Dynamics and Structure of Baroclinic Tides in Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii

Matthew Alford
Applied Physics Laboratory
1013 NE 40th Street
Seattle, WA 98105
phone: (206) 221-3257   fax: (206) 543-6785   email: malford@apl.washington.edu

LONG-TERM GOALS

To understand the dynamics, scales and significance of large (100-m) internal vertical motions at the semidiurnal (M2) frequency, previously observed in Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii

OBJECTIVES

This project aims to map the structure and understand the dynamics and significance of these motions. They were first seen in moored temperature and velocity records spanning Mamala Bay. Strong (> 1 knot) currents at the headlands at Barbers Point and Diamond Head merge into large (> 100 m) displacements at the bay center.

These motions and similar ones at other embayments have the potential to impact:

internal-wave and mixing processes at the Hawaiian Ridge and other regions of strong topography

spread of pollutants in this and other bays

modulation of the acoustic environment outside Pearl Harbor

APPROACH

This year has focussed on analysis of new observations (4-day shipboard survey plus 2-month moored-profiler deployment) in an attempt to synthesize spatial and temporal evolution of an energetic internal tide.

New Observations

A McLane moored profiler (Figure 1) from the WHOI moored equipment pool collected hourly samples from 8/11/02-10/01/02 of full-water-column temperature, salinity and velocity at a site in 400-m water depth (Figure 2). Basic moored observations are

Report Documentation Page

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE **30 SEP 2003**	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED **00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003**
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE **Dynamics and Structure of Baroclinic Tides in Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii**		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
		5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER
		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Applied Physics Laboratory,,1013 NE 40th Street,,Seattle,,WA, 98105**		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT
To understand the dynamics, scales and significance of large (100-m) internal vertical motions at the semidiurnal (M2) frequency, previously observed in Mamala Bay, Oahu Hawaii

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT **unclassified**	b. ABSTRACT **unclassified**	c. THIS PAGE **unclassified**	**Same as Report (SAR)**	**7**	

summarized in Figure 3. I have been so pleased with these data that I have since purchased three moored profilers on a DURIP.


Figure 1: (Left) Simplified schematic of the Mamala Bay mooring design. (Right) Close-up of the McLane Moored Profiler.

Intensive surveys from R/V *Revelle* (Figure 2) were conducted from 9/22/02-9/27/02 with the Pinkel Hydrographic Sonar and a towed instrument containing CTD's and up- and down-looking ADCP's (SWIMS2), following Mike Gregg's NSF-funded HOME nearfield leg.

To resolve the spatial structure of the oscillation from surveys without sacrificing synopticity, I designed a sampling pattern consisting of four legs (Figure 2), each of which could be completed in 1.5 hour, providing a complete occupation every three hours (4 points per M_2 tidal cycle). Each was repeatedly occupied for 24 hours (nearly 2 M_2 tidal cycles). The mooring (black dot) "anchored" these spatial surveys within the context of a much longer (2 months) time series. The combined spatial/temporal information from the mooring and the survey provided a rich view of the structure and evolution of the oscillation.

WORK COMPLETED

 Execution of 4-day intensive survey using SWIMS and the R/V Revelle sonar
 Deployment and recovery of the McLane Moored Profiler
 Post-cruise calibrations of all instruments
 Analysis of data
 Presentation of results at HOME workshop in Timberline, OR (8/03)

Figure 2: SWIMS energy flux and dissipation survey. (Top) Model energy flux from Eich and Merrifield (2003). The region in the lower panel is indicated. (b) Observations of energy-flux (arrows) and depth-integrated dissipation rate inferred from overturning scales (colors).

RESULTS

Significant findings are:
• The strongest displacement signals occur in the western portion of the bay and decay offshore with a scale of about 6 km.
• The energy flux mapped using all the SWIMS legs and overplotting the new and historical moorings produces a complex but coherent picture that is consistent with Eich and Merrifield's model results (Figure 2). There appears to be a strong flux convergence east of B3, potentially implying large dissipation rates there. However, the maximum observed mixing rates (from overturning or Thorpe scales) are further west. This spatial offset is puzzling and warrants further analysis.

• Two-month depth-time series of displacement, energy flux and dissipation rate all peak 2-3 days after the spring tide (Figure 4), implying propagation from a remote site. Dissipation rate (inferred from Thorpe scales) modulates by a factor of 50 with the spring-neap cycle (bottom panel).
• Temporal variability. Figure 4 shows lulls in energy flux and changes in the relationship

Figure 3: Moored observations. From top: Honolulu sealevel, moored temperature, salinity and potential density, and zonal and meridional velocity. Selected isopycnal depths are plotted in (d).

between energy and energy flux. In addition, the vertical structures change in time (Figure 2).
• With the simultaneous space-time surveys, westward phase propagation can be directly observed (Figure 5), confirming the westward u'p' flux estimates (Figures 2, 4). The phase speed is about 0.5 m/s, consistent with a mode-1 Kelvin wave.

Figure 4 (above left): Time series of depth-average moored quantities. From top: M2 sealevel at Honolulu, eastward energy flux (blue), energy, and dissipation rate from overturns (black). Spring tides are indicated with vertical dotted lines.

Figure 5 (above right): Isopycnal displacement (colors) and depth (black lines) from a sample survey leg. Each panel is a time series at a specific longitude, increasing eastward toward the bottom of the figure. Observation locations are shown with dots.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

The magnitude, structure and phasing of this oscillation impact other internal-wave processes at the Hawaiian ridge. I expect the results to be relevant both to the HOME goals of determining the role of topographic mixing in the ocean, and to the general problem of forced responses in embayments. In addition, the surface signature of the oscillation modulates Honolulu tide guage records, which Colosi and Munk (manuscript submitted) have shown may be indicators of climate change.

TRANSITIONS

We are developing the combined moored/survey approach in other projects. The skills and techniques being learned in this project are aiding our general goal of moving toward

3D-plus-time oceanography. In a proposed continued analysis effort, Ms. Kim Martini will perform comparisons with the Merrifield model as part of her thesis.

RELATED PROJECTS

The energy-flux techniques I have been using and developing tie in strongly to my Young Invetigator project, "Global Mapping of near-inertial and tidal energy fluxes."

REFERENCES

Gregg, M. C., G. Carter, M. Alford, D. Winkel, L. Rainville, J. Small, and J. Mickett, Hawaii Ocean Mixing Experiment (HOME), leg 2 R/V Revelle, 4 October to 6 November, 2000: Preliminary data report, December 19, 2000, *Tech. rep.*, APL/UW, 2000.

Hamilton, P. J., J. Singer, and E.Waddell, Mamala Bay study, Ocean current measurements: A report to the Mamala Bay Commission, HI., *Tech. rep.*, Sci. Appl. Intl. Corp., Raleigh, NC., 1995.

Klymak, J., and M. Gregg, The three-dimensional nature of flow near a sill, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *submitted*, 1999.

Lewis, J. K., M. A. Merrifield, and M. Eich, Numerical simulations of internal tides around Oahu, Hawaii, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *submitted*, 30 pp., 2000.

Eich and Merrifield, Structure and variability of semidiurnal internal tides in Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii, *J. Phys. Ocean*, submitted, 2003.