AD____

Award Number: W81XWH-10-1-0365

TITLE: Novel Therapeutic Strategy for the Prevention of Bone Fractures

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

Mark W. Hamrick, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Georgia Health Sciences

Augusta, GA 30912

REPORT DATE: February 2015

TYPE OF REPORT: Addendum to Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

X Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION FAGE				wing instructions, score	UMB NO. 0/04-0188
data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of E 4302. Respondents should be valid OMB control number. PL	and reviewing this collection of lefense, Washington Headquai aware that notwithstanding an EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	information. Send comments rega ters Services, Directorate for Infol y other provision of law, no person JR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDI	arding this burden estimate or ar mation Operations and Reports n shall be subject to any penalty RESS.	(0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe for failing to comply with	Allection of information, including suggestions for reducing rison Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1. REPORT DATE (DL	D-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. [ATES COVERED (From - To)
	CY 2015	Addendum to Fin	lal		5 May 2010 -15 NOV 2014
4. ITLE AND SOBIL	LE			W8	1XWH-10-1-0365
Novel Therapew Fractures	tic Strategy	for the Prevent	ion of Bone	5b.	GRANT NUMBER
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
Mark W. Hamrick, I	Ph.D			5e.	TASK NUMBER
email: mhamrick@	gru.edu			5f. '	WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORC	GANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. F	ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
Georgia Health	Sciences				UMBER
1120 15 th St C	J3301				
Augusta GA 309	912-0004				
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(FS)	10	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
U.S. Army Med	cal Research	and Materiel Co	mmand		
Fort Detrick,	Maryland 217	02-5012			
				11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12 DISTRIBUTION / A	VAII ABII ITY STATE	MENT			
12. DISTRIBUTION / P					
Approved for p	oublic release	;distribution u	nlimited		
Approved for p	public release	;distribution u	nlimited		
Approved for p	oublic release	distribution u	nlimited		
Approved for p	oublic release	distribution u	nlimited		
Approved for p	oublic release	;distribution u	nlimited		
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi	vublic release	;distribution u	nlimited	m for veter	ans, and more than 40,000
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe	NOTES	;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000-	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a	m for veter re the mair	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90%	NOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures,	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i	m for veter re the main mprove musc	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time income	Y NOTES Llitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the related morbidity and
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommonstality. My	NOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the related morbidity and uppresses bone formation.
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi- veterans suffer more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle	NOTES NOTES	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i)	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. liferation of aged, but
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffer more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, my alou tuitab	Y NOTES Ilitating bone ared hip fract of fractures, reasing bone m yostatin is a acted thus far oblasts, ii) m	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. diferation of aged, but composed primarily of le mage and muscle fiber
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myo slow-twitch finds	Y NOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii)	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can in icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incu	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles ceases musc n inbibitor	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. The formation of aged, but a composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber is may have notential for
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myo slow-twitch fi size in aged r	YNOTES Alitating bone ared hip fract of fractures, reasing bone m yostatin is a acted thus far blasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so nice. These f	fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles reases musc n inhibitor n older ind	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. Diferation of aged, but a composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber as may have potential for ividuals, but their effect
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffer more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colled not young, myon slow-twitch finds size in aged r suppressing magnetic suppressing magnetic sup	Y NOTES Ilitating bone ared hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a acted thus far oblasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so nice. These f uscle wasting a less signifi	;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant.	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can in icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove muso fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles ceases musc n inhibitor n older ind	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. A composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber to may have potential for lividuals, but their effect
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myo slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be	YNOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m lbers (e.g. so nice. These f uscle wasting e less signifi	;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant.	a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles reases musc n inhibitor n older ind	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. diferation of aged, but the composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber the mass have potential for dividuals, but their effect
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffer more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, my slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS	YNOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, reasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so nice. These f iscle wasting e less signifi	;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant.	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles ceases musc n inhibitor n older ind	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the elated morbidity and suppresses bone formation. diferation of aged, but composed primarily of le mass and muscle fiber and muscle fiber s may have potential for lividuals, but their effect
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myos slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS Aging; Osteopo	YNOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m libers (e.g. so nice. These f uscle wasting e less signifi	;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant. res	a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove muso fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles reases musc n inhibitor n older ind	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the elated morbidity and suppresses bone formation. eliferation of aged, but a composed primarily of le mass and muscle fiber as may have potential for lividuals, but their effect
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, my Slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS Aging; Osteopo 16. SECURITY CLASS	y NOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so nice. These f iscle wasting e less signifi	;distribution u ;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant. res	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can in icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles ceases musc n inhibitor n older ind 18. NUMBER OF PAGES	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. A composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber the mass and muscle fiber the mass and muscle fiber the mass and the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the stren
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myos slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS Aging; Osteopor 16. SECURITY CLASS a. REPORT	votes votes votes votes votes votes voted hip fract of fractures, reasing bone m vostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m bers (e.g. so nice. These f uscle wasting e less signifi orosis; Fractu suffication of: b. ABSTRACT	;distribution u ;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant. res c. THIS PAGE	nlimited a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can in icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles reases musc n inhibitor n older ind 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 63	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. diferation of aged, but the composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber the mass and muscle fibe
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi- veterans suffer more than 90% same time incom- mortality. My Our data coller not young, myore slow-twitch fi- size in aged r suppressing mu- on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS Aging; Osteopor 16. SECURITY CLASS a. REPORT U	y NOTES Allitating bone ared hip fract of fractures, reasing bone m yostatin is a acted thus far oblasts, ii) m abers (e.g. so nice. These f iscle wasting a less signifi brosis; Fractu SIFICATION OF: b. ABSTRACT U	<pre>;distribution u ;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant. res c. THIS PAGE U</pre>	a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can i icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove musc fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles ceases musc n inhibitor n older ind 18.NUMBER OF PAGES 63	ans, and more than 40,000 etiological factor in ele strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. diferation of aged, but composed primarily of le mass and muscle fiber is may have potential for dividuals, but their effect 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)
Approved for p 13. SUPPLEMENTAR 14. ABSTRACT Falls and debi veterans suffe more than 90% same time incommortality. My Our data colle not young, myo slow-twitch fi size in aged r suppressing mu on bone may be 15. SUBJECT TERMS Aging; Osteopo 16. SECURITY CLASS a. REPORT U	y NOTES Ilitating bone ered hip fract of fractures, ceasing bone m yostatin is a ected thus far oblasts, ii) m lbers (e.g. so nice. These f uscle wasting e less signifi prosis; Fractu SIFICATION OF: b. ABSTRACT U	<pre>;distribution u ;distribution u fractures are ures from 2000- and so treatme ass will signif factor that ind demonstrate i) yostatin is ele leus), and iii) indings suggest and improving m cant. res c. THIS PAGE U </pre>	a major proble 2002. Falls a nts that can in icantly reduce uces muscle wa myostatin sup vated with age myostatin incr that myostati uscle repair i	m for veter re the main mprove muso fracture-r sting and s presses pro in muscles reases musc n inhibitor n older ind 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 63	Tans, and more than 40,000 a etiological factor in the strength while at the related morbidity and suppresses bone formation. Diferation of aged, but to composed primarily of the mass and muscle fiber to mass and muscle f

Table of Contents

Page

Introduction	2
Body	2
Key Research Accomplishments	16
Reportable Outcomes	16
Conclusion	18
References	19
Appendices	20

Introduction

Loss of muscle mass with age is implicated in age-related bone loss, and muscle frailty contributes to an increased incidence of falls and fractures. Yet, the molecular mechanisms underlying age-related muscle wasting, and the ability of muscle to promote bone formation and fracture healing, are unknown. We have focused our research on the role of myostatin (GDF-8) in muscle-bone interactions in order to develop more effective treatment and prevention strategies for muscle injury, frailty, and bone fracture. We have previously shown that myostatin deficiency increases bone strength and biomineralization throughout the skeleton, and that a new myostatin inhibitor (propeptide) increases both muscle mass and bone formation (Hamrick et al., 2007, 2010; Elkasrawy and Hamrick, 2010). Our research therefore suggests that myostatin is a key factor regulating both myogenesis and osteogenesis. Although some studies have found no association between age and myostatin transcript levels in skeletal muscle (Marcell et al., 2001), others reveal a marked elevation in skeletal muscle myostatin expression with aging in humans (Leger et al., 2008). Additional research indicates that circulating levels of myostatin increase with age in men and women, and are highest in people aged 60-90 (Yarasheski et al., 2002). The latter finding suggests that myostatin is implicated in the sarcopenia of aging, hence myostatin inhibitors are likely to be useful pharmacological agents for treating age-related muscle atrophy as well as bone loss.

The goal of our CDMRP-sponsored research is to better characterize myostatin's role in agerelated bone loss, so that targeted therapies to prevent bone fractures by enhancing muscle and bone strength can be developed. We hypothesize that the expression of myostatin and its receptor are elevated with aging in bone and muscle, which antagonizes the osteogenic and myogenic capacity of stem cells in these tissues, but that myostatin inhibitors will reverse this age-related decline in musculoskeletal function. Year 1 of the project was to determine how the expression of myostatin and its antagonist follistatin change with age in musculoskeletal tissues, whereas the goal of year 2 was to determine the effects of myostatin on anabolic pathways in primary bone-derived stromal cells and skeletal muscle myoblasts in vitro. Year 3 studies tested the hypothesis that a myostatin inhibitor could enhance muscle and bone mass in aged animals in vivo. Year 4 (extension) examined the effects of aging on myostatin signaling in human bone marrow stem cells, and the effects of a myostatin inhibitor on behavioral assessments of musculoskeletal function in aged mice.

Findings to date demonstrate that myostatin is elevated in skeletal muscle of aged mice, but only in slow- but not fast-twitch muscles. Myostatin is also elevated in bone marrow from aged mice, whereas in patient samples activin A increases with age more so than myostatin. Myostatin suppressed proliferation of aged, but not young, myoblasts, and also increased the expression of differentiation markers in myoblasts from aged mice. Myostatin did not dramatically alter osteogenic differentiation of either young or aged bone marrow stromal cells; however, myostatin does have a significant inhibitory effect on proliferation of these stem cells. Our new in vivo data using a myostatin inhibitor (propeptide) show that blocking myostatin function in vivo increases muscle mass, grip strength, and fiber size in aged mice, but does not alter bone mass, strength, or parameters of bone formation & resorption. These data suggest that targeting myostatin may have significant therapeutic potential for improving muscle function in older adults, perhaps leading to the prevention of falls and fractures.

Body

Aim 1 (months 1-12). Determine how the expression of myostatin, its receptor, and the myostatin antagonist follistatin change with age in musculoskeletal tissues.

<u>Task 1</u>. Human bone marrow aspirates will be collected from relatively young (18-30) and older (50-70) patients in the MCG orthopaedic clinic. Samples from younger patients are collected as waste by-products during ACL reconstructions, whereas those from older patients are discarded during total knee and hip replacement surgery.

We investigated age-related changes in the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system using bone marrow samples from young (<50 years, n=7) and older (>70 years, n=10) knee arthroplasty patients. Supernatant samples were analyzed using ELISA. Results indicate that follistatin and myostatin levels are not significantly altered with age in human bone marrow supernatants, whereas activin A levels increased increased by more than 120% in human bone marrow (Fig. 1). The marked increase in activin A levels with age in the patient samples was associated with a similar increase in the activin A: follistatin ratio.



Figure 1. Protein levels (right) of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin in bone marrow supernatants from young (<50 years) and older (>70 years) knee arthroplasty patients determined using ELISA assays.

We also examined gene expression in bone marrow-derived stem cells to explore ageassociated changes in expression of the myostatin receptor (ActRIIB), to determine how this may impact sensitivity of bone cells to the factors shown in Figure 1. Results indicate that, consistent with the data shown below in Figure 4, expression of the myostatin (and activin) receptor increases with age in BMSCs (Fig. 2).





Figure 2. Relative gene expression in BMSCs harvested from young (<50 years) and older (>70 years) knee arthroplasty patients (n=3 per group) determined using real-time PCR.

Task 1 status: Complete.

<u>Task 2</u>. Bone aspirates and muscle samples are collected from mice 12, 18 and 24 months of age, 15 mice per age group (total = 45 mice).

Protein levels of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin in skeletal muscle and bone marrow of young and aged mice were determined using ELISA. We excluded the 18 mo age group because we found in PCR assays that this group was consistently an outlier. ANOVAs performed on activin A and follistatin normalized for total protein, and the ratio of normalized activin A: follistatin, revealed no significant changes with age in either the soleus or extensor digitorum longus muscles. Levels of normalized myostatin showed a slight but non-significant decrease in the EDL with age (Fig. 3A), whereas SOL showed a significant increase in normalized myostatin with age (Fig. 3A). Likewise, the ratio of normalized myostatin: follistatin:

showed a significant decrease with age in EDL (Fig. 3B), but a significant increase with age in SOL (Fig. 3B). Two-factor ANOVA with age (12 or 24 mo) and muscle (EDL or SOL) as the two factors showed significant age*muscle interaction effects for both normalized myostatin (p<.05) and the myostatin: follistatin ratio (p<.01), with myostatin levels decreasing with age in the EDL but increasing with age in SOL.



Figure 3. Protein levels measured using ELISA of myostatin (A; normalized by BCA) and relative to follistatin (B) in the extensor digitorum longus muscle (EDL) and soleus muscle (SOL) of young (12 mo) and aged (24 mo) mice. Protein levels measured using ELISA of myostatin (C; normalized by BCA) and relative to follistatin (D) in bone marrow supernatant of young (12 mo) and aged (24 mo) mice.

Comparisons of normalized protein levels obtained from bone marrow supernatant revealed no significant differences between older and young mice for activin A, follistatin, or the activin A: follistatin ratio. Normalized myostatin is significantly increased in mouse bone marrow with increasing age (Fig. 3C), as is the ratio of normalized myostatin: follistatin (Fig. 3D). Gene expression data showed slight increases in expression of the myostatin receptor (ActRIIB) with age in both soleus muscles and BMSCs of mice (Fig. 4).



Figure 4. Expression of the myostatin receptor (ActRIIB, or Acvr2b) in the soleus muscle (left graph), extensor digitorum longus muscle (EDL, middle graph), and in bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs, right graph) from young (6-12 mo) and aged (24 mo) mice. Expression shows a slight but non-significant increase with age in muscle and bone tissues.

Task 2 status. Complete.

Milestone 1: Age-related changes in the expression of myostatin, its receptor, and the myostatin antagonist follistatin in muscle and bone tissues will be defined. Milestone 1 has been reached, with the conclusion that myostatin levels in bone marrow and slow twitch muscle fibers increase slightly with age, as does expression of the myostatin receptor in BMSCs of both humans and mice. Activin A levels also increase with age in human bone marrow, but as shown below this does not have a detrimental effect on bone marrow stem cells and may actually enhance their proliferation.

Aim 2 (months 12-24). Determine the effects of myostatin on anabolic pathways in primary bone-derived stromal cells and skeletal muscle myoblasts in vitro.

<u>Task 1 (months 12-24)</u>. Human bone marrow stromal cells from young and aged patients have been collected during year 1 for specific aim 1. In years 2 and 3 these cells will be treated with myostatin, and myostatin inhibitors, in dose-response studies.

Results demonstrate that myostatin treatment of BMSCs actually increases proliferation, which is similar to the effect observed with activin treatment of mouse myoblasts discussed below. The lower dose of propeptide also increased proliferation, although this effect was not seen at the higher dose. Age of donors did not affect the proliferative response (Fig.5).



Figure 5. Proliferation assays of primary human BMSCs isolated from young and aged patients treated with myostatin (left graph) or myostatin inhibitor (right graph). BM70=18 yrs, BM74=40 yrs, BM92=72yrs, BM81=74 yrs.

We also examined the effects of myostatin and myostatin inhibitor on BMSCs in vitro, and found that these treatments had no impact on expression of osteogenic factors whether young or old cells are used (Fig. 6).



Figure 6. Expression of the osteogenic markers osteocalcin (top) and Cbfa1/runx2 (bottom) after treatment with either myostatin or a myostatin inhibitor in young and old BMSCs. Altered myostatin signaling does not have a significant impact on these factors.

Task 1 status: Complete.

<u>Task 2</u>. Primary myoblasts and BMSCs from young and aged mice will have been collected during year 1 for specific aim 1. In year two these cells will be treated with myostatin, and myostatin inhibitors, in dose-response studies.

Primary myoblast experiments for aim 2, task 2: For culture and treatment of primary myoblasts, tibialis anterior muscles were dissected and placed in sterile PBS. The muscle was minced with a sterile scalpel under aseptic conditions. Minced muscle was digested in 0.2% collagenase type II (Gibco) for 1 hour with frequent shaking followed by digestion in 1x trypsin for 30 minutes. The slurry was pelletted and trypsin supernatant removed. The slurry was resuspended in proliferation medium. Upon completion of enzymatic digest, slurry was poured over a 70µm cell strainer (Fisher) to remove any remaining connective tissue. The cells were then added to collagen type I (BD Bioscience) coated T-25 flasks. Primary myoblasts were allowed to attach for 72 hours. Cells were then maintained in proliferation medium (PM): DMEM (Hyclone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 10% horse serum, 1% penicillin / streptomycin, and 0.5% chick embryo extract (Sera Labs U.K.). Medium was changed every 48 hours until T-25 flask was confluent. Once confluent, cells were trypsinized and counted using NucleoCounter (New Brunswick Scientific). Cells were then plated in a 96 well plate at 5,000 cells/ cm2. Cells were allowed to attach in proliferation medium for 48 hours. Proliferation medium was removed, cells washed with PBS , and DMEM supplemented with 1% I.T.S was added followed by either control (PBS) or high or low dose activin A (50 ng/ml, 100 ng/ml), follistatin (100 ng/ml, 1000 ng/ml) or myostatin (100 ng/ml, 1000 ng/ml) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis). Doses follow those utilized by He et al. (2005) for activin A and Zhu et al. (2007) for myostatin and follistatin. After 24 hours of treatment, MTT reagent was added according to manufacturer's protocol and O.D. was determined 2 hours later.

For differentiation assays, cells were isolated and cultured for one week until confluent as described above. Cells were then trypsinized and plated in 12 well plates at 5,000 cells/ml and allowed to attach overnight in proliferation medium. PM was removed, cells washed with PBS. DMEM supplemented with 1% I.T.S followed by the addition of Mstn, Fstn, Activin A or control. Cells were maintained in treatment for 48 hour then harvested in TRIZOL® reagent (Invitrogen) for RNA isolation and subsequent cDNA synthesis (Bio-Rad). 50-100 ng of cDNA was amplified in duplicates in each 40-cycle reaction using an iCycler[™] (Bio-Rad) with annealing temperature set at 60°C, ABsolute[™] QPCR SYBR® Green Fluorescein Mix (ABgene, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and custom-designed qRT-PCR primers (Table 1). A melt curve was used to assess the purity of amplification products. mRNA levels were normalized to β-Actin/18S and gene expression was calculated as fold change using the comparative CT method. If not otherwise indicated, treated groups were compared to PBS control groups.

ANOVAs showed significant treatment effects for activin A, myostatin, and follistatin treatment of primary myoblasts (Fig. 7A). Activin A produced a significant dose-response increase in proliferation in myoblasts from younger mice but not older mice; however, the treatment*age interaction was not significant. Follistatin also increased proliferation in dose-response manner in young myoblasts, but the effect was attenuated in myoblasts from older mice (Fig. 7B). There was a significant (p=.001) treatment*age interaction effect for follistatin treatment where the treatment effect was much greater in younger myoblasts compared to older cells. Myostatin treatment also increased proliferation in young myoblasts myostatin decreased proliferation at the low dose (Fig. 7C). There was also a significant (p<.001) treatment*age interaction effect for myostatin, where myostatin treatment increased proliferation in young myoblasts but decreased proliferation in the older cells.



Figure 7. Proliferation assays of primary myoblasts isolated from young (12 mo, left column) and aged (24 mo, right column) mice treated with activin A (A), follistatin (B), or myostatin (C).

RT-PCR data revealed no marked changes in the expression of differentiation markers MyoD, myogenin, or myosin heavy chain (MHC) with Activin A treatment. MyoD and MHC expression were also unaffected by follistatin treatment of young and aged myoblasts; however, follistatin stimulated a significant increase in myogenin expression in aged but not young myoblasts (Fig. 8A). ANOVAs demonstrated a significant age effect for myogenin expression (p<.05), and there was also a significant treatment * age interaction for myogenin expression. Myostatin treatment had no effect on MyoD or myogenin expression in either young or aged myoblasts, but myostatin did produce a significant increase in MHC expression in aged but not young myoblasts (Fig. 8B).



Figure 8. Gene expression of the differentiation marker myogenin (top) and myosin heavy chain (MHC) in young (12M, left column) and aged (24M, right column) primary myoblasts treated with follistatin (A) and myostatin (B; GDF-8).

