# **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

# QUEF 03-0020 ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV

# 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505



## 911<sup>th</sup> AIRLIFT WING AIR FORCE RESERVE COMMAND

Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station Coraopolis, PA 15108-4421

## June 2004

Prepared in accordance with 32 CFR 989 In compliance with The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

Prepared by: 911AW/MSG/CEV RCS: CE 02-09

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved 1B No. 0704-0188	
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2004		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2004 to 00-00-2004		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER	
	sessment: QUEF 03-		0 ,	5b. GRANT NUM	/BER	
Morganiown, w v	101 Hart Field Road	u, morgantown, w	v 20505	5c. PROGRAM E	ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NU	JMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMB	BER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERAir Force Reserve Command - 911th Airlift Wing,Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station,1100 Herman Ave.,Coraopolis,PA,15108-44218. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER						
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	ND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NC	DTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF OF PAGES RESPONSIBLE PER: 38		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

#### FOR

## QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

Agency: United States Air Force, Air Force Reserve Command

**Background:** Under the National Environmental Policy Act, the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing the Act (40 CFR 1500-1508), Department of Defense Directive 6050.1, 32 CFR 989, *Environmental Impact Analysis Process*, which implements these regulations, and other applicable Federal, state, and local regulations and Air Force policies, the United States Air Force has conducted an assessment of the Proposed Action *QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN*, *WV*.

**Proposed Action**: The Proposed Action is to construct a garage addition to the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 to provide for vehicle storage and sufficient space required for formations, training, and other activities where all unit members will assemble. The garage addition is needed since the area that was used for a garage and unit assembly is no longer available. Presently, there is no area large enough to be used for these functions. The facility was constructed in 1988 and has received no major improvements since. Unit members are now forced to assemble outdoors in inclement weather. Under the No Action Alternative, the existing facility would continue to be operated without the garage addition.

Summary of Findings: This Environmental Assessment identified and evaluated potential impacts to the following resources: air quality, water resources, biological resources, and cultural resources. The potential impacts assessed are summarized below.

<u>Air Quality</u>: No significant increase in air emissions is expected as a result of the construction or operation under the Proposed Action. Since the Proposed Action is located in an attainment area, a Conformity Determination is not required. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and no additional air emissions would occur.

<u>Water Resources</u>: With the implementation of the Proposed Action, a small amount of surface soils would be disturbed in constructing the 575  $\text{ft}^2$  garage addition. During construction of the Proposed Action, adherence to properly developed Erosion and Sedimentation Control measures, which will be specified on the design drawings, will prevent any significant impact to site storm water. During operation of the facilities under the Proposed Action, site storm water will not be impacted. Since the Proposed Action includes connecting a sink and a floor drain to the sanitary sewer system in the garage addition that will be used for vehicle storage, the potential exists for oils, greases, and other fluids from vehicles entering the sanitary sewer exceeding the Morgantown Utility Board regulations and discharge restrictions. However, if proper procedures are established and adhered to and the vehicles are properly maintained, the impact would be minimal. The No Action Alternative would allow the existing facility to be operated with no impact on water resources.

<u>Biological Resources</u>: Since a Natural Resources plan for the Morgantown CES DET1 site has not been prepared, biological resources were evaluated in this Environmental Assessment. Threatened and endangered species, as specified by the West Virginia Department of Natural Resources, were evaluated for

potential impacts. The 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing contacted the West Virginia Division of Natural Resources (WVDNR), Wildlife Resources Section located in Elkins, West Virginia to determine if there are any records or surveys of any rare, threatened and endangered species (RTE). Based on the review of RTE by the WVDNR, and consideration of the location and present land use (grass and landscape) of the Proposed Action, and evaluation of the natural habitats of the RTE on the WVDNR website, there should not be an impact to threatened or endangered species. In addition, there is no impact on wetlands or floodplains. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and biological resources would not be impacted.

<u>Cultural Resources</u>: Since a Cultural Resources plan for the Morgantown CES DET1 site has not been prepared, cultural resources were evaluated in this Environmental Assessment. There are no architectural resources on the Proposed Action site, since there were no buildings existing on the site until 1988, which is the year the original building was constructed. If any archaeological resources are discovered during construction, work will be stopped or redirected and established procedures will be followed to prevent archaeological resources from being compromised. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and cultural resources would not be impacted.

**Finding of No Significant Impact**: Based on review of the facts and analysis contained in this Environmental Assessment, it is concluded that the Proposed Action will result in No Significant Impact. Accordingly, the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act regulations promulgated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality and 32 CFR 989 are fulfilled. An Environmental Impact Statement is not required and will not be prepared for this Proposed Action and No Action alternative.

18 ortog

Date

Carl Vogt, Colonel, USAFR Chairperson, Environmental Protection Committee Commander, 911 Airlift Wing

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

#### FOR

## QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

Agency: United States Air Force, Air Force Reserve Command

**Background:** Under the National Environmental Policy Act, the Council on Environmental Quality regulations implementing the Act (40 CFR 1500-1508), Department of Defense Directive 6050.1, 32 CFR 989, *Environmental Impact Analysis Process*, which implements these regulations, and other applicable Federal, state, and local regulations and Air Force policies, the United States Air Force has conducted an assessment of the Proposed Action *QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN*, *WV*.

