Acoustic Clutter in Continental Shelf Environments

Nicholas C. Makris Chief Scientist of ONR Ocean Acoustic Clutter Program Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Ocean Engineering 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Room 5-222 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Phone: (617) 258-6104 Fax: (617) 253-2350 e-mail: makris@mit.edu

Grant Number: N00014-99-1-1059

LONG-TERM GOALS

Acoustic clutter is the primary problem encountered by active sonar systems operating in Continental Shelf environments. Clutter is defined as any returns from the environment that stand prominently above the diffuse and temporally decaying reverberation background and so can be confused with or camouflage returns from an intended target such as an underwater vehicle. The long-term goal of this program is to determine and understand the physical mechanisms that cause acoustic clutter in continental shelf environments with little or no bathymetric relief and to use this knowledge to develop predictive tools to enhance the detection, localization and classification of underwater targets.

OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of this program are to:

• Characterize the spatial and temporal variability of clutter in continental shelf environments with little or no bathymetric relief.

• Determine the primary causes of clutter in continental shelf environments with little or no bathymetric relief.

• Develop unified physical models for reverberation and scattering from objects submerged in an ocean waveguide and compare them with experimental data.

APPROACH

The approach is to combine the analysis of experimental data with full-field waveguide modeling of clutter, acoustic reverberation, and target scattering. Under the Acoustic Clutter Program, formerly the Geologic Clutter Program, the Acoustic Clutter Reconnaissance Experiment (ARE) 2001 was primarily aimed at just establishing the presence and persistence of acoustic clutter off the New Jersey continental shelf. This year's Main Acoustics Experiment (MAE), instead, was designed to be very controlled, so that the actual mechanisms for the clutter could be established. It also had precise calibration so that theories and models could be accurately tested. Full-field waveguide scattering models, simulations and statistical studies helped direct experiment design and support the analysis and interpretation of experiment results.

| Report Documentation Page | | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. | | | | | |
| 1. REPORT DATE | | | | 3. DATES COVE | RED |
| 30 SEP 2003 | | 2. REPORT TYPE | | 00-00-2003 | 6 to 00-00-2003 |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | 5a. CONTRACT | NUMBER | | |
| Acoustic Clutter in | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | | | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Chief Scientist of ONR Ocean Acoustic Clutter Program,,Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Ocean Engineering,,77 Massachusetts Avenue, Room 5-222,,Cambridge,,MA,02139 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | | | | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | |
| | | | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited | | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | |
| 14. ABSTRACT Acoustic clutter is the primary problem encountered by active sonar systems operating in Continental Shelf environments. Clutter is defined as any returns from the environment that stand prominently above the diffuse and temporally decaying reverberation background and so can be confused with or camouflage returns from an intended target such as an underwater vehicle. The long-term goal of this program is to determine and understand the physical mechanisms that cause acoustic clutter in continental shelf environments with little or no bathymetric relief and to use this knowledge to develop predictive tools to enhance the detection, localization and classification of underwater targets. | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICA | 17. LIMITATION OF | 18. NUMBER | 19a. NAME OF | | |
| a. REPORT unclassified | b. ABSTRACT unclassified | c. THIS PAGE unclassified | Same as Report (SAR) | 8 | KESPONSIBLE PERSON |

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

WORK COMPLETED / RESULTS

MAE 2003 of the Geoclutter Program, conducted from April 28 to May 24, was a great success in demonstrating that discrete clutter events are consistently a major problem for active sonar operations in Continental Shelf environments, even those with little or no bathymetric relief. It was also a great success in determining the most likely physical mechanism for this clutter.

In MAE 2003, three ships were used. One ship, the UNOL Endeavor, was moored at a number of locations where the MACE sources, XF4 and Mod 30 arrays, were deployed. Another, the UNOL Oceanus, was used to tow the newly made ONR Five Octave Research Array as a receiver to bistatically probe for clutter over ranges extending to roughly 40 km in the low to mid frequency range from roughly 400 to 1500 Hz. The third ship, the UNOL Cape Henlopen, was used to probe for highly concentrated fish schools using downward directed SIMRAD fish finding sonar and to determine whether these schools occur in the location of clutter events at the same time the clutter events are measured.