Primary BMSC experiments for Aim 2, task 2: In Aim 1 we found that activin A was also elevated with age in bone marrow, and so we extended our treatments in aim 2 to include activin A, myostatin, and follistatin. Bone marrow aspirates were flushed from femora and magnetic nanoparticles conjugated to anti-mouse CD11b, CD45R/B220, and Pan DC monoclonal antibodies were used to remove hematopoietic-lineage cells. A round of positive-selection was then performed using anti-Sca-1 microbeads. Enriched BMSCs were cultured in proliferation medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS) in T-75 flasks until ~80% confluent. Cells were then lifted with trypsin/EDTA, plated in 96-well plates at a density of 5,000 cells/well in proliferation medium, and allowed to attach for 24 h. Proliferation medium was removed, cells washed with PBS, and DMEM supplemented with with 2% heat-inactivated FBS (for BMSCs) was added followed by control (PBS), activin A, follistatin, or myostatin (all from R&D Systems, Minneapolis) at the same doses noted above for primary myoblasts. After 24 h of treatment, MTS reagent was added according to the manufacturer's protocol (Promega, Madison, WI) and absorbance at 492 nm was read 2 h later. Osteogenic differentiation and Alizarin Red S (ARS) staining was performed as described previously (Zhang et al., 2008)

ANOVAs showed a significant (P<.001) age effect in each treatment group, with younger BMSCs overall having higher values for proliferation than older BMSCs, irrespective of the treatment (Fig. 9). Proliferation assays showed no effects of activin treatment on either young or old BMSCs (Fig. 9A). Two-factor ANOVA revealed a significant treatment*age interaction for follistatin, with follistatin having no impact on proliferation in older BMSCs but reducing proliferation in young BMSCs (Fig. 9B). Myostatin significantly decreased BMSC proliferation in both age groups (Fig. 9C); however, this effect was greater in the aged cells, and this treatment*age interaction was also significant (P<.05) for myostatin.



Figure 9. Results of proliferation assays following treatment of primary bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) with activin A (A; activin), follistatin (B; Fstn), and myostatin (C; Mstn). Means with different superscripts differ significantly from one another (P<.05).

Differentiation assays using alizarin red staining to detect mineralization revealed that activin treatment significantly increased mineralization of young and older BMSCs (Fig. 10). The effect of age on mineralization was significant (P<.001) for activin, with the younger cells consistently showing greater mineralization in response to treatment. Treatment effects were less pronounced in aged BMSCs, with the low dose of activin increasing alizarin red staining but the other doses showing no significant effect (Fig. 10C, D). The treatment*age interaction was significant (P<.01) for activin using two-factor ANOVA. In the myostatin experiments, the higher dose of myostatin significantly decreased mineralization in younger cells but not in older cells, and the treatment*age interaction was also significant for myostatin (P<.01). Finally, follistatin treatment did not significantly affect mineralization either young or older BMSCs (Fig. 10).



Figure 10. Alizarin red staining of bone marrow stromal cells cultured in osteogenic conditions. Images of wells (top row) and quantification of staining (bottom row) in BMSCs from young mice (A, B; 12 Mo BMSCs) and older mice (C, D; 24 Mo BMSCs) treated with Activin A (Act), Myostatin (Myo) or Follistatin (Folli) at 50 ng/ml (50), 100 ng/ml (100) or 1000 ng/ml (1000). ***P<.001, *P<.05 relative to sameaged PBS controls.

<u>Task 2 status</u>: Completed. There are two myostatin inhibitors that can be used, one that is myostatin-specific (propeptide) and one that binds both myostatin and activin A (decoy receptor). Prior to determining which is optimal we needed, in aim 2, to determine the effects of

myostatin and activin on muscle and bone cells. Our data indicate that activin A can have positive effects on myoblast proliferation, and activin also enhances mineralization. Thus, a decoy receptor is not an optimal inhibitor because of its effect of blocking activin activity, hence a myostatin-specific inhibitor (propeptide) the optimal therapeutic to be validated in aim 3.

Milestone 2: The optimal treatment and dose of myostatin inhibitor for enhancing osteogenesis and myogenesis in aged BMSCs and myoblasts will have been determined in vitro. Our data in aim 2 indicate that the myostatin propeptide is the optimal inhibitor because of the contrasting effects of myostatin vs activin A in vitro.

Aim 3 (months 24-36). Determine the effects of myostatin inhibitors on muscle and bone aging in vivo.

Task 1 (months 24-30). Mice 22 months of age will be treated with a myostatin propeptide. Effects on behavioral performance and measures of bone and muscle anabolism will be determined.

Animals & treatments: C57BL6 mice were purchased from the aged rodent colony at the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health (USA) at 22 months of age and delivered to Georgia Regents University, Augusta GA. Animals were allowed to acclimate for one week and were maintained at the Laboratory Animal Service Facility of Georgia Regents University. An earlier dose-response study was used to evaluate the efficacy of a myostatin propeptide in vivo (Hamrick et al., 2010). Adult mice (5-6 mo.) were treated with the propeptide at 0, 10, 20, or 50 mg/kg at day 0, 5, and 10 and then sacrificed one week after the last treatment. Those data showed that propeptide treatment increased fore- and hindlimb muscle mass by 10% at the 10 mg/kg dose and increased muscle mass by more than 15% at the 20 mg/kg dose, but the 50 mg/kg dose did not increase muscle mass beyond the increase observed in the 20 mg/kg group (Hamrick et al., 2010). The 20 mg/kg dose was therefore used in this study. Mice were divided into two treatment groups: a vehicle group (VEH; n=14) and a myostatin propeptide group (PRO; n=15). Mice received i.p. injections every five days for 25 days with a dosage of 20 mg/kg body weight at a volume of 0.2 ml. We used a four- rather than eight-week treatment period because the animals were old enough we were concerned they might die of natural causes prior to completion of the study. Myostatin propeptide [4.48mg/ml] was obtained from Pfizer Inc (Cambridge, MA, USA). Mice were given calcein i.p. injections to label actively mineralizing bone surfaces four days and 24 hours prior to sacrifice.

Myostatin propeptide increases muscle mass and fiber size in aged mice: Body weight of the vehicle- and propeptide-treated animals was similar at the end of the study (Fig 11A). Each treatment group did, however, lose some weight over the treatment period but this was less dramatic for the treated animals, such that their decrease in body weight from day 0 to day 25 was significantly less than that of the vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 11B).



Figure 11. Body mass (A) and change in body weight (B) for animals treated with either saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for over four weeks.

Muscle mass of the tibialis anterior was significantly increased in the treated mice, both absolutely (Fig. 12A) and relative to body weight (Fig. 12B). Fiber size of the predominantly fast-twitch extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscle was also significantly increased by more than 15% in the treated mice (Fig. 12C), whereas the increase in muscle fiber size in the predominantly slow-twitch soleus (SOL) muscle was also increased significantly (P<.05) but by a lesser magnitude (~5%).



Figure 12. Muscle parameters for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for a period of four weeks. (A) Tibialis anterior mass, (B) tibialis anterior mass relative to body weight, (C) extensor digitorum longus fiber diameter (EDL).

Propeptide treatment produced a slight but non-significant increase in the expression of myostatin itself, as well as expression of myosin heavy chain and IGF-1 (Fig. 13). Surprisingly, expression of the ubiquitin ligases Murf1 and Mafbx was significantly increased with propeptide treatment (Fig. 13), and the PCR data were further validated by Western blot (Fig. 13).



Figure 13. Real-time PCR data (top row) for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for a period of four weeks showing increased expression of Murf1 and Mafbx in PRO-treated mice (left), and increased expression of BMP-2 in mice treated with propeptide (right). *P<.05. Western blot data from muscle (bottom) showing increased Murf1 and Mafbx in treated muscle.

Myostatin inhibitor significantly increases grip strength in aged mice. We assessed musculoskeletal performance in vehicle (control) and propeptide (pro) treated mice using behavioral outcome measures in Small Animal Behavior Core (SABC) at Georgia Regents University. Rotarod tests, measures of free cage activity, and grip strength tests were performed over a period of approximately 5 days both before and after the four-week treatment

period. Results demonstrate that rotarod performance was similar for control and treated groups both before and after treatment, however grip strength was significantly increased in the treated mice (Figure 14).



Figure 14. Behavioral performance data for mice treated with saline (Con) or myostatin propeptide (Pro) both per- and post-treatment showing similar measures for rotarod (A) and open-field activity (B), but increased grip strength (C) in the propeptide treated mice. *P<.05.

Myostatin inhibitor does not alter bone formation or bone strength in aged mice: MicroCT data from the tibia show that bone mineral density is actually slightly higher (3%) in the tibias of vehicle-treated mice (Table 1), but other parameters such as bone volume relative to total volume, trabecular number, and trabecular thickness are similar between the two groups (Table 1). Likewise, three-point bending tests of tibias show that ultimate force, stiffness, and toughness (energy to fracture) are also similar between the vehicle- and propeptide-treated mice (Table 1).

Table 1. microCT and biomechanical testing of the proximal tibia for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO; 20 mg/kg). BMD=bone mineral density, BV/TV=bone volume relative to total volume, Tb.Th=trabecular thickness, Tb.N=trabecular number, Fu=ultimate force, U=energy-to-fracture, S=stiffness.

Parameter	VEH (n=14)	PRO (n=15)	p value	
BMD	1.43±0.06	1.38±0.05	.01	
BV/TV	6.67±2.37	6.14±2.16	.24	
Tb. Th	0.11±0.02	0.11±0.01	.47	
Tb. N	0.59±0.14	0.54±0.16	.23	
Fu (kg)	2.21±.40	2.18±.34	.39	
U (kg/um ²)	740.6±417.5	670.3±309	.31	
S (g/um)	4.6±2.0	4.7±2.0	.44	

Bone histomorphometry data reveal that osteoblast and osteoclast numbers do not differ between the experimental groups (Table 2). Fluorochrome labeling showed double-labels in only three mice from each group, and so single-labeled surfaces were compared. Actively mineralizing surfaces were also similar between the two groups of mice (Table 2).

(single-label) relative to bone surface, N.Oc/BS=osteoclast number per bone surface.				
Parameter	VEH (n=15)	PRO (n=14)	p value	
N.Ob/BS	27.26±17.49	25.09±9.31	.14	
MS/BS	0.41±0.17	0.43±0.13	.34	

6.33±2.61

N.Oc/BS

Table 2. Bone histomorphometry data for the distal femur of mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO; 20 mg/kg).N.Ob/BS=osteoblast number per bone surface, MS/BS=mineralizing surface (single-label) relative to bone surface, N.Oc/BS=osteoclast number per bone surface.

Gene expression data show no significant differences in the expression of osteogenic genes Osx or Runx2 with propeptide treatment, however the expression of BMP-2 is increased in animals receiving the propeptide (Fig. 13).

6.18±3.82

.38

Our data show that PRO treatment significantly increases muscle fiber size and muscle mass, both absolutely and relative to body weight. In contrast bone volume, bone strength, and histomorphometric parameters of bone formation and bone resorption were unchanged with PRO treatment. Our findings are consistent with previous studies utilizing a myostatin antibody in aged mice showing that targeting myostatin increases muscle fiber size and mass; however, our data differ from work recently published by Chiu et al. (2013, J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci) utilizing a decoy myostatin receptor (ActRIIB-Fc) showing that ActRIIB-Fc appears particularly effective at increasing bone density and bone formation. The anabolic effects of ActRIIB-Fc on aged bone are likely due to the ability of this molecule to antagonize other ligands besides myostatin, such as activin or bone morphogenetic proteins. There are several additional points to consider though when comparing the effects of the two inhibitors. If increases in muscle mass with inhibitor treatment might prevent or delay, not necessarily reverse, bone loss then a myostatin propeptide or antibody could be a safe and effective prophylactic approach for age-related bone loss. Perhaps more importantly, as noted below, the decoy receptor does not appear to be safe.

Task 1 status: The overall goals of task 1 were completed, and we were able to complete the grip strength and rotarod studies with the cost-extension into FY14; however, although the grip strength measures showed a significant change with treatment, the other behavioral measures were quite similar. We have developed an IACUC-approved video system for analyzing gait mechanics which we believe will have greater resolution than the rotarod and ambulatory tests, and we proposed to apply this technology in the extension period for FY15. In addition, our serum assays showed significantly elevated IL-6, an inflammatory cytokine, in propeptide-treated mice. This cytokine is regulated by several important microRNAs (miRNAs) of the let7 family in skeletal muscle, and we are seeking an extension into FY15 to analyse these miRNAs.

<u>Task 2 (months 30-36)</u>. Mice 22 months of age will be treated with a soluble decoy myostatin receptor for 8 weeks. Effects on behavioral performance and measures of bone and muscle anabolism will be determined.

Task 2 status. Since the CDMRP application was submitted, Acceleron decided to cease their Phase II trial of the soluble decoy myostatin receptor (ACE-03) because of safety issues (http://quest.mda.org/news/ace-031-clinical-trials-duchenne-md-stopped-now). Acceleron then announced that they will longer pursue ACE-031 no (http://www.acceleronpharma.com/2013/05/acceleron-and-shire-conclude-collaboration-on-ace-031/) this drug because of the safety issues. We therefore decided not to pursue task 2, since

the molecule now appears to be unsafe in humans, and because our data in aim 2 indicate that activin A can have positive effects on myoblast proliferation, and activin also enhances mineralization. Thus, a decoy receptor is not an optimal inhibitor because of its effect of blocking activin activity

Milestone 3: The optimal myostatin inhibitor for enhancing osteogenesis and myogenesis in aged rodents will be determined in vivo. The data presented in aim 3, when taken in consideration of the Acceleron trials noted above, suggest that a myostatin propeptide is likely to be a safe and effective molecule for enhancing muscle mass with aging.

Year 4 Addendum (May, 2014-November, 2014):

We have identified certain small molecules (miRNAs) as markers of muscle anabolism, and we requested additional time to analyze and validate these in samples we have already collected, since the start of our previous extension was delayed by several months. In addition, our first round of rotarod and activity measures were somewhat inconclusive. We therefore developed an IACUC-approved video system for analyzing gait mechanics which we believe will be more useful and we propose to apply this technology in the extension period. These objectives are all included within the original statement of work. Results from the extension period using MaxTrag software and high-speed video of mice on a motorized treadmill indicate that gait parameters such as stride length and contact time are similar between treated and control (vehicle) mice (Fig. 15a). Our miRNA analyses indicate that propeptide treatment significantly alters the expression of multiple microRNAs in the muscle of aged mice (Fig. 15b). This finding points to a novel mechanism of myostatin inhibition on muscle mass. Specifically, previous studies have focused on a role for myostatin in altering IGF1-mTor signaling or the abundance of degradative proteins termed ubiquitin ligases (Arounleut et al., 2013). The data shown in Fig. 15a suggest that myostatin propeptide may stimulate myogenesis by upregulating miR-23b, as miR-23b is known as a myogenic miRNA (Dmitriev et al., 2013). The myostatin propeptide also upregulates let-7e, and the let-7 group of miRNAs are known to suppress cell proliferation. Myostatin propertide may therefore favor myogenic differentiation over cell proliferation in aged skeletal muscle, thereby increasing muscle mass and strength.



Figure 15. A. Quantitative gait parameters for treated (propeptide) and control (saline) aged mice before (pre) and after (post) the four week treatment period. Measures for stride time (sec), speed (sec) and right hind limb (RHL) step length (cm) are similar between groups. Error bars represent +/- 5%. B. PCR array data showing increased (red) expression of miR-23b and let-7e (blue arrows) in muscles from mice with propeptide treatment.

- We published (*Experimental Gerontology*) the first study on the effects of myostatin propeptide in aged rodents, and featured our research on myostatin in *Physiology*.
- The propeptide treatment data were presented in the form of plenary podium presentations at the 2013 meeting of the American Society for Bone & Mineral Research in Baltimore, MD; the 2014 meeting of the International Bone & Mineral Society in Sun Valley, Idaho; and the 2014 meeting of the American Society of Nephrology in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Our findings were also presented in the form of invited keynote addresses as the Fesler-Lampert Chair in Aging Studies and the Center on Aging, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and the keynote speaker for the Driskill College of Graduate Studies, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois.

Reportable Outcomes:

Manuscripts:

- 2015 Novotny S, Warren G, **Hamrick MW**. Aging and the muscle-bone relationship. *Physiology*. 30: 8-16.
- 2013 Arounleut P, Bialek P, Liang L, Upadhyay S, Fulzele S, Johnson M, Elsalanty M, Isales CM, **Hamrick MW**. A Myostatin Inhibitor (Propeptide-Fc) Increases Muscle Mass and Muscle Fiber Size in Aged Mice but Does not Increase Bone Density or Bone Strength. *Experimental Gerontology* 48:898-904.
- 2013 Bowser M, Chutkan N, Martell J, Corpe S, Park MA, Hillman D, Ahsan S, Arounleut P, Isales CM, Shi XM, **Hamrick MW**. Age-related changes in the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system within the bone marrow microenvironment. *Experimental Gerontology* 48: 290-97.
- 2013 Elkasrawy M, **Hamrick MW**. Myostatin (GDF-8) signaling in progenitor cells and applications to bone repair. In *Stem Cells & Bone Tissue* (R. Rajendram, V. Preedy, V. Patel, eds.), Ch. 8, pp. 145-160. CRC Press: London.
- 2012 **Hamrick MW**. The skeletal muscle secretome: an emerging player in musclebone crosstalk. *Nature Bonekey* 1: 60.
- 2012 Elkasrawy M, Fulzele S, Bowser M, Wenger K, **Hamrick MW**. Myostatin (GDF-8) inhibits chondrogenesis and chondrocyte proliferation in vitro by suppressing Sox-9 expression. *Growth Factors* 29:253-262.
- 2011 **Hamrick, MW**. A role for myokines in muscle-bone interactions. *Exercise & Sports Science Reviews* 39: 43-47.

Abstracts from Professional Presentations:

2014 Periyasamy-Thandavan S, Herberg S, Arounleut P, Upadhyay S, Kondrikova G, Dukes A, Davis C, Johnson M, Shi X, Isales CM, **Hamrick MW**, Hill WD. The Age-Associated Rise in miRNAs from Muscle Target SDF-1 and Musculoskeletal Regulatory Genes is Reversed with Caloric Restriction and Leptin. J Bone Miner Res FR0194.

- 2014 Herberg S, Periyasamy-Thandavan S, Arounleut P, Upadhyay S, Dukes A, Davis C, Kondrikova G, Johnson M, Isales CM, Hill WD, **Hamrick MW**. Mediation of SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling in aged skeletal muscle by the adipokine leptin. J Bone Miner Res FR0197.
- 2014 Rabey K, McNeill J, Wu C, Bordas J, Guilak F, **Hamrick MW**, Schmitt D. Effects of aging and nutrition on bone microstructure and gait. Am J Phys Anthropol 31: 214.
- 2013 Arounleut P, Bialek P, Elsalanty M, Upadhyay S, Isales CM, Hill WD, Shi X, Hamrick MW. A Myostatin Inhibitor (propeptide-Fc) Increases Muscle Mass but Does Not Alter Bone Density or Strength in Aged Mice. J Bone Miner Res Supplement: 1011.
- 2012 Shi X, Bowser M, Yang N, He L, Herberg S, Fulzele S, Hill WD, Isales CM, Hamrick MW. Effects of Activin A and Follistatin on the Differentiation of Aged Primary Bone Marrow Stromal Cells (BMSCs) and Primary Myoblasts in vitro. J Bone Miner Res Supplement: SU 0179.
- 2012 Bowser M, Fulzele S, Ahsan S, Arounleut P, Isales CM, **Hamrick MW**. Changes in the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system within bone and muscle of aging mice. FASEB J 26: 914.4.
- 2012 Elkasrawy M, Fulzele S, Hill W, Isales CM, **Hamrick MW**. Myostatin (GDF-8) suppresses Wnt/β-catenin signaling during chondrogenesis in vitro. The Hilton Head Workshop on Regenerative Medicine.
- 2011 Bowser M, Chutkan N, Martell J, Corpe R, Isales CM, Park MA, Hillman D, Hamrick MW. Age-related changes in the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system within the bone marrow microenvironment. Journal of Bone & Mineral Research SA0001.
- 2011 Zhang W, **Hamrick MW**, Ding K, Wenger K, Hill W, Isales CM, Shi XM. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell and bone loss with aging. ASBMR Forum on Aging & Skeletal Health 29: P8.
- 2011 Isales CM, **Hamrick MW**, Ding K, Zhong Q, Bollag W, Shi XM, Hill W, Rowse J, Elsalanty M, Chutkan N, Insogna K. The impact of dietary protein on bone mass and strength in the aging animal. ASBMR Forum on Aging & Skeletal Health 34: P17.
- 2011 Elkasrawy M, Immel D, Wen X, Liu L, Lian L, **Hamrick MW**. Effects of myostatin on muscle and bone healing following deep penetrant musculoskeletal injury. British Journal of Bone & Joint Science: P053.