**Proposed Action**: The Proposed Action is to construct a garage addition to the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 to provide for vehicle storage and sufficient space required for formations, training, and other activities where all unit members will assemble. The garage addition is needed since the area that was used for a garage and unit assembly is no longer available. Presently, there is no area large enough to be used for these functions. The facility was constructed in 1988 and has received no major improvements since. Unit members are now forced to assemble outdoors in inclement weather. Under the No Action Alternative, the existing facility would continue to be operated without the garage addition.

Summary of Findings: This Environmental Assessment identified and evaluated potential impacts to the following resources: air quality, water resources, biological resources, and cultural resources. The potential impacts assessed are summarized below.

<u>Air Quality</u>: No significant increase in air emissions is expected as a result of the construction or operation under the Proposed Action. Since the Proposed Action is located in an attainment area, a Conformity Determination is not required. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and no additional air emissions would occur.

<u>Water Resources</u>: With the implementation of the Proposed Action, a small amount of surface soils would be disturbed in constructing the 575  $\text{ft}^2$  garage addition. During construction of the Proposed Action, adherence to properly developed Erosion and Sedimentation Control measures, which will be specified on the design drawings, will prevent any significant impact to site storm water. During operation of the facilities under the Proposed Action, site storm water will not be impacted. Since the Proposed Action includes connecting a sink and a floor drain to the sanitary sewer system in the garage addition that will be used for vehicle storage, the potential exists for oils, greases, and other fluids from vehicles entering the sanitary sewer exceeding the Morgantown Utility Board regulations and discharge restrictions. However, if proper procedures are established and adhered to and the vehicles are properly maintained, the impact would be minimal. The No Action Alternative would allow the existing facility to be operated with no impact on water resources.

<u>Biological Resources</u>: Since a Natural Resources plan for the Morgantown CES DET1 site has not been prepared, biological resources were evaluated in this Environmental Assessment. Threatened and endangered species, as specified by the West Virginia Department of Natural Resources, were evaluated for

potential impacts. The 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing contacted the West Virginia Division of Natural Resources (WVDNR), Wildlife Resources Section located in Elkins, West Virginia to determine if there are any records or surveys of any rare, threatened and endangered species (RTE). Based on the review of RTE by the WVDNR, and consideration of the location and present land use (grass and landscape) of the Proposed Action, and evaluation of the natural habitats of the RTE on the WVDNR website, there should not be an impact to threatened or endangered species. In addition, there is no impact on wetlands or floodplains. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and biological resources would not be impacted.

<u>Cultural Resources</u>: Since a Cultural Resources plan for the Morgantown CES DET1 site has not been prepared, cultural resources were evaluated in this Environmental Assessment. There are no architectural resources on the Proposed Action site, since there were no buildings existing on the site until 1988, which is the year the original building was constructed. If any archaeological resources are discovered during construction, work will be stopped or redirected and established procedures will be followed to prevent archaeological resources from being compromised. Under the No Action Alternative, the garage addition would not be constructed, and cultural resources would not be impacted.

**Finding of No Significant Impact**: Based on review of the facts and analysis contained in this Environmental Assessment, it is concluded that the Proposed Action will result in No Significant Impact. Accordingly, the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act regulations promulgated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality and 32 CFR 989 are fulfilled. An Environmental Impact Statement is not required and will not be prepared for this Proposed Action and No Action alternative.

18 ortog

Date

Carl Vogt, Colonel, USAFR Chairperson, Environmental Protection Committee Commander, 911 Airlift Wing

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section	Page
1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	1
<ul> <li>1.1 PURPOSE</li> <li>1.2 NEED</li> <li>1.3 DECISION TO BE MADE</li> <li>1.4 SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS <ul> <li>1.4.1 RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES</li> <li>1.4.2 NON-RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES (DOPAA)	2
<ul><li>2.1 PROPOSED ACTION</li><li>2.2 ALTERNATIVE (NO ACTION)</li></ul>	2 2
3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3
<ul> <li>3.1 AIR QUALITY</li> <li>3.2 WATER RESOURCES</li> <li>3.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES <ul> <li>3.3.1 THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES</li> <li>3.3.2 WETLANDS AND FLOODPLAINS</li> </ul> </li> <li>3.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES</li> </ul>	3 3 4 4 4
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4
<ul> <li>4.1 AIR QUALITY</li> <li>4.2 WATER RESOURCES</li> <li>4.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES <ul> <li>4.3.1 THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES</li> <li>4.3.2 WETLANDS AND FLOOD PLAINS</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES</li> <li>4.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS</li> <li>4.6 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES</li> </ul>	4 5 5 6 7 7
5.0 LIST OF PREPARERS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	8
6.0 REFERENCES	9
APPENDIX A ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	A-1

i

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

-

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION**

#### **1.1 PURPOSE**

The purpose of this action is to construct a garage addition to the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 to provide for vehicle storage and sufficient space required for formations, training, and other activities where all unit members will assemble. See Reference [1] for an aerial photograph showing the location of the Proposed Action site.

#### **1.2 NEED**

The garage addition is needed since the area that was used for a garage and unit assembly is no longer available. The area is currently being used to store equipment and supplies needed for the detachment mission. Presently, there is no area large enough to be used for these functions. The facility was constructed in 1988 and has received no major improvements since. Unit members are now forced to assemble outdoors in inclement weather.

#### **1.3 DECISION TO BE MADE**

The decision to be made is whether or not to construct the garage addition to the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 or to maintain the current facilities.

#### 1.4 SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

#### 1.4.1 Relevant Environmental Issues

Environmental issues considered relevant in the analysis of the Proposed Action are the impacts upon air quality, water resources, biological, and cultural resources. The important issues for each area are listed as follows.

