

Numerical Studies of Acoustic Propagation in Shallow Water

John B. Schneider
School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Washington State University
P.O. Box 642752
Pullman, WA 99164-2752
phone: (509) 335-4655 fax: (509) 335-3818 email: schneidj@eecs.wsu.edu

Award Number: N00014-96-1-0790
<http://www.eecs.wsu.edu/~schneidj/>

LONG-TERM GOALS

To develop time-domain numerical methods and accompanying visualization techniques for the study of propagation of acoustic and elastic waves in the shallow water environment.

OBJECTIVES

To develop new, and enhance existing, numerical methods; to establish the accuracy, robustness, flexibility, and tractability of these methods; and to apply these methods to meaningful practical problems. To create a robust software suite for the application of the methods and to develop techniques for visualizing the propagation of scalar and vector fields in complex environments.

APPROACH

We have focused our attention on the development and use of finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) methods. Various forms of these time-domain techniques, which are flexible, robust, and generally simple to implement, have been used in a variety of disciplines to solve a wide range of problems. We have worked, and continue to work, to establish the accuracy of our proposed acoustic FDTD techniques by comparing numeric results to exact solutions for canonical problems or to results obtained using other numerical methods.

WORK COMPLETED

Algorithms were developed to enhance the modeling of material boundaries in the FDTD method; the accuracy of various FDTD or FDTD-like algorithms were rigorously studied; an FDTD algorithm was developed which is theoretically exact (i.e., provided the grid is infinite the accuracy is limited only by the finite precision of computers); various computer programs related to the FDTD method were written; and information and programs were disseminated via journal publications, conference presentations, and the Web.

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2003	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Numerical Studies of Acoustic Propagation in Shallow Water		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,,Washington State University,,P.O. Box 642752,,Pullman,,WA,99164		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

RESULTS

The FDTD method is obtained by discretizing the differential equations that govern the underlying system. Using a Cartesian grid, the method provides an exceedingly simple way in which to express future fields (i.e., unknown fields) in terms of past fields (known fields). For propagation in a homogeneous region, the traditional FDTD method is accurate to second-order—that is, doubling the number of grid points per wavelength reduces inherent numerical errors by a factor of four.

The behavior of fields and accuracy of the FDTD method at material interfaces are much more complicated than in a homogeneous region. We previously derived exact expressions describing the behavior of plane waves at planar boundaries [1,2]. Additionally we have examined and developed ways to minimize the errors associated with the “stairstep approximation” which is inherent when modeling continuously varying surfaces in the FDTD method [3-8]. The work most recently accepted for publication showed how employing a simple modification of the equations used to update the fields adjacent to a rigid boundary could significantly improve the accuracy of the simulation [8].

We continue to explore several new implementations of the FDTD method (proposed by others) which seek to minimize dispersive and anisotropic errors inherent in all 2- and 3-D FDTD schemes. Our comparisons provide insight into the techniques that are not easily garnered from the publications in which they were originally presented. Some of this work appeared in *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* [9] and was presented at the 2002 URSI/Antennas and Propagation Symposium [10]. Notably, we demonstrated that many of the wavelet-based schemes, which have attracted some advocates, are not superior to an FDTD scheme that uses the same spatial stencil and the same “computational effort” (i.e., operations per a given temporal advancement of the fields). We have further expanded on this work in another submission which has been accepted for publication [11].

Our investigations of the discretized worlds of FDTD methods have led us to a better understanding of numeric artifacts associated with resonances and to ways of alleviating these artifacts. Part of this work was presented as an invited talk in a special session organized by Prof. Allen Taflove (one of the co-founders of the FDTD method) [12]. This work is further described in a paper which has been accepted for publication in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* [13]. In that work we show how the anisotropic dispersion inherent in the traditional “Yee” FDTD algorithm can cause rather bizarre behavior in the resonant modes of a canonical resonator. Modes which are degenerate in the continuous (or “real”) world can split into multiple modes. On the other hand, modes which are distinct in the continuous world may be degenerate in the discrete FDTD world. Additionally, even modes that are not split or recombined in some spurious manner in the FDTD world can nevertheless be shifted from the true resonant frequency that pertains in the continuous world. Our work provides a way to quantify this behavior exactly without ever needing to perform an FDTD simulation.

Given this understanding of the traditional FDTD technique, we were motivated to explore a technique which was more isotropic than the traditional FDTD technique. Thus, we developed a variation of the promising FDTD scheme proposed by Eric Forgy (*IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, **50**(7):983–996, 2002). This algorithm suffers much less grid dispersion and anisotropy than more traditional FDTD formulations but still retains the local nature of the standard update equations. We plan to

present soon the acoustic implementation of this algorithm.