Invited Seminars:

- 2014 Keynote address, Driskill Graduate Program in the Life Sciences, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois.
- 2014 Symposium on The Muscle-Bone Unit in Chronic Kidney Disease, American Society of Nephrology annual meeting, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

2014 Symposium on Skeletal Muscle and Bone Biomechanical Properties, International Bone & Mineral Society annual meeting, Sun Valley, Idaho. 2013 The Fesler-Lampert Chair in Aging Studies and the Center on Aging, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota. 2013 College of Health Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware. 2013 Symposium on Muscle & Mobility, Abbott Nutrition, Columbus, Ohio. 2013 Progress in Bone Biology: Ageing & the Skeleton, Bone Academy, Vienna, Austria. 2013 International Webinar, International Bone & Mineral Society, New Directions in Muscle-Bone Interactions. 2012 The Hilton Head Workshop on Regenerative Medicine, Hilton Head, SC. 2012 Symposium on Muscle-Bone Crosstalk, American Physiological Society, Experimental Biology, San Diego, California. 2011 Plenary Symposium on Muscle-Bone Interactions, American Society for Bone & Mineral Research, San Diego, California. 2011 Augusta Research Symposium on Advances in Warrior Care, Augusta, GA. 2011 Program in Musculoskeletal Research, Eli Lilly & Co., Indianapolis, Indiana. 2011 Department of Pathology & Anatomical Sciences, University of Missouri,

Conclusions:

Columbia MO.

Falls and debilitating bone fractures are a major problem for veterans, and more than 40,000 veterans suffered hip fractures from 2000-2002. Men have a higher fracture-related mortality than women, and one out of every three male veterans that sustains a hip fracture dies within one year. Falls are the main etiological factor in more than 90% of fractures, and so treatments that can improve muscle strength while at the same time increasing bone mass will significantly reduce fracture-related morbidity and mortality. Myostatin is a factor that induces muscle wasting and suppresses bone formation. Our data collected thus far demonstrate i) myostatin suppresses proliferation in aged, but not young, myoblasts, ii) myostatin is elevated with age in muscles composed primarily of slow-twitch fibers (e.g. soleus), iii) myostatin propeptide (inhibitor) increases muscle mass and muscle fiber size in aged mice, but does not alter bone density or bone strength, and iv) myostatin propeptide increases the expression of microRNAs (e.g., miR-23b) in aged mice that are associated with myogenesis. These findings suggest that myostatin inhibitors may have potential for suppressing muscle wasting and improving muscle repair in older individuals, but their effect on bone may be less significant.

References:

Arounleut P, Bialek P, Liang L, Upadhyay S, Fulzele S, Johnson M, Elsalanty M, Isales CM, Hamrick MW. (2013) A Myostatin Inhibitor (Propeptide-Fc) Increases Muscle Mass and Muscle Fiber Size in Aged Mice but Does not Increase Bone Density or Bone Strength. *Experimental Gerontology* 48:898-904.

Chiu, C.S., Peekhaus, N., Weber, H., Adamski, S., Murray, E.M., Zhang, H.Z., Zhao, J.Z., Ernst, R., Lineberger, J., Huang, L., Hampton, R., Arnold, B.A., Vitelli, S., Hamuro, L., Wang, W.R., Wei, N., Dillon, G.M., Miao, J., Alves, S.E., Glantschnig, H., Wang, F., Wilkinson, H.A. 2013. Increased Muscle Force Production and Bone Mineral Density in ActRIIB-Fc-Treated Mature Rodents. J. *Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.*, Mar 22. [Epub ahead of print]

Dmitriev P, Barat A, Polesskaya A, O'Connell MJ, Robert T, Dessen P, Walsh TA, Lazar V, Turki A, Carnac G, Laoudj-Chenivesse D, Lipinski M, Vassetzky YS. (2013) Simultaneous miRNA and mRNA transcriptome profiling of human myoblasts reveals a novel set of myogenic differentiation-associated miRNAs and their target genes. BMC Genomics. 14:265.

Elkasrawy M, Hamrick MW. 2010. Myostatin (GDF-8) as a key factor linking muscle mass and bone structure. *Journal of Musculoskeletal and Neuronal Interactions* 10: 56-63.

Hamrick MW, Arounleut P, Kellum E, Cain M, Immel D, Liang L. 2010. Recombinant myostatin (GDF-8) propeptide enhances the repair and regeneration of both muscle and bone in a model of deep penetrant musculoskeletal injury. *Journal of Trauma* 69: 579-83.

Hamrick MW, Shi X, Zhang W, Pennington C, Kang B, Thakore H, Haque M, Isales CM, S. Fulzele, K. Wenger. 2007. Loss of myostatin function increases osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells but the osteogenic effect is ablated with unloading. *Bone* 40: 1544-1553.

He, L., Vichev, K., Macharia, R., Huang, R., Christ, B., Patel, K., Amthor, H. (2005). Activin A inhibits formation of skeletal muscle during chick development. Anat. Embryol. 209, 401-7.

Leger B, Derave W, De Bock K, Hespel P, Russell AP (2008) Human sarcopenia reveals an increase in SOCS-3 and myostatin and a reduced efficiency of Akt phosphorylation. Rejuvenation Res 11: 163-175B.

Marcell TJ, Harman SM, Urban RJ, Metz DD, Rodgers BD, Blackman MR (2001) Comparison of GH, IGF-1, and testosterone with mRNA of receptors and myostatin in older men. Am J phys Endocrinol Metab 281: E1159-64.

Yarasheski KE, Ghasin S, Sinha-Hikim I, Pak-Loduca J, Gonzalez-Cadavid NF (2002) Serum myostatin-immunoreactive protein is increased in 60-92 year old women and men with muscle wasting. J Nutr Health Aging 6: 343-8.

Zhang,W., Ou,G., Hamrick,M., Hill,W., Borke,J., Wenger,K., Chutkan,N., Yu,J., Mi,Q.S., Isales, C.M. et al. (2008). Age-Related Changes in the Osteogenic Differentiation Potential of Mouse Bone Marrow Stromal Cells. J. Bone Miner. Res. 23, 1118-1128.

Zhu, J., Li, Y., Shen, W., Qiao, C., Ambrosio, F., Lavasani, M., Nozaki, M., Branca, M., Huard, J., (2007). Relationships between transforming growth factor-β1, myostatin, and decorin. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 25852-25863.

Appendices: Articles recently published.

Aging and the Muscle-Bone Relationship Susan A. Novotny, Gordon L. Warren and Mark W. Hamrick

Physiology 30:8-16, 2015. doi:10.1152/physiol.00033.2014

You might find this additional info useful...

This article cites 76 articles, 16 of which can be accessed free at: /content/30/1/8 full html#ref-list-1

This article has been cited by 1 other HighWire hosted articles **Integrative and Adaptive Responses** Gary Sieck Physiology, January , 2015; 30 (1): 6-7. [Full Text] [PDF]

Updated information and services including high resolution figures, can be found at: /content/30/1/8 full html

Additional material and information about Physiology can be found at: http://www.the-aps.org/publications/physiol

This information is current as of January 9, 2015.

REVIEWS

PHYSIOLOGY 30: 8-16, 2015; doi:10.1152/physiol.00033.2014

Aging and the Muscle-Bone Relationship

Aging-induced declines in muscle size and quality are thought to contribute to catabolic alterations in bone, but changes in bone with age also profoundly alter its response to muscle-derived stimuli. This review provides an overview of some of the alterations that occur in muscle and bone with aging, and discusses the cellular and molecular mechanisms that may impact these age-associated changes.

Over the next 10 years, the number of people in the world over the age of 65 is projected to increase on a percentage basis at a rate almost four times faster than that for the younger population (7). As the size of the older population increases, so does the occurence of aging-related morbidities such as osteoporosis and sarcopenia. Bone fractures are directly linked to osteoporosis in aging adults, and in the U.S. the annual incidence of bone fractures in women exceeds the annual incidence of stroke, breast cancer, and heart disease combined (62). Hip fractures in particular are associated with significant morbidity and mortality, and of those suffering a hip fracture, roughly 40% will require nursing home care, and 20% will not walk again (24). Aging is not only associated with a loss of bone density and strength but is also associated with a reduction in muscle mass and strength referred to as sarcopenia. A consensus definition of sarcopenia has not been reached; however, most assessments involve declines in muscle functional capacity (e.g., strength) (11) and/or a morphometric measure (e.g., cross-sectional area, muscle mass). The muscle weakness that occurs with sarcopenia increases the risk for falling (38), which further increases the propensity for bone fracture.

Strong associations between muscle and bone size have been reported across the lifespan (16, 57). Since the late 1800s, it has been assumed that a causal relationship exists between muscle and bone and that this allometric scaling relationship is mechanical in nature (80-82). That is, muscle and bone are proportionally matched in their functional capacity and geometric structure. This relationship does, however, appear to change significantly with age. For example, the capacity for muscle to generate force declines with age, and the anabolic reponse of bone to muscle-derived stimuli also appears to be altered with age. In addition, muscle is now recognized to have paracrine and endocrine effects that may also influence bone independent of a mechanical relationship (26, 27). Here, we review some of the basic mechanisms by which muscle and bone are thought to interact throughout life, and how these may

Susan A. Novotny,¹ Gordon L. Warren,² and Mark W. Hamrick³

¹Orthopedic Research Department, Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, Saint Paul, Minnesota; ²Department of Physical Therapy, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia; and ³Cellular Biology & Anatomy, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, Georgia snovotny@gillettechildrens.com

Downloaded from on January 9, 2015

change with age, leading to bone loss and bone fractures. In *The Mechanical Relationship Between Muscle and Bone*, we discuss the mechanical relationship between muscle and bone, with particular emphasis on the role of muscle in affecting bone size and bone geometry. In *Impact of Aging on the Muscle-Bone Relationship*, we assess whether the muscle-bone relationship remains relatively constant with aging in both animals and humans. *Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Age-Related Changes in the Muscle-Bone Relationship* addresses the cellular and molecular mechanisms linking bone and muscle that are altered with age and which negatively impact cross talk between the two tissues.

The Mechanical Relationship Between Muscle and Bone

The musculoskeletal system provides the mechanical framework for movement that is powered by contractions of skeletal muscles. To overcome the anatomical disadvantage associated with short lever arms, many muscles are required to produce high forces to generate movement. The musclegenerated loads applied to bone can far exceed external loads resulting from the body interacting with its environment (e.g., ground reaction forces) (19, 35, 46, 47, 54). Specifically, the utilization of instrumented proximal femoral prostheses have demonstrated that the forces produced during muscle contraction can account for >70% of the bending moments applied to the lower limb (46). Bone size and mechanical properties are, therefore, thought to allometrically scale to the magnitude of peak muscle forces (65) in response to mechanical loading (e.g., through increased or decreased use). Julius Wolff ("Wolff's Law") was the first to describe that bone changes its external shape and internal structure in response to mechanical loads imposed on the skeleton (80-82).

The inclusion of muscle as the primary source of mechanical loading was a central principle of the Utah paradigm of skeletal physiology and the mechanostat hypothesis (21, 22). Specifically,

REVIEWS

healthy postnatal bone was proposed to adapt its structure and strength to the typical peak mechanical loads it experiences, which are applied via muscular contractions during exercise and/or a person's activities of daily living (13, 21, 41, 45). Bone's detection and transmission of mechanical loading stimuli by its resident cell populations is referred to as mechanotransduction, where the stimulus is the result of bone strain (i.e., the change in length per unit of original length) (4, 12, 15, 25, 42, 79). According to the mechanostat hypothesis, strain magnitudes experienced by mechanosensing cells in the tissue are compared against threshold values to determine whether feedback mechanisms and adaptive responses are necessary. Strains above 3,000 microstrain (µɛ) typically trigger bone formation, whereas strains of <500 µɛ trigger bone resorption. The dense network of osteocytes trapped within the mineralized bone matrix is the primary sensor of changes in bone loading, which occurs primarily through changes in fluid movement within the lacunocanalicular system of bone. These changes in fluid movement in turn stimulate many downstream effects, including Wnt/beta catenin signaling that promotes the downregulation of sclerostin, a potent inhibitor of bone formation (5, 84). Muscle weakness or muscle atrophy is associated with

bone loss, even in the absence of changes in load bearing. Specifically, muscle paralysis using botulinum toxin (botox) is observed to decrease bone mass even during hindlimb unloading, where the effects of paralysis on load bearing are removed (75). The mechanical communication between muscle and bone allows for anabolic/catabolic modifications to bone in response to loading that apparently attempt to maintain a constant relationship between the functional and structural capacities of the two tissues.

The long bones of the appendicular (limb) skeleton and shorter bones of the axial (spine) skeleton have a dense outer cortex and a spongy network of trabecular bone filling the vertebrae and the articular ends of long bones. Approximately 80% of the total mass of the skeleton is cortical bone, and, with aging, 70% of all bone lost is cortical (69). The nonvertebral (limb) skeleton is primarily cortical bone, and, of all fractures that occur, 80% are nonvertebral (69). Thus a key strategy for preventing bone fractures is to either prevent loss of cortical bone with aging or increase cortical bone formation with aging. The bone cortex has an outer periosteal layer that deposits bone peripherally, which ultimately increases the bone's external diameter, overall cross-sectional area, and resistance to bending loads (FIGURE 1, A AND B). Notably, bone





A: schematic representation of a long bone indicating the outer periosteal surface (p) and inner endocortical surface lining the medullary cavity (e), with a dotted line showing cross section. B: schematic representations of long bone cross-sectional geometry in males vs. females at the level of the dotted line shown in A. Males typically have a wider bone cross section and outer radius (R), whereas females have a smaller outer radius but thicker bone cortex, leading to a shorter inner radius (r). As women age, bone is resorbed from the endocortical surface so that older women must increase R to compensate for an increasing r. Males have a larger R and so are at lower fracture risk even as r increases. C: increased muscle mass in males is associated with increased bone diameter, even in mice. Male mice (M) lacking myostatin show a greater increase in forelimb triceps brachii mass than female (F) knockout (KO) mice, and forelimb bone (radius) diameter is largest in M mice lacking myostatin (KO) compared with wild-type (WT) mice.

9

gained from exercise when young can confer improved mechanical properties of bone with aging (76). The bone cortex also has an inner endosteal lining of bone-forming cells that deposits bone, increasing cortical thickness and reducing the crosssectional area of the medullary cavity (FIGURE 1B). Men typically deposit a greater portion of bone periosteally and thus have relatively wider bones, whereas women deposit more bone endocortically and therefore have bones that are more slender but with a thicker cortex (FIGURE 1B). Bone resorption with aging normally occurs along the endocortical surface so that older, postmenopausal women have bones with a thinning cortex and small outer diameter, predisposing them to risk of fracture (63). Periosteal apposition continues into old age; however, the rate of apposition would need to increase close to 350% to offset the decline in bone strength due to gradual loss of bone on the endosteal surface (39).

A Muscle Disease 0.04 Peak Isometric Force/ Ultimate Load (N/N) а a, b 0.03 b 0.02 Wildtype 0.01 Mdx Interaction p<0.001 0 24 mo 7 wk **B** Exercise 0.025 Peak Isometric Force/ Ultimate Load (N/N) 0.020 0.015 0.010 Running 0.005 Sedentary Running>Sedentary 0.000 30 days 60 days 30 days 60 days Ovariectomy Sham

FIGURE 2. Ratio of muscle maximal isometric tetanic force to ultimate load as a function of muscle disease, physical activity, and estrogen

A: at the peak of muscle-disease onset (i.e., 7 wk of age), a mismatch is present between muscle and bone, such that the bone is stronger (i.e., determined by ultimate load during three-point bending) than the adjacent musculature (i.e., strength determined by in vitro assessment of isolated muscle contractility). With aging, the muscle-to-bone ratio remains constant in healthy wild-type mice. Mdx mice become undistinguishable from 24mo-old wild-type mice, which is attributed to an improvement in muscle function with age. Data are means (SD) and are unitless. Statistically significant effects are indicated within the figure legend. Significant interactions between genotype and age detected by Holm-Sidak post hoc tests ($\breve{P} < 0.05$) are indicated by the lowercase letters above the bars; values with the same letter are not significantly different. B: however, the loss of estrogen does not influence the muscle-to-bone ratio; running increases the ratio between muscle and bone, suggesting a transient mismatch between muscle and bone. Values are means (SD) and are unitless. Figure was adapted with permission from Refs. 52, 78.

Muscle plays a critical role in the regulation of bone size. An excellent example of this association comes from the human upper limb, which is nonweight bearing and in the case of the non-dominant arm does not normally experience high mechanical loads. In older adults (~70 yr of age), the periosteal circumference of the radius from the non-dominant upper limb is strongly associated with forearm muscle cross-sectional area, such that greater muscle size is consistently associated with greater periosteal circumference (16). Notably, the association between bone size and muscle cross-sectional area is stronger than the association between bone size and body weight. A similar association is observed in adolescents (57), where cross-sectional area and strength of the non-dominant radius is more strongly associated with forearm muscle cross-sectional area than with fat mass. An interesting comparative example in this regard can be observed in hypermuscular mice lacking myostatin, where larger forelimb muscles in male and female knockout mice are associated with increased midshaft diameter of the radius (FIGURE 1C). In these mice, midshaft diameter of the radius is more highly correlated with triceps brachii mass ($r^2 = 0.64$) than with body weight ($r^2 = 0.31$).

Impact of Aging on the Muscle-Bone Relationship

If the relationship between muscle and bone is indeed mechanical, then the ratio of muscle to bone properties (i.e., functional capacity or size) should remain relatively constant across adulthood. That is, anabolic or catabolic changes within muscle and bone would be expected to occur in parallel. Alternative patterns of change to the muscle-to-bone ratio (i.e., an increase or decrease) with aging could suggest that the functional capacity and/or size of muscle and bone are capable of being mismatched. There have been a few trials in mice to test the mutability of Wolff's Law and the mechanostat model by attempting to disrupt the scaling of mechanical properties in bone to those in muscle. Specifically, our group has investigated how the ratio of muscle function (i.e., peak isometric force assessed in vitro) to bone strength (i.e., ultimate load assessed by three-point bending) differs between young and aged mice with and without a genetic condition mimicking Duchenne muscular dystrophy (i.e., mdx mice) (FIGURE 2; Ref. 52). The muscle-to-bone ratio was not different between young and aged wild-type mice (FIGURE 2A). The ratio was, however, greater in aged compared with young *mdx* mice, with this being attributed to the active disease state in the young mice and muscle strength subsequently being impaired (52). In the aged mdx mice, muscle

strength had normalized, and the muscle-to-bone ratio was increased such that it was not different from that of wild-type mice (52).

We and others have also assessed the effects of physical activity/training (78) and estrogen deficiency (77, 78) on the muscle-bone relationship. In the study by Warren et. al. (78), this was done by assigning female mice to voluntary wheel running or sedentary groups, either with or without ovariectomy surgery. Mice that took part in wheel running as a form of exercise, had higher muscleto-bone ratios compared with sedentary mice (FIGURE 2B) (78). This suggests that physical activity has the potential to disrupt the muscle-to-bone ratio, which would not be predicted by Wolff's Law. The removal of estrogen by ovariectomy for 30-60 days, however, did not disrupt the musclebone relationship (77, 78). Overall, these animal studies suggest that physical activity and muscle disease can create transient mismatches in the muscle-to-bone ratio, and perhaps that the influence of estrogen in mediating this response may be minimal.

To better assess the impact of aging on the muscle-bone relationship in humans, we conducted a systematic revew (Table 1). The bone outcome measure desired for a study's inclusion was bone mechanical strength, but because this is never reported, surrogates for bone strength were permitted. These surrogates included: estimates of bone strength (i.e., strength strain index), bone area (i.e., cortical bone area or cross-sectional area as determined by MRI or computed tomography), and bone mass [i.e., bone mineral content (BMC) or bone mineral density (BMD)] determined by DXA. The preferred muscle outcome measure was contractile strength, but measures of muscle anatomical dimensions (i.e., cross-sectional area or width) or mass were also permitted as a substitute. Studies were excluded if 1) the studied muscles or muscle groups were not in close proximity to bone that was studied or 2) insufficient data were available to calculate the ratio of muscle to bone outcome measures for each age group (i.e., only the correlation between the bone and muscle measures was reported, subjects were grouped based on age plus factors other than age). Fifteen studies met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Mean muscle-tobone ratios were calculated for each age group by dividing the reported mean muscle outcome measure by the corresponding mean bone outcome measure; standard deviations for these ratios were calculated using propagation of errors from the muscle and bone outcome standard deviations. Analysis of the ratio data was possible for all studies with the exception of Meema et al. (i.e., sample sizes lacking) (50), and thus for this study, the aging pattern for the muscle-to-bone ratios is only described qualitatively.