Air Quality: Air emissions produced as a result of construction activities at the new facilities may have an effect on the local air quality.

**Water Resources:** Erosion of site soils as a result of construction activities may have an impact on local water quality. Connections to the sanitary sewer system may impact this resource.

**Biological Resources:** Biological resources need to be evaluated, since an Integrated Natural Resources Management plan has not been prepared for the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site.

**Cultural Resources:** Cultural resources need to be evaluated, since the existing 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing Cultural Resources Plan does not address the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site.

#### 1.4.2 Non-Relevant Environmental Issues

Environmental issues considered non-relevant in the analysis of the Proposed Action are the impacts on noise and land use, safety and occupational health, hazardous materials/waste, geology and soils, and socioeconomic factors. Because these impacts are considered negligible, they are not addressed further in this Environmental Assessment. A brief explanation of why these issues are considered non-relevant is listed as follows.

Air Installation Compatible Use Zone/Land Use: Noise impacts, associated with construction vehicles from the Proposed Action, would be minimal and temporary. The current land use classification would remain unchanged as a result of the Proposed Action.

**Safety and Occupational Health:** There is no safety or occupational health issue associated with either the construction or the operation of the Proposed Action. The construction will be in accordance with all OSHA regulations. Any asbestos or lead based paint concerns shall be identified and evaluated prior to construction.

**Hazardous Material/Waste:** The only hazardous materials/waste used or generated under the Proposed Action is possibly during construction activities. Any material used during or wastes generated from construction will be handled appropriately per pertinent regulations. No additional hazardous materials use or waste generation is anticipated during the operation of the Proposed Action.

**Geology and Soils:** No major change in topography or land use will occur at the site as a result of the Proposed Action. Therefore, the overall impact on the geology and soils due to the Proposed Action would be negligible.

**Socioeconomic:** The Morgantown Civil Engineering Squadron Detachment 1 (CES DET1), Building 600 is a training facility that employs approximately 55 civilian and military reservists, most of who are from the local area. An Architect/Engineer is contracted to perform the design of the Proposed Action. The Proposed Action will be constructed using Reserves as training for the CES DET1. There will be no increase in personnel manning levels or resulting permanent impact on socioeconomic factors from the Proposed Action. The effect on the local economy, if any, would be minimal.

**Other:** Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 was constructed in 1988 over an old coal mine. The original design included provisions for a future addition to be built. As a result, grout columns were installed as ground stabilization for Bldg. 600 and for a future new addition as part of the original construction.

## 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

#### 2.1 PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action is to construct a 575 square foot (SF) garage addition to the northeast side of the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600. See Reference [2] which has 6 pictures of the existing building and surrounding area. This facility is located on .75 acre, situated in close proximity to the Morgantown Municipal Airport. The site will be excavated and a concrete foundation constructed. The walls will be split face concrete block to match existing building construction with metal panel fascia to complete the exterior walls. An overhead roll-up door will be installed in the east wall of the addition and a steel mandoor installed on the north wall. The existing building domestic water service will be connected to a shop sink and a floor drain to the existing sanitary sewer system. A fire sprinkler system will be connected to the existing building gas service. A concrete driveway to allow vehicle access will be constructed along with a concrete slab at the man door. A detailed description of the scope of work for the Proposed Action is included in the DD Form 1391 for the subject project. See Reference [3]. The Proposed Action will be accomplished as training for the CES using Reserve personnel. The project is scheduled to be designed in FY04 and constructed in FY05.

#### 2.2 ALTERNATIVE (NO ACTION)

The No Action alternative is to continue to use the existing facility without providing a garage addition. The current facility deficiencies would remain. If the addition is not provided, unit members will be forced to assemble outdoors in inclement weather and no vehicle storage area will exist. Work inefficiency and lowered morale will continue to exist as a result.

There are no other reasonable alternatives to consider other than the Proposed Action and the No Action alternative. Work space in the existing facility is already limited, so it is not feasible to alter any of the existing areas to satisfy the need requirements for an area to be used for a garage and unit assembly.

## **3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT**

The following describes the baseline condition of the affected environment areas involved in the Proposed Action. Effects on each Environmental area for both the Proposed Action and the No Action alternative are discussed in the corresponding subsections of section 4.0, Environmental Consequences.

#### 3.1 AIR QUALITY

The Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site is located in the North Central West Virginia Air Quality Control Region and is regulated by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Air Quality. Monongalia County, where the site of the Proposed Action is located, is designated as a current attainment area for criteria pollutants per the EPA's Greenbook so a Conformity Determination is not required

#### 3.2 WATER RESOURCES

The Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site is regulated by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources. The building is located within the Monongahela River watershed area. The local watershed includes the Monongahela River, Decker's Creek, Burrough's Run, Poponoe Run, and smaller streams. The streams and creeks eventually flow into the Monongahela River. Hartman Run, a tributary to Decker's Creek which eventually flows into the Monongahela River, is located approximately 0.1 mile west of the site of the Proposed Action. Storm water runoff from the site is not regulated under a permit since there are no point source discharges.

The sanitary sewer system collects sanitary waste that empties into the Morgantown Utility Board municipal water and sewer utility treatment plant where it is treated to comply with state and local discharge standards. The Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 facility is considered a user of the sanitary sewer system, and therefore complies with the Morgantown Utility Board regulations and discharge restrictions.

