to $\Delta \rho$, and we find 'mixed states' and 'all others' to give negligible contribution in this case. With $\Delta \rho$ as given in Table IV, we then obtain

$$\Delta \alpha^{(2)} = 1.48(5) \times 10^5,$$

which is in agreement with our result given above.

Using $\Delta \alpha^{(\bar{2})} = 1.49(4) \times 10^5$ we find the leading term to the dynamic correction factor to be:

$$\frac{40\pi^2 T_0^2}{21} \frac{\Delta \alpha^{(2)}}{\Delta \alpha^{(0)}} = 0.0173(5)$$

9

Contributions to $\alpha_n^{(k)}$ scale as $1/\omega_{n'n}^{k+1}$. This explains why $6s6p^{3}P_0 \rightarrow 5d6s^{3}D_1$ —whose transition frequency is less than half of all other transition frequencies—has an increased relative importance in $\Delta \alpha^{(2)}$ compared to $\Delta \alpha^{(0)}$. For $\Delta \alpha^{(4)}$, we find that $6s6p^{3}P_0 \rightarrow 5d6s^{3}D_1$ completely dominates, and we obtain for the next highest term of the dynamic correction factor:

$$8\pi^4 T_0^4 \frac{\Delta \alpha^{(4)}}{\Delta \alpha^{(0)}} = 0.00059(1).$$

Higher-order terms are found to be negligible. Finally, putting these results together, we find

$$\eta_{\text{clock}}(T) = 0.0173(5) \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^2 + 0.0006 \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^4,$$

where uncertainty in the second term may be neglected.

A. Magnetic dipole and higher-multipolar couplings

Thus far we have confined our attention to dominant electric dipole (E1) coupling to the BBR field. Additionally, the atom couples to the BBR field via magnetic dipole (M1) and higher multipolar (E2, M2, ...) interactions. Porsev and Derevianko [14] argued that for room temperature BBR, the M1 coupling could potentially lead to fractional frequency shifts on the level of 10^{-18} in optical lattice clocks. Higher multipolar couplings were shown to be suppressed below this level, and we neglect them here.

The M1 BBR shift is analogous to the E1 shift; it is given by Eq. (10) with the substitution and $\alpha_n(\omega) \rightarrow \beta_n(\omega)/c^2$, with $\beta_n(\omega)$ being the frequency-dependent magnetic polarizability. $\beta_n(\omega)$ is defined analogously to $\alpha_n(\omega)$ [Eq. (11)], but with the magnetic dipole operator μ replacing the electric dipole operator **D**. The additional factor c^2 in the substitution accounts for the different magnitudes of electric and magnetic fields in the BBR spectrum.

Due to parity selection rules (μ is an even-parity operator, whereas **D** is an odd-parity operator) the *M*1-allowed transitions differ from the *E*1-allowed transitions. In particular, the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ clock state has a low-frequency *M*1 transition to the neighboring ${}^{3}P_{1}$ state of the same fine structure manifold. For this transition, $\omega_{n'n}/T_{0} \approx 3.4$, and it follows that the asymptotic expansion of F(y) is not appropriate (see Fig 4). In this case, Eq. (12) (with $\mathbf{D} \to \mu/c$) should be used directly.

The M1 shift may be estimated by assuming the non-relativistic limit and absence of configuration mixing between states. In the non-relativistic limit, the magnetic dipole operator is given by the expression $\boldsymbol{\mu} = -\mu_B (\mathbf{L} + 2\mathbf{S})$, where \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{S} are the total orbital and spin angular momenta of the electrons, respectively. In the absence of configuration mixing, it follows that the only non-vanishing M1 matrix element involving either clock state is the one connecting the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ clock state to the nearby ${}^{3}P_{1}$ state. This matrix element may then be evaluated analytically, with the result $|\langle nsnp {}^{3}P_{0} || \boldsymbol{\mu} || nsnp {}^{3}P_{1} \rangle| = \sqrt{2}\mu_{B}$. Within these approximations, the room temperature M1 BBR shift to the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ clock level is found to be

$$\Delta E_{3P_0}^{(M1)} \approx -\frac{T_0^3}{2c^5} F\left(\frac{\omega_{\rm fs}}{T_0}\right),$$

where $\omega_{\rm fs}$ is the fine structure interval between the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ and ${}^{3}P_{1}$ states, and the factor $F(\omega_{\rm fs}/T_{0}) \approx 0.28$ for Yb. Interpreted as an additional contribution to $\eta_{\rm clock}(T_{0})$, we find:

$$\eta_{\rm clock}^{(M1)}(T_0) \approx 1 \times 10^{-5}.$$

We therefore conclude that M1 coupling to the BBR field is negligible.