Table 1 summarizes the 39 muscle-to-bone ratios from the 15 studies included in the systematic review. The ratio remained unchanged with aging in 19 of the 39 ratios, whereas 15 ratios decreased with age and 5 ratios increased (Table 1). To illustrate the variability among studies in the age-related ratio changes, three studies are discussed here in greater detail. These studies are those with the largest sample sizes, age ranges, and number of age groups compared (3, 50, 51). Atlantis et al. (3) was the largest study included in the analysis, and quantified muscle masses and BMC by DXA in over 1,000 men between the ages of 35 and 81 yr. The ratio of muscle mass to BMC decreased with aging in the arms but remained unchanged in the legs (Table 1). Melton et al. (51) provided raw data for 307 men and 345 women between the ages of 22 and 97 yr, which included lean mass of the arms and legs and a measure of femur bending strength index (i.e., flexural rigidity or EI) for each of the 652 participants (51). The muscle-to-bone ratio significantly decreased in men with aging but not in women (P = 0.14; Table 1). Meema et al. (50) reported bone mass of the radius and the width of the adjacent forearm musculature of the radius for 613 men and women across 11 different groups between the ages of 18-97 yr (FIGURE 3). Because the authors failed to report sample size for each of the 11 groups, this prevented running statistical analyses. However, it appears that the ratio increases with age in both men and women. Overall, these three studies highlight a high variability in how aging affects the muscle-to-bone ratio.

To determine whether a study's research design (i.e., the measures used to assess bone and muscle, number of age comparison groups, and age range among groups) affected how the muscle-to-bone ratio changes with aging, a logistic regression and a proportional odds (cumulative logit) model was utilized. The odds of an increase in the muscle-to-bone ratio with aging was dependent on the measures used to assess bone and muscle. For example, an increase in the ratio was >10-fold more likely to occur in studies in which bone was assessed via strength or BMC compared with when it was assessed via cross-sectional area (CSA). Similarly, an increase in the ratio was >50-fold more likely to occur in studies assessing bone via BMD compared with assessing bone via CSA. In contrast, studies that involved "strength" for the muscle strength measurement were more more likely to result in a decrease in the muscle-to-bone strength ratio with aging than the studies that used "area" for the muscle strength measurement. The number of age-comparison groups used in a study was related to the probability of observing an increase in the ratio. For each

REVIEWS

Table 1. Effect of aging on the muscle-to-bone ratio

Study (Ref.)	Subjects/Age Range (yr)/Sample Size	Muscle Measure	Bone Measure	Effect of Aging on the Muscle- to-Bone Ratio
Heikkinen et. al., 1984 (30) Hyakutake et al., 1994 (34)	Men/31-75/142 Men/20-89/109	KE strength (isometric) KE strength (90 deg/s) KF strength (90 deg/s)	Calcaneous BMD Femur BMD Femur BMD	Decreases Decreases Decreases
	Women/20-79/231	KE strength (90 deg/s) KE strength (90 deg/s)	Femur BMD Femur BMD	Decreases Decreases
Calmels et. al., 1995 (9)	Women/44-87/101	KE strength (30 deg/s) KE strength (180 deg/s) KE strength (30 deg/s)	Femur BMD Femur BMD Femur BMD	Decreases No change Decrease
Humphries et. al., 1999 (33)	Peri- and post- menopausal	KF strength (180 deg/s) KE strength (isometric)	Femur BMD Lumbar spine BMD	No change No change
Ryan et. al., 2004 (66)	women/45-65/96 Men/25-70/25	KE strength	Femur BMD	Increase
	Women/26-68/19	KE strength	Femur BMD	Trend for decrease
Sherk et. al., 2009 (70)	Men/18-64/68	Leg press strength DF/PF CSA (pQCT) DF/PF CSA (pQCT)	Femur BMD Tibia SSI Tibia cortical BMC	No change No change No change
Pice at al 1989 (41)	Man/25 90/20	DF/PF CSA (pQCT) DF/PF CSA (pQCT) EF CSA (CT)	Tibia cortical area Tibia cortical density	No change No change
Rice et. al. 1707, (01)	Men/23-90/20	EF CSA (CT) EE CSA (CT) PF CSA (CT)	Humerus CSA Humerus CSA Tibia CSA	Decreases Decreases Decreases
Overend et. al., 1992 (53)	Men/19-77/24	KE CSA (CT) KF CSA (CT)	Femur CSA Femur CSA	Decreases No change
Klein et. al., 2002 (43)	Men/20-90/33-44	EF/EE CSA (MRI) FF/FE CSA (MRI) FF/FE CSA (MRI)	Humerus cortical area Radius Cortical Area Ulna Cortical Area	Decreases No Change Trend for
McNeil et. al., 2009 (48) Horber et.al., 1997 (32)	Men/23-91/39 Men/20-81/60	Total Leg Muscle CSA (CT) Arm lean muscle mass Leg lean muscle mass (DXA)	Tibia CSA Arm BMC Leg BMC	Decrease Decreases No Change No Change
	Pre and post- menopausal	Arm lean muscle mass (DXA)	Arm BMC	Trend for Increase
Melton et. al., 2006 (51)	women/20-79/59 Men/20-93/307 Women/21.97/345	Leg lean muscle mass (DXA) Arm/leg lean muscle mass (DXA) Arm/leg lean muscle mass (DXA)	Leg BMC Femur El strength Fomur El strongth	No change Decreases No chango
Atlantis et. al., 2008 (3)	Men/35-81/1,068	Arms muscle mass (DXA) Legs muscle mass (DXA)	Arms BMC	Decreases No change
Sanada et. al., 2009 (67)	Women/20-76/138	Arm lean muscle mass Leg lean muscle mass	Arm BMC Leg BMC	Increases
Meema et. al., 1973 (50)	Men/18-97/305 Women/18-90/308	Muscle width of adjacent musculature	Radius bone mass	Increases*

The review involved three steps. In *step 1*, using the search terms "muscle, bone, and aging" with delimitations to human studies and the English language, 1,097 articles were identified. Article titles and abstracts were reviewed in *step 2* to confirm that measures of bone and muscle functional capacity and/or structure were outcome measures in the studies, and that an assessment of aging was apparent; 119 studies met these criteria. In *step 3*, the following inclusion criteria for aging, bone, and muscle were applied during a full-text examination of the studies. A study's assessment of aging was deemed acceptable if a comparison was made across two or more age groups with an average age difference of at least 20 yr. KE, knee extensor muscles; BMD, bone mineral density; KF, knee flexor muscles; DF, dorsi flexor muscles; PF, plantar flexor muscles; CSA, cross-sectional area; SSI, strength strain index; EF, e bow flexor muscles; EE, elbow extensor muscles; FF, forearm flexors; FE, forearm extensors; BMC, bone mineral content; El strength, flexural rigidity. *Statistical analyses were not performed on muscle-to-bone ratio due to missing sample sizes.

additional age group, the odds of observing an increase in the muscle-to-bone ratio with aging was reduced by half. These results indicate that study research design features do influence how the muscle-to-bone ratio changes with aging. However, for all of the research design features we considered, we found that they could account for no more than 30% of the variance in whether a muscle-to-bone ratio increased, decreased, or did not change with age. The large unaccounted for proportion of variance highlights the potential for existence of one or more non-mechanical muscle-bone relationships.

Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Age-Related Changes in the Muscle-Bone Relationship

The data reviewed above indicate that mismatches can occur between muscle and bone emanating from age-associated structural and/or functional changes in one tissue vs. another. Such dissociations are also observed with exercise and aging, where it is well known that exercise can significantly enhance bone mass and strength in young animals but not in older, adult animals, even when controlling for the duration and intensity of exercise (18, 55). There are likely a number of mechanisms that underlie this dissociation (FIGURE 4). First, there are changes in bone with aging that likely attenuate its response to muscle-derived stimuli. Osteocytes trapped within the mineralized matrix of bone are the key mechanostransducers in bone tissue, and osteocyte number and density decline with age, resulting in an increased number of empty lacunae in bone (8). These age-associated changes in the lacunocanalicular system of bone ultimately impair the bone signaling network (8, 74) and may deleteriously impact the diffusion of important growth factors and signaling molecules to target cells (FIGURE 4). On the other hand, a greater density of empty osteocyte lacunae has also been observed closest to the periosteal surface (29), and so periosteal expansion with increasing age could be related to an absence of osteocytes secreting the anti-osteogenic factor sclerostin. There are also changes in the periosteum that occur with aging that may limit the potential of muscle to increase bone size. Periosteum has been observed to become thinner and less cellular with age in rodents and other mammals (17), aged rodent periosteal cells show an impaired regenerative capacity and impaired response to parathyroid hormone (83), periosteal osteoblasts demonstrate an impaired capacity to proliferate in response to mechanical loading (49), and human periosteal cells demonstrate a lack of spontaneous cartilage formation in vitro after age 30 (14). Aged osteoprogenitor cells also show an attenuated response to growth factors (FIGURE 4). For example, the dose of IGF-1 required to elicit a mitogenic response in aged osteoprogenitor cells is much greater than that required for younger cells (71).

There are well documented changes in muscle that occur with aging, including an overall decrease in myofiber size, a decline in the number of excitable motor units within muscle, and the gradual infiltration of muscle with fatty tissue (73). There are also pronounced degradative changes in the neuromuscular junction with aging, which is known to contribute to synaptic loss (10) and may be related to oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction (37). All of these changes will alter the contractile behavior of aged muscle, which as noted above could be an important mechanistic link between muscle and bone formation (FIGURE 4). One of the most exciting new developments in the area of muscle-bone interactions is the recognition that skeletal muscle secretes a variety of peptides collectively termed myokines (56), and a number of these myokines are well recognized as having positive effects on bone formation (26-28), as well as inhibitory effects on osteoblast differentiation (40). Importantly, muscle contraction stimulates the release of myokines both in vivo and in vitro (60, 68). IGF-1 expression in skeletal muscle tissue is elevated with muscle contraction (1), and circulating levels of IGF-1 are also increased with resistance exercise (63). Recent experiments also have shown that conditioned medium

A Men

1.0 Fraction of Maximum Value 0.8 0.6 Muscle Width **Bone Mineral Mass** 0.4 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Age (years) A Women 1.0 Fraction of Maximum Value 0.8 0.6 Muscle Width **Bone Mineral Mass** 0.4 20 30 40 50 60 70 90 80 Age (years)



The figure was adapted with permission from Ref. 50, and data were normalized to the peak value for bone and muscle across the lifespan to show relative changes across the lifespan for men (A) and women (B).

REVIEWS

from whole muscle explants stimulated in vitro can prevent the death of osteocytes when exposed to glucocorticoids (36), suggesting again that muscle contraction leads to the release of myokines that are beneficial for bone. Muscle contraction may also suppress the expression of factors that can inhibit the bone formation. For example, myostatin (GDF-8) expression is downregulated following concentric and eccentric muscle contractions (31), and myostatin suppresses the proliferation of bone marrow-derived stem cells in vitro (6).

Aging has been shown to reduce the anabolic effects of resistance exercise on muscle protein synthesis (23) and thus could potentially affect myokine synthesis and secretion. The effect of myokines on bone may be systemic as well as local, since elevated secretion of muscle-derived IL-15 not only decreases body fat but also increases whole-body bone mineral content (59). Another potential alteration in muscle with aging is that the myokine secretory profile may be altered. Aged human myoblasts, for example, show increased levels of TGF-B1 secretion in vitro compared with younger myoblasts (2), although it is not known how aging alters the secretion of other myokines with muscle contraction. In addition, aging is generally associated with a preferential denervation of fast-twitch fibers along with reinnervation of some of those fibers by a-motoneurons from slow motor units (58). It is not known how this could effect myokine secretion, but single fiber maximal isometric force production, either absolute or normalized to cross-sectional area, is not consistently different between slow- and fast-twitch fibers in older humans (e.g., Refs. 20, 72). It is well recognized that the bone marrow cavity accumulates fat with age (64), and it is certainly possible that increased bone marrow adipogenesis may attenuate the effects circulating myokines on endocortical (endosteal) osteoprogenitor cells (FIGURE 4). It should be noted that many of the associations between myokines and bone formation are more correlative and hypothetical rather than mechanistic. For example, although TGF-B1 and IL-6 are both well established myokines, their size may prevent their diffusion through the periosteum (44). Studies need to be performed to determine how muscle-specific alteration of myokine expression and/or targeted changes in myokine receptors in osteoprogenitor cells impact bone modeling and remodeling.

Summary and Conclusions

Aging is associated with the development of sarcopenia in skeletal muscle and osteoporosis in bone; however, it is not fully understood how the relationship between the two tissues is impacted by aging. Our experiments in mice and our review of human studies demonstrate that the relationship between muscle and bone size and strength changes significantly with age, so that a potential mismatch occurs between these tissues. There are pronounced cellular and molecular changes in bone and muscle cells with age that likely underlie these observations. Such changes include the loss of osteocytes in bone matrix





Muscle changes (red) include decreased fiber size, atrophy of fast-twitch fibers, fatty infiltration of muscle tissue, loss of motoneurons, and degradation of the neuromuscular junction (NMJ), all of which can negatively impact force production and potentially the secretion of myokines. Changes in bone (black) include decreased cellularity in the periosteum, loss of osteocytes in bone matrix due to senescence, fatty infiltration of bone marrow, and attenuated periosteal response to growth factors and parathyroid hormone (PTH). All of these changes can impair the capacity of bone to respond to anabolic stimuli.

14

and a decline in the proliferative capacity of osteoprogenitors in the periosteum, which attenuate the response of bone to muscle contraction and normal mechanical stimuli. Loss of motoneurons, reduced fiber size due to decreased muscle protein synthesis and increased degradation from atrogens, and perhaps fatty infiltration in muscle with age all negatively impact the contractile machinery and forcegenerating capacity of aged muscle. These data indicate that a therapeutic approach for improving bone health will require targeting both muscle and bone; that is, the changes in bone discussed above suggest that improvements in muscle function with age are unlikely to elicit an adequate anabolic stimulus in bone. Rather, strategies to enhance the anabolic capacity of periosteum in conjunction with therapeutics to increase the force- and power-generating capacity of aged muscle could significantly improve musculoskeletal health and function in the elderly.

We are grateful to Dr. Meghan McGee-Lawrence and two anonymous reviewers for comments that helped improve the manuscript.

Funding for this research was provided by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Department of the Army (CDMRP093619), and the National Institute on Aging (P01 AG-036675).

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author(s).

Author contributions: S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. conception and design of research; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. analyzed data; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. interpreted results of experiments; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. prepared figures; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. drafted manuscript; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. drafted manuscript; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. edited and revised manuscript; S.A.N., G.L.W., and M.W.H. approved final version of manuscript.

References

- Adams GR, Haddad F, Bodell PW, Tran PD, Baldwin KM. Combined isometric, concentric, and eccentric resistance exercise prevents unloading-induced muscle atrophy in rats. J Appl Physiol 103: 1644–1654, 2007.
- Alsharidah M, Lazarus NR, George TE, Agley CC, Velloso CP, Harridge SD. Primary human muscle precursor cells obtained from young and old donors produce similar proliferative, differentiation and senescent profiles in culture. Aging Cell 12: 333–344, 2013.
- Atlantis E, Martin SA, Haren MT, Taylor AW, Wittert GA, Florey Adelaide Male Aging Study. Lifestyle factors associated with age-related differences in body composition: the Florey Adelaide Male Aging Study. Am J Clin Nutr 88: 95– 104, 2008.
- Bonewald LF. Mechanosensation and transduction in osteocytes. Bone Key Osteovision 3: 7–15, 2006.
- Bonewald LF, Johnson ML. Osteocytes, mechanosensing and Wnt signaling. Bone 42: 606–615, 2008.
- Bowser M, Herberg S, Arounleut P, Shi X, Fulzele S, Hill WD, Isales CM, Hamrick MW. Effects of the activin A-myostatinfollistatin system on aging bone and muscle progenitor cells. Exp Gerontol 48: 290–297, 2013.

- Bureau USC. International programs (Online). Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. (http://www.census.gov/population/ international)
- Busse B, Djonic D, Milovanovic P, Hahn M, Puschel K, Ritchie RO, Djuric M, Amling M. Decrease in the osteocyte lacunar density accompanied by hypermineralized lacunar occlusion reveals failure and delay of remodeling in aged human bone. *Aging Cell* 9: 1065–1075, 2010.
- Calmels P, Vico L, Alexandre C, Minaire P. Cross-sectional study of muscle strength and bone mineral density in a population of 106 women between the ages of 44 and 87 years: relationship with age and menopause. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 70: 180–186, 1995.
- Cheng A, Morsch M, Murata Y, Ghazanfari N, Reddel SW, Phillips WD. Sequence of age-associated changes to the mouse neuromuscular junction and the protective effects of voluntary exercise. *PLos One* 8: e67970, 2013.
- Cooper C, Fielding R, Visser M, van Loon LJ, Rolland Y, Orwoll E, Reid K, Boonen S, Dere W, Epstein S, Mitlak B, Tsouderos Y, Sayer AA, Rizzoli R, Reginster JY, Kanis JA. Tools in the assessment of sarcopenia. *Calcified Tissue Intl* 93: 201–210, 2013.
- Cowin S. Mechanosensation and fluid transport in living bone. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2: 256–260, 2002.
- Currey JD. How well are bones designed to resist fracture? J Bone Miner Res 18: 591–598, 2003.
- De Bari C, Dell'Accio F, Luyten FP. Human periosteum derived cells maintain phenotypic stability and chondrogenic potential throughout expansion regardless of donor age. Arthritis Rheum 44: 85–95, 2001.
- Doty SB. Morphological evidence of gap junctions between bone cells. Calcified Tissue Intl 33: 509–512, 1981.
- Edwards MH, Gregson CL, Patel HP, Jameson KA, Harvey NC, Sayer AA, Dennison EM, Cooper C. Muscle size, strength, and physical performance and their associations with bone structure in the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. J Bone Miner Res 28: 2295–2304, 2013.
- Fan W, Crawford R, Xiao Y. Structural and cellular differences between metaphyseal and diaphyseal periosteum in different aged rats. *Bone* 42: 81–89, 2008.
- Forwood MR, Burr DB. Physical activity and bone mass: exercises in futility? Bone Miner 21: 89–112, 1993.
- Frankel VH, Burstein AH. Orthopaedic Biomechanics. Philadelphia, PA: Lea and Febiger, 1970.
- Frontera WR, Suh D, Krivickas LS, Hughes VA, Goldstein R, Roubenoff R. Skeletal muscle fiber quality in older men and women. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 279: C611–C618, 2000.
- Frost HM. Bone's mechanostat: a 2003 update. Anat Rec A 275: 1081–1101, 2003.
- Frost HM. Why do bone strength and "mass" in aging adults become unresponsive to vigorous exercise? Insights of the Utah paradigm. J Bone Mineral Metab 17: 90–97, 1999.
- Fry CS, Drummond MJ, Glynn EL, Dickinson JM, Gundermann DM, Timmerman KL, Walker DK, Dhanani S, Volpi E, Rasmussen BB. Aging impairs contraction-induced human skeletal muscle mTORC1 signaling and protein synthesis. *Skelet Muscle* 1: 11, 2011.
- 24. Gawande A. The way we age now. In: The New Yorker. April 30, 2007.
- Haj AJE, Minter SL, Rawlinson SC, Suswillo R, Lanyon LE. Cellular responses to mechanical loading in vitro. J Bone Miner Res 5: 923–932, 1990.
- Hamrick MW. A role for myokines in muscle-bone interactions. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 39: 43–47, 2011.
- Hamrick MW. The skeletal muscle secretome: an emerging player in muscle-bone crosstalk. Bone Key Rep 1: 60, 2012.
- Hamrick MW, McNeil PL, Patterson SL. Role of muscle-derived growth factors in bone formation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 10: 64–70, 2010.
- Hedgecock NL, Hadi T, Chen AA, Curtiss SB, Martin RB, Hazelwood SJ. Quantitative regional associations between remodeling, modeling, and osteocyte apoptosis and density in rabbit tibial midshafts. *Bone* 40: 627–637, 2007.