The West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources, developed and issued the West Virginia National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Water Pollution Control Permit, Permit No. WV0115924, to regulate sediment laden storm water flowing into the waters of West Virginia from discharges associated with construction activities. This General Permit became effective on December 5, 2002 and will expire on December 4, 2007. Any person proposing a construction activity, three (3) acres or greater of land disturbance in size, shall submit a site registration application form 45 days prior to commencing the operation. For projects that will disturb between 1 acre and less than 3 acres, the responsible party must submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) at least 10 days prior to starting earth disturbing activities.

#### 3.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Biological resources include native or naturalized plants and animals, and their habitats, such as wetlands, forests, and grasslands, in which they exist. Sensitive and protected biological resources include plant and animal species as threatened or endangered by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. The West Virginia Department of Natural Resources manages these areas.

An Integrated Natural Resources Management plan has not been prepared for the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site.

#### 3.3.1 THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES

The West Virginia Division of Natural Resources lists the following threatened species in West Virginia on their website <u>http://www.dnr.state.wv.us/wvwildlife/endangered.htm</u>:

Peregrine falcon, Virginia big-eared bat, Indiana bat, Gray bat, West Virginia northern flying squirrel, Eastern cougar, Pink mucket pearly mussel, Tuberculed-blossom pearly mussel, James spinymussel, Fanshell, Northern riffleshell, Clubshell, Shale barren rockcress, Running buffalo cover, Harperella, and the Northeastern bullrush.

The West Virginia Division of Natural Resources list the following endangered species in West Virginia:

Bald eagle, Flat-spired three-toothed land snail, and the Cheat Mountain salamander.

These species are determined to be threatened or endangered by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and are protected under the Federal Endangered Species Act.

#### 3.3..2 WETLANDS AND FLOODPLAINS

Wetlands generally include swamps, bogs, and similar areas such as troughs, mud flats and natural ponds. These areas are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction.

Flood plains are areas of low-level ground present along a river or stream channel that are susceptible to flooding. A 100-year flood plain is an area subject to inundation by a flood that has a 1% or greater chance of being equaled or exceeded during any given year.

#### 3.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources are defined by the National Historic Preservation Act as prehistoric and historic sites, structures, districts, or any other physical evidence of human activity considered important to a culture, a subculture, or a community for scientific, traditional, religious, or any other reason. Cultural resources are subdivided into *archaeological resources* (prehistoric or historic sites where activity has left physical evidence of that activity but no structures remain standing) or *architectural resources* (buildings or other structures or groups of structures that are of historic or aesthetic significance).

*Archaeological* resources comprise areas where human activity has measurably altered the earth or deposits of physical remains are found (e.g. arrowhead and bottles).

*Architectural* resources include standing buildings, bridges, dams and other structures of historic or aesthetic significance. Generally architectural resources must be more than 50 years old to be considered for the National Register.

The existing 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing Cultural Resources Plan does not address the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site.

## 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

#### 4.1 AIR QUALITY

#### **Proposed Action**

A small amount of new air emissions may be produced as a result of two items resulting from the Proposed Action. The facility construction operations (i.e. vehicle emissions, excavation, etc.) will produce some air emissions during the construction period. Emissions produced from the construction will be for a limited time only and stop upon completion of the project. Additional emissions will be produced from installed ceiling mounted natural gas unit heaters, which will be connected to the existing building gas service. Neither of these emissions/is significant. There will not be any new emissions resulting from the operation of the Proposed Action.

Air Conformity Applicability was evaluated. Since Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 is located in Monongalia County, which is designated as an attainment area for criteria pollutants per the EPA's Greenbook, the Proposed Action is exempted from any further Conformity Determination.

#### **No Action Alternative**

Under the No Action Alternative, no new air emissions would be introduced and current emission levels would be unaffected.

#### 4.2 WATER RESOURCES

#### **Proposed Action**

The Proposed Action is to construct a 575 square foot (SF) garage addition to the northeast side of the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600. The disturbed earth for the Proposed Action is estimated 1200 SF. Since this area is less than 1 acre (43,560 SF), a Notice of Intent (NOI) is not required to be filed with the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources. In addition, the West Virginia National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Water Pollution Control Permit, Permit No. WV0115924, does not apply.

Erosion and Sedimentation Control measures will be included in the design on the construction drawings and implemented to ensure that Best Management Practices are followed to prevent impacts on storm water due to disturbance of the site soils under the Proposed Action.

Since the Proposed Action includes connecting a sink and a floor drain to the sanitary sewer system in the garage addition that will be used for vehicle storage, the potential exists for oils, greases, and other fluids from vehicles entering the sanitary sewer exceeding the Morgantown Utility Board sanitary sewer regulations and discharge restrictions. However, if proper discharge management procedures are established and adhered to and the vehicles are properly maintained, the impact would be minimal.

#### No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, no new facilities would be introduced and the current water resources would remain unchanged.

#### 4.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

#### 4.3.1 THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES

#### **Proposed Action and No Action Alternative**

The site for the Proposed Action consists of mainly grass and landscape area in an industrial setting located next to the Morgantown Municipal Airport. This developed area surrounding the Proposed Action limits habitat availability for wildlife. The habitats of the threatened or endangered species were evaluated for all

the threatened or endangered species listed by the West Virginia Department of Natural Resources. Considering the location and the present land use (grass and landscape) of the Proposed Action, none of the threatened or endangered species would inhabit this area normally. For example, the Virginia Big-Eared bats, Indiana Myotis bats, and Gray bats only inhabit caves. The Northern flying squirrels only inhabit hardwood forests. The freshwater mussels inhabit the bottoms of streams and rivers. Harparellas grows in wet soils next to bodies of waters. The Cheat Mountain salamander is found in forests.