A recent publication by John Pendry (*Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85**:3966, 2000) which described the use of backward-wave (BW) materials to make a “perfect lens” has received considerable attention. BW materials are dispersive materials whose direction of phase propagation is antiparallel to the direction of power flow. BW materials can exist in both acoustic and electromagnetic systems. BW materials belong to the class of materials known as “metamaterials” since they do not occur in nature (i.e., they must be manufactured). There is great interest in metamaterials since they can have interesting and useful properties not found in natural materials. Our initial attempts to model BW materials using the FDTD technique were not consistent with those one would expect from an initial inspection of the theory. Eventually we discovered that the dual, offset grids employed in the FDTD method (i.e., the dual pressure and velocity grids or the dual electric and magnetic field grids) can introduce significant numeric artifacts when modeling BW materials. The offset in the grids can introduce a boundary layer that has the material properties of neither the BW material nor the surrounding medium. Our investigations were presented in an invited talk at the 2002 URSI/Antennas and Propagation Symposium [14] and in a paper which was published in *Physical Review Letters B* [15]. These publications focused on the behavior of fields in the continuous world when a BW material has a small boundary layer. A subsequent submission to the *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* described specific implementation issues concerned with modeling BW materials using the FDTD method. It was shown that the Pseudospectral Time-Domain (PSTD) method, which employs a collocated grid and uses discrete Fourier transforms to calculate spatial derivatives, may provide superior results to the FDTD method when modeling these materials.

The Yee FDTD algorithm can provide exact solutions to one-dimensional problems when operated at the so-called magic time step (i.e., when the spatial step size is equal to the speed of light times the temporal step size). Here “exact” is taken to mean the field propagates without dispersion error or other numeric artifacts beyond those which are dictated by the finite precision of the computer. Unfortunately there is no magic time step in higher dimensions. However we have recently developed a theoretical framework for multi-dimensional algorithms that have the same exact properties as the one-dimensional Yee algorithm when operated at a particular time step. The proposed technique uses vector operators which, instead of being defined at a point such as with the usual gradient, divergence, and curl operators, are defined over spheres. Due to their inherent symmetry, these spatial operators have the same properties in all directions. With a judicious choice of the temporal step size the temporal errors can cancel the spatial errors and the algorithm is exact. However, although the framework for the algorithm has been developed, no practical (i.e., computationally efficient) algorithm has yet been developed. It should also be noted that the method is only theoretically exact on an infinite grid—a finite grid will introduce some inherent error but that error will be smaller than traditional FDTD techniques. Nevertheless proof-of-concept implementations of the algorithm (which are quite computationally expensive) have been used to demonstrate the validity of the technique and the improvements the algorithm can provide over other FDTD implementations. The algorithm also has interesting properties such as unconditional stability for an arbitrary temporal step size. Some of our work on this algorithm was presented as an invited talk at the 2003 URSI/Antennas and Propagation Symposium [17] and a journal submission will be made soon.

We continue to maintain a Web site, www.fdttd.org, that seeks to list all archival publications related

to the FDTD method. This site solicits input, in the form of comments posted about work appearing in the archival literature, from the entire community interested in the FDTD method (whether applied to acoustics, electromagnetics, or solid mechanics). We have also made code available there which can be used to solve various propagation problems.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

Accurate and flexible numerical methods allow researchers to conduct any number of experiments without having to resort to actual field experiments, i.e., the experiment is conducted on the computer. Although numerical methods will never supplant field experiments, numerical methods (when used within their “region of validity”) do provide an extremely cost-effective means of conducting controlled experiments. Our work enables more accurate and more efficient numerical solutions to a wide range of problems in acoustics, electromagnetics, and continuum mechanics.

TRANSITIONS

Much of the knowledge we have gained has been disseminated via publications and conference presentations. Additional material is available via the Web. Please refer to the Web site given in the header for copies of the PI’s publications or www.fDTD.org for other material pertinent to the FDTD method.

RELATED PROJECTS

This work is related to research in both high-frequency acoustics and long-range propagation. Numerical models, such as the FDTD method, can be used to predict the fields scattered from small objects under short-wavelength insonification or the propagation of long-wavelength signals over limited regions of the ocean. Additionally, this work is related to that being done by several other ONR-sponsored researchers including Shira Broschat, Eric Thorsos, Philip Marston, and Ralph Stephen.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Schneider and R. J. Kruhlak, “Plane Waves and Planar Boundaries in FDTD Simulations,” IEEE AP-S International Symposium and URSI Radio Science Meeting, Salt Lake City, UT, Jul. 2000.
- [2] J. B. Schneider and R. J. Kruhlak, “Dispersion of Homogeneous and Inhomogeneous Waves in the Yee Finite-Difference Time-Domain Grid,” *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 280–287, 2001.
- [3] F. D. Hastings, J. B. Schneider, and S. L. Broschat, “A Monte Carlo FDTD Technique for Rough Surface Scattering,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 1183–1191, 1995.
- [4] F. D. Hastings, J. B. Schneider, and S. L. Broschat, “A Finite-Difference Time-Domain Solution to Scattering from a Rough Pressure-Release Surface,” *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 3394–3400, 1997.
- [5] J. B. Schneider, C. L. Wagner, and R. J. Kruhlak, “Simple Conformal Methods for FDTD Modeling of Pressure-Release Surfaces,” *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 104, no. 6, pp. 3219–3226, 1998.

- [6] F. D. Hastings, J. B. Schneider, S. L. Broschat, and E. I. Thorsos, "An FDTD Method for Analysis of Scattering from Rough Fluid-Fluid Interfaces," *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 94–101, 2001.
- [7] J. B. Schneider and J. G. Tolan, "A Locally Conformal Method for Modelling Rigid Boundaries in the FDTD Method," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 108, no. 5, pt. 2, pp. 2563, Newport Beach, CA, Dec. 2000.
- [8] J. G. Tolan and J. B. Schneider, "Locally Conformal Method for Acoustic Finite-Difference Time-Domain Modeling of Rigid Surfaces," submitted to *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*
- [9] K. L. Shlager and J. B. Schneider, "Comparison of the Dispersion Properties of Several Low-Dispersion Finite-Difference Time-Domain Algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 642–653, 2003.
- [10] K. L. Shlager and J. B. Schneider, "A 2-D Dispersion Analysis of the W-MRTD Method Using CDF Biorthogonal Wavelets," IEEE AP-S International Symposium and URSI Radio Science Meeting, vol. 3, pp. 244–247, San Antonio, TX, Jun. 2002.
- [11] K. L. Shlager and J. B. Schneider, "Comparison of the Dispersion Properties of Higher-Order FDTD Schemes and Equivalent-Sized MRTD Schemes," accepted for publication in *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*
- [12] C. L. Wagner and J. B. Schneider, "Using the Dispersion Relation to Understand Finite-Difference Time-Domain Worlds," International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA 01), Torino, Italy, pp. 375–378, Sep. 2001.
- [13] C. L. Wagner and J. B. Schneider, "On the Analysis of Resonators Using Finite-Difference Time-Domain Techniques," submitted to *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*
- [14] M. W. Feise, P. J. Bevelacqua, and J. B. Schneider, "Backward-Wave Meta-Materials for Perfect Lenses," IEEE AP-S International Symposium and URSI Radio Science Meeting, San Antonio, TX, Jun. 2002.
- [15] M. W. Feise, P. J. Bevelacqua, and J. B. Schneider, "Effects of Surface Waves on the Behavior of Perfect Lenses," *Physical Review B*, vol. 66, no. 3, 035113 (five pages), 2002.
- [16] M. W. Feise, J. B. Schneider, and P. J. Bevelacqua, "Finite-Difference and Pseudospectral Time-Domain Methods Applied to Backwards-Wave Metamaterials," submitted to *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*
- [17] C. L. Wagner and J. B. Schneider, "Toward the Creation of a Magic Time Step in Three-Dimensional FDTD Algorithms," IEEE AP-S International Symposium and URSI Radio Science Meeting, Columbus, OH, Jun. 2003.

PUBLICATIONS

- [1] K. L. Shlager and J. B. Schneider, "Comparison of the Dispersion Properties of Several Low-Dispersion Finite-Difference Time-Domain Algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 642–653, 2003. [refereed]
- [2] C. L. Wagner and J. B. Schneider, "Toward the Creation of a Magic Time Step in Three-Dimensional FDTD Algorithms," IEEE AP-S International Symposium and URSI Radio Science Meeting, Columbus, OH, Jun. 2003. [refereed]
- [3] J. G. Tolan and J. B. Schneider, "Locally Conformal Method for Acoustic Finite-Difference Time-Domain Modeling of Rigid Surfaces," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* [in press, refereed]
- [4] C. L. Wagner and J. B. Schneider, "On the Analysis of Resonators Using Finite-Difference Time-Domain Techniques," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* [in press, refereed]
- [5] K. L. Shlager and J. B. Schneider, "Comparison of the Dispersion Properties of Higher-Order FDTD Schemes and Equivalent-Sized MRTD Schemes," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* [in press, refereed]
- [6] M. W. Feise, J. B. Schneider, and P. J. Bevelacqua, "Finite-Difference and Pseudospectral Time-Domain Methods Applied to Backwards-Wave Metamaterials," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* [submitted, refereed]