REVIEWS

REVIEWS

- Heikkinen E, Arajarvi RL, Era P, Jylha M, Kinnunen V, Leskinen AL, Leskinen E, Masseli E, Pohjolainen P, Rahkila P, et al. Functional capacity of men born in 1906–10, 1926–30 and 1946–50. A basic report. Scand J Soc Med Suppl 33: 1–97, 1984.
- Heinemeier KM, Olesen JL, Schjerling P, Haddad F, Langberg H, Baldwin KM, Kjaer M. Short-term strength training and the expression of myostatin and IGF-I isoforms in rat muscle and tendon: differential effects of specific contraction types. J Appl Physiol 102: 573–581, 2007.
- Horber FF, Gruber B, Thomi F, Jensen EX, Jaeger P. Effect of sex and age on bone mass, body composition and fuel metabolism in humans. *Nutrition* 13: 524–534, 1997.
- Humphries B, Triplett-McBride T, Newton RU, Marshall S, Bronks R, McBride J, Hakkinen K, Kraemer WJ. The relationship between dynamic, isokinetic and isometric strength and bone mineral density in a population of 45 to 65 year old women. J Sci Med Sport 2: 364–374, 1999.
- Hyakutake S, Goto S, Yamagata M, Moriya H. Relationship between bone mineral density of the proximal femur and lumbar spine and quadriceps and hamstrings torque in healthy Japanese subjects. Calcif Tissue Intl 55: 223–229, 1994.
- Inman VT. Functional aspects of the abductor muscles of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Am 29: 607–619, 1947.
- Jahn K, Lara-Castillo N, Brotto L, Mo CL, Johnson ML, Brotto M, Bonewald LF. Skeletal muscle secreted factors prevent glucocorticoid-induced osteocyte apoptosis through activation of betacatenin. Eur Cells Materials 24: 197–210, 2012.
- Jang YC, Van Remmen H. Age-associated alterations of the neuromuscular junction. Exp Gerontol 46: 193–198, 2011.
- Jarvinen TL, Sievanen H, Khan KM, Heinonen A, Kannus P. Shifting the focus in fracture prevention from osteoporosis to falls. *BMJ* 336: 124– 126, 2008.
- Jepsen KJ, Andarawis-Puri N. The amount of periosteal apposition required to maintain bone strength during aging depends on adult bone morphology and tissue-modulus degradation rate. J Bone Miner Res 27: 1916–1926, 2012.
- Johnson RW, White JD, Walker EC, Martin TJ, Sims NA. Myokines (muscle-derived cytokines and chemokines) including ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) inhibit osteoblast differentiation. Bone 64: 47–56, 2014.
- Kannus P, Sievanen H, Vuori I. Physical loading, exercise, bone. Bone 18: 15–35, 1996.
- Klein-Nulend J, Bakker AD, Bacabac RG, Vatsa A, Weinbaum S. Mechanosensation and transduction in osteocytes. *Bone* 54: 182–190, 2013.
- Klein CS, Allman BL, Marsh GD, Rice CL. Muscle size, strength, and bone geometry in the upper limbs of young and old men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 57: M455–M459, 2002.
- Lai X, Price C, Lu XL, Wang L. Imaging and quantifying solute transport across periosteum: implications for muscle-bone crosstalk. Bone 66: 82–89, 2014.
- Lanyon L, Skerry T. Postmenopausal osteoporosis as a failure of bone's adaptation to functional loading: a hypothesis. J Bone Miner Res 16: 1937–1947, 2001.
- Lu TW, Taylor SJ, O'Connor JJ, Walker PS. Influence of muscle activity on the forces in the femur: An in vivo study. J Biomech 30: 1101–1106, 1997.
- Martin RB, Burr DB. Structure, Function and Adaptation of Compact Bone. New York: Raven, 1989.
- McNeil CJ, Raymer GH, Doherty TJ, Marsh GD, Rice CL. Geometry of a weight-bearing and nonweight-bearing bone in the legs of young, old, and very old men. *Calcif Tissue Intl* 85: 22–30, 2009.

- Meakin LB, Galea GL, Sugiyama T, Lanyon LE, Price JS. Age-related impairment of bones' adaptive response to loading in mice is associated with sex-related deficiencies in osteoblasts but no change in osteocytes. J Bone Miner Res 29: 1859–1871, 2014.
- Meema S, Reid DB, Meema HE. Age trends of bone mineral mass, muscle width, and subcutaneous fat in normals and osteoporotics. *Calcif Tissue Res* 12: 101–112, 1973.
- Melton LJ 3rd, Riggs BL, Achenbach SJ, Amin S, Camp JJ, Rouleau PA, Robb RA, Oberg AL, Khosla S. Does reduced skeletal loading account for age-related bone loss? J Bone Miner Res 21: 1847–1855, 2006.
- Novotny SA, Warren GL, Lin AS, Guldberg RE, Baltgalvis KA, Lowe DA. Bone is functionally impaired in dystrophic mice but less so than skeletal muscle. *Neuromusc Disorders* 21: 183–193, 2011.
- Overend TJ, Cunningham DA, Paterson DH, Lefcoe MS. Thigh composition in young and elderly men determined by computed tomography. *Clin Physiol* 12: 629–640, 1992.
- Pauwels F. Atlas zur Biomechanik der Gesunden und Kranken Hufte. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- Pearson OM, Lieberman DE. The aging of Wolff's "law": ontogeny and responses to mechanical loading in cortical bone. Am J Phys Anthropol Suppl 39: 63–99, 2004.
- Pedersen BK. Muscles and their myokines. J Exp Biol 214: 337–346, 2011.
- Pollock NK, Laing EM, Baile CA, Hamrick MW, Hall DB, Lewis RD. Is adiposity advantageous for bone strength? A peripheral quantitative computed tomography study in late adolescent females. Am J Clin Nutr 86: 1530–1538, 2007.
- Purves-Smith FM, Sgarioto N, Hepple RT. Fiber typing in aging muscle. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 42: 45–52, 2014.
- Quinn LS, Anderson BG, Strait-Bodey L, Stroud AM, Argiles JM. Oversecretion of interleukin-15 from skeletal muscle reduces adiposity. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 296: E191–E202, 2009.
- Raschke S, Eckardt K, Bjorklund Holven K, Jensen J, Eckel J. Identification and validation of novel contraction-regulated myokines released from primary human skeletal muscle cells. *PLos One* 8: e62008, 2013.
- Rice CL, Cunningham DA, Paterson DH, Lefcoe MS. Arm and leg composition determined by computed tomography in young and elderly men. *Clin Physiol* 9: 207–220, 1989.
- Riggs BL, Melton LJ, 3rd. The worldwide problem of osteoporosis: insights afforded by epidemiology. Bone 17: 5055–5115, 1995.
- Rojas Vega S, Knicker A, Hollmann W, Bloch W, Struder HK. Effect of resistance exercise on serum levels of growth factors in humans. *Horm Metab Res* 42: 982–986, 2010.
- Rosen CJ, Bouxsein ML. Mechanisms of disease: is osteoporosis the obesity of bone? Nature Clin Practice Rheumatol 2: 35–43, 2006.
- Rubin CT, Lanyon LE. Dynamic strain similarity in vertebrates; an alternative to allometric limb bone scaling. J Theor Biol 107: 321–327, 1984.
- Ryan AS, Ivey FM, Hurlbut DE, Martel GF, Lemmer JT, Sorkin JD, Metter EJ, Fleg JL, Hurley BF. Regional bone mineral density after resistive training in young and older men and women. Scand J Med Sci Sports 14: 16–23, 2004.
- 67. Sanada K, Miyachi M, Tabata I, Miyatani M, Tanimoto M, Oh TW, Yamamoto K, Usui C, Takahashi E, Kawano H, Gando Y, Higuchi M. Muscle mass and bone mineral indices: does the normalized bone mineral content differ with age? Eur J Clin Nutr 63: 465–472, 2009.

- Scheler M, Irmler M, Lehr S, Hartwig S, Staiger H, Al-Hasani H, Beckers J, de Angelis MH, Haring HU, Weigert C. Cytokine response of primary human myotubes in an in vitro exercise model. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 305: C877–C886, 2013.
- Seeman E. Age- and menopause-related bone loss compromise cortical and trabecular microstructure. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 68: 1218–1225, 2013.
- Sherk VD, Karabulut M, Bemben MG, Bemben DA. Age comparisons of bone density and geometry in men. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 9: 256–262, 2009.
- Tanaka H, Liang CT. Mitogenic activity but not phenotype expression of rat osteoprogenitor cells in response to IGF-I is impaired in aged rats. *Mech Ageing Dev* 92: 1–10, 1996.
- Trappe S, Gallagher P, Harber M, Carrithers J, Fluckey J, Trappe T. Single muscle fibre contractile properties in young and old men and women. J Physiol 552: 47–58, 2003.
- Vandervoort AA. Aging of the human neuromuscular system. *Muscle Nerve* 25: 17–25, 2002.
- Vashishth D, Verborgt O, Divine G, Schaffler MB, Fyhrie DP. Decline in osteocyte lacunar density in human cortical bone is associated with accumulation of microcracks with age. *Bone* 26: 375– 380, 2000.
- Warden SJ, Galley MR, Richard JS, George LA, Dirks RC, Guildenbecher EA, Judd AM, Robling AG, Fuchs RK. Reduced gravitational loading does not account for the skeletal effect of botulinum toxin-induced muscle inhibition suggesting a direct effect of muscle on bone. *Bone* 54: 98– 105, 2013.
- Warden SJ, Mantila Roosa SM, Kersh ME, Hurd AL, Fleisig GS, Pandy MG, Fuchs RK. Physical activity when young provides lifelong benefits to cortical bone size and strength in men. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 5337–5342, 2014.
- Warren GL, Lowe DA, Inman CL, Orr OM, Hogan HA, Bloomfield SA, Armstrong RB. Estradiol effect on anterior crural muscles-tibial bone relationship and susceptibility to injury. J Appl Physiol 80: 1660–1665, 1996.
- Warren GL, Moran AL, Hogan HA, Lin AS, Guldberg RE, Lowe DA. Voluntary run training but not estradiol deficiency alters the tibial bone-soleus muscle functional relationship in mice. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 293: R2015– R2026, 2007.
- Weinbaum S, Cowin SC, Zeng Y. A model for the excitation of osteocytes by mechanical loadinginduced bone fluid shear stresses. J Biomechanics 27: 339–360, 1994.
- Wolff J. The classic: On the inner architecture of bones and its importance for bone growth. 1870. Clin Orthopædics Related Res 468: 1056–1065, 2010.
- Wolff J. The classic: On the significance of the architecture of the spongy substance for the question of bone growth: a preliminary publication. 1869. Clin Orthopaedics Related Res 469: 3077–3078, 2011.
- Wolff J. Concerning the interrelationship between form and function of the individual parts of the organism. By Julius Wolff, 1900. *Clin Orthopaedics Related Res* 2–11, 1988.
- Yukata K, Xie C, Li TF, Takahata M, Hoak D, Kondabolu S, Zhang X, Awad HA, Schwarz EM, Beck CA, Jonason JH, O'Keefe RJ. Aging periosteal progenitor cells have reduced regenerative responsiveness to bone injury and to the anabolic actions of PTH 1–34 treatment. *Bone* 62: 79–89, 2014.
- Zhao L, Shim JW, Dodge TR, Robling AG, Yokota H. Inactivation of Lrp5 in osteocytes reduces young's modulus and responsiveness to the mechanical loading. Bone 54: 35–43, 2013.

REVIEW

The skeletal muscle secretome: an emerging player in muscle-bone crosstalk

Mark W Hamrick

Department of Cellular Biology and Anatomy, Institute of Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Georgia Health Sciences University, Augusta, GA, USA.

In vitro and *in vivo* studies provide evidence that a variety of growth factors and cytokines are actively secreted by muscle tissue. Muscle can therefore function as an endocrine and paracrine organ. These peptides characterize the muscle secretome, and many muscle-derived factors such as insulin-like growth factor-1, basic fibroblast growth factor, interleukin-15, myostatin and secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (osteonectin) are also known to have significant effects on bone metabolism. The factors secreted by muscle may vary according to muscle activity, in that muscle contraction, muscle atrophy or traumatic muscle injury can alter the type and relative abundance of particular factors released from muscle cells. The molecular and cellular pathways by which muscle-derived factors affect different types of bone cells (for example, osteoblasts, osteoclasts and osteocytes) are, however, poorly understood. Nevertheless, these findings further underscore the complex nature of muscle-bone interactions, and highlight the importance of integrating muscle biology and physiology into our understanding of bone growth, development and aging.

BoneKEy Reports 1, Article number: 60 (2012) | doi:10.1038/bonekey.2012.60

Introduction

Evidence from numerous studies has revealed for decades, if not centuries, that a close functional and developmental relationship exists between muscle and bone mass. Embryonic muscle paralysis and abnormal myogenesis lead to bones that are poorly mineralized and lack normal curvature,¹ and muscular dystrophies are associated with relatively low bone density and an increased incidence of bone fractures.² Loss of muscle mass with age, and the gradual infiltration of muscle with adipose tissue (myosteatosis), have been implicated in age-related bone loss and an increased risk of falls and fractures.³ Moreover, muscle paralysis using agents such as botulinum toxin induces bone loss⁴ and impairs fracture healing.⁵ On the other hand, significant increases in muscle mass with myostatin deficiency are associated with larger muscle attachment sites and increases in bone cross-sectional area.^{6,7} Historically, a number of different biomechanical and physiological mechanisms have been presented to explain the underlying relationship(s) between muscle function and bone metabolism. These range from mechanical models linking loading rates and strain history with bone adaptation,^{8,9} to others linking muscle contraction with changes in fluid flow within bone tissue, which can in turn regulate bone formation.¹⁰

The majority of models, including those cited above, that link changes in muscle mass with alterations in bone formation and

strength appropriately emphasize the important role of muscle contraction in generating mechanical stimuli for bone. Hence, the muscle-bone relationship is in large part a mechanical one, with muscle being the key driver in this relationship via the contractile forces that it imposes upon bone tissue. Indeed, it is clear from numerous studies that mechanical stimulation is important for bone health, and that exercise-induced muscle contraction may enhance bone mass during growth. There is, however, evidence that muscle tissue itself may have positive effects on bone formation and bone repair independent of mechanical stimulation. For example, it is well established in the clinical literature that covering bone fractures with muscle flaps improves fracture healing in cases of traumatic orthopaedic injury,¹¹⁻¹³ and that implants of muscle alongside periosteum can stimulate new bone formation directly.14 Similarly, muscle damage and trauma to muscle surrounding bone defects, can impair and delay bone healing.^{15,16} These findings provide additional support for the concept that health and viability of the muscle bed are key for normal bone formation and bone repair.17-19

The fact that muscle flaps alone can enhance bone formation suggests that muscle may serve as an important collateral source of blood for adjacent bone tissue,¹⁶ and perhaps as a source of trophic factors.^{14,17} This review focuses on the

Received 16 January 2012; accepted 6 March 2012; published online 11 April 2012

Correspondence: Professor MW Hamrick, Department of Cellular Biology and Anatomy, Institute of Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Georgia Health Sciences University, Laney Walker Blvd. CB2915, Augusta, GA 30912, USA. E-mail: mhamrick@georgiahealth.edu

latter hypothesis for the following reasons. First, it is clear that intact muscle flaps are a rich source of secreted growth factors.²⁰ Second, although muscle does provide important vascular support for bone, skin has a higher vascular density than muscle, yet fasciocutaneous skin flaps do not have the same anabolic effects on healing bone as muscle flaps.²¹ Third, it is clear that conditioned medium from cultured muscle cells has positive effects (for example, increasing extracellular matrix synthesis) on cultured chondocytes,²² revealing that muscle is a source of secreted growth factors both in vivo and in vitro. Finally, the muscle secretome has become increasingly well characterized.^{23–27} We now know that muscle secretes a wide variety of growth factors, cytokines and molecules involved in extracellular matrix remodeling. Moreover, different laboratories have independently identified the same factors secreted from muscle, further validating the existence of a well-defined muscle secretome. Some of these factors have been referred to as 'myokines' by different authors;28-30 however, several musclederived factors (for example, IGF-1, FGF-2, IL-6) are secreted in abundance by other tissues, so the term myokine should not be taken to imply that they are muscle-specific.

Our growing knowledge of the muscle secretome has important implications for bone biology, as it presents new opportunities for targeting muscle in order to better develop the therapeutic program for aging and healing bone. This review seeks to highlight the muscle-derived factors that may impact bone metabolism, and also propose future directions for research aimed at advancing our current understanding of muscle-bone crosstalk.

Growth Factors Actively Secreted by Skeletal Muscle

Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1). Wound exudates from intact muscle flaps contain high levels of IGF-1,²⁰ and immunohistochemistry has been used to localize IGF-1 along the muscle-periosteal interface of mouse forelimbs.²⁵ These *in vivo* findings are consistent with *in vitro* studies in which proteomic approaches have been used to detect IGF-1 in conditioned medium from cultured myotubes.^{23,27,31} IGF-1 expression, measured as an increase in IGF-1 mRNA, is increased in skeletal muscle with muscle contraction,³² and elevated levels of IGF-1 protein are detected in serum following resistance exercise.³³ It is well established that IGF-1 has important osteogenic effects on the skeleton and IGF-1 is also involved in myofiber hypertrophy, suggesting that muscle-derived IGF-1 may couple both muscle and bone anabolism (Figure 1).³⁰

Basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2). FGF-2 lacks the conventional signal sequence for export out of cells via the classic exocytotic pathway, and it has been shown that mechanically induced plasma membrane disruption is one mechanism by which FGF-2 is released from myocytes both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.^{34,35} Eccentric, lengthening contractions are particularly effective for releasing FGF-2 stored in the cytosol of muscle cells,³⁵ and levels of FGF-2 detected in conditioned medium from cultured myotubes are increased with mechanical stretching.³⁴ It is important to note here that the muscle 'injury' that occurs during eccentric muscle contraction involves plasma membrane disruptions that are followed by membrane repair.³⁶ These types of mechanical disruptions are argued here to involve a different cascade of molecular signaling events than



Figure 1 Resistance exercise and eccentric muscle contraction induce the secretion and release of the osteogenic factors IGF-1 and FGF-2 from skeletal muscle. In contrast, traumatic muscle injury and perhaps systemic inflammation and disuse increase the secretion of myostatin from skeletal muscle, which in turns impairs chondrogenesis and bone healing.

traumatic muscle injuries that are associated with muscle regeneration. The latter type of mechanical and structural disruption is much more severe, and involves cleanup of necrotic tissue by macrophages, expression of inflammatory factors and activation of satellite cells. FGF-2 has positive effects on bone formation in estrogen-deficient rodents and is a well-known osteogenic factor.^{25,30} Mechanically induced release of FGF-2 following eccentric contraction and plasma membrane disruption is another potential pathway by which physical activity and bone formation may be coupled physiologically (**Figure 1**).^{25,30}

Myostatin (GDF-8). Although factors such as IGF-1 and FGF-2 are secreted by a number of tissues in addition to muscle, myostatin is most abundant in muscle tissue and appears to be secreted primarily by muscle. Thus, myostatin can be considered a bona fide myokine. Conditions associated with elevated levels of myostatin expression include disuse atrophy, cancerand AIDS-related cachexia and increased circulating levels of glucorticoids.30 Myostatin treatment induces muscle wasting and myostatin deficiency increases muscle mass. We have recently shown that myostatin is highly expressed by injured myofibers following traumatic extremity injury,37 and that local application of exogenous myostatin increases skeletal muscle fibrosis and inhibits bone repair.37 These data are consistent with studies referenced above, demonstrating that intact muscle flaps enhance bone repair, whereas coverage of bone injuries with damaged muscle does not have the same positive effects on bone healing. These in vivo data are also consistent with our in vitro studies showing that myostatin treatment suppresses the proliferation and chondrogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived stromal (stem) cells.38 In addition, blocking the myostatin using a recombinant propeptide improves muscle regeneration and fracture healing following orthopaedic trauma.³⁹ Although severe muscle trauma and muscle damage increase myostatin expression, which in turn impairs bone healing, eccentric muscle contraction and exercise both decrease myostatin expression in skeletal muscle.40-42 These studies suggest that although IGF-1 and FGF-2 are muscle-derived factors that can have significant, positive effects on bone formation, myostatin is a factor released from muscle during traumatic

Table 1 Growth factors, cytokines and other peptides secreted by muscle,
the factors that influence their secretion and their potential effects on bone
metabolism

Muscle- derived peptides	Factors that stimulate peptide secretion	Role(s) in bone metabolism
Growth fac	tors	Sector Sector
IGF-1	Resistance exercise	Stimulates bone forma- tion
FGF-2	Eccentric muscle con- traction	Stimulates bone forma- tion
GDF-8	Muscle damage, cachexia, atrophy	Suppresses chondrogen- esis and fracture healing
Extracellula	r matrix molecules	
SPARC	Resistance exercise, muscle regeneration	Promotes bone minerali- zation
MMP-2	Resistance exercise and re-loading	Fracture callus remod- eling, bone formation
BMP-1	Blast trauma to muscle	Cleaving of procollagen and possibly heterotopic ossification
Inflammato	rv cvtokines	
IL-6	Physical activity and muscle contraction	Bone resorption and turnover
IL-7	Physical activity and muscle contraction	Bone resorption
IL-15	Resistance exercise	Increase bone mass, decrease adiposity

Abbreviation: IL, interleukin.

and catabolic conditions that may inhibit and suppress bone repair (Figure 1). 30

Factors Involved in Extracellular Matrix Remodeling Secreted by Muscle

Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC, or osteonectin). SPARC is a glycoprotein that is abundant in the extracellular matrix of various tissues including bone and skeletal muscle and is involved in tissue repair, remodeling of the extracellular matrix, and promoting collagen mineralization by osteoblasts.⁴³ One of the more surprising aspects of research on the muscle secretome is the consistency with which SPARC is detected as a factor secreted by isolated muscle cells. A number of different research groups using both human and rodent-derived muscle cells have identified SPARC in conditioned medium from cultured myotubes.^{23,24,26,31} SPARC secretion is increased following resistance exercise and myotube hypertrophy,²⁶ but SPARC is also highly expressed following injury and during muscle regeneration.44 Additional research is needed to determine the role of muscle-derived SPARC in bone formation, but the studies reviewed above suggest that exercise-induced SPARC secretion could potentially have a role in enhancing bone formation and mineralization (Table 1).

Matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2). Mice lacking MMP-2 are known to show bone loss and reduced bone density, and absence of MMP-2 effects later stages of fracture callus remodeling.⁴⁵ MMP-2, similar to SPARC, is found in both muscle as well as bone, and studies using cultured myotubes reveal that MMP-2 is actively secreted by myotubes *in vitro*.^{23,24} Insulin treatment of rat myotubes increases secretion of MMP-2, and serum levels of MMP-2 are elevated in diabetic patients suffer-

ing from hyperinsulinemia.⁴⁶ MMP-2 expression increases with exercise⁴⁷ and with re-loading following hindlimb suspension,⁴⁸ and decreases with injury,⁴⁹ but is elevated in skeletal muscle following disuse.⁵⁰ These data show that MMP-2, like SPARC, has an important role in muscle tissue remodeling during various repair events. The role of muscle-derived MMP-2 in bone metabolism is also unclear, but muscle-derived MMP-2 could potentially couple both muscle and bone turnover (**Table 1**).

Bone morphogenetic protein-1 (BMP-1). BMP-1 is not a true bone morphogenetic protein but rather is a protease that cleaves the propeptide fragments of procollagens I, II and III.51 BMP-1 is secreted from cultured primary human myotubes in vitro,³¹ and its secretion is decreased in rat myotubes exposed to very high contractions of insulin.⁴⁶ Recently, high levels of BMP-1 protein and mRNA were detected in muscle biopsies from patients who had experienced blast trauma in the combat setting.⁵² This is significant from the perspective of muscle-bone crosstalk because blast trauma is associated with a high incidence of heterotopic ossification, a condition where bone forms within muscle tissue.⁵² Additional work is needed to better understand the role(s) of muscle-specific BMP-1 secretion in normal and pathological bone formation, but BMP-1 could represent a potential therapeutic target for the prevention of heterotopic ossification (Table 1).

Inflammatory Factors that are Secreted from Muscle During Exercise

Interleukin-6, -7 and -15 (IL-6, IL-7, IL-15). The term 'myokine' was originally coined in reference to IL-6, a factor that Pedersen and colleagues^{28,53,54} determined was released from muscle during exercise, and had important effects on other tissues including the liver and adipose depots. Type I (slow-twitch) fibers express high levels of IL-6, and IL-6 levels are increased in serum with exercise.53 IL-6 can stimulate expression of the anti-inflammatory factor IL-10, and mice lacking IL-6 develop obesity and insulin resistance.55 IL-6 is often considered a proresorptive cytokine for bone, but mice lacking IL-6 do not show an osteopenic phenotype and IL-6 may facilitate bone formation during conditions of high bone turnover.56,57 IL-7 is also widely considered to be an osteoclastogenic cytokine,58 and IL-7 is actively secreted by muscle cells.⁵⁹ Interestingly, many of the studies cited above (for example, Chan et al.24, Norheim et al.26, Henningsen et al.²⁷, Yoon et al.⁴⁶) that utilized in vitro cultures of myotubes to characterize the muscle secretome failed to identify IL-6 and -7 in conditioned medium. These observations raise the possibility that there are other myokines left to be identified that may be discovered through novel alternative in vivo and in vitro approaches. Finally, IL-15 is highly expressed in muscle tissue and is upregulated following resistance exercise.⁶⁰ Transgenic mice overexpressing IL-15 in skeletal muscle that show elevated circulating IL-15 levels also show decreased fat mass and increased bone mass.⁶¹ Importantly, these mice did not differ in lean mass or body weight from normal controls, suggesting that the increased bone mass was not due to any alterations in mechanical factors.

Summary and Future Research

A paracrine role for skeletal muscle is not necessarily a new concept, as experiments where skeletal muscle was trans-

planted into cardiac tissue have revealed that skeletal muscle implants are a source of trophic factors supporting the survival of surrounding myocardial cells.⁶² The paracrine and endocrine effects of muscle on bone are, however, only now beginning to become more well defined. We are at a very early stage in our understanding of how the muscle secretome impacts bone and other organs, and hence a number of outstanding questions remain. These include guestions as to how muscle-derived factors impact particular cell types. For example, how do factors secreted from myocytes during muscle contraction and muscle hypertrophy influence bone resorption by osteoclasts, bone formation by osteoblasts or the adipogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived stem cells? Similarly, how do various modes of muscle contraction, such as concentric vs eccentric contraction, alter myokine expression or secretion? Finally, how do unloading, microgravity or prolonged bedrest impact the muscle secretome?

These questions all point to the larger issue of how muscle activity and metabolism impact the overall systemic environment to which cells of bone and other tissues are exposed. For example, it is clear that exposing muscle of aged animals to circulating factors from younger animals improves the regenerative capacity of muscle.⁶³ Alternatively, how do changes in muscle, be they catabolic or anabolic, alter the systemic milieu to affect other organs such as bone? Preserving muscle mass decreases mortality and improves survival in tumor-bearing mice,^{64,65} and loss of lean mass is an important predictor of health outcomes following burns and with chronic wounds. 66,67 The positive effects of muscle mass on health outcomes are related at least to the fact that muscle is the primary source of free amino acids in the body;68,69 however, it is also likely that certain myokines may also have a role. For example, treatment of a tumor cell-line with mouse serum following exercise, or with conditioned medium from myotubes following electrical stimulation, reduces tumor cell proliferation and increases apoptosis.⁷⁰ These effects of muscle-derived serum and media on tumor cells were attributed to the fact that myotubes secrete the anti-tumor protein oncostatin M.71 This finding has significant implications for cancer and bone (for example, osteosarcoma), and future studies might be directed at elucidating the interface between myokines, metastasis of cancer to bone and tumor growth in bone tissue.

Another outstanding question concerns the interactions of muscle-derived factors with organs other than bone. Skeletal muscle hypertrophy and hyperplasia increase serum IGF-1 levels in mice lacking myostatin. The increase in circulating IGF-1 levels is associated with elevated liver-derived IGF-1 in these mice with no change in muscle-specific IGF-1 expression.⁷¹ Thus, heritable variation in muscle mass has the potential to dramatically alter growth factor production by other organs, which is likely to have broad systemic effects on tissues such as bone and cartilage. Exercise has recently been shown to increase secretion of follistatin by hepatocytes,⁷² and follistatin is a potent inhibitor of both activin and myostatin, factors that suppress muscle hypertrophy and impair muscle regeneration. Follistatin also increases osteoblast mineralization in vitro,73 raising the possibility that interactions among myokines and hepatokines may influence bone metabolism both directly, through their effects on bone cells and indirectly, by modulating growth factor and cytokine production in other organs. A similar relationship may exist between muscle and fat, where myokines can induce lipolysis,⁷⁴ that would presumably affect circulating levels of adipokines such as leptin, which can in turn significantly alter bone formation and resorption.

In conclusion, *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies now demonstrate that muscle can function as an endocrine and paracrine organ. The factors secreted by muscle may vary according to muscle activity, such as concentric and eccentric contraction, disuse or damage in the form of traumatic injury. Factors actively secreted by muscle range from growth factors to inflammatory cytokines, and these peptides have the potential to alter bone metabolism in a variety of ways. Additional research is needed to better define the molecular and cellular pathways through which muscle-derived factors affect different types of bone cells, and anabolic and catabolic processes, in bone tissue. Nevertheless, the studies reviewed here further underscore the complex nature of muscle-bone interactions, and highlight the importance of integrating muscle biology and physiology into our understanding of bone growth, development and aging.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Dr Serge Ferrari and Neil Andrews for the opportunity to prepare this contribution for the *BoneKey*. Many of the topics discussed here were presented in the Symposium on Muscle–Bone Interactions, at the 2011 meeting of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research, and I appreciate the ASBMR for giving me the opportunity to present these ideas in San Diego. Drs Gordon Warren, Carlos Isales, Norman Pollock and Richard Lewis provided helpful discussions on the topics presented here, and Dr Moataz Elkasrawy, Mr Matthew Bowser and Mr Phonepasong Arounleut provided assistance with many experiments referenced in the paper. Drs James Cray, Norman Pollock and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful reviews of the paper. Funding for this research was provided by the Office of Naval Research, the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (Department of the Army) and the National Institute on Aging.

References

- Nowlan N, Sharpe J, Roddy K, Prendergast P, Murphy P. Mechanobiology of embryonic skeletal development: insights from animal models. *Birth Defects Res* 2010;90:203 213.
- Bianchi M, Mazzanti A, Galbiati E, Saraifoger S, Dubini A, Cornelio F et al. Bone mineral density and bone metabolism in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Osteoporosis Intl 2003;14: 761 767.
- Lang T, Cauley JA, Bauer D, Cummings S, Harris TB. Computed tomographic measurements of thigh muscle cross sectional area and attenuation coefficient predict hip fracture: the health, aging, and body composition study. J Bone Miner Res 2010;25:513 519.
- Warner SE, Sanford DA, Becker BA, Bain SD, Srinivasan S, Gross TS. Botox induced muscle paralysis rapidly degrades bpone. *Bone* 2006;38:257 264.
- Hao Y, Ma Y, Wang X, Jin F, Ge S. Short term muscle atrophy caused by botulinum toxin A local injection impairs fracture healing in the rat femur. J Orthop Res 2012;30:574 580.
- Elkasrawy MN, Hamrick MW. Myostatin (GDF 8) as a key factor linking muscle mass and bone structure. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2010;10:56 63.
- Green DJ, Hamrick MW, Richmond BJ. The effects of hypermuscularity on shoulder morphology in myostatin deficient mice. J Anat2011;218:544 557.
- Burr DB, Robling AG, Turner CH. Effects of biomechanical stress on bones in animals. Bone 2002;30:781 786.
- Schriefer JL, Warden SJ, Saxon LK, Robling AG, Turner CH. Cellular accommodation and the response of bone to mechanical loading. *J Biomech* 2005;38:1838 1845.
- Qin YX, Lam H, Ferreri S, Rubin C. Dynamic skeletal muscle stimulation and its potential in bone adaptation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact2010;10:12 24.
- Harry L, Sandison A, Paleolog E, Hansen U, Pearse M, Nanchanal J. Comparison of the healing of open tibial fractures covered with either muscle or fasciocutaneous tissue in a murine model. J Orthop Res 2008;26:1238 1244.
- Gopal S, Majumder S, Batchelor AG, Knight SL, De Boer P, Smith RM. Fix and flap: the radical orthopaedic and plastic treatment of severe open fractures of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2000;82:959 966.

- Zacks SI, Sheff MF. Periosteal and metaplastic bone formation in mouse minced muscle regeneration. Lab Invest 1982;46:405 412.
- Landry PS, Marino A, Sadasivan K, Albright A. Effect of soft tissue trauma on the early periosteal response of bone to injury. J Trauma 2000;48:479 483.
- Duda G, Taylor W, Winkler T, Matziolis G, Heller M, Haas N et al. Biomechanical, microvascular, and cellular factors promote muscle and bone regeneration. Exerc Sports Sci Rev 2008;36: 64 70.
- Stein H, Perren SM, Cordey J, Kenwright J, Moshefff R, Francis MJ. The muscle bed a crucial factor for fracture healing: a physiological concept. Orthopedics 2002;25:1379 1383.
- Liu R, Schindeler A, Little DG. The potential role of muscle in bone repair. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2010;10:71 76.
- Liu R, Birke O, Morse A, Peacock L, Mikulec K, Little DC et al. Myogenic progenitors contribute to open but not closed fracture repair. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011;12:288.
- Vogt P, Boorboor P, Vaske B, Topsakal E, Schneider M, Muehlberger T. Significant angiogenic potential is present in the microenvironment of muscle flaps in humans. *Reconstr Microsurg* 2005;21:517 523.
- Harry LE, Sandison A, Pearse MF, Paleolog EM, Nanchahal J. Comparison of the vascularity of fasciocutaneous tissue and muscle for coverage of open tibial fractures. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2009;124:1211 1219.
- Cairns DM, Lee P, Uchimura T, Seufert CR, Kwon H, Zeng L. The role of muscle cells in regulating cartilage matrix production. J Orthop Res 2010;28:529 536.
- Bortoluzzi S, Scanapieco P, Castaro A, Danieli G, Schiaffino S. Computational reconstruction of the human skeletal muscle secretome. *Proteins* 2006;62:776–792.
- Chan X, McDermott J, Siu K. Identification of secreted proteins during skeletal muscle development. J Proteome Res 2007;6:698 710.
- Hamrick MW, McNeil PL, Patterson SL. Role of muscle derived growth factors in bone formation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2010;10:64 70.
- Norheim F, Raastad T, Thiede B, Rustan AC, Drevon CA, Haugen F. Proteomic identification of secreted proteins from human skeletal muscle cells and expression in response to strength training. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2011;301:E1013 E1021.
- Henningsen J, Rigbolt KT, Blagoev B, Pedersen BK, Kratchmarova I. Dynamics of the skeletal muscle secretome during myoblast differentiation. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2010;9: 2482 2496.
- 28. Pedersen BK. Muscles and their myokines. J Exp Biol 2011;214 (Part 2): 337 346.
- 29. Walsh K. Adipokines, myokines, and cardiovascular disease. Circ J 2009;73:13 18.
- Hamrick MW. A role for myokines in muscle bone interactions. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2011;39: 43 47.
- Hittel DS, Berggren JR, Shearer J, Boyle K, Houmard JA. Increased secretion and expression of myostatin in skeletal muscle from extremently obese women. *Diabetes* 2009;58:30–38.
- Adams GR, Haddad F, Bodell PW, Tran PD, Baldwin KM. Combined isometric, concentric, and eccentric resistance exercise prevents unloading induced muscle atrophy in rats. J Appl Physiol 2007;103:1644, 1654.
- Rojas Vega S, Knicker A, Hollmann W, Bloch W, Struder HK. Effect of resistance exercise on serum levels of growth factors in humans. *Horm Metab Res* 2010;42:982–986.
- Clarke MS, Feeback DL. Mechanical load induces sarcoplasmic wounding and FGF release in differentiated human skeletal muscle cultures. *FASEB J* 1996;10:502 509.
- Clarke MS, Khakee R, McNeil PL. Loss of cytoplasmic basic fibroblast growth factor from physiologically wounded myofibers of normal and dystrophic muscle. J Cell Sci 1993;106 (Part 1): 121 133.
- McNeil PL, Kirchhausen T. An emergency response team for membrane repair. Nat Rev Cell Biol 2005;6:499 505.
- Elkasrawy M, Immel D, Wen X, Liu X, Liang LF, Hamrick MW. Immunolocalization of myostatin (GDF 8) following musculoskeletal injury and the effects of exogenous myostatin on muscle and bone healing. J Histochem Cytochem 2012;60:22 30.
- Elkasrawy M, Fulzele S, Bowser M, Wenger K, Hamrick MW. Myostatin (GDF 8) inhibits chondrogenesis and chondrocyte proliferation in vitro by suppressing Sox 9 expression. Growth Factors 2011;29:253 262.
- Hamrick MW, Arounleut P, Kellum E, Cain M, Immel D, Liang L F. Recombinant myostatin (GDF 8) propeptide enhances the repair and regeneration of both muscle and bone in a model of deep penetrant musculoskeletal injury. J Trauma2010;69:579 583.
- Hittel DS, Axelson M, Sarna N, Shearer J, Huffman KM, Kraus WE. decreases with aerobic exercise and associates with insulin resistance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010;42:2023 2029.
- Heinemeier K, Olesen J, Schjerling P, Haddad F, Langberg H, Baldwin K et al. Short term strength training and the expression of myostatin and IGF 1 isoforms in rat muscle and tendon: differential effects of specific contraction types. J Appl Physiol 2007;102:573 581.
- 42. Dennis RA, Przybyła B, Gurley C, Kortebein PM, Simpson P, Sullivan DH et al. Aging alters gene expression of growth and remodeling factors in human skeletal muscle both at rest and in response to acute resistance exercise. *Physiol Genomics* 2008;32:393 400.
- Brekken RA, Sage EH. SPARC, a matricellular protein: at the crossroads of cell matrix communication. *Matrix Biol* 2001;19:816 827.

- Jorgensen LH, Petersson SJ, Sellathurai J, Andersen DC, Thayssen S, Sant DJ et al. Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) in human skeletal muscle. J Histochem Cytochem 2009;57:29 39.
- Lieu S, Hansen E, Dedini R, Behonick D, Werb Z, Miclau T et al. Impaired remodeling phase of fracture repair in the absence of matrix metalloproteinase 2. Dis Model Mech 2011;4:203 211.
- Yoon JH, Yea K, Kim J, Choi YS, Park S, Lee H et al. Comparative proteomic analysis of the insulin induced L6 myotubesecretome. Proteomics 2009;9:51 60.
- Deus AP, Bassi D, Simoes RP, Oliveira CR, Baldissera V, de Cassia R et al. MMP 2 expression in skeletal muscle after strength training. Int J Sports Med 2012;33:137 141.
- Kaasik P, Riso E, Seene T. Extracellular matrix and myofibrils during unloading and reloading of skeletal muscle. Int J Sports Med 2011;32:247 253.
- Barnes BR, Szelenyi ER, Warren GI, Urso ML. Alterations in mRNA and protein levels of metalloproteinases 2, 9, and 14 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 in responses to traumatic skeletal muscle injury. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2009;297:C1501 C1508.
- Skittone LK, Liu X, Tseng A, Kim HT. Matrix metalloproteinase 2 expression and promoter/ enhancer activity in skeletal muscle atrophy. J Orthop Res 2008;26:357 363.
- Kessler E, Takahara K, Biniaminov L, Brusel M, Greenspan DS. Bone morphogenetic protein 1: the type 1 procollagen C proteinase. *Science* 1996;271:360 362.
- Jackson WM, Aragon AB, Onodera J, Koehler SM, Ji Y, Bulken Hoover JD et al. Cytokine expression in muscle following traumatic injury. J Orthop Res 2011;29:1613 1620.
- Pedersen BK, Edward F. Adolph distinguished lecture: muscle as an endocrine organ: IL 6 and other myokines. J Appl Physiol 2009;107:1006 1014.
- Pedersen BK, Akerström TC, Nielsen AR, Fischer CP of myokines in exercise and metabolism. J Appl Physiol 2007;103:1093 1098.
- Wallenius V, Wallenius K, Ahren B, Rudling M, Carlsten H, Dickson S et al. Interleukin 6 deficient mice develop mature onset obesity. Nat Med 2002;8:75 79.
- Franchimont N, Wertz S, Malaise M. Interleukin 6: an osteotropic factor influencing bone formation? *Bone* 2005;37:601 606.
- Zhao LJ, Jiang H, Papasian CJ, Maulik D, Drees B, Hamilton J et al. Correlation of obesity and osteoporosis: effect of fat mass on the determination of osteoporosis. J Bone MinerRes 2008;23:17 29.
- Weitzmann MN, Roggia C, Toraldo G, Weitzmann L, Pacifici R. Increased production of IL 7 uncouples bone formation from bone resorption during estrogen deficiency. J Clin Invest 2002;110:1643 1650.
- Haugen F, Norheim F, Lian H, Wensaas AJ, Dueland S, Berg O et al. IL 7 is expressed by human skeletal muscle cells. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2010;298:C807 C816.
- Nielsen A, Mounier R, Plomgaard P, Mortensen O, Penkowa M, Speerschneider T et al. Expression of interleukin 15 in human skeletal muscle effects of exercise and muscle fibre type composition. J Physiol 2007;584:305 312.
- Quinn LS, Anderson BG, Strait Bodey L, Stroud A, Argiles J. Oversecretion of interleukin 15 from skeletal muscle reduces adiposity. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2009;296:E191 E202.
- Perez Ilzarbe M, Agbulut O, Pelacho B, Ciorba C, San Jose Eneriz E, Desnos M *et al.* Characterization of the paracrine effects of human skeletal myoblasts transplanted in infarcted myocardium. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;10:1065 1072.
- Conboy IM, Conboy MJ, Smythe GM, Rando TA. Rejuvenation of aged progenitor cells by exposure to a young systemic environment. *Nature* 2005;433:760 764.
- Zhou X, Wang JL, Lu J, Song Y, Kwak KS, Jiao Q et al. Reversal of cancer cachexia and muscle wasting by ActRIIB antagonism leads to prolonged survival. Cell 2010;142:531 543.
- Li Q, Kumar R, Underwood K, O'Connor AE, Loveland KL, Seehra JS et al. Prevention ofcachexia like syndrome development and reductionof tumor progression in inhibin deficientmice following administration of a chimericactivin receptor type II murine Fc protein. Mol Hum Reprod 2007;13:675 683.
- 66. Ng MF. Cachexia an intrinsic factor in wound healing. Int Wound J 2010;7:107 113.
- Lang CH, Silvis C, Nystrom G, Frost AR. Regulation of myostatin by glucocorticoids after thermal injury. FASEB J 2011;15:1807 1809.
- 68. Collins N. Glutamine and wound healing. AdvClin Wound Care 2002; 9:233 234.
- Vinnars E, Bergstom J, Furst P. Influence of the postoperative state on the intracellular free amino acids in human muscle tissue. Ann Surg 1975;182:665
 672.
- Hojman P, Dethlefsen C, Brandt C, Hansen J, Pedersen L, Pedersen BK. Exercise induced muscle derived cytokines inhibit mammary cancer cell growth. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2011;301:E504 E510.
- Williams NG, Interlichia JP, Jackson MF, Hwang D, Cohen P, Rodgers BD. Endocrine actions of myostatin: systemic regulation of the IGF and IGF binding protein axis. *Endocrinology* 2011;152:172 180.
- Hansen J, Brandt C, Nielsen AR, Hojman P, Whitham M, Febbraio MA et al. Exercise induces a marked increase in plasma follistatin: evidence that follistatin is a contraction induced hepatokine. Endocrinology 2011;152:164 171.
- Eijken M, Swagemakers S, Koedam M, Steenbergen C, Derkx P, Uitterlinden AG et al. The activin A follistatin system: potent regulator of human extracellular matrix mineralization. FASEB J 2007;21:2949 2960.
- Trayhurn P, Drevon CA, Eckel J. Secreted proteins from adipose tissue and skeletal muscle adipokines, myokines, and adipose/muscle cross talk. Arch Physiol Biochem 2011;117:47 56.