The 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing contacted the West Virginia Division of Natural Resources (WVDNR), Wildlife Resources Section located in Elkins, West Virginia to determine if there are any records or surveys of any rare, threatened and endangered species (RTE) for the Proposed Action site. The WVDNR did not have any known records of any RTE species for the area of the Proposed Action site but further qualified that no surveys have been conducted in this area for rare species or rare species habitat. See Reference [4].

Based on the review of RTE by the WVDNR, and consideration of the location and present land use (grass and landscape) of the Proposed Action, and evaluation of the natural habitats of the RTE on the WVDNR website, there should not be an impact to threatened or endangered species.

#### 4.3.2 WETLANDS AND FLOODPLAINS

#### **Proposed Action and No Action Alternative**

The Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site is well drained, located in a relatively high topographical area, not located in close proximity to any rivers, streams, marshes, swamps, and similar areas. The closest stream to the site of the Proposed Action is Hartman Run, which is a small tributary to Decker's Creek. Hartman Run is located approximately 0.1 mile to the West and approximately 100 feet elevation difference lower than the Proposed Action site per the Morgantown North, WV Quadrangle 7.5 minute topographical map dated 1994 obtained from the United States Geological Survey (USGS). See Reference [5]. In addition, the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) index for the City of Morgantown, West Virginia obtained from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) confirms the Proposed Action site not being in a flood area. See Reference [6]. The location of the Proposed Action site is in panel 540141 0002D, which is not currently printed by FEMA. However, the index indicates there are No Special Flood Hazard Areas. Based on this information, the Proposed Action does not impact wetlands or floodplains.

#### 4.4 CULTURAL RESOURCES

#### **Proposed Action**

A Cultural Resources plan for the 911th Airlift Wing site has been prepared, but this plan does not address the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 site. There are no architectural resources on the Proposed Action site, since there were no buildings existing on this site until 1988, which the original building was constructed. Archaeological resources have not been surveyed to date.

Potential impacts to archaeological resources may occur during ground disturbance associated with construction of the building and landscaping. If unanticipated discoveries occur during construction or landscaping, work will be stopped or redirected to prevent archaeological resources from being compromised, the Cultural Resources Manager will be notified, and established procedures will be followed in accordance with AFI 32-7065.

#### **No Action Alternative**

Under the No Action Alternative, no Cultural Resources will be affected.

#### 4.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Since the Morgantown CES DET1, Building 600 is situated on only .75 acre of ground which is currently developed, the potential for cumulative impacts at the Proposed Action site is very low.

## 4.6 IRREVERSIBLE & IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES

Irreversible and irretrievable resource commitments are related to both the use of nonrenewable resources and the effects of the use these resources would have on future generations. Neither the Proposed Action nor the No Action Alternative would result in any irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources.

## 5.0 LIST OF PREPARERS AND PERSONS CONSULTED

This Environmental Assessment was prepared by the 911th Airlift Wing, Civil Engineering Environmental Office. The following personnel prepared this Environmental Assessment:

Mr. Frank Sniezek Environmental Engineer 911 AW/ MSG/CEVE 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

The following personnel were consulted and contributed to the preparation of this document.

Ms. Francine Vollmer Chief, Environmental Flight 911 AW/MSG/CEV 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

Mr. John Arin Chief, Engineering Flight 911 AW/ MSG/CEC 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

Mr. David Kattler General Engineer 911 AW/ MSG/CECE 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

Mr. Joseph Matis Environmental Engineer 911 AW/ MSG/CEVE 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

Capt. Kevin Swisher 911 AW/ MSG/CES 911 Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh IAP ARS Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108-4421

## **6.0 REFERENCES**

- [1] Aerial photograph of Proposed Action site
- [2] Pictures of Morgantown CES DET1 building and surrounding area
- [3] DD Form 1391, FY2003 Military Construction Project Data, *Pittsburgh IAP ARS (AFRC)*, Coraopolis, PA, *Project QUEF 03-0020*, *Add to CES DET 1 Bldg 600*, *Morgantown*, WV, 25 Mar 2002
- [4] Letter from the Division of Natural Resources, Wildlife Resources Section to the Department of the Air Force, 911th Airlift Wing/CE dated May 28, 2004
- [5] Section of 1994 Morgantown, WV North Quadrangle topographical map, 7.5 minute series, produced by the United States Geological Survey
- [6] Flood Insurance Rate Map Index for City of Morgantown, West Virginia dated October 18, 1995 obtained from the Federal Emergency Management Agency





Reference 2 - pg 1 of 6

Morgantown, WV CES DET1 Building 600

Morgantown, WV Municipal Airport



Location of proposed garage addition to Morgantown CES DET1 Building 600

Reference 2 – pg 2 of 6



Location of proposed garage addition to Morgantown CES DET1 Building 600 Reference 2 – pg 3 of 6







Location of proposed garage addition to Morgantown CES DET1 Building 600 Reference 2 – pg 6 of 6

