

# Report Documentation Page

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>01 JAN 2012</b>		2. REPORT TYPE <b>N/A</b>		3. DATES COVERED <b>-</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>A novel technique for split-thickness skin donor site pain control: subcutaneous catheters for continuous local anesthetic infusion</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) <b>Lundy J. B., Cancio L. C.,</b>				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>United States Army Institute of Surgical Research, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release, distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>UU</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>2</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

# A Novel Technique for Split-Thickness Skin Donor Site Pain Control: Subcutaneous Catheters for Continuous Local Anesthetic Infusion

## To the Editor:

Pain after split-thickness skin grafting can be severe. The current management of donor site pain is limited to oral and parenteral narcotics and nonnarcotic adjuncts, topical analgesics, and regional and axial anesthesia.<sup>1</sup> The ON-Q Postop Pain Relief System (I-Flow Corporation, Lake Forest, CA) consists of an ON-Q pump and Soaker catheter designed to deliver a continuous infusion of local anesthetic to a surgical site. The device is Food and Drug Administration approved for postoperative/postinjury pain control and has been described after laparotomy, thoracotomy, inguinal hernia repair, and rib fractures.<sup>2-4</sup> We describe our experience at the U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research (USAISR) with the use of subcutaneous catheter placement for continuous infusion of local anesthetic placed deep to lower extremity split-thickness skin graft donor sites. Standard excisional preparation of a skin or soft tissue wound is carried out. A split-thickness donor site is chosen, typically from the upper lateral thigh, and skin is harvested at a depth of 0.010 inches. Autografting is completed and the grafted wounds are dressed. The local anesthetic infusion catheters are then inserted in the subcutaneous space deep to the skin donor site via palpation as the included introducer and needle are advanced. Of note, when using the sharp (as opposed to the blunt tip) introducer, 2 to 3 cm of the distal end of the Soaker catheter must be trimmed to allow it to pass through the introducer and remain with its perforated portion entirely within the subcutaneous space deep to the donor site. The insertion site is

placed 2 to 5 cm cephalad to the most proximal portion of the donor site. Two (optionally, only one) 10-cm catheters are passed in parallel, one medially and one laterally, to cover the entire field of a 200-cm<sup>2</sup> donor site (Figure 1). The catheters are primed with 2 ml of ¼% bupivacaine and attached to the ON-Q Pain Pump device, which infuses at a rate of 4 ml/hr. The use of either 0.2% ropivacaine or ¼% bupivacaine for continuous infusion has been described; but due to the bacteriostatic properties of the latter, this is the local anesthetic we prefer.<sup>5</sup> The donor site is dressed with Xeroform gauze. The ON-Q Soaker catheters are secured to the skin with either Steri-Strips (3M Healthcare, St. Paul, MN) or Dermabond (Ethicon, San Lorenzo, Puerto Rico). The tubing that connects the catheter to the Pain Pump is further secured to the skin of the thigh using a clear, occlusive dressing. Early ambulation is encouraged and begins the morning after surgery. A satchel is used to organize and secure redundant tubing and the ON-Q Pump while the patient is upright. The donor site dressing is inspected 24 hours postoperatively and left open to air. Continuous infusion of topical local anesthetic is carried out for 5 consecutive days at which point the catheters are discontinued.


We have used this technique in 11 patients with thermal and soft tissue injuries that have required autografting. The patients were predominantly male (n = 8), had a mean age of 40 years (range, 25–67 years), and had a mean donor site size of 454 cm<sup>2</sup> (range, 100–1200 cm<sup>2</sup>) to cover a mean area of 727 cm<sup>2</sup> (range, 100–2000 cm<sup>2</sup>). Three of the patients required prior split-thickness skin harvesting for wound coverage without the use of the technique of subcutaneous catheter placement. Of note, all patients reported that their greatest postoperative pain was from the excisionally prepared wound and denied any significant pain from the donor site. The three patients who had undergone prior skin grafting without the use of the ON-Q Pain Pump reported markedly improved pain control at the donor site with the

*The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Army or Department of Defense.*

Address correspondence to Jonathan Lundy, MD, 3400 Rawley Chambers Avenue Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234. Email: jonathan.lundy1@amedd.army.mil.

Copyright © 2012 by the American Burn Association. 1559-047X/2012

DOI: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e3182356095



**Figure 1.** Dressed 200 cm<sup>2</sup> left lateral thigh split-thickness donor site with single subcutaneous catheter and ON-Q pump. Catheter and tubing are secured to patient via Dermabond and adhesive drape.

subsequent skin harvesting. Two patients have experienced minor complications (one catheter insertion site infection and one early catheter discontinuation due to poor skin fixation). This report is limited by its

anecdotal, retrospective nature; however, we feel that this is a useful technique to report to the burn community for small split-thickness skin donor site pain control.

## REFERENCES

1. Alvi R, Jones S, Burrows D, et al. The safety of topical anesthetic and analgesic agents in a gel when used to provide pain relief at split skin donor sites. *Burns* 1998;24:54–7.
2. Truitt MS, Mooty RC, Amos J, Lorenzo M, Mangram A, Dunn E. Out with the old, in with the new: a novel approach to treating pain associated with rib fractures. *World J Surg* 2010;34:2359–62.
3. Wheatley GH III, Rosenbaum DH, Paul MC, et al. Improved pain management outcomes with continuous infusion of a local anesthetic after thoracotomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;130:464–8.
4. Sanchez B, Waxman K, Tatevossian R, Gamberdella M, Read B. Local anesthetic infusion pumps improve postoperative pain after inguinal hernia repair: a randomized trial. *Am Surg* 2004;70:1002–6.
5. Johnson SM, Saint John BE, Dine AP. Local anesthetics as antimicrobial agents: a review. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2008; 9:205–13.

*Jonathan B. Lundy, MD  
Leopoldo C. Cancio, MD  
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research  
Fort Sam Houston, Texas*