In order to demonstrate the prevalence of clutter, on the order of 5000 waveforms or "pings" were transmitted into the water column and roughly 10 to 100 discrete clutter events per ping were received, giving a total of at least 50,000 clutter events that could be confused with a discrete target over the period of the experiment. This is consistent with our findings from the ARE of 2001. In fact, between 2 to 5 discrete calibrated targets (BBN Reflectors and a special bottom mounted target) with known scattering properties were deployed at various times throughout the experiment. Without apriori knowledge of the targets' locations, these targets would have been consistently indistinguishable from clutter arising from natural environmental scatterers (lower left figure). A unified model for full-field scattering from submerged objects and reverberation in an ocean waveguide [5], developed by the PI in this program, correctly estimated scattering from the BBN target to stand roughly 10-20 dB above the diffuse reverberation level. This simulation is shown in the lower right figure, which compares monostatic scattering level from a BBN target (blue) with reverberation level (red). This analysis used an 81-m Pekeris sand bottom environment, and a 390-440 Hz broadband source. Dotted red lines represent 5.6 dB standard deviation of the diffuse reverberation.



Three key findings of ARE 2001 influenced the design of MAE 2003 [1]:

(1) In ARE 2001, it was found that many clutter returns appeared to register with known geologic features, but many prominent and target-like returns also occurred in regions where the sub-bottom had not been surveyed for geologic features. Subsequent geologic surveys of these previously unexplored

regions indicated that some of the corresponding unidentified clutter features now registered with newly-discovered sub-bottom features such as buried river channels, but some did not. It is noteworthy that buried river channels are believed to be ubiquitous in Continental Shelf environments so the possibility of coincidental correlation is high.

(2) In ARE 2001, the spatial locations of the clutter features changed significantly as the long-range active sonar ship tracks varied. This gave the impression that the bistatic orientation of the scatterer may be a significant parameter in clutter physics. For example, clutter might appear as glints on a bending river channel that move along the channel as the source and receiver move. An analogy might be how glints on a curved shiny spoon move with viewing or lighting direction. However, due to the very limited time available for ARE 2001, it was not possible to accurately determine the purely temporal variations of the clutter.

(3) Large schools of fish were observed in the Strataform area during ARE 2001. Very dense clusterings of certain observed fish species, on the order of 1 fish per square meter, could also account for the observed active sonar clutter based on subsequent waveguide scatter modeling. Clutter from fish schools is expected to be both temporally and spatially variable.

More time was available in MAE 2003 to repeat tracks in the vicinity of previously charted river channels, where clutter features were found in ARE 2001. Near each major river channel feature, for example, the same two tracks were typically repeated for at least two days to study both the spatial and temporal evolution of the clutter. Movies were made at sea of the clutter evolution.

The findings were remarkable in that all clutter features in the vicinity of the river channels were observed to evolve both in time and in space. Clutter features were found to move throughout the survey area and sometimes cross known geologic features such as river channels. The clutter features were observed to cluster, disperse and gradually disappear and then emerge in the general vicinity at later times. As a result, they do not consistently correlate with static geologic features. The long-range active sonar data on its own shows that the primary source of clutter corresponds to targets moving in the waveguide. This was made certain by our ability to accurately register a number of fixed-point targets that we deployed as controls at various locations throughout the experiment.

A thorough statistical analysis of ARE 2001 clutter data in regions where the sub-bottom geomorphology has been mapped shows that repeatable clutter does not favor buried river channel locations over non-river-channel locations [1]. One statistical investigation was conducted over the area within the yellow box of the figure below. The corresponding table shows that the frequency of occurrence of repeatable clutter within buried river channels has a mean between 3.7% and 4.9% and standard deviation between 1.8% and 4.3%. This standard deviation differs by less than a standard deviation from the area occupied by the channels in the subimage of 5.8%. This demonstrates that to within errors of statistical analysis, there is no significant difference between the frequency of occurrence per unit area of repeatable clutter events that chart within areas occupied by river channels and those that chart outside of areas occupied by river channels.



A full-field waveguide scattering model for fish was developed by the PI, and his post-doctoral fellow Purnima Ratilal, to investigate the coherent and incoherent scattering from schools of fish over long ranges in a stratified ocean waveguide. They found that scattering from large, densely-populated fish schools (on the order of one fish per square meter) at low frequencies can cause clutter that stands significantly above the reverberation background in both low and mid frequency active sonar systems [2, 12]. These theoretical results, as well as the presence of many clutter features that had no correspondence with surface or sub-bottom geomorphology in ARE 2001 prompted us to include fish surveys as an essential part of MAE 2003.

Over a period of roughly three weeks, high correlation was found between locations of prominent clutter detected by the active sonar system and locations of densely clustered fish schools measured with the fish-finding sonar. Many of these correlations were made with data obtained simultaneously within a roughly two-hour lag. In addition, regions absent of clutter were also found to be absent of significant fish populations. As an example, the following figures show fish-finding sonar tracks (cyan) and dense populations of fish (white dots), indicated by prominent returns from the fish-finding sonar, overlain onto overall hotspot charts:



Fish schools were observed to favor certain oceanographic fronts and bathymetric contours where food and nutrients are abundant, but were dynamic and often discrete and target-like in a given vicinity.



IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

MAE 2003 demonstrated that discrete clutter events are consistently a major problem for active sonar operations in Continental Shelf environments, even those with little or no bathymetric relief. Preliminary findings show that the dominant source of clutter in the New Jersey Continental Shelf (STRATAFORM) is marine life, particularly fish schools. This is exhibited in massive schools of fish and smaller clusters of fish, moving and evolving with time.

TRANSITIONS

The predominant cause of clutter in Navy sonar system in continental shelf environments may often be fish schools. Full-field waveguide models for acoustic reverberation and target scattering are needed to model acoustic clutter in continental shelf environments. Many US-Navy sponsored programs in active sonar beyond the *basic research level* have already gotten word of these *basic research results* through the widely distributed cruise summary of MAE 2003 that the PI sent to his ONR sponsor and have begun to include contributions from fish schools in their reverberation models. This rapid transition is a direct result of the findings of this *basic research program*. Ideas and concepts transition faster than anything else.

RELATED PROJECTS

Other organizations participating in the Geoclutter Program are UTIG, NRL, ARL-PSU, and NUWC.

REFERENCES

1. P. Ratilal, Y. Lai, D. T. Symonds, L. A. Ruhlmann, J. Goff, C. T. Holland, J. R. Preston, E. K. Scheer, M. T. Sundvic, and N. C. Makris, "Long Range Acoustic Imaging of the Continental Shelf

Environment: The Acoustic Clutter Reconnaisance Experiment 2001," submitted to Journal of the Acoustical Society of America (2003).

2. N.C. Makris and P. Ratilal, ONR 2003 Annual Report for Post-Doctoral Fellowship Award, N000140310236.

3. N. C. Makris (Editor), Geoclutter Acoustics Experiment 2003 Cruise Report, MIT Cambridge MA (2001).

4. N. C. Makris (Editor), Geoclutter Acoustics Experiment 2001 Cruise Report, MIT Cambridge MA (2001).

5. *N. C. Makris and P. Ratilal, "A unified model for reverberation and submerged object scattering in a stratified ocean waveguide," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 109, 909-941 (2001).

6. *P. Ratilal, Y. Lai and N. C. Makris, "Validity of the sonar equation and Babinet's principle for scattering in a stratified medium," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. (in Press for Sept or Oct 2002).

4. N. C. Makris, "A spectral approach to 3-D object scattering in layered media applied to scattering from submerged spheres," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 104, 2105-2113 (1998).

5. N. C. Makris, F. Ingenito, W. A. Kuperman, "Detection of a submerged object insonified by surface noise in an ocean waveguide," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 96, 1703-1724 (1994).

6. N. C. Makris, L. Avelino, R. Menis, "Deterministic reverberation from ocean ridges," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 97, 3547-3574 (1995).

7. *N. C. Makris, C. S. Chia and L. T. Fialkowski, ``The bi-azimuthal scattering distribution of an abyssal hill," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 106, 2491--2512, (1999).

8. * C. S. Chia, L., N. C. Makris and T. Fialkowski, "A comparison of bi-static scattering from two geologically distinct abyssal hills," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 108, 2053-2070 (2000).

9. C. S. Duncan, J. A. Goff, J. A. Austin and C. S. Fulthorpe, ``Tracking the last sea-level cycle: seafloor morphology and shallow stratigraphy of the latest Quaternary New Jersey middle continental shelf," Marine Geology 170, 395--421, (2000).

10. J. A. Goff, D. Swift, C. S. Duncan, L. A. Mayer and J. Hughes-Clarke, "High-resolution swath sonar investigation of sand ridge, dune and ribbon morphology in the offshore environment of the New Jersey margin," Marine Geology 161, 307--337, (1999).

11. C. S. Duncan and J. A. Goff, ``Relict iceberg keel marks on the New Jersey outer shelf, southern Hudson apron," Geology 29, 411--414, (2001).

12. *Purnima Ratilal, "Remote Sensing of Submerged Objects and Geomorphology in Continental Shelf Waters with Acoustic Waveguide Scattering," MIT Doctoral Thesis, Makris Supervisor, June 2002.

13. *Gihard Ben-Joshua, "The effects of modal dispersion in a shallow water waveguide," MIT Master's Thesis, Makris Supervisor, June 2002.