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITA	RY CONSTRUCT	ION PROJEC	CT DATA	2. DATE	
USAFR						
3. INSTALLATION AND LO			4. PROJECT			
PITTSBURGH IAP AR				CES DET 1 B		
CORAOPOLIS, PA 1				1		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUM		8. PROJECT		
52576F	171-443	QUEF 03-0	0020 R1	EEIC	529 =	50.5
9. COST ESTIMATES			3.			
ITEM	1					COST
	7. ×		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	(\$000)
ADD TO MORGANTOW	/N DET 1 BLDG 600				-	
FUNDED COSTS						
MATERIALS			LS			42.0
EQUIPMENT RENT	AL		LS			8.5
TOTAL FUNDED COS	т					50.5
			1			
UNFUNDED COSTS						
TROOP LABOR ITEM			MH	454	24.38	11.1
DESIGN (A-E DESIGN	l 14%)					8.6
TOTAL UNFUNDED C	OST					19.7
<ul> <li>Manufacture and the contraction of the</li></ul>						
TOTAL PROJECT CO	ST		<i></i>			70.2
				14		
			1 T			
10. DESCRIPTION OF	PROPOSED WORK					
	dition to the North East sic	te of the existing h	uilding to pro	wide a Garage	area Evcav	ato tho
	te foundation, concrete flo					
	teel roof joists with a stand					
	a metal panel fascia will c				the contract of the second sec	
	n and a steel man-door on					
	all and paint, a concrete f					
	r service to a shop sink an					
	system will be installed ar I from the existing building					
	Install an exit sign at per					
	ng building gas service. C					

slab and side walk at the man door.

11. PROJECT: Add to the existing Civil Engineering Training Center Building.

**REQUIREMENT:** Sufficient space is necessary for formations and other activities where all unit members assemble and also for vehicle storage.

**CURRENT SITUATION:** Due to changes to the existing building interior the area that was used for a garage and unit assembly is no longer available. Presently there is no area large enough to be used for these functions. The facility was constructed in 1988 and has received no major improvements since.

**IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:** If the addition is not provided unit members will be forced to assemble outdoors in inclement weather and no vehicle storage area will exist. Work inefficiency and lowered morale will continue to exist.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2	2003 MILITARY	CONSTRUCT	ION PROJE	CT DATA	2. DATE	
USAFR						1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (AFF	IC)						
4. PROJECT TITLE	-				8. PROJECT N	UMBER	
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLDG 6	600,	MORGANTOWN	W.VA.		QU	EF 03-0020 R	1
		COST ES	TIMATE SUN	/MARY			
		all search	MATE	· · · · ·	LABOR	EQUIP.	
ITEM	<u>Ú/M</u>	QUANTITY	COST	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(MHR)</u>	(\$000)	
SITE PREPARATION							
Excavation for Foundation,	CY	30	0	0	24	1.2	
Floor Slab, Drive, Walks.				0			
Prepare and RollSubbase.	SY	150	8.65	1.30	18	0.9	
					de la serie		
CONSTRUCT ADDITION							
Concrete Foundation, Slab,	CY	45	130	5.85	60	0.6	
Drive, Walks.							
CMU Walls.	SF	1500	4.47	6.71	80	2.0	
4" Rigid Insulation.	SF	900	0.37	0.33	3	0.2	
/apor Barrier.	SF	900	5.75	5.18	1	5 - <b>1</b> , 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	
Steel Roof Joists.	LF	220	4.39	0.97	32	2.0	
Metal Studs.	LF	1000	0.18	0.18	16	0.2	
Drywall.	SF	2000	1.25	2.50	32	-	
Paint.	SF	2000	0.12	0.24	16	-	
Overhead Door.	SF	196	12.76	2.50	16	0.3	
Man Door.	EA	1	500.00	0.50	8	-	
Standing Seam Roof.	SF	685	6.50	4.45	32	0.5	
Metal Fascia	LF	260	7.95	2.07	32	0.3	
Shop Sink.	EA	1	1200.00	1.20	16	- 1. <del>-</del> 5	
JTILITIES	05	570	1.01	1 10		0	
_ighting	SF	576	1.91	1.10	16		
Elec., Receptacles.	SF	576	3.99	2.30	16		
Unit Heater	EA	2	900	1.8	04	0.0	
Sprk. System.	SF	576	3.85	2.22	24	0.3	
Felephone	SF	576	1.10	0.63	8	-	
ANSCAPING						910. La s	
Topsoil/Seed	SF	500	0.23	0.12	4		
TOTAL MATERIALS (\$000)				42.0		2	
TOTAL TROOP LABOR (MHR)					454		
TOTAL EQUIPMENT (\$000)						8.5	
······································							

USAFR 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (AFRC) 4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO CES DET 1 BLDG 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA. QUEF 03-0020 R1 PROJECT SITE LOCATION (NO SCALE) PROJECT SITE PROJECT SITE PROJECT SITE COMPANY OF A SCALE STRE COMPANY OF A SCALE STRE
4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO CES DET 1 BLDG 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA. PROJECT SITE LOCATION (NO SCALE) PROJECT SITE OF SITE PROJECT SITE
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLDG 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA. QUEF 03-0020 R1  PROJECT SITE LOCATION (NO SCALE)  PROJECT SITE  ROUTE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF T
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLDG 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA. PROJECT SITE LOCATION (NO SCALE) PROJECT SITE PROJECT SITE PROJECT SITE
(NO SCALE)