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect





Experimental Gerontology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/expgero

Effects of the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system on aging bone and muscle progenitor cells

Matthew Bowser, Samuel Herberg, Phonepasong Arounleut, Xingming Shi, Sadanand Fulzele, William D. Hill, Carlos M. Isales, Mark W. Hamrick *

Georgia Health Sciences University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 September 2012 Received in revised form 8 November 2012 Accepted 11 November 2012 Available online 21 November 2012

Section Editor: P.J. Hornsby

Keywords: Sarcopenia Myoblasts Bone marrow stromal cells Proliferation Differentiation

ABSTRACT

The activin A myostatin follistatin system is thought to play an important role in the regulation of muscle and bone mass throughout growth, development, and aging; however, the effects of these ligands on progenitor cell proliferation and differentiation in muscle and bone are not well understood. In addition, age associated changes in the relative expression of these factors in musculoskeletal tissues have not been described. We therefore examined changes in protein levels of activin A, follistatin, and myostatin (GDF 8) in both muscle and bone with age in C57BL6 mice using ELISA. We then investigated the effects of activin A, myostatin and follistatin on the proliferation and differentiation of primary myoblasts and mouse bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) in vitro. Myostatin levels and the myostatin:follistatin ratio increased with age in the primarily slow twitch mouse soleus muscle, whereas the pattern was reversed with age in the fast twitch extensor digitorum longus muscle. Myostatin levels and the myostatin: follistatin ratio increased significantly (+75%) in mouse bone marrow with age, as did activin A levels (+17%). Follistatin increased the proliferation of primary myoblasts from both young and aged mice, whereas myostatin increased proliferation of younger myoblasts but decreased proliferation of older myoblasts. Myostatin reduced proliferation of both young and aged BMSCs in a dose dependent fashion, and activin A increased mineralization in both young and aged BMSCs. Together these data suggest that aging in mice is accompanied by changes in the expression of activin A and myostatin, as well as changes in the response of bone and muscle progenitor cells to these factors. Myostatin appears to play a partic ularly important role in the impaired proliferative capacity of muscle and bone progenitor cells from aged mice. © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Aging is associated with a number of changes in the musculoskeletal system, including progressive deterioration of articular cartilage in the form of osteoarthritis, loss of muscle mass in the form of sarcopenia, and loss of bone density and strength in the form of osteoporosis. Mus cle weakness and frailty contribute directly to postural instability, which in turn increases the risk for falls, and falls are the main etiolog ical factor in more than 90% of bone fractures. The more than 1.5 million osteoporotic fractures a year in the US place significant burden on the healthcare system, and also contribute to significant morbidity and poor quality of life. Treatments that can improve muscle strength and at the same time increase bone mass will therefore significantly reduce fracture related morbidity and mortality.

The activin A myostatin follistatin system is believed to play an important role in musculoskeletal growth, development and aging.

E-mail address: mhamrick@georgiahealth.edu (M.W. Hamrick).

Myostatin (GFD 8) and activin A bind type II activin receptors and signal through a transforming growth factor beta signaling pathway involving SMAD phosphorylation. Activin is thought to bind with greater affinity to the type IIA activin receptor (ActRIIA) and myostatin to the type II B receptor (ActRIIB), but both are involved in the regulation of muscle mass (Gilson et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2010). Follistatin antagonizes both myostatin and activin A activity, and mice overexpressing follistatin in skeletal muscle show a more dramatic phe notype than mice lacking myostatin alone (Lee et al., 2005). These data suggest that alterations in either myostatin or activin A with aging or disuse can have significant effects on muscle mass, and these may be further influenced by relative levels of follistatin. Although myostatin is not highly expressed by bone cells, loss of myostatin function is asso ciated with increased bone density in mice (Elkasrawy and Hamrick, 2010; Morissette et al., 2009). The increased bone density of mice lack ing myostatin is likely multifactorial, and may result not only from the indirect effects of increased muscle mass (Hamrick, 2011, 2012) but also from increased circulating levels of IGF 1 (Williams et al., 2011). The type IIA and type IIB activin receptors are both expressed by chondrocytes and osteoblasts, and activin A has been observed to inhib it mineralization by osteoblasts in vitro whereas follistatin can increase mineralization (Eijken et al., 2007). Furthermore, inhibiting activin A in

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Cellular Biology & Anatomy, Laney Walker Blvd. CB2915, Georgia Health Sciences University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA. Tel.: + 1 706 721 1958; fax: + 1 706 721 6120.

^{0531-5565/\$ –} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2012.11.004

vivo using a decoy soluble activin A receptor (ActRIIA) increases bone formation in mice (Pearsall et al., 2008).

The activin A myostatin follistatin system therefore appears to play a number of important roles in muscle as well as in bone metabolism. Although some studies have found no association between age and myostatin transcript levels in skeletal muscle (Marcell et al., 2001), others reveal a marked elevation in skeletal muscle myostatin expres sion with aging in humans (Leger et al., 2008). Additional research sug gests that circulating levels of myostatin increase with age in men and women, and are highest in people aged 60 90 (Yarasheski et al., 2002). The latter finding may implicate myostatin in the sarcopenia of aging, hence myostatin inhibitors could be useful pharmacological agents for treating age related muscle atrophy as well as bone loss. In deed, a myostatin inhibitor has been shown to improve muscle regener ation in aged mice (Siriett et al., 2007), and a recent study reveals that muscle derived stem cells from older male patients show a +65%higher level of myostatin expression compared to stem cells from youn ger patients (McKay et al., 2012). Myostatin levels can also be reduced in skeletal muscle with resistance exercise in older men (Dalbo et al., 2011), and aerobic exercise can decrease myostatin expression in older women (Konopka et al., 2010). While there has been considerable inter est in the role of myostatin in musculoskeletal changes with aging, much less is known about activin A and follistatin. Circulating activin A levels have been observed to increase with age, whereas circulating follistatin levels did not show age associated changes (Baccarelli et al., 2001; Hurwitz and Santoro, 2004). Very little information exists, however, with regard to tissue specific changes in activin A and follistatin levels with aging or how the response of muscle and bone cells to these factors is altered with age.

We have previously shown that aged C57BL/6 mice share a num ber of key features in common with the aging human musculoskeletal system including an age related decline muscle mass, both absolutely and relative to body mass, as well as loss of bone density, bone forma tion, and bone strength (Hamrick et al., 2006). In order to address several of the questions outlined above we examined age related changes in the expression of activin A, follistatin, and myostatin in mouse skeletal muscle as well as in mouse bone marrow supernatant. We also investigated the response of primary muscle and bone cells from young (12 months) and aged (24 months) mice to activin A, follistatin, and myostatin treatment in vitro.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

C57BL6 mice were purchased from aged rodent colony at National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health (USA) at 12 and 24 months of age and delivered to Georgia Health Sciences University, Augusta, GA. Animals were allowed to acclimate for approximately two weeks and were maintained at the Laboratory Animal Service Facil ity of the Georgia Health Sciences University. Animals were sacrificed by

Table 1				
List of oligonucleotide	primer	sequences	for	qRT-PCR.

Name	Sequence	Amplicon size
Myogenin	Fwd: GGAAGTCTGTGTCGGTGGAC	150
	Rev: CGCTGCGCAGGATCTCCAC	
MyoD	Fwd: GCCTGAGCAAAGTGAATGAG	184
	Rev: GGTCCAGGTGCGTAGAAGG	
Myosin, heavy	Fwd: ACAGTCAGAGGTGTGACTCAGCCG	90
polypeptide 3 (Myh3)	Rev: CCGACTTGCGGAGGAAAGGTGC	
BMP-2	Fwd: TGTTTGGCCTGAAGCAGAGA	83
	Rev: TGAGTGCCTGCGGTACAGAT	
Osteocalcin	Fwd: ATTTAGGACCTGTGCTGCCCTA	120
	Rev: GGAGCTGCTGTGACATCCATAC	

CO₂ overdose and thoracotomy following procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Georgia Health Sciences University.

2.2. ELISA assays

Whole extensor digitorum longus or soleus muscle was dissected from C57BL6 mice at either 12 or 24 months of age and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Each muscle was placed in 1 ml phosphate buff ered saline (PBS) and subjected to homogenization using Fisherbrand Tissuemiser[®] rotary homogenizer until large pieces of muscle were no longer visible. Samples were subjected to two freeze thaw cycles to disrupt the plasma membrane then centrifuged briefly. Samples were separated into 250 μ l aliquots and stored at -80 °C until assayed. Follistatin and Activin A ELISA kits were purchased from R&D Systems and assays performed according to manufacturer's protocol without sample dilution. Myostatin ELISA kits were purchased from Alpco diagnostic and also performed according to manufacturer's protocol, but samples for myostatin assay were diluted 5 fold. Total protein was measured for each sample using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to manufacturer's protocol. Briefly: after thawing samples for ELISA, 25 µl of sample was incubated undiluted with 200 µl of Pierce® working reagent for 20 min at 37 °C. Absorbance was recorded at 590 nm wavelength and total protein concentrations were calculated based on standard curve generated with bovine serum albumin.

Left and right femora were dissected from C57BL6 mice at either 12 or 24 months of age and placed in PBS. Samples were immediately minced using fine point surgical scissors. Samples were vortexed vigorously and triturated with a pipette to liberate marrow from bone. Samples were centrifuged briefly, supernatant was collected, and bone fragments were discarded. Supernatant was centrifuged at



Fig. 1. Myostatin protein normalized to total protein (A) and the ratio of normalized myostatin to follistatin (B) in the extensor digitorum longus muscle (EDL) and soleus (SOL) of mice 12 months of age (12 mo) and 24 months of age (24 mo). Myostatin levels decline with age in the EDL but increase with age in the soleus. Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes six replicates per group.

1500 g for 5 min to pellet cells. Supernatant was collected, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C until assayed. Specific ELISA assays and total protein were performed and measured as described above for skeletal muscle homogenates.

2.3. Primary myoblast isolation, culture, and proliferation and differenti ation assays

Mice 12 and 24 months of age were euthanized and tibialis ante rior muscle dissected and place in sterile PBS. The muscle was minced with a sterile scalpel under aseptic conditions. Minced muscle was digested in 0.2% collagenase type II (Gibco) for 1 h with frequent shaking followed by digestion in $1 \times$ trypsin for 30 min. The slurry was pelletted and trypsin supernatant removed. The slurry was re suspended in proliferation medium. Upon completion of enzymat ic digest, slurry was poured over a 70 µm cell strainer (Fisher) to re move any remaining connective tissue. The cells were then added to collagen type I (BD Bioscience) coated T 25 flasks.

Primary myoblasts were allowed to attach for 72 h. Cells were then maintained in proliferation medium (PM): DMEM (Hyclone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 10% horse serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.5% chick embryo extract (Sera Labs U.K.). Medium was changed every 48 h until T 25 flask was con fluent. Once confluent, cells were trypsinized and counted using NucleoCounter (New Brunswick Scientific). Cells were then plated in a 96 well plate at 5000 cells/cm². Cells were allowed to attach in proliferation medium for 48 h. Proliferation medium was removed, cells washed with PBS, and DMEM supplemented with 1% insulin transferrin sodium selenite (ITS) was added followed by either con trol (PBS) or high or low dose activin A (50 ng/ml and 100 ng/ml), follistatin (100 ng/ml and 1000 ng/ml) or myostatin (100 ng/ml and 1000 ng/ml) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis). Doses follow those utilized by He et al. (2005) for activin A and Zhu et al. (2007) for myostatin and follistatin. After 24 h of treatment, MTS reagent was added according to the manufacturer's protocol (Promega, Madison) and absorbance at 492 nm was read 2 h later.

For differentiation assays, cells were isolated and cultured for one week until confluent as described above. Cells were then trypsinized and plated in 12 well plates at 5000 cells/ml and allowed to attach overnight in proliferation medium. PM was removed, cells washed with PBS, and DMEM supplemented with 1% ITS was added followed by the addition of Mstn, Fstn, Activin A or control. Cells were



Fig. 2. Results of proliferation assays following treatment of primary myoblasts with activin A (A; activin), follistatin (B; Fstn), and myostatin (C; Mstn). Means with different superscripts differ significantly from one another (P<.05). Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes eight replicates per group.

maintained in treatment for 48 h then harvested in TRIZOL® reagent (Invitrogen) for RNA isolation and subsequent cDNA synthesis (Bio Rad). 50 100 ng of cDNA was amplified in duplicates in each 40 cycle reaction using an iCyclerTM (Bio Rad) with annealing tem perature set at 60 °C, ABsoluteTM QPCR SYBR® Green Fluorescein Mix (ABgene, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and custom designed qRT PCR primers (Table 1). A melt curve was used to assess the purity of amplification products. mRNA levels were normalized to β Actin/18S and gene expression was calculated as fold change using the comparative CT method. If not otherwise indicated, treated groups were compared to PBS control groups.

2.4. Primary bone marrow stromal cell (BMSC) isolation, culture, and proliferation and differentiation assays

Bone marrow aspirates were flushed from mouse femora and tibias and BMSCs isolated using magnetic bead sorting as previously described (Zhang et al., 2008). Briefly, magnetic nanoparticles conjugated to anti mouse CD11b, CD11c, CD45R/B220, and Pan DC monoclonal anti bodies were used to remove hematopoietic lineage cells and those that were negative for these four antigens remained in the solution and were collected and subjected to a round of positive selection using anti Sca 1 microbeads.

Enriched BMSCs were cultured in proliferation medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS) in T 75 flasks until ~80% confluent. Cells were then lifted with trypsin/EDTA, plated in 96 well plates at a density of 5000 cells/well in proliferation medium,

and allowed to attach for 24 h. Proliferation medium was removed, cells washed with PBS, and DMEM supplemented with 2% heat inactivated FBS (for BMSCs) was added followed by control (PBS), activin A, follistatin, or myostatin (all from R&D Systems, Minneapolis) at the same doses noted above for primary myoblasts. After 24 h of treatment, MTS reagent was added according to the manufacturer's protocol (Promega, Madison, WI) and absorbance at 492 nm was read 2 h later.

Osteogenic differentiation and Alizarin Red S (ARS) staining was performed as described previously (Zhang et al., 2008). In brief, enriched BMSCs were plated in 24 well plates at a density of 5000 cells/cm² in proliferation medium and allowed to attach for 24 h. Cells were then treated with osteogenic induction medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% FBS, 0.25 mM ascorbic acid, 0.1 µM dexamethasone, and 10 mM β glycerophosphate (all from Sigma Aldrich) for 14 d. For ARS staining, differentiated BMSCs were washed with PBS and fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min. Cells were stained with 40 mM ARS pH 4.1 (Sigma Aldrich) for 15 min followed by washing with excess dH₂O. Stained plates were scanned for visualization. For quantitative destaining, 10% acetic acid was added for 30 min. Samples were transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, overlaid with mineral oil (Sigma Aldrich), heated to 85 °C for 10 min, and transferred to ice for 5 min. Following centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 15 min, superna tants were removed and neutralized with 10% ammonium hydrox ide. Aliquots were transferred to a 96 well plate and absorbance was read at 405 nm (Gregory et al., 2004). Expression of osteogenic



Fig. 3. Real-time PCR data for primary myoblast expression of myogenin (A) and myosin heavy chain (B) in response to treatment with follistatin (Fstn, top) and myostatin (Mstn, bottom). Means with different superscripts differ significantly from one another (P<.05). Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes four-six replicates per group.



Fig. 4. Myostatin protein normalized to total protein (A) and the ratio of normalized myostatin to follistatin (B) in bone marrow supernatants from mice 12 months of age (12 mo) and 24 months of age (24 mo). Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes six replicates per group.

genes was assessed using primers for bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP 2) and osteocalcin (OCN) shown in Table 1.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Two factor ANOVAs were performed with treatment and age as the two factors. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test was used for post hoc, pairwise comparisons. All statistical analyses were performed using SYSTAT® software.

3. Results

3.1. Protein levels of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin in skeletal muscle

ANOVAs performed on activin A and follistatin normalized for total protein, and the ratio of normalized activin A: follistatin, revealed no significant changes with age in either the soleus or extensor digitorum longus muscles. Levels of normalized myostatin showed a slight but non significant decrease in the EDL with age (Fig. 1A), whereas SOL showed a significant increase in normalized myostatin with age (Fig. 1A). Likewise, the ratio of normalized myostatin: follistatin showed a significant (~40%) decrease with age in EDL (Fig. 1B), but a significant (~four fold) increase with age in SOL (Fig. 1B). Two factor ANOVA with age (12 or 24 mo) and mus cle (EDL or SOL) as the two factors showed significant age *muscle interaction effects for both normalized myostatin (p<.05) and the

myostatin:follistatin ratio (p<.01), with myostatin levels decreasing with age in the EDL but increasing with age in SOL.

3.2. Effects of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin on the proliferation and differentiation of primary myoblasts

Overall there was a significant (P < .01) age effect for both myostatin and follistatin treatment, in which young cells were more proliferative in response to treatment than older cells. ANOVAs showed significant treatment effects for activin A, myostatin, and follistatin (Fig. 2A). Activin A produced a significant dose response increase in proliferation in myoblasts from younger mice but not older mice; however, the treatment * age interaction was not significant. Follistatin also increased proliferation in dose response manner in young myoblasts, but the ef fect was attenuated in myoblasts from older mice (Fig. 2B). There was a significant (p=.001) treatment * age interaction effect for follistatin treatment where the treatment effect, approximately two fold at the highest dose, was much greater in younger myoblasts compared to older cells. Myostatin treatment also increased proliferation in young myoblasts compared to untreated cells, whereas in older myoblasts myostatin decreased proliferation at the low dose (Fig. 2C). There was also a significant (p < .001) treatment * age interaction effect for myostatin, where myostatin treatment increased proliferation in young myoblasts but decreased proliferation in the older cells.

RT PCR data revealed no marked changes in the expression of dif ferentiation markers MyoD, myogenin, or myosin heavy chain (MHC) with Activin A treatment. MyoD and MHC expression were also unaf fected by follistatin treatment of young and aged myoblasts; however, follistatin stimulated a significant increase in myogenin expression in aged but not young myoblasts (Fig. 3A). ANOVAs demonstrated a sig nificant age effect for myogenin expression (p<.05) with older cells generally showing higher levels of myogenin expression, and there was also a significant treatment * age interaction for myogenin expres sion. Myostatin treatment had no effect on MyoD or myogenin expres sion in either young or aged myoblasts, but myostatin did produce a significant increase in MHC expression in aged but not young myoblasts (Fig. 3B).

3.3. Protein levels of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin in bone marrow supernatants

Comparisons of normalized protein levels obtained from bone mar row supernatant revealed no significant differences between older and young mice for activin A, follistatin, or the activin A: follistatin ratio. Normalized myostatin is significantly (~80%) increased in mouse bone marrow with increasing age (Fig. 4A), as is the ratio of normalized myostatin: follistatin (+60%; Fig. 4B).

3.4. Effects of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin on the proliferation and differentiation of primary bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs)

ANOVAs showed a significant (P<.001) age effect in each treat ment group, with younger BMSCs overall having higher values for proliferation than older BMSCs, irrespective of the treatment (Fig. 5). Proliferation assays showed no effects of activin treatment on either young or old BMSCs (Fig. 5A). Two factor ANOVA revealed a significant treatment * age interaction for follistatin, with follistatin having no impact on proliferation in older BMSCs but reducing proliferation in young BMSCs (Fig. 5B). Myostatin significantly decreased BMSC prolif eration in both young cells (-15%) and aged cells (-40%); however, this effect was greater in the aged cells, and this treatment * age interac tion was also significant (P<.05) for myostatin (Fig. 5C).

Differentiation assays using alizarin red staining to detect miner alization revealed that activin treatment significantly increased mineralization of young and older BMSCs (Fig. 6). The effect of age on mineralization was significant (P<.001) for activin, with the



Fig. 5. Results of proliferation assays following treatment of primary bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) with activin A (A; activin), follistatin (B; Fstn), and myostatin (C; Mstn). Means with different superscripts differ significantly from one another (P<.05). Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes eight replicates per group.

younger cells consistently showing greater mineralization in response to treatment. Treatment effects were less pronounced in aged BMSCs, with the low dose of activin increasing alizarin red staining but the other doses showing no significant effect (Fig. 6C,D). The treatment * age interaction was significant (P<.01) for activin using two factor ANOVA. In the myostatin experiments, the higher dose of myostatin significantly decreased mineralization in younger cells but not in older cells, and the treatment * age interaction was also significant for myostatin (P<.01). Finally, follistatin treatment did not significantly affect mineralization either young or older BMSCS (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

The ELISA assays using muscle and bone samples from aged mice provided results that were unexpected for two reasons. First, it is well known that fast twitch (type II) muscle fibers atrophy with age (Holloszy et al., 1991) and a number of studies have implicated myostatin in muscle atrophy (McKay et al., 2012). In addition, Hennebry et al. (2009) found that mice lacking myostatin showed an increase in type I fibers and decrease in type II fibers, and blocking myostatin function in vivo using a myostatin antibody has been shown to increases type II fiber size in aging mice (Murphy et al., 2010). The fact that we observed a decline in myostatin levels with age in the predominantly fast twitch extensor digitorum longus mus cle of mice, but a relative increase in myostatin levels in the slow twitch (type I) soleus, was therefore not anticipated. Nevertheless, it has been shown that mice lacking myostatin lose the same percent age of muscle mass with age as normal mice (Morissette et al., 2009), and muscles composed of different fiber types are all larger in aged myostatin deficient mice compared to those of same aged wild type mice (Jackson et al., 2012). Given that both myostatin levels and the myostatin:follistatin ratio increased with age in soleus muscles of mice compared to extensor digitorum longus, myostatin inhibitors may have a greater impact on preserving muscle mass with age in those muscles composed predominantly of slow rather than fast twitch fibers.

The second in vivo finding that was also unexpected was the signif icant rise in myostatin protein with age in bone marrow supernatants. This was not expected because myostatin is primarily secreted by mus cle and not by osteoblasts or marrow stromal cells. Yarasheski et al. (2002) did, however, find that serum myostatin increased with age in older women, and Szulc et al. (2012) found that serum myostatin in creased in men until age 57 and then declined. The rise in bone marrow myostatin that we observed with age in mice likely reflects an increase



Fig. 6. Alizarin red staining of bone marrow stromal cells cultured in osteogenic conditions (A–D) and PCR data for osteogenic genes (E–F) from BMSCs treated with activin A. Images of wells (A, C) and quantification of staining (B, D) in BMSCs from young mice (A, B; 12 mo BMSCs) and older mice (C, D; 24 mo BMSCs) treated with Activin A (Act), Myostatin (Myo) or Follistatin (Folli) at 50 ng/ml (50), 100 ng/ml (100) or 1000 ng/ml (1000). **P<.001 and *P<.05 relative to same-aged PBS controls. Error bars represent one standard deviation and sample size includes sixteen replicates per group for panels A–D. PCR data show elevated expression of osteogenic genes BMP-2 and osteocalcin (OCN) in activin-treated cells from mice 12 months of age (E) and mice 24 months of age (F). Error bars represent one standard deviation and four replicates are included per treatment group.

in circulating myostatin levels with age. The elevated concentrations of myostatin in the bone marrow microenvironment of aged mice is significant given the findings discussed below regarding the effects of myostatin on proliferation of bone marrow stromal cells. Moreover, while myostatin was found to be most highly expressed in skeletal muscle progenitors early in development (McPherron et al., 1997), data presented here and elsewhere suggest that myostatin levels may rise again later in life. Thus, the interplay among activin A, myostatin, and follistatin is dynamic and changes across the aging spectrum.

The cell proliferation experiments yielded findings that were con sistent with those of previous studies with regard to the effects of age and treatment. First, in both primary myoblasts and BMSCs, age ef fects were significant regardless of treatment. That is, aged myoblasts and BMSCs were less proliferative overall in response to treatment whether the cells were exposed to activin A, myostatin, or follistatin. This does not appear to be related to expression of ActRIIB, since we have observed using PCR (data not shown) that ActRIIB expression does not differ significantly either between muscle and bone cells of mice at similar ages, or between musculoskeletal tissues of young versus aged mice. Second, in the case of primary myoblasts, all of the ligands generally increased proliferation of young myoblasts at lower doses. Activin A was previously found to suppress embryonic muscle development in chicks (He et al., 2005), and to inhibit prolif eration of stem cells derived from adult muscle (Nomura et al., 2008). Myostatin has also been shown previously to be a potent inhibitor of myoblast proliferation (McFarlane et al., 2011), and while myostatin increased proliferation of young myoblasts it decreased proliferation of aged myoblasts. The effects of these ligands on proliferation were quite different in BMSCs, where follistatin and myostatin both suppressed proliferation of young BMSCs, and myostatin significantly suppressed the proliferation of aged BMSCs. These data are consistent with our previous findings showing that BMSCs of mice lacking myostatin were more proliferative in vitro than those from normal mice (Elkasrawy et al., 2011). Probably the most important observation from these in vitro experiments is that, in the case of both aged myoblasts and aged BMSCs, myostatin consistently suppressed cell proliferation. Aged mus cle precursors have an impaired capacity for proliferation (Conboy et al., 2003; Machida and Booth, 2004), as do aged BMSCs (Kretlow et al., 2008). Blocking myostatin function in aged animals may therefore have significant potential for improving the repair and regeneration of both muscle and bone by improving the proliferative capacity of both myo and osteo progenitor cells.

Findings from our differentiation assays are generally consistent with the idea that myostatin inhibits proliferation and promotes termi nal differentiation of aged myoblasts, as myostatin treatment increased myosin heavy chain expression in aged myoblasts. The osteoblast dif ferentiation and mineralization assays revealed that while activin A in creased mineralization, myostatin exposure suppressed mineralization in vitro (Fig. 6). These experiments where BMSCs were treated with myostatin are also consistent with our previous studies using BMSCs from myostatin deficient mice, which showed that $Mstn^{-/-}$ BMSCs had an increased capacity for mineralization in vitro and impaired potential for adipocyte differentiation (Hamrick et al., 2007; Kellum et al., 2009). The activin A differentiation experiments with BMSCs differ somewhat from those of Eijken et al. (2007) who found that activin A treatment strongly inhibited mineralization in osteoblast cul tures, whereas follistatin increased mineralization. Our data, on the other hand, showed that osteogenic culture of BMSCs in the presence

of activin A increased mineralization whereas follistatin had no effect on mineralization in either young or old BMSCs. It is likely that our findings differ from those of Eijken et al. (2007) because our experiments exam ined the effects of activin A and follistatin on the differentiation of osteoprogenitors, whereas Eijken et al. treated differentiated osteo blasts. It is therefore important to acknowledge here that, while our study examined the proliferation and differentiation of progenitor cell populations, ligands of the activin A myostatin follistatin system may have different effects on target cells at different stages of development, differentiation, and maturation.

5. Conclusions

Our experiments using aged mice as a model system for investi gating the role of activin A, myostatin, and follistatin in musculoskel etal aging suggest that these ligands are likely to be involved in the altered capacity for tissue formation and repair that is observed in aged rodents and humans. Specifically, primary myoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells of aged animals were found to be less proliferative than those of younger animals in response to most of the treatments utilized. Myostatin levels in bone marrow and in soleus muscles increased with age in mice, and myostatin treatment suppressed proliferation of both aged myoblasts and aged BMSCs. Myostatin also inhibited mineralization of BMSCs under osteogenic cul ture conditions. Together these data suggest that targeting myostatin in aged animals may improve the proliferative capacity of muscle and bone progenitor cells, perhaps enhancing the potential for muscle and bone repair and regeneration in the therapeutic setting.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Department of the Army (CDMRP093619) and the National Institute on Aging (P01 AG036675).

References

- Baccarelli, A., Morpurgo, P., Corsi, A., Vaghi, I., Fanelli, M., Cremonesi, G., Vaninetti, S., Beck-Peccoz, P., Spada, A., 2001. Activin A serum levels and aging of the pituitary-gonadal axis: a cross-sectional study in middle-aged and elderly healthy subjects. Exp. Gerontol. 36, 1403–1412.
- Conboy, I., Conboy, M.J., Smythe, G., Rando, T.M., 2003. Notch-mediated restoration of regenerative potential to aged muscle. Science 302, 1575–1577.
- Dalbo, V.J., Roberts, M.D., Sunderland, K.L., Poole, C.N., Stout, J.R., Beck, T.W., Bemben, M., Kerksick, C.M., 2011. Acute loading and aging effects on myostatin pathway biomarkers in human skeletal muscle after three sequential bouts of resistance exercise. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 66, 855–865.
- Eijken, M., Swagemakers, S., Koedam, M., Steenbergen, C., Derkx, P., Uitterlinden, A.G., van der Spek, P.J., Visser, J.A., de Jong, F.H., Pols, H.A., van Leeuwen, J.P., 2007. The activin A–follistatin system: potent regulator of human extracellular matrix mineralization. FASEB J. 21, 2949–2960.
- Elkasrawy, M.N., Hamrick, M.W., 2010. Myostatin (GDF-8) as a key factor linking muscle mass and bone structure. J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact. 10, 56–63.
- Elkasrawy, M.N., Fulzele, S., Bowser, M., Wenger, K., Hamrick, M.W., 2011. Myostatin (GDF-8) inhibits chondrogenesis and chondrocyte proliferation in vitro by suppressing Sox-9 expression. Growth Factors 29, 253–262.
- Gilson, H., Schakman, O., Kalista, S., Lause, P., Tsuchida, K., Thissen, J.P., 2009. Follistatin induces muscle hypertrophy through satellite cell proliferation and inhibition of both myostatin and activin. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 297, E157–E164.
- Gregory, C.A., Gunn, W.G., Peister, A., Prockop, D.J., 2004. An Alizarin red-based assay of mineralization by adherent cells in culture: comparison with cetylpyridinium chloride extraction. Anal. Biochem. 329, 77.
- Hamrick, M.W., 2011. A role for myokines in muscle-bone interactions. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev. 39, 43–47.
- Hamrick, M.W., 2012. The skeletal muscle secretome: an emerging player in musclebone crosstalk. Nature Bonekey, 60, pp. 1–5.
- Hamrick, M.W., Ding, K.H., Pennington, C., Chao, Y.J., Wu, Y.D., Howard, B., Immel, D., Borlongan, C., McNeil, P.L., Bollag, W.B., Curl, W.W., Yu, J., Isales, C.M., 2006. Agerelated loss of muscle mass and bone strength in mice is associated with a decline in physical activity and serum leptin. Bone 39, 845–853.
- Hamrick, M.W., Shi, X., Zhang, W., Pennington, C., Thakore, H., Haque, M., Kang, B., Isales, C.M., Fulzele, S., Wenger, K.H., 2007. Loss of myostatin (GDF-8) function increases osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived stem cells but the osteogenic effect is ablated with unloading. Bone 40, 1544–1553.

- He, L., Vichev, K., Macharia, R., Huang, R., Christ, B., Patel, K., Amthor, H., 2005. Activin A inhibits formation of skeletal muscle during chick development. Anat. Embryol. 209, 401–407.
- Hennebry, A., Berry, C., Siriett, V., O'Callaghan, P., Chau, L., Watson, T., Sharma, M., Kambadur, R., 2009. Myostatin regulates fiber-type composition of skeletal muscle by regulation MEF2 and MyoD gene expression. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 296, C525–C534.
- Holloszy, J.O., Chen, M., Cartee, G., Young, J.C., 1991. Skeletal muscle atrophy in old rats: differential changes in the three fiber types. Mech. Aging Dev. 60, 199–213.
- Hurwitz, J.M., Santoro, N., 2004. Inhibins, activins and follistatin in the aging female and male. Semin. Reprod. Med. 22, 209–217.
- Jackson, M., Luong, D., Vang, D.D., Garikipati, D., Stanton, J., Nelson, O.L., Rodgers, B., 2012. The aging myostatin null phenotype: reduced adiposity, cardiac hypertrophy, enhanced cardiac stress response, and sexual dimorphism. J. Endocrinol. 213, 263–275.
- Kellum, E., Starr, H., Arounleut, P., Immel, D., Fulzele, S., Wenger, K., Hamrick, M.W., 2009. Myostatin (GDF-8) deficiency increases fracture callus size, Sox-5 expression, and callus bone volume. Bone 44, 17–23.
- Konopka, A., Douglass, M., Kaminsky, L., Jemiolo, B., Trappe, T., Trappe, S., Harper, M., 2010. Molecular adaptations to aerobic exercise training in skeletal muscle of older women. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 65, 1201–1207.
- Kretlow, J., Jin, Y., Liu, W., Zhang, W., Hong, T.H., Zhou, G., Baggett, L., Mikos, A., Cao, Y., 2008. Donor age and cell passage affects differentiation potential of murine bone marrow-derived stem cells. BMC Cell Biol. 9, 60.
- Lee, S.J., Reed, L.A., Davies, M.V., Girgenrath, S., Goad, M.E., Tomkinson, K.N., Wright, J.F., Barker, C., Ehrmantraut, G., Holmstrom, J., Trowell, B., Gertz, B., Jiang, M.S., Sebald, S.M., Matzuk, M., Li, E., Liang, L.F., Quattlebaum, E., Stotish, R.L., Wolfman, N.L., 2005. Regulation of muscle growth by multiple ligands signaling through activin type II receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 18117–18122.
- Lee, S.J., Lee, Y.S., Zimmers, T.A., Soleimani, A., Matzuk, M., Tsuchida, K., Cohn, R.D., Barton, E.R., 2010. Regulation of muscle mass by follistatins and activins. Mol. Endocrinol. 24, 1998–2008.
- Leger, B., Derave, W., De Bock, K., Hespel, P., Russell, A.P., 2008. Human sarcopenia reveals an increase in SOCS-3 and myostatin and a reduced efficiency of Akt phosphorylation. Rejuvenation Res. 11, 163–175B.
- Machida, S., Booth, F.W., 2004. Increased nuclear proteins in muscle satellite cells in aged animals as compared to young growing animals. Exp. Gerontol. 39, 1521–1525.
- Marcell, T.J., Harman, S.M., Urban, R.J., Metz, D.D., Rodgers, B.D., Blackman, M.R., 2001. Comparison of GH, IGF-1, and testosterone with mRNA of receptors and myostatin in older men. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 281, E1159–E1164.
- McFarlane, C., Hui, G., Amanda, W., Lau, H., Lokireddy, S., Xiaojia, G., Mouly, B., Butler-Browne, G., Gluckman, P., Sharma, M., Kambadur, R., 2011. Human myostatin negatively regulates human myoblast growth and differentiation. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 301, C195–C203.
- McKay, B., Ogborn, D.I., Bellamy, L.M., Tarnopolsky, M.A., Parise, G., 2012. Myostatin is associated with age-related human muscle stem cell dysfunction. FASEB J. 26, 2509–2521.
- McPherron, A.C., Lawler, A., Lee, S.J., 1997. Regulation of skeletal muscle mass in mice by a new TGF-β family member. Nature 387, 83–90.
- Morissette, M.R., Stricker, C., Rosenberg, M.A., Buranasombati, C., Levitan, E.B., Mittleman, M.A., Rosenzweig, A., 2009. Effects of myostatin deletion in aging mice. Aging Cell 8, 573–583.
- Murphy, K., Koopman, R., Naim, T., Leger, B., Trieu, J., Ibebunjo, C., Lynch, G.S., 2010. Antibody-directed myostatin inhibition in 21-mo-old mice reveals novel roles for myostatin signaling in skeletal muscle structure and function. FASEB J. 24, 4433–4442.
- Nomura, T., Ueyama, T., Ashihara, E., Tateishi, K., Asada, S., Nakajima, N., Isodono, K., Takahashi, T., Matsubara, H., Oh, H., 2008. Skeletal muscle-derived progenitors capable of differentiating into cardiomyocytes proliferate through myostatinindependent TGF-beta family signaling. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 365, 863–869.
- Pearsall, R.S., Canalis, E., Cornwall-Brady, M., Underwood, K.W., Haigis, B., Ucran, J., Kumar, R., Pobre, E., Grinberg, A., Werner, E.D., Glatt, V., Stadmeyer, L., Smith, D., Seehra, J., Bouxsein, M.L., 2008. A soluble activin type IIA receptor induces bone formation and improves skeletal integrity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 7082–7087.
- Siriett, V., Salerno, M.S., Berry, C., Nicholas, G., Bower, R., Kambadur, R., Sharma, M., 2007. Antagonism of myostatin enhances muscle regeneration during sarcopenia. Mol Ther. 15 (8), 1463–1470.
- Szulc, P., Schoppet, M., Goettsch, C., Rauner, M., Dschietzig, T., Chapurlat, R., Hofbauer, L.C., 2012. Endocrine and clinical correlates of myostatin serum concentration in menthe STRAMBO study. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. (Epub ahead of print).
- Williams, N.G., Interlichia, J.P., Jackson, M.F., Hwang, D., Cohen, O., Rodgers, B., 2011. Endocrine actions of myostatin: systemic regulation of the IGF and IGF binding protein axis. Endocrinology 152, 172–180.
- Yarasheski, K.E., Ghasin, S., Sinha-Hikim, I., Pak-Loduca, J., Gonzalez-Cadavid, N.F., 2002. Serum myostatin-immunoreactive protein is increased in 60–92 year old women and men with muscle wasting. J. Nutr. Health Aging 6, 343–348.
- Zhang, W., Ou, G., Hamrick, M., Hill, W., Borke, J., Wenger, K., Chutkan, N., Yu, J., Mi, Q.S., Isales, C.M., et al., 2008. Age-related changes in the osteogenic differentiation potential of mouse bone marrow stromal cells. J. Bone Miner. Res. 23, 1118–1128.
- Zhu, J., Li, Y., Shen, W., Qiao, C., Ambrosio, F., Lavasani, M., Nozaki, M., Branca, M., Huard, J., 2007. Relationships between transforming growth factor-β1, myostatin, and decorin. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 25852–25863.



NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Exp Geromol. Author manuscript: tyniante in PMC 2014 Feomaty 20

Published in final edited form as:

Exp Gerontol. 2013 September ; 48(9): 898-904. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2013.06.004.

A Myostatin Inhibitor (Propeptide-Fc) Increases Muscle Mass and Muscle Fiber Size in Aged Mice but Does not Increase Bone Density or Bone Strength

Phonepasong Arounleut¹, Peter Bialek², Li-Fang Liang³, Sunil Upadhyay¹, Sadanand Fulzele¹, Maribeth Johnson¹, Mohammed Elsalanty¹, Carlos M. Isales¹, and Mark W. Hamrick^{1,*}

¹Georgia Regents University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA

²Pfizer Inc., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³The Tauri Group, Alexandria, VA 22310, USA

Abstract

Loss of muscle and bone mass with age are significant contributors to falls and fractures among the elderly. Myostatin deficiency is associated with increased muscle mass in mice, dogs, cows, sheep and humans, and mice lacking myostatin have been observed to show increased bone density in the limb, spine, and jaw. Transgenic overexpression of myostatin propeptide, which binds to and inhibits the active myostatin ligand, also increases muscle mass and bone density in mice. We therefore sought to test the hypothesis that in vivo inhibition of myostatin using an injectable myostatin propeptide (GDF8 propeptide-Fc) would increase both muscle mass and bone density in aged (24 mo) mice. Mice were injected weekly (20 mg/kg body weight) with recombinant myostatin propeptide-Fc (PRO) or vehicle (VEH; saline) for four weeks. There was no difference in body weight between the two groups at the end of the treatment period, but PRO treatment significantly increased mass of the tibialis anterior muscle (+7%) and increased muscle fiber diameter of the extensor digitorum longus (+16%) and soleus (+6%) muscles compared to VEH treatment. Bone volume relative to total volume (BV/