

AFRL-RQ-ED-TR-2014-0025

Quantum-Mechanical Definition of Atoms and Chemical Bonds in Molecules

P.W. Langhoff

J.D. Mills

J.A. Boatz

G.A. Gallup

Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQRP 10 E. Saturn Blvd. Edwards AFB, CA 93524-7680

January 2015

In-House Interim Report

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited. PA No. 15395

STINFO COPY

AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY AEROSPACE SYSTEMS DIRECTORATE

■ Air Force Materiel Command

United States Air Force

■ Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93524

- STINFO COPY -NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (<u>http://www.dtic.mil</u>).

AFRL-RQ-ED-TR-2014-0025 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

//signed//

//signed//

JERRY A. BOATZ Program Manager HOPE M. KLUKOVICH, MAJ, USAF Chief, Propellants Branch

//signed//

Technical Advisor Rocket Propulsion Division

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

<u>.</u>			1	_	Ammunard	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PA Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response			GE Form Approved		Approved	
			onse. including the time for re	Ching existing data sources.		
gathering and maintaining the	data needed, and completing ar	nd reviewing this collection of info	rmation. Send comments re-	parding this burden estimat	e or any other aspect of this	
Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jef	fferson Davis Highway, Suite 12	04, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. F	Respondents should be awar	e that notwithstanding any	other provision of law, no person	
shall be subject to any penalty FORM TO THE ABOVE ADD	for failing to comply with a colle RESS.	ction of information if it does not	display a currently valid OMB	control number. PLEASE	DO NOT RETURN YOUR	
1. REPORT DATE (DL	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVER	ED (From - To)	
January 2015]	In-house Interim Repo	ort	15 Oct 2013 - 15	Oct 2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	ΊLE			5a. CONTRACT N	UMBER	
Quantum-Mechanic	al Definition of Atom	ns and Chemical Bond	s in Molecules			
				5b. GRANT NUMB	BER	
				5c. PROGRAM EL	EMENT NUMBER	
				61102F	-	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 5e. TASK NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
P.W. Langhoff, J.D.	Mills, J.A. Boatz, an	d G.A. Gallup				
6 ,	, , ,	1	·			
				O188	-	
7. PERFORMING ORG	GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	
	- (-)	(-)		REPORT NO.		
Air Force Research	Laboratory (AFMC)					
AFRL/RQRP						
10 E. Saturn Blvd.						
Edwards AFB, CA 9	93524-7680					
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	AME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MO	NITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
Air Force Research	Laboratory (AFMC)					
AFRL/ROR	• • •		·	11. SPONSOR/MO	NITOR'S REPORT	
5 Pollux Drive				NUMBER(S)		
Edwards AFB, CA 9	93524			AFRL-RO-ED-	TR-2014-0025	
,						
12. DISTRIBUTION / A	VAILABILITY STATEN					
12. DISTRIBUTION / A						
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public	release; distribution	unlimited.				
12. DISTRIBUTION / AApproved for public13. SUPPLEMENTARY	vallability states release; distribution y NOTES	unlimited.				
 12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 	vallability states release; distribution y notes 395	unlimited.				
 12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTARY PA Clearance #: 153 14. APSTRACT 	vallability states release; distribution y notes 395	unlimited.				
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT	valLABILITY STATEN e release; distribution y NOTES 395	unlimited.	ndictinguishable ala	otrons to particular	atomia nucloi in a chamical	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin	EVAILABILITY STATEN 2 release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean why precluded quantum	unlimited.	ndistinguishable ele	ctrons to particular	atomic nuclei in a chemical	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry	EVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean igly precluded quantum con- formations and i	unlimited. ingful assignments of in-mechanical definition	ndistinguishable ele a of fragment atomic	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule.	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule are accor	EVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and i	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the	ndistinguishable ele a of fragment atomic electronic energies o	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Hare we address a	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor	availability STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies o lar quantum theory.	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian oper f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe	availability states release; distribution Y NOTES 395 bility of making mean agly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the ben problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of the	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Figenschitz-L	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the ordon) outer-products of	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules at perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all	availability states release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean agly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in strong to particular atom	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tot	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of repress atomic eigenstates all of the Schrödinger ed	availability states release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean agly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele wation. Self-adjoint at	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions bed in this context are shown	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger equation	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean agly precluded quantum con- formations, and in dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in extrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adjabatic (Born-	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean agly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- id physically significan paturally from this repr	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of the the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and proviction operators with t expectation values esentation in the form	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions hed in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- hergies of individual atomic	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean agly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any	ndistinguishable ele of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of the the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and proviction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean egly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r heir interaction energie hereby which includes	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the internlay between	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of the the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and proviction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion auxiliary	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical is insightful com- mon	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger equitor to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean agly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at attrix representatives an ular energies emerge r beir interaction energie thereby which includes bridization and atomic	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay between charge apportionment	ndistinguishable ele a of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of the the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion au measurable atomic	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar nd bonding energie	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger equitor to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided ti representations of hylical	AVAILABILITY STATEN e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean ogly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay between charge apportionment,	ndistinguishable ele a of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion au measurable atomic	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian oper- f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar nd bonding energie entanglements, and	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules as perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t representations of hylicalculations illustratin	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at attrix representatives an ular energies emerge r hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the on problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significant naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay betweent charge apportionment.	ndistinguishable ele of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion an measurable atomic	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian oper- f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar nd bonding energie entanglements, and	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules as perspective of repress atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t representations of hylic calculations illustratin 15. SUBJECT TERMS	valLABILITY STATEM e release; distribution y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r teir interaction energie hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the ben problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay between charge apportionment,	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion au measurable atomic	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian oper- f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar hd bonding energie entanglements, and	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t representations of hyl calculations illustratin 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules;	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele puation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r leir interaction energie hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the ben problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion an measurable atomic ds in molecules; at	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar ad bonding energie entanglements, and	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical as, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates;	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t representations of hyd calculations illustratin 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy de	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantum con- formations, and dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele puation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r leir interaction energie hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera id physically significant naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay betweent charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion ar measurable atomic ds in molecules; at	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar d bonding energie entanglements, and	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical as, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided to representations of hydical calculations illustration 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy de 16. SECURITY CLASS	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantur con- formations, and dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele puation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives ar ular energies emerger der interaction energie hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in extrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- ad physically significan naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any s the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion an measurable atomic ds in molecules; at	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar d bonding energie entanglements, and comic entangleme	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical as, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates;	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represse atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided to representations of hydicalculations illustration 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy de 16. SECURITY CLASS	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r lear interaction energie thereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism.	unlimited. ingful assignments of i n-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the ben problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in extrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera ad physically significant haturally from this repro- s in the absence of any s the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion at measurable atomic ds in molecules; at 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds t mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar d bonding energie entanglements, and comic entangleme 18. NUMBER OF PAGES	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions hed in this context are shown istates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates; 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jerry Boatz	
12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTARY PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger eq to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided t representations of hyl calculations illustratin 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy de 16. SECURITY CLASS	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at attrix representatives an ular energies emerge r hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism. atomic promotion e ecompositions SIFICATION OF:	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the ben problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- ad physically significant haturally from this repro- s in the absence of any s the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion an measurable atomic ds in molecules; at 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar ad bonding energie entanglements, and comic entangleme 18. NUMBER OF PAGES	atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates; 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jerry Boatz	
 12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTARY PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger equities to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided to representations of hybic calculations illustration 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy dee 16. SECURITY CLASS 	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ability of making mean gly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r hereby which includes bridization and atomic ng the formalism. c atomic promotion ele compositions SIFICATION OF: b. ABSTRACT	unlimited. ingful assignments of in- mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- ad physically significant haturally from this repro- s in the absence of any the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor c. THIS PAGE	ndistinguishable ele n of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion as measurable atomic ds in molecules; at 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar ad bonding energie entanglements, and comic entangleme 18. NUMBER OF PAGES	 atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical es, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates; 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jerry Boatz 19b. TELEPHONE NO (include area code) 	
 12. DISTRIBUTION / A Approved for public 13. SUPPLEMENTAR PA Clearance #: 153 14. ABSTRACT The apparent impossi aggregate has seemin Structural symmetry, a molecule, are accor atoms in molecules an perspective of represe atomic eigenstates all of the Schrodinger equities to have Hermitian ma Oppenheimer) molec constituents and of th bonding is provided to representations of hybic calculations illustration 15. SUBJECT TERMS atoms in molecules; molecular energy dee 16. SECURITY CLASS a. REPORT 	AVAILABILITY STATEM e release; distribution Y NOTES 395 ibility of making mean gly precluded quantur con- formations, and i dingly perceived as op nd provide correspond entation theory. Molec low assignments of ele quation. Self-adjoint at atrix representatives an ular energies emerge r hereby which includes bridization and atomic ing the formalism. atomic promotion ele ecompositions SIFICATION OF: b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	unlimited. ingful assignments of in-mechanical definition isomers, as well as the pen problems in molecu- ing definitions of atom- ular basis functions in ectrons to particular ato omic and atomic-intera- ad physically significan- naturally from this repro- s in the absence of any s the interplay between charge apportionment, nergies; chemical bor- c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	ndistinguishable ele a of fragment atomic electronic energies of lar quantum theory. ic energies and of th the form of orthonor mic nuclei, and prov ction operators with t expectation values esentation in the forr additional auxiliary atomic promotion as measurable atomic ds in molecules; at 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	ctrons to particular Hamiltonian opera f constituent atoms Here we address a e chemical bonds b mal (Eisenschitz-L ide support for tota in a molecule defir in molecular eigen n of sums of the en conditions. A nuar ad bonding energie entanglements, and comic entangleme 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	r atomic nuclei in a chemical ators in a molecule. s and of their interactions in ssignments of electrons to between atoms from the condon) outer-products of ally antisymmetric solutions ned in this context are shown astates. Adiabatic (Born- nergies of individual atomic need description of chemical as, insightful com- mon d other attributes revealed by nt in molecular eigenstates; 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jerry Boatz 19b. TELEPHONE NO (include area code) 661 275-5364	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Theoretical Development	3
2.1 Spectral-Product Representation	3
2.2 Partitioning the Molecular Hamiltonian Operator	4
2.3 Evaluating the Molecular Hamiltonian Matrix	4
2.4 Partitioned Molecular Energy Expression	5
3.0 Computational Implementation	6
3.1 Finite Spectral-Product Representations	6
3.2 Illustrative Calculations	8
4.0 Discussion and Concluding Remarks	11
5.0 Acknowledgements	13
References	14

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	(<i>a</i>) Total Electronic Energy Curves and (<i>b</i>) Bonding Energies for Adjacent Atoms in the H ₃ Molecule	. 8
2	(<i>a</i>) Atomic Energies for the Two Equivalent Outer Atoms (a and c) in Symmetric Collinear H_3 and for (<i>b</i>) the Central Atom (b) in the Molecular Eigenstates and Total Energy Curves in Figure 1(<i>a</i>), Setting E1s to Zero	. 9
3	(<i>a</i>) Atomic Energies for the Two Equivalent Outer Atoms (a and c) in Symmetric Collinear H_3 (Ha-Hb-Hc) and (<i>b</i>) for the Central Atom (b) as in Figure 2, Depicted on a Wider Scale of Atomic Separation, Setting E1s to Zero	. 10

1.0 Introduction

Although it is universally agreed upon that ordinary matter is made of atoms [1], the notion of an atom in a molecule has been relegated to the status of an observationally unknowable construction, or "noumenon" [2]. Quantum-mechanical calculations of the properties of individual atoms and bonds in molecules from molecular wave functions are correspondingly thought to require introduction of subjective auxiliary conditions to achieve specificity [3], giving rise to many individual preferences and alternative possibilities, rendering a unique theoretical definition of molecular structure and chemical bonding continuingly elusive [4–6]. These unresolved issues are arguably consequent of the absence of quantum-mechanical operator or matrix representatives of the atomic constituents of molecules and matter [7–9], such definition apparently not in simultaneous accordance with both the Principles of Quantum Theory [10] and Pauli's Exclusion Principle [11]. In this absence, disparate subjective physical interpretations of calculated molecular wave functions, and corresponding quantitative partitions of total electronic energies and other properties into atomic and bonding contributions, continue to be a focus of considerable attention, dating from early studies of Slater [12], Van Vleck [13], and Coulson [14]. Subjective qualitative opinions offered in this regard are also plentiful [15, 16], ranging from concurrence that atoms in molecules and bonds between them are meaningless illusions [17, 18] to acceptance of the numerous perspectives offered as profitably enriching the subject [19]. Of course, these circumstances have not prevented a plethora of variational and other quantum-mechanical calculations of total energies and other molecular properties employing familiar antisymmetric orbital-product representations of basis states [20, 21], as well as charge-densityrelated approaches [22, 23].

The ever-increasing abundance of *ab initio* and other molecular calculations has provided both additional impetus and the opportunity to pursue physical interpretations of atomic modifications and chemical bonding in molecules, in spite of the elusive nature of these quantities. In addition to early introduction of socalled equivalent molecular orbitals in interpretations of molecular charge densities [13, 14], additional criteria, such as extreme values of overlap populations or of orbital repulsion energies [24], can be employed in defining localized orbitals through *ex-post-facto* unitary transformations of the underlying molecular eigenfunctions. So-called quasi-atomic molecular orbitals [25, 26] and natural bonding orbitals [27] employed in diagnostic transformations of molecular eigenfunctions define plausible images of atoms and of the bonds between them in molecules. Partitioning of total and partial charge densities can also be employed in assignments of spatial regions in molecules to constituent atoms or chemical bonds, and to provide estimates of the degree to which individual atoms retain their electronic structural integrity when incorporated in a molecule [28–32]. Many additional differing approaches to physical interpretation of electronic structure calculations have been reported, including use of information-theory [33] and complexity concepts [34] in charge-density partitioning, as well as so-called orbital entanglements in complex electronic systems [35], to mention some representative examples.

Interest in electronic energy partitioning in molecules is already evident in Slater's early Virial-Theorem-based separation of total molecular electronic energies into kinetic and potential energy components [12] and in the quantitative comparisons of valence-bond and molecular-orbital methods of Van Vleck, who refers specifically to the interplay between atomic promotion and net bonding energies in the methane molecule [13]. Well-known Hellmann-Feynman considerations reveal the forces on individual atomic nuclei in a molecule for vibrational analysis [36] and also provide a basis for their chemical rationalizations [37]. Energy-decomposition schemes more generally introduce intuitively sensible but ultimately arbitrary fragment components or clusters to obtain quantitative energy expansions [38–45], whereas partitions of molecular one- and two-electron reduced density matrices [38], in conjunction with apportionment of spatial regions or functional spaces to define individual atoms [28–32], can provide total electronic energies expressed as sums of atomic and bonding contributions [46-52], to mention some representative examples. Recent reviews describe only a small fraction of the many preferences expressed for interpretations of calculated molecular wave functions, charge distributions, and energy partitions reported in the literature [53, 54].

Attempts to define meaningful self-adjoint operator representatives of atomic fragments in a molecule, as required of dynamical variables by the Principles of Quantum Mechanics [10], soon encounter restrictions consequent of electron indistinguishability [11], which seemingly preclude their unique fixed assignments to particular nuclei in a molecule [7–9]. Such atomic fragment operators do not commute with arbitrary aggregate electron permutations and so are apparently ill-defined in a molecular context, with Coulomb interaction terms, for example, changing from intra- to inter-atomic character upon electron permutations. As a consequence, the absence of meaningful partitioning of molecular Hamiltonian operators into sums of constituent atomic and interaction-energy component operators, and also of corresponding representations of atomic and interaction interaction energies as Hermitian matrices evaluated with proper molecular wave functions in the standard manner [55], has largely confounded previous ab-initio quantum-mechanical atoms-in-molecules formulations [56–58].

The foregoing issues are addressed in the present report from the perspective of representation theory employing (Eisenschitz-London) spectral products of atomic eigenstates, familiar from early combined studies of covalent and van der Waals forces in molecules [59], to support molecular electronic states for the present more general purposes [60]. As described in earlier work [61], this representation transforms under a subgroup of the full symmetric group of electron permutations in a molecule [62–64], the absence of explicit inter-atomic electron permutations allow-ing assignments of designated electrons to particular nuclei. Quantum-mechanical operators for atoms in molecules are obtained in this representation with fixed unique electron assignments made in accordance with those employed in the atomic spectral functions. A formal basis for analysis in the closure limit is provided thereby, as is a basis for practical calculations of totally antisymmetric molecu-lar eigenstates in appropriately devised conventional finite subspaces [65].

Molecular (Born-Oppenheimer) Hamiltonian matrices take particularly simple forms in the atomic spectral-product representation as sums over universal atomic and pair-interaction Hamiltonian matrices which can be calculated once and for all and retained for repeated applications [66, 67]. Total molecular energies obtained by conventional Hamiltonian matrix diagonalization are seen to take the form of sums over atomic and pairwise-interaction energies, expressed in terms of products of the universal atomic and interaction Hamiltonian matrices and the calculated molecular eigenvectors. Atomic energy distributions obtained in this way describe the extent to which individual atoms are excited and their electrons apportioned to atomic bonding partners over the entire molecular volume, whereas the pairwise-atomic interaction energies provide corresponding chemical-bonding energies between constituent atoms. Overall electron antisymmetry of molecular eigenstates is formally achieved in the development by convergence in the limit of closure, or by *ex-post-facto* enforcement in finite subspaces [66, 67].

The theoretical development employing the complete spectral-product representation in definitions of atomic and bonding energies for interacting atomic pairs is reported in Section 2, and finite subspace methods for computational implementations and applications described and illustrative calculations reported in Section 3.

Concluding remarks made in Section 4 provide a summary interpretation of the expressions derived and of the atomic promotion and bonding energies evaluated in molecular ground- and excited-state situations. Issues related to atomic entanglements in coherent dissociation of excited molecular states are discussed, and possible measurements of atomic energies in molecules on this basis are indicated employing coherent three-body photodissociation of the triatomic hydrogen molecule as an experimentally plausible representative example.

2.0 Theoretical Development

The atomic spectral-product representation is described in Section 2.1. A partitioning of the molecular Hamiltonian operator into atomic and atomic-interaction terms, made with appropriate electron assignments in Sections 2.2, is employed in evaluating its matrix representative in Section 2.3. The total electronic molecular energy, expressed in terms of atomic and bond energies as obtained directly from Hamiltonian matrix diagonalization, is reported in Section 2.4.

2.1 Spectral-Product Representation

Following Eisenschitz and London [59], the *orthonormal* atomic spectral-product representation employed here can be written in the form [68]

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{1}:\boldsymbol{R}_1) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{2}:\boldsymbol{R}_2) \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(N)}(\boldsymbol{n}:\boldsymbol{R}_N) \right\}_{\boldsymbol{o}},\tag{1}$$

where the row vector $\mathbf{\Phi}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{i}:\mathbf{R}_{\alpha})$ formally contains all the electronic states of the atom $\alpha \ (= 1, 2, ..., N)$ located at position \mathbf{R}_{α} , with all electrons (n_{α}) on this atom designated by the vector of space and spin coordinates $\mathbf{i} \ (= 1, 2, ..., n)$. The vectors \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{R} refer collectively to the coordinates of the entire set of molecular electrons (n_t) and of atomic positions (N), respectively, whereas the subscript \mathbf{o} refers to the choice of an "odometer" ordering of the sequence of the N-atom product states obtained from the indicated tensor products (\otimes) of atomic-state row vectors [60].

The molecular basis of Eq. (1) as written is complete in the limit of closure for descriptions of totally antisymmetric solutions of the Schrödinger equation in spite of the absence of explicit inter-atomic electron antisymmetry [59], and has

been shown to not only contain the totally antisymmetric representation of molecular elec-trons only once, but to also span other irreducible representations of the symmetric group S_{n_t} [65]. Since the spectral-product basis transforms under an atomic-product subgroup $(S_{n_1} \otimes S_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{n_N})$ of S_{n_t} [61], the electron assignments of Eq.(1) are invariant to arbitrary permutations in this subgroup and can be regarded as permanent assignments of electrons to individual atoms in this context.

2.2 Partitioning the Molecular Hamiltonian Operator

The many-electron Coulomb Hamiltonian operator is written in accordance with the electron assignments of Eq. (1) in the partitioned form

$$\hat{H}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \hat{H}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{N} \hat{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i};\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta}), \qquad (2)$$

where the atomic Hamiltonian operator for atom α

$$\hat{H}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}) = \sum_{i}^{n_{\alpha}} \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2}{r_{i\alpha}} + \sum_{i'=i+1}^{n_{\alpha}} \frac{e^2}{r_{ii'}} \right\}$$
(3)

is symmetric in electron coordinates i, and the interaction term

$$\hat{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i};\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{Z_{\alpha}Z_{\beta}e^2}{R_{\alpha\beta}} - \sum_{i}^{n_{\alpha}} \frac{Z_{\beta}e^2}{r_{i\beta}} - \sum_{j}^{n_{\beta}} \frac{Z_{\alpha}e^2}{r_{j\alpha}} + \sum_{i}^{n_{\alpha}} \sum_{j}^{n_{\beta}} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}}$$
$$\equiv \hat{H}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta}) - \hat{H}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta} \to \infty)$$
(4)

is written and evaluated in the form of the difference of atomic-pair operators

$$\hat{H}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta}) = \hat{H}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}) + \hat{H}^{(\beta)}(\boldsymbol{j}) + \hat{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i};\boldsymbol{j}:R_{\alpha\beta}),$$
(5)

which are symmetric in electron coordinates $i \oplus j$, with $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathbf{R}_{\beta} - \mathbf{R}_{\alpha}$ defining atomic-position separation vectors. Since all electron coordinates $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n})$ are assigned in accordance with the spectral-product representation of Eq. (1), the atomic $\hat{H}^{(\alpha)}(i)$ and atomic-pair $\hat{H}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(i, j : \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ fragment Hamiltonian operators of Eqs. (3) to (5) commute with all permutations in the aforementioned atomicproduct subgroup of S_{n_t} , and meaningful quantum-mechanical definitions of these self-adjoint operators are obtained in this particular representation [69].

2.3 Evaluating the Molecular Hamiltonian Matrix

Employing Eqs. (1) to (5), the matrix representative of the molecular Hamiltonian operator in the spectral-product basis is obtained in the form [60]

$$oldsymbol{H}(oldsymbol{R})\equiv \langle oldsymbol{\Phi}(oldsymbol{r}:oldsymbol{R})|oldsymbol{H}(oldsymbol{r}:oldsymbol{R})|oldsymbol{\Phi}(oldsymbol{r}:oldsymbol{R})
angle$$

$$=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{H}^{(\alpha)}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1}\sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{N}\boldsymbol{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}),$$
(6)

where the atomic energy matrices are

$$\boldsymbol{H}^{(\alpha)} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{I}^{(1)} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{E}^{(\alpha)} \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{I}^{(N)} \right\}_{\boldsymbol{o}}$$
(7)

and the interaction-energy matrices are

$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{I}^{(1)} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{I}^{(N)} \right\}_{\boldsymbol{o}}.$$
 (8)

The unit matrices $I^{(\alpha)}$ in Eqs. (7) and (8) arise from the orthonormality of the atomic eigenstates that form the spectral-product representation of Eq. (1), whereas the smaller-dimensioned atomic and atomic-pair matrices there,

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \langle \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}) | \hat{H}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}) | \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}) \rangle \tag{9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \langle \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) | \hat{\boldsymbol{V}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) | \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \rangle, \quad (10)$$

require for their evaluation only the atomic $\Phi^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha})$ and atomic-pair product functions $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) = \{\Phi^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}) \otimes \Phi^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\beta})\}$, with Eqs. (9) and (10) employing the self-adjoint operators of Eqs. (3) to (5) in these smallerdimensioned representations. Faithful matrix representatives of the corresponding atomic and interaction-energy operators of Section 2.2 are obtained in this way which are universal computational invariants in the spectral-product basis, whereas the ordering symbol in Eqs. (7) and (8) brings these atomic and interaction-energy matrices into canonical forms prior to their summation in Eq. (6) [65].

2.4 Partitioned Molecular Energy Expression

The molecular energies and Schrödinger eigenstates corresponding to the Hamiltonian matrix of Eq. (6) are obtained from the diagonalization [70]

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{R}), \qquad (11a)$$

where the columns of $U_H(\mathbf{R})$ contain the eigenvectors which provide the molecular eigenstates in the spectral-product basis; $\Psi(\mathbf{r}:\mathbf{R}) \equiv \Phi(\mathbf{r}:\mathbf{R}) \cdot U_H(\mathbf{R})$. Employing Eq. (6), an *a priori* partitioning of the total energy is obtained in the form

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{E}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{N} \boldsymbol{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R})$$
(11b)

where

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{R})$$
(12)

is the atomic energy matrix for an atom α in a molecule, and

$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{V}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{R})$$
(13)

is the interaction-energy matrix for a pair of atoms (α, β) in a molecule.

In the limit of closure [70],

$$\hat{H}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R})\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{R}),$$
(14)

the molecular energies of Eqs. (11) converge to totally antisymmetric (physical) or non-totally-antisymmetric (unphysical) Schrödinger eigenstates spanned by the spectral-product representation of Eq. (1) [71]. In this limit, the molecular Hamiltonian matrix can be blocked into separate non-interacting physical and unphysical contributions [65], as consequently are the individual atomic and atomic-pair energy matrices which sum to the total energy matrix of Eq. (11b).

Since the molecular energy matrix of Eq. (11) is diagonal by construction, the sums of the diagonal terms of the atomic and atomic-pair interaction-energy matrices of Eqs. (12) and (13) provide a partioning of the total energies of the molecular states. The individual atomic and interaction energies on the diagonals of these matrices are weighted averages of the universal atomic $H^{(\alpha)}$ and atomic-pair $V^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ Hamiltonian matrices over distributions of atomic-state and atomic-pair-state virtual excitations, respectively, as determined by the eigenvector columns of the matrix $U_H(\mathbf{R})$. Accordingly, the diagonal elements of the atomic- and interaction-energy matrices of Eqs. (12) and (13) are seen to provide quantitative definitions of atomic- and atomic-pair interaction-energy surfaces in a molecule over the range of molecular geometries (\mathbf{R}) included in the calculations.

The off-diagonal terms of the matrices of Eqs. (12) and (13) refer to evaluations of individual atomic and interaction-energy operators between different molecular eigenstates. The sums of these off-diagonal terms vanish identically, in accordance with Eqs. (11), although the individual off-diagonal atomic and interaction-energy terms generally need not vanish at finite values of interactomic separation. These terms do vanish in the limit of large atomic separations, however, in contrast to the physically significant diagonal atomic terms of Eq. (12), consequent of the orthogonality of the individual molecular eigenstates employed in their evaluations.

3.0 Computational Implementation

Finite-subspace methods are described in Section 3.1 for calculating the atomic and interaction energies of atoms in molecules defined in the foregoing section, adopting previously reported methodology particularly suitable for these purposes. The approach is employed in calculations of the atomic and interaction energies of ground and excited molecular electronic states as reported in Section 3.2.

3.1 Finite Spectral-Product Representations

Computational implementations of the foregoing formal development must overcome the complicated nature of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian matrix in the spectral-product representation and the requirements of spectral closure [65]. Although elaborate methods have been developed for finite-subspace calculation in atomic spectral-product representations [61, 65–67, 72–74], a factored version of the general development is particularly suited to calculations of the molecular and fragment energies of focus here [66, 67, 74]. The approach requires for its validity only the linear independence of the *antisymmetrized* form of the chosen finite subspace [75], providing a Hamiltonian matrix identical in *appearance* to Eq. (6), atomic energy matrices as in Eq. (7), and interaction-energy matrices that depend only on the separation vectors of the individual atomic pairs, as in Eq. (8).

The finite-subspace molecular Hamiltonian matrix is [cf., Eqs. (6) to (10)]

$$ilde{m{H}}(m{R})\equiv \langle ilde{m{\Phi}}(m{r}:m{R})|\hat{H}(m{r}:m{R})| ilde{m{\Phi}}(m{r}:m{R})
angle$$

$$=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1}\sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{N}\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}), \qquad (15)$$

in the chosen subspace $\tilde{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{r}:\boldsymbol{R})$ of Eq. (1), the atomic and interaction-energy matrices are finite-dimensioned versions of Eqs. (7) and (8), with $V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ in Eq. (10) given by the finite-subspace expression [66],

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{p}}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})^{\dagger},$$
(16)

where

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{p}}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{\boldsymbol{S}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) | \hat{\boldsymbol{V}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) | \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{\boldsymbol{S}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}:\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \rangle.$$
(17)

Equation (17) is evaluated employing an explicitly antisymmetrized orthonormal finite-subspace pair representation $\tilde{\Phi}_{S}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(i,j:\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta})$, with the unitary matrix $\tilde{U}_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ in Eq. (16) employed to transform from this pair representation to the corresponding finite subspace $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}:\mathbf{R})$ [66]. In more general variants of the development [72–74], the form of Eq. (15) is retained but the atomic- and interactionenergy matrices there become functions of the position coordinates (\mathbf{R}) of all the atoms in the molecule. Since the condition for validity of Eqs. (15) to (17) for calculations of energies requires only the familiar linear independence of the totally antisymmetrized form of the finite spectral-product representation employed [75], the calculations reported here are indentical with those obtained from the more general computational approach under these conditions [72–74].

Energy expressions corresponding to those of Eqs. (11) to (13) are obtained employing Eqs. (15) to (17) and the finite-subspace unitary matrix $\tilde{U}_{H}(\mathbf{R})$ that diagonalizes the Hamiltonian matrix of Eq. (15). Individual energy terms $\tilde{E}_{i}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{R})$ for atoms (α) in particular molecular eigenstates (*i*) are provided by the diagonal entries of the atomic energy matrices $\tilde{E}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{R})$ in the form [cf., Eq. (12)]

$$\tilde{E}_{i}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R})\}_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{sp}} \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha)}\}_{kk} |\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{R})\}_{ki}|^{2}$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\alpha}} \tilde{E}_{k}^{(\alpha)} |\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R})\}_{ki}|^{2}, \qquad (18)$$

where Eqs. (4), (8), and (16) have been employed in the last line, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ has been replaced there by the indicated diatomic energy functions $\tilde{E}_{k}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})$ and the atomic energies of Eq. (18), and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R})$ is the "two-atom" reduced density matrix for the atoms α and β derived from the product $\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{R})$. Here, the matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}_{\boldsymbol{H}}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha\beta})^{\dagger}$ is obtained from diagonalization of the atomic-pair matrix representative of Eq. (16) in the indicated finite subspace spectral-product basis to accommodate this change of representation [66, 67, 74].

The total electronic energy obtained from the foregoing expressions for a particular molecular eigenstate (i) is seen to be a sum of atomic energies for the constituent atoms and a sum of atomic-pair bonding energies of the form [cf., Eq.(11b)]

$$\tilde{E}_i(\boldsymbol{R}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tilde{E}_i^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{R}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^N \tilde{V}_i^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\boldsymbol{R}).$$
(20)

The atomic and interaction terms given explicitly by Eqs. (18) and (19) are seen to be averages of the undisturbed atomic energies and diatomic pair-interaction energies, respectively, with the perturbing effects of the aggregate environment included through the weightings provided by the individual columns of the indicated one- and two-atom reduced density matrices.



(a) Total electronic energy curves for H_3 in symmetric collinear arrangement $(H_a-H_b-H_c)$ calculated in 1s, 2s atomic representations (solid lines) in comparison with valence-bond results (points), with E_{1s} set to zero.

(b) Net chemical bonding energy curves between adjacent atoms (a-b and b-c) in symmetric collinear H_3 (H_a - H_b - H_c), corresponding to the total electronic energy curves shown in Figure 1(*a*).

Figure 1. (a) Total Electronic Energy Curves and (b) Bonding Energies for Adjacent Atoms in the H₃ Molecule

3.2 Illustrative Calculations

Illustrative calculations are provided of the atomic- and bond-energies obtained from the spectral-product formalism, which provides an objective decomposition of total molecular electronic energies. In Figure 1(*a*) are shown potential energy curves for low-lying states of the H₃ molecule in symmetric collinear arrangement (H_a-H_b-H_c), calculated employing 1s and 2s atomic hydrogen spin-orbital eigenfunctions in four-term representations for each atom, in comparison with conventional valencebond values in this basis [76]. In this classic case of three hydrogen atoms, the orthonormal atomic-product representation is closely related to the non-orthogonal valence-bond representation, but does not include the explicit overall antisymmetry of the latter. Although larger atomic representations is to make clear in a simple manner the nature of the definitions of the energies of atoms and bonds in molecules provided by the present study, rather than to report highly accurate potential curves for the H₃ molecule obtained in complicated representations.

The spectral-product results are evidently in good but not precise accord with the conventional valence-bond values for the six total electronic energy curves depicted in Figure 1(*a*). The lowest two of these curves at larger separation are ${}^{2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ and ${}^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states, whereas the third state is a ${}^{4}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ state, all three curves dissociating to three ground-state hydrogen atoms. The three higher-lying states of ${}^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$, ${}^{2}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$, and ${}^{4}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ symmetry dissociate to limits having the energy of a promoted 2s hydrogen atom. There is evidently an avoided crossing between the $A^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $B^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states and a weaker avoidance between the $a^{4}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ and $b^{4}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ states at smaller atomic separation. In spite of the simplicity of this representation of the H₃ molecule, the attributes of the present formalism can be clearly illustrated employing this example.



(a) Atomic energies for the outer atoms (a and c) in symmetric collinear H_3 (H_a - H_b - H_c) for the molecular eigenstates and total energy curves in Figure 1(a).

(b) Atomic energies for the central atom (b) in symmetric collinear H_3 (H_a - H_b - H_c) for the molecular eigenstates and total energy curves in Figure 1(*a*).

Figure 2. (a) Atomic Energies for the Two Equivalent Outer Atoms (a and c) in Symmetric Collinear H₃ and for (b) the Central Atom (b) in the Molecular Eigenstates and Total Energy Curves in Figure 1(a), Setting E_{1s} to Zero

In Figure 1(b) are shown the equivalent adjacent-atom (a-b and b-c) bond energies for the six states of Figure 1(a), whereas Figures 2(a) and 2(b) depict the atomic energies for the two equivalent outer (a and c) atoms and for the central (b) atom, respectively. The three atomic energies and three bonding energies for each molecular state sum to the corresponding total energy curves reported in Figure 1(a), in accordance with Eq. (20). The bonding potentials between the two outer atoms (a-c) are found to show monotonic repulsive or attractive behaviors at small R in each case, but are otherwise weak and not shown here. The bond-energy curves for the two pairs of nearest-neighbor atoms in Figure 1(b) are seen to be quite different for the different molecular states, but to approach zero largely in unison at larger R, whereas the atomic energies in Figures 2(a) and 2(b) also exhibit considerably different structures, but are seen to approach limiting values more slowly than the bond energies as individual atomic-energy promotion or demotion diminishes at larger R values, described in further detail below.

The adjacent-atom (a-b and b-c) bond energy curves for the ground $X^2\Sigma_u^+$ state (black) in Figure 1(b) are evidently similar in form to the total energy curve of Figure 1(a), with each of the two equivalent curves accounting for approximately one-half of the full energy lowering at the minimum of the potential curve. By contrast, adjacent-atom bond potentials for the $A^2\Sigma_g^+$ and $B^2\Sigma_g^+$ states (gold and magenta) in Figure 1(b) show significant changes in form as the avoided crossing is traversed adiabatically. The interchanges of 1s and 2s compositions revealed by the two curves are, of course, suggested by the avoided crossing apparent in the total energy curves of Figure 1(a) but are further quantified by the results of Figure 1(b). From a diabatic perspective, the two bonding energy curves for these states show repulsive and bound behaviors, respectively, the single pair of bond energy curves shown consequently characterizing both adiabatic and diabatic possibilities.



(a) Atomic energies for the outer atoms (a and c) in symmetric collinear H₃ (H_a-H_b-H_c) as in Figure 2(a), depicting an entangled dissociation limit.

(b) Atomic energies for the central atom (b) in symmetric collinear H_3 (H_a - H_b - H_c) as in Figure 2(b), depicting classical non-entangled dissociation limits.

Figure 3. (a) Atomic Energies for the Two Equivalent Outer Atoms (a and c) in Symmetric Collinear H₃ (H_a-H_b-H_c) and (b) for the Central Atom (b) as in Figure 2, Depicted on a Wider Scale of Atomic Separation, Setting E_{1s} to Zero

The adjacent-atom bond-energy curves for the $a^4\Sigma_u^+$ (light green) and $b^4\Sigma_u^+$ (dark green) states are seen to be largely repulsive, the former including a small barrier not discernible in the total energy curve of Figure 1(*a*), consequent of the weak avoidance with the latter, which is apparently less perturbed by the former. Finally, the adjacent-atom bonding potential of the higher-lying $C^2\Sigma_u^+$ state (blue) is seen to be weak, in accordance with the result of Figure 1(*a*).

Figures 2(a) and 2(b), with the same color scheme, show the atomic energies of the outer (a and c) and central (b) atoms, respectively, which complement the total and bond-energy curves of Figure 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. These energies are also depicted on a wider range of atomic separations in Figures 3(a) and 3(b) in order to show the asymptotic atomic energy values reached in the limit of large separation. The promotion energies of all three 1s atoms in the ground $X^2\Sigma_u^+$ state (black) are seen to be generally weak but not entirely negligible, with the central atom energy showing a small but discernible maximum at R≈4.5 Bohr in Figure ⁺ 2(b). The energies of the two outer atoms for the avoiding $A^2\Sigma_g^+$ and $B^2\Sigma_g$ states (gold and magenta) show an abrupt interchange of atomic state occupancy as the avoided crossing region is traversed adiabatically, whereas their diabatic behaviors are less extreme, as in Figure 1(b) for the corresponding bond energy curves. The $B^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state (magenta) outer-atom energies approach unphysical values at larger R which are averages of the 1s and 2s atomic energies, in accordance with a coherent molecular state in which both outer atoms are symmetrically excited to entangled 1s and 2s atomic states [80, 81]. The atomic energies for the central atom in these two states show the rapid interchange in atomic state occupancy as the avoided crossing region is traversed adiabatically, with the large R limiting values in both these cases approaching the ground atomic state 1s energy. The large changes in atomic energy values with decreasing atomic separation in all three atoms for the $a^4\Sigma_u^+$ (light green) and $b^4\Sigma_u^+$ (dark green) states arises from the weak avoided crossing between these two repulsive states of Figure 1(a), as does the small barrier in the corresponding bond energy curve of Figure 1(b) for the former state, whereas the outer-atom energies in the latter state both approach unphysical entangled values at larger R. Finally, the central atom energy for the highest-lying $C^2 \Sigma_{\mu}^+$ state (blue) in Figures 2(b) and 3(b) approaches the 2s atomic energy at large R, whereas the two outer atoms in Figures 2(a) and 3(a) approach the ground-state 1s energy at large R, indicating a dissociative state in which only the central atom is excited.

The atomic and bond energies reported in Figures 1 to 3 obtained employing the present atomic spectral-product formalism provide a very detailed accounting of the variation with atomic separations of these components of total electronic energy in symmetric collinear H₃. Larger representations in H₃ calculations [77, 78] provide values of atomic promotion and bonding energies which are found to converge with basis set more slowly than total molecular energies, as reported in detail elsewhere [79]. The present results nevertheless demonstrate that the atomic spectral-product development can provide a quantitative molecular electronic energy decomposition analysis free of arbitrary subjective conditions.

4.0 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

Conventional quantum-chemical calculations of the electronic structures and attributes of molecules have evolved to a remarkable degree of sophistication and abundance [20–23], enabled largely but not entirely by continuing improvements in computational hardware and software for this purpose. Considerable attention has also been directed at plausible but arguably subjective physical and chemical interpretations of the many molecular electronic wave functions, charge density distributions, and total electronic energies calculated employing such methods [53, 54]. Conceptual advances in this connection have seemingly been much less in evidence, with the continuing absence of satisfactory *a priori* quantum-mechanical definitions of atoms in molecules and of the chemical bonds between them apparently resulting in their relegation to the status of observationally unknowably constructions [2]. In the present report, adoption of a universal atomic-eigenstate-based methodology for calculating molecular energies, in an extension of early work of Eisenschitz and London [59], appears to also provide a suitable atomic-based vehicle for addressing these fundamental conceptual and interpretive issues [60].

Attention is focused in the present report specifically on quantum-mechanical definitions of operator and matrix representatives of individual atoms and of the bonds between them in molecules, and in the use thereof in obtaining a natural partitioning of the total electronic energy of a molecule into atomic and interaction energies from calculated wave functions in the absence of additional subjective conditions or definitions [3]. The expression reported here for molecular electronic energies as sums of well-defined atomic and bonding contributions provides a simple but attractive partitioning into quantities long referred to qualitatively as promotion and bond energies, with early quantitive estimates of these made in the absence of specific quantum-mechanical prescriptions or definitions [13]. Incorporation of measurable atomic and diatomic or pair-interaction energies in the formalism employs familiar universal physical fragment energies in the development, with calculated molecular eigenstates providing distributions over these quantities in the total molecular energy expression. Although these expressions might appear to apply strictly to the particular spectral-product representation employed [59, 60], it is easily seen that virtually any wave function can be transformed, with greater or lesser effort, to spectral-product form, employing, for example, considerations based on full molecular dissociation limits, in which limits atomic-product forms emerge naturally upon suitable manipulations [69, 74].

The significant differences in behaviors of the atomic and bond energies reported for the ground and excited electronic states of the collinear H_3 molecule reveal a somewhat more nuanced picture of chemical bonding than conventional electronic energy surface calculations alone suggest. Atomic-state hybridization is accommodated automatically, apportionment of electronic charge among atoms similarly takes place over the molecular volume via virtual atomic excitations, net bonding energies are balanced against positive or negative promotion energies, and entangled atomic energies are predicted by the expectation values of individual atomic Hamiltonian operators in the adiabatic dissociation limits of coherent molecular eigenstates. Such latter results, even in the simple case of symmetric collinear triatomic hydrogen reported here, are seen to be significantly more complex than the better-known cases of the entangled limits of homo-nuclear diatomic molecules [80, 81]. Since adiabatic dissociation of coherent molecular electronic states can be achieved experimentally in various ways under appropriate conditions [82–89], an ensemble of measurements of the entangled electronic energies of atomic fragments produced by the dissociation of polyatomic molecules can potentially report distributions of these for comparisons with theoretical predictions.

Although the concept of an atom in a molecule might presently be regarded as an observationally unknowable "noumenon" [2], adoption of an atomic spectralproduct representation of molecular electronic structure evidently accommodates quantum-mechanical definition of self-adjoint operators that facilitate expression of molecular energies in terms of atoms and their interactions, in response to longstanding open questions in this connection and to issues raised in early study of atoms and bonds in molecules. The possibility of measuring atomic entanglements of the electronic states of atoms produced upon coherent dissociation of triatomic molecular hydrogen $(H_3 \rightarrow H + H + H)$ [90–94], reported here in the symmetric collinear case, can provide a first step in measuring a property of an atom in a molecule as carried by Schrödinger entanglement into the asymptotically-large separation limit. In view of the well-known hydrogenic Coulombic degeneracy, predictions of the 2s,2p, 3s,3p,3d,... compositions of such atomic states cannot be made on basis of calculation in the large-separation limit alone and require an approach which can predict compositions of degenerate atomic states as carried from the interaction zone to asymptotic separation limits. Additional calculations of entanglement effects on coherent polyatomic dissociation over a range of dissociation symmetries, of individual atomic and bonding energies in a range of chemical situations, and of other physical properties of molecules, are in progress in further exploration of the issues raised in the present report.

5.0 Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Air Force Research Laboratory and of the Research Council of the National Academy of Science is gratefully acknowledged. We thank a number of coworkers for assistance in implementing the use of atomic spectral eigenstates in molecular electronic structure calculations, including particularly, M. Ben-Nun, K. Rollin, R.J. Hinde, M.W.J. Bromley, C.L. Winstead, Jiabo Li, J.A. Sheehy, J.F. Rico, and R. Lópes. It is a pleasure to thank Professor J.A. McCammon and other colleagues at the University of California San Diego for their hospitality and support in the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry.

References

- K. Ruedenberg and W.H. Eugen Schwartz, "Three Millennia of Atoms and Molecules," in *Pioneers of Quantum Chemistry*, edited by E.T. Strom and A.K. Wilson (ACS Symposium Series: Washington, DC, 2013); Chapter 1, pp. 1-45.
- [2] R.G. Parr, P.W. Ayers, and R.F. Nalewajsti, "What is an Atom in a Molecule?" J. Phys. Chem. A 109, 3957 (2005).
- [3] K. Ruedenberg, "The Physical Nature of the Chemical Bond," Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 326 (1962).
- [4] E.B. Wilson, "On the Definition of Molecuar Structure in Quantum Mechanics," Int.J. Quantum Chem. S13, 5 (1979).
- [5] R.G. Woolley, "Quantum Theory and Molecular Structure," Adv. Phys. 25, 27 (1976); "The Molecular Structure Conundrum," J. Chem. Edu. 62, 1082 (1985).
- [6] P. Cassam-Chenaï, "A Mathematical Definition of Molecular Structure Open Problem," J. Math. Chem. 23, 61 (1998).
- [7] P.-O. Löwdin, "Mathematical Definition of a Molecule and Molecular Structure," in *Molecules in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology*, edited by J. Maruani (Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1988), Vol. II, pp. 3-60.
- [8] P.-O. Löwdin, "On the Long Way From the Coulombic Hamiltonian to the Electronic Structure of Molecules," Pure and Applied Chemistry, 61, 2065 (1989).
- B.T. Sutcliffe and R.G. Woolley, "On the Quantum Theory of Molecules," J. Chem. Phys. 137, 22A544 (2012)
- [10] P.A.M. Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics, 4th edition (Oxford University Press, London, 1958).
- [11] W. Pauli, General Principles of Quantum Mechanics, 4th edition (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
- [12] J.C. Slater, "The Virial and Molecular Structure," J. Chem. Phys. 1, 687 (1933).
- [13] J.H. Van Vleck, "On the Theory of the Structure of CH₄ and Related Molecules: Part I," J. Chem. Phys. 1, 177 (1933); "Part II," ibid. 1, 219 (1933); "Part III," ibid. 2, 20 (1934); "Part IV," ibid. 2, 297 (1934).
- [14] C.A. Coulson, "The Electronic Structure of Methane," Trans. Faraday Soc. (London) 33, 388 (1937).
- [15] P. Ball, "Beyond the Bond," Nature 469, 26 (2011).
- [16] S.K. Ritter, "Chemical Connections," in *Chemical and Engineering News*, 9 September (2013) Vol. 91, Issue 36, pages 28-33.
- [17] R.S. Mulliken, in *Selected Papers of Robert S. Mulliken*, edited by D.A. Ramsay and J. Hinze (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1975), p. 451.
- [18] C.A. Coulson, The Spirit of Applied Mathematics (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1953), pp. 20-21.
- [19] R. Hoffman, "All the Ways to Have a Bond," *Eisch Symposium*, Bridgehampton University, 29 October 2011 (http://www2.binghamton.edu/chemistry/news/eisch-symposium/hoffman .pdf).
- [20] Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry, edited by C.E. Dykstra, G. Frenking, K.S. Kim, and G.E. Scuseria (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005).
- [21] Linear-Scaling Techniques in Computational Chemistry and Physics: Methods and Applications, edited by R. Zaleśny, M.G. Papadopoulis, P.G. Mezey, and J. Leszczynski (Springer, Dordrecht, 2011).
- [22] R.G. Parr and W. Yang, Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules, (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).
- [23] N.H. March Electron Density Theory of Atoms and Molecules, (Academic Press, London, 1992).
- [24] C. Edmiston and K. Ruedenberg, "Localized Atomic and Molecular Orbitals," Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 457 (1963).
- [25] W. C. Lu, C. Z. Wang, M. W. Schmidt, L. Bytautas, K. M. Ho, and K. Ruedenberg, "Molecule Intrinsic Minimal Basis Sets. I. Exact Resolution of Ab-Initio Optimized Molecular Orbitals in terms of Deformed Atomic Minimal-Basis Orbitals," J. Chem. Phy. **120**, 2629 (2004).
- [26] A.C. West, M.W. Schmidt, M.S. Gordon, and K. Ruedenberg, "A Comprehensive Analysis of Molecule-Intrinsic Quasi-Atomic, Bonding, and Correlating Orbitals. I. Hartree-Fock Wave Functions," J. Chem. Phy. 139, 234107 (2013).
- [27] F. Weinhold and C.R. Landis, Discovering Chemistry With Natural Bond Orbitals (John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2012).
- [28] F.L. Hirshfeld, "Bonded-Atom Fragments for Describing Molecular Charge Densities," Theor. Chem. Acc. 44, 129 (1977).
- [29] P Bultinck, C.V. Alsenoy, P.W. Ayers, R. Carbó-Dorca, "Critical Analysis and Extension of the Hirshfeld Atoms in Molecules," J. Chem. Phys. 126, 144111 (2007).

- [30] R.F.W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules; A Quantum Theory (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [31] The Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules: From Solid State to DNA and Drug Design,
- edited by C.F. Matta and R.J. Boyd (Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., Weinheim, 2007)
- [32] E. Ma'tyus, J. Hutter, U. Mu"ller-Herold, M. Reiher, "Extracting Elements of Molecular
- Structure From the All-Particle Wave Function," J. Chem. Phy. **135**, 204302 (2011); Phys. Rev. A **83**, 052512 (2011).
- [33] R.F. Nalewajski and R.G. Parr, "Information Theory, Atoms in Molecules, and Molecular Similarity," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 97, 8879 (2000).
- [34] J.C. Angulo, J. Antoli´n, and R.O. Esquivel, "Atomic and Molecular Complexities: Their Physical and Chemical Interpretations," in *Statistical Complexity*, edited by K.D. Sen (Springer, Dordrecht, 2011).
- [35] J. Rissler, R.M. Novack, and S.R. White, "Measuring Orbital Interaction Using Quantum Information Theory," Chem. Phys. 323, 519 (2006).
- [36] I.N. Levine, Quantum Chemistry I: Quantum Mechanics and Molecular Electronic Structure (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970), Chapter 14, pp. 429-454.
- [37] J.F. Rico, R López, I Ema, and G. Ramírez, "Chemical Forces in Terms of the Electron Density," Theor. Chem. Acc. 118, 709 (2007).
- [38] R. McWeeny, "Some Recent Advances in Density Matrix Theory," Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, 335 (1960).
- [39] K. Kitaura and K. Morokuma, "A New Energy Decomposition Scheme for Molecular Interactions Within the Hartree-Fock Approximation," Int. J. Quantum Chem. 10, 325 (1976).
- [40] T Ziegler and A. Rauk, "On the Calculation of Bonding Energies by the Hartree Fock Slater Method," Theoretica Chimica Acta 46, 1 (1977).
- [41] J.P. Foster and F. Weinhold, "Natural Hybrid Orbitals," Journal of the American Chemical Society 102 7211 (1980).
- [42] R.F.W. Bader, T.T. Nguyen-Dang, and Y. Tal, "A Topological Theory of Molecular
- Structure," Reps. Prog. Phys. 44, 893 (1981).
- [43] I. Mayer, "A Chemical Energy Component Analysis," Chem. Phys. Lett. 332, 381 (2000);
 "Energy Partitioning Schemes: A Dilemma," Faraday Discussions 135, 439 (2007).
- [44] W. England and M.S. Gordon, "Localized Charge Distributions I: General Theory, Energy Partitioning, and the Internal Rotation Barrier in Ethane," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 4649 (1971).
- [45] A. Gaenko, T.L.Windus, M. Sosonkina, and M.S. Gordon, "Design and Implementation of Scientific Software Components to Enable Multiscale Modeling: The Effective Fragment Potential (QM/EFP) Method," Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 9, 222 (2012).
- [46] W.E. Palke, "The Electronic Chemical Potential and the H Atom in the H2 Molecule," J. Chem. Phys. 72, 2511 (1980).
- [47] M.P. Guse, "An Atoms in Molecules Approach to Density Functional Theory," J. Chem. Phys. 75, 828 (1981).
- [48] L. Li and R.G. Parr, "The Atom in a Molecule: A Density Matrix Approach," J. Chem. Phy. 84, 1704 (1986).
- [49] J. Rychlewski and R.G. Parr, "The Atom in a Molecule: A Wavefunction Approach,"
- J. Chem. Phy. 84, 1696 (1986).
- [50] E. Francisco, A.M. Pendas, and M.A. Blanco, "A Molecular Energy Decomposition Scheme for Atoms in Molecules," J. Chem. Theor Comput. 2, 99 (2006).
- [51] A.M. Pendas, M.A. Blanco, and E. Francisco, "Chemical Fragments in Real Space: Definitions, Properties, and Energetic Decompositions," J. Comput. Chem. 28, 161 (2007).
- [52] D. Ferro-Costas, A.M. Pendas, L. Gonzalez, and R.A. Mosquera, "Beyond the Molecular Orbital Conception of Electronically Excited States Through the Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules," Phys. Chem. Chem Phys. 16, 9249 (2014).
- [53] The Chemical Bond: Fundamental Aspects of Chemical Bonding, edited by G. Frenking and S. Shaik (Wiley-VCH, 2014).
- [54] The Chemical Bond: Bonding Across the Periodic Table, edited by G. Frenking and S. Shaik (Wiley-VCH, 2014).
- [55] B.T. Sutcliffe, "What Mathematicians Know About Solutions of the Schrödinger Coulomb Hamiltonian, Should Chemists Care?" J. Math. Chem. 44, 988 (2008).
- [56] W. Moffitt, "Atoms in Molecules and Crystals," Proc. Roy. Soc. (Lond.) A 210, 245 (1951).
- [57] F.O. Ellison, "A Method of Diatomics in Molecules. I. General Theory and Application to H2O," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3540 (1963).
- [58] J.O. Hirschfelder and R.J. Silbey, "New Type of Molecular Perturbation Treatment," J. Chem. Phys. 45, 2188 (1966).
- [59] H. Eisenschitz and F. London, "On the Ratio of the van der Waals Forces and the Homo-Polar

Binding Forces," Z. Physik **60**, 491 (1930).

- [60] P.W. Langhoff, "Spectral Theory of Physical and Chemical Binding," J. Phys. Chem. 100, 2974 (1996).
- [61] P.W. Langhoff, R.J. Hinde, J.A. Boatz, and J.A. Sheehy, "Spectral Theory of the Chemical Bond," Chem. Phys. Lett. 358, 231 (2002).
- [62] J.S. Lomont, Applications of Finite Groups (Academic Press, London, 1959).
- [63] M. Hammermesh, Group Theory (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1962).
- [64] C.D.H. Chisholm, Group Theoretical Techniques in Quantum Chemistry (Academic Press, London, 1976).
- [65] P.W. Langhoff, J.A. Boatz, R.J. Hinde, and J.A. Sheehy, "Atomic Spectral Methods for Molecular Electronic Stucture Calculations," J. Chem. Phys. 121, 9323 (2004).
- [66] P.W. Langhoff, R.J. Hinde, J.D. Mills, and J.A. Boatz, "Spectral-Product Methods for Molecular Electronic Structure Calculations," Theor. Chem. Acc. 120, 194 (2008).
- [67] M. Ben-Nun, J.D. Mills, R.J. Hinde, C.L. Winstead, J.A. Boatz, G.A. Gallup, and P.W. Langhoff, "Atomic Spectral-Product Representations of Molecular Electronic Structure: Metric Matrices and Atomic-Product Compositions of Molecular Eigenfunctions," J. Phys. Chem. A 113, 7687 (2009).
- [68] The atomic eigenfunctions in the outer product of Eq. (1) are described in a set of commonlyoriented coordinate systems centered at the atomic nuclei, the axes of which remain parallel to the laboratory frame independent of the magnitude and direction of the vectors $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta}$. The orthonormality of the entire product basis is consequent of the disjoint coordinate domains of the electrons assigned to individual atoms, in spite of which the individual atomic electrons can span the entire physical spatial region of the molecule comprising these atoms. The distinction between coordinate domains in configuration space and physical spatial regions is incorrectly treated in the discussion of electron permutation symmetry in the otherwise admirable text of A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1963), Volume II, pp. 600-603.
- [69] Methods for transforming between molecular eigenfunctions represented in the spectralproduct basis of Eq. (1) and those obtained from more conventional antisymmetrized orbitalproduct or other representations are indicated in [66, 67]. Accordingly, the development reported here is not "representation dependent" or restricted in its applications to a particular Hilbert space, although the underlying theory is most conveniently expressed in terms of the representation of Eq. (1). See also in this connection, J.M. Spotts, C.-K. Wong, M.S. Johnson, M. Okumura, J.A. Boatz, R.J. Hinde, J.A. Sheehy, and P.W. Langhoff, "Multiphoton Ionization Spectroscopy of AlAr_N Clusters," J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 6948 (2003), for construction of spectral-product representations from wave functions obtained employing conventional molecular-orbital-based quantum-mechanical calculations.
- [70] R. McWeeny, Methods of Molecular Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Edition (Academic, London, 1992).
- [71] Each of the solutions of the many-electron (Coulombic) atomic or molecular Schrödinger equation are at least 2^{n_e} -fold degenerate, where n_e is the number of electrons. This Lemma follows, for example, from factoring into a product of spin and spatial terms the most general form of a complete spin-orbital product representation of many-electron states, as described for example in [62,66]. Most of these degenerate solutions are so-called non-Pauli states, which can be made to transform under irreps of the n_e -electron symmetric group other than the totally antisymmetric irreducible representation [62-66]. The origins and natures of these states are described in some detail in [66], and from another perspective by W.H. Adams, "Perturbation Theory of Intermolecular Interactions: What is the Problem, are There Solutions?" Int. J. Quantum Chem. **38**, **S24**, 531 (1990). See also, J.D. Mills and P.W. Langhoff, "On the Non-Pauli States of Atoms and Molecules," Annual Meeting of the California-Nevada Section of the American Physical Society, Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo, CA, 2-3 November 2012.
- [72] P.W. Langhoff, J.A. Boatz, R.J. Hinde, and J.A. Sheehy, "Spectral Theory of Chemical Bonding," in *Low-Lying Potential Energy Surfaces*, edited by M.R. Hoffmann and K.G. Dyall (ACS Symposium Series 828, Washington, DC, 2002), Chapter 10, pp. 221-237.
- [73] P.W. Langhoff, J.A. Boatz, R.J. Hinde, and J.A. Sheehy, "Applications of Löwdin's Metric Matrix: Atomic Spectral Methods for Electronic Structure Calculations," in *Fundamental World of Quantum Chemistry: A Tribute to the Memory of Per-Olov Löwdin*, edited by E. Brändas and E.S. Kryachko (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2004), Vol. 3, pp. 97-114.
- [74] P.W. Langhoff, M. Ben-Nun, K. Rollin, J.D. Mills, J.A. Boatz, R.J. Hinde, M.W.J. Bromley, C.L. Winstead, and G.A. Gallup, "Atomic Spectral-Product Methods for Molecular Electronic Structure Calculations: Bridging the Gap to Large Atomic Aggregates, 49th Symposium on Theoretical Chemistry, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, DE, 22-26 September 2013.

- [75] P.-O. Löwdin, "On the NonOrthogonality Problem Connected with the Use of Atomic Wave Functions in the Theory of Molecules and Crystals," J. Chem. Phys. 18, 365 (1950); A. Szabo and N.S. Ostland, Modern Quantum Chemistry: Introduction to Advanced Structure Theory (Macmillan, London, 1982) pp. 142-145.
- [76] G.A. Gallup, Valence Bond Methods, Theory and Applications (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002) (http://molcrunch.sourceforge.net/)
- [77] Z. Peng, S. Kristyan, and A. Kuppermann, "Excited Electronic Potential-Energy Surfaces and Transition Moments for the H3 System," Phys. Rev. A 52, 1005 (1995); Y.-S.M. Wu, A. Kuppermann, and J.B. Anderson, "A Very High Accuracy Potential Energy Surface for H3," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 1, 929 (1999).
- [78] U. Galster, U. Müller, M. Jungen, H. Helm, and M. Jungen, "Experimental and Quantum-Chemical Studies on the Three-Particle Fragmentation of Neutral Triatomic Hydrogen," Phys. Rev. A 72, 062506 (2005).
- [79] A preliminary account of the present report was given in, P.W. Langhoff, J.D. Mills, J.A. Boatz, and G.A. Gallup, "On the Question of Atoms and Bonds in Molecules," 50th Symposium on Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Vienna, DE, 14-18 September 2014.
- [80] M. Schlosshauser, Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition, 2nd Ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007).
- [81] A. Gonis, X.-G. Zhang, D.M. Nicholson, and G.M. Stocks, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, "Energy Convexity as a Consequence of Decoherence and Pair-Extensive Interactions in Many-Electron Systems," 75, 680 (2014); "Self-Entanglement and the Dissociation of Homonuclear Diatomic Molecules," Mol. Phys. 112, 453 (2014).
- [82] E.S. Fry and T. Walther, "Fundamental Tests of Quantum Mechanics" in, Advances in Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 42, 1 (2000).
- [83] X.X. Yi and W. Wang, "Creating Entangled Atomic Pairs by Photodissociation," J. Phys. B 34, 5087 (2001).
- [84] B. Zhao, Z.-B. Chen, J.-W. Pan, L. Schmiedmayer, A. Recati, G.E. Astrakharchik, and T. Calarco, "High-Fidelity Entanglement via Molecular Dissociation in Integrated Atom Optics," Phys. Rev. A 75, 042312 (2007).
- [85] C. Gneiting and K. Hornberger, "Molecular Feshbach Dissociation as a Source for Motionally Entangled Atoms," Phys. Rev. A 81, 013423 (2010).
- [86] T. Odagiri, T. Tanabe, and N. Kouchi, "Dynamics of Entangled H(2p) Pair Generated in the Photodissociation of H₂," J. Phys. Conference Series **388**, 012024 (2012).
- [87] V. Letokhov, Laser Photoionization Spectroscopy (Elsevier, Atlanta, GA, 2012).
- [88] S. Arai, T. Kamosaki, M. Ukai, K. Shinsaka, Y. Hatano, Y. Ito, H. Koizumi, A. Yagishita, K. Ito, K. Tanaka, "Lyman-α Coincidence Detection in the Photodissociation of Doubly Excited Molecular Hydrogen into Two H(2p) Atoms," J. Chem. Phys. 88, 3016 (1988).
- [89] R. Quandt, W. Xuebin, M. Zhiyuan, H.L. Kim, and R. Bersohn, "One-Color Molecular Photodissociation and Detection of Hydrogen Atoms," J. Phys. Chem. A 102, 6063 (1998).
- [90] P.C. Cosby and H. Helm, "Photodissociation of Triatomic Hydrogen," Phys. Rev. Letts. 61, 298 (1988).
- [91] U. Galster, P. Kaminski, M. Beckert, H. Helm, and U. Müller, "Kinematically Complete Final State Investigation of Molecular Photodissociation: Two- and Three-body Decay of Laser-Prepared H₃ 3s ²A'₁," Eur. Phys. J. D 17, 307 (2001).
- [92] U. Galster, U. Müller, M. Jungen, and H. Helm, "Nonadiabatic Coupling and Vector Correlation in Dissociation of Triatomic Hydrogen," Faraday Discuss. 127, 439 (2004).
- [93] U. Galster, F. Baumgartner, U. Müller, H. Helm, Phys. Rev. A, and M. Jungen, "Experimental and Quantum-Mechanical Studies on the Three-Particle Fragmentation of Neutral Triatomic Hydrogen," 72, 062506 (2005).
- [94] C.M. Laperle, J.E. Mann, T.G. Clements, and R.E. Continetti, "Experimentally Probing the Three-Body Predissociation Dynamics of the Low-Lying Rydberg States of H₃ and D₃," J. Phys. Conference Series 4, 111 (2005).

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

AFRL-RQ-ED-TR-2014-0025 Primary Distribution of this Report:

RQR AFRL R&D Case Files Completed Interim and Final Tech Reports Repository

Jerry Boatz (2 CD) AFRL/RQRP 10 E. Saturn Blvd. Edwards AFB, CA 93524-7680

AFRL/RQ Technical Library (2 CD + 1 HC) 6 Draco Drive Edwards AFB, CA 93524-7130

Chemical Propulsion Information Analysis Center Attn: Tech Lib (Mary Gannaway) (1 CD) 10630 Little Patuxent Parkway, Suite 202 Columbia, MD 21044-3200

Defense Technical Information Center (1 Electronic Submission via STINT) Attn: DTIC-ACQS 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 94 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218