REFERENCE 3 - PAGE NO. 3

REFERENCE 3 - PAGE NO. 4





REFERENCE 3 - PAGE NO. 5

	EV 0000 MULTARY CONCERNENTION PROJECT	TDATA	
. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	STDATA	2. DATE
. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (A			
. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO CES DET 1 BLD		B. PROJECT	NUMBER NUEF 03-0020 R1
ADD TO CES DET T DED		6	0E1 03-0020 MT
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE		
	FOR CRITICAL PLANNING ACTION	S	
I. INSTRUCTIONS:			
statement requiring additional or attach an appropriate comm	priate response for each topic area to show current status of c data, fill in the blank with appropriate information. If none of th ent. For MILCON projects, the Base Civil Engineer (BCE) and MAJCOM staff where it will be updated, retained, and be readi	ne printed sta d installation	tements are appropriate, add commander must sign the
II. PLANNING:			
	Analysis Process (AFI 32-7061) numberapplies.		
EA under preparation,	Expected Completion Date is (date).		
Finding of No Significal	nt Impact (FONSI) signed on (date).	( - )	
Draft EIS under prepara	ation. Expected Completion Date is (date	te).	
Final EIS filed on	(date).		
Record of Decision sign	ned on <i>(date)</i> .		
	cted global resource exemption numbera r review underway) under preparation. Expected comp		is
	r review) completed on (date).	Jouon date	
_X_ AF form 813 submitted	on <u>25 MARCH 2002</u> (date). Completed		
2. Wetlands (AFI 32-7064	0		
<u>_X</u> Project is not sited in a			
2 Electrologing (AEL 22 70	64)		
<ol> <li>Floodplains (AFI 32-70)</li> <li>X Project is not sited in a</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Coastal Zone Managen</li> <li>Project does not direct</li> </ol>	nent (AFI 32-7064) ly affect a state coastal zone.		
5. Coastal Barrier Resource			
$\underline{\Lambda}$ Project is not sited with	in the Coastal Barrier Resources System.		
	gered Species (AFI 32-7064)		
<u>X</u> Project has no potentia	al for affecting threatened or endangered species or crit	ical habitate	5.
<ol> <li>Cultural Resource Man <u>X</u> Consultation with State eligible historic propert</li> </ol>	Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) not required bec	ause survey	y indicates no

1. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PI	ROJECT DATA	2. DATE
USAFR 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI			
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (A			
4. PROJECT TITLE		8. PROJECT	
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLD	G 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA.	Q	UEF 03-0020 R1
<u>X</u> Coordination of propose Coordination with the sta (date)	pordinated with the state Single Point of Contract	or other agencies in cted date of completed date of completed date of completed agencies of the	etion is
<ul> <li>X No permits are required</li> <li>No permits required, but storage tank removals)</li> <li>The following permits are a</li> </ul>	FI's 32-7040, 7041, 7042, 7044) regulatory agency notification required prior to c e required prior to construction: (List the constru		
<ul> <li>a. Asbestos:</li> <li>_X not present;sur</li> <li> present (Describe mitigation</li> <li>b. Lead-Based Paint:</li> <li>_X_ not present;surv</li> </ul>	tion, or state why mitigation not necessary)		
<ul> <li>d. Polychlorinated bipheny</li> <li><u>X</u> not present; <u>surv</u></li> <li><u>present</u> (Describe mitigate. Radon:</li> <li><u>X</u> not present; <u>surv</u></li> <li><u>present</u> (Describe mitigate. Surve)</li> <li><u>present</u> (Describe mitigate. Surve)</li> <li><u>f.</u> Other known hazardous (e.g. contaminated soils</li> <li><u>X</u> not present; <u>surve</u></li> </ul>	rey underway; ation, or state why mitigation not necessary) d's (PCBs) rey underway; ation, or state why mitigation not necessary) rey underway; ation, or state why mitigation not necessary) or toxic substances and pollutants:		
<ul> <li>11. Radon at New Construct</li> <li><u>X</u> Not Present</li> <li>Present</li> </ul>	ion Sites:		

. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTIO	N PROJECT DATA	2. DATE	
USAFR 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI	  ON			
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (A	NFRC)			
. PROJECT TITLE		8. PROJECT	NUMBER	
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLD	G 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA.	Q	UEF 03-0020 R1	1.12
12. Installation Restoration X Facility is not sited o				
Facility is sited near a	n IRP site. Approximately feet aw	vay.		
Facility is on an IRP sit	te. ver was submitted to MAJCOM on	(date).		
The site is projected	ed to be remediated and/or closed out on		r to	
	of construction activities. ite does not preclude the type of constructio	n activity proposed.		
There is a Complia	ance Agreement associated with this site.			
	igation Feasibility study has/was completed ial extent of the contamination.	on (date	e) to accurately	
<ol> <li>Air Pollutants (AFI 32-7</li> <li>Will not be generated</li> </ol>	7040) by the operation or construction of this facili	tv		
Will be generated by t	he operation or construction of this facility.	(Describe type and am		
expected to be gener Conformity determinat	rated, existing control systems, and the need	d for additional control	s.)	
Conformity determinat				
14 Solid and Hazardous V	Vastes (AFI's 32-7042, 32-7080)			
<u>X</u> Facility will not be use	r managing solid or hazardous wastes.			
	anks (AFI 32-7044) (Check all that apply):			
_X_ No underground tanks New underground tank				
	ect site will be removed. Ensure regulatory A	Agency has been notifi	ed.	
Existing tanks on proje Contamination exists.	ect will be retained.			
Contamination unknow				
Contamination does n	ot exist.			
	ible Use Zone (AFI 32-7063):		,	
<u>X</u> Facility is sited in com required.	pliance with Air Installation Compatible Use	Zone Study. No noise	e level reduction is	
Facility is sited in com	pliance with Air Installation Compatible Use vided in design and construction.	Zone Study. Noise lev	vel reduction of	
Noise waiver request i				
Noise waiver has beer	n granted.			
17. Base Comprehensive F				
	mpatible land use category. a compatible land use category for the follow	ving reason:		

. COMPONENT	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION P	ROJECT DATA 2. DATE
USAFR	FT 2003 WILLTARY CONSTRUCTION F	ROJECT DATA 2. DATE
. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI	ION	
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (A		
PROJECT TITLE		8. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLD	G 600, MORGANTOWN W.VA.	QUEF 03-0020 R1
zones and airfield air A request for waiver of (date) A temporary waiver for	npliance with airfield clearance criteria, including rspace (height obstruction criteria). f airfield/airspace clearance criteria is being prep r construction activity in the airfield vicinity was a	pared. Expected completion date is approved on( <i>date</i> ).
19. Air Space Use:	f airfield/airspace clearance criteria was obtained	
	et air space use and does not require submittal to nal FAA on ( <i>date</i> ).	o Regional Administrator, FAA.
(a) Projects involving Project is not affect	stance Sitting and Safety Clearance Criteria: munitions storage and explosives related facilitie cted by Q/D criteria. /er is under preparation. Expected completion da	
Request to waiver Explosive siting ar (b) Projects not involv X_ Project is not with	r safety criteria sent to MAJCOM on nd safety approval obtained on	(date). (date). ed explosives related facility.
<ol> <li>Air Base Survivability, C Concealment and Dec X Project does not affect Facility is sited/construction</li> </ol>	t airbase operability. ucted in compliance with criteria contained in WM	MP-1.
accordance with WPM		gineering Readiness Office, in
Waiver/exemption grai	nted on ( <i>date</i> ).	
Project provides acces Project provides acces X Design features for ha	sign features for handicapped. ss and limited features.	
2. Project requires acq 3. Land interest is to b	ent (AFR 32-9001): quire acquisition of real estate interest. quisition of a real estate interest over \$200,000. be acquired through minor land authority.	
4. Other (Explain):		6

REFERENCE 3 - PAGE NO. 9

. COMPONENT	FY 2003	MILITARY CON	STRUCTION PRO	JECT DATA	2. DATE	
USAFR						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATI						
PITTSBURGH IAP ARS (A	FRC)					
PROJECT TITLE	C 600 MOI	RGANTOWN W.V	1	8. PROJECT	NUMBER UEF 03-0020 R	1
ADD TO CES DET 1 BLD	G 600, 100	IGANTOWN W.V	Α.		OEF 03-0020 R	1
24. Facility Security <u>N/A</u> Threat assessment per Crime prevention throug			ts to be incornorate	d into design i	fwarranted	
(See local Security Pol		ar Boolgn mothod		a mo doorgin	i wanantoa.	
25. Excess Space: _X_ Excess space is not av	ailable to satisf	y the requiremen	t.			
26. Temporary Facilities: _X Temporary facilities a			he develiebed we			
Temporary facilities are	e required for th	is project and will	be demolished upo	on completion.		
27. A-76 CERTIFICATION						
_X_ The Work prescribed o			n the performance	work statemen	it developed as	
part of the latest A-76	cost companse	on study.				
part of the latest A-76		on study.				
		on study.				
part of the latest A-76		on stuay.				
part of the latest A-76		on stuay.			•	
part of the latest A-76	ements.					-
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.	(date)	<i>(date)</i> Commander 911	Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.		<i>(date)</i> Commander, 911	Airlift Wing		- -
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		-
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		- -
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		-
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		
part of the latest A-76 I concur with the above stat	ements.			Airlift Wing		



**DIVISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES** Wildlife Resources Section **Operations** Center P.O. Box 67 Elkins, West Virginia 26241-3235 Telephone (304) 637-0245 Fax (304) 637-0250

**Bob Wise** Governor Ed Hamrick Director

May 28, 2004

Mr. Robert F. Moeslein Department of the Air Force 911<sup>th</sup> Airlift Wing/CE Pittsburgh IAP ARS 1100 Herman Avenue Coraopolis, PA 15108-4421

Dear Mr. Moeslein:

We have reviewed our files for information on rare, threatened and endangered (RTE) species for the area of the proposed garage addition at the Morgantown Municipal Airport in Morgantown, WV.

We have no known records of any RTE species within the project area. The Wildlife Resources Section knows of no surveys that have been conducted in the area for rare species or rare species habitat. Consequently, this response is based on information currently available and should not be considered a comprehensive survey of the area under review.

Enclosed please find an invoice.

Thank you for your inquiry, and should you have any questions please feel free to contact me at the above number, extension 2048.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sargent Environmental Resources Specialist Wildlife Diversity Program

enclosure

g:\BDSInv\USAF.doc

**REFERENCE** 4





**REFERENCE 6** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT QUEF 03-0020, ADD TO CES DET1 BLDG. 600, MORGANTOWN, WV 101 HART FIELD ROAD, MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

#### APPENDIX A ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFI	Air Force Instruction
AFRC	Air Force Reserve Command
ARS	Air Reserve Station
AW	Airlift Wing
CE	Civil Engineering
CES	Civil Engineering Squadron
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DD	Department of Defense
DET	Detachment
DOPAA	Description of Proposed Actions and Alternatives
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
FY	Fiscal year
IAP	International Airport
NOI	Notice of Intent
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PA	Pennsylvania
RCS	Report Control Symbol
RTE	Rare threatened and endangered species
SF	Square foot
USAFR	United States Air Force Reserve
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WV	West Virginia
WVDNR	West Virginia Division of Natural Resources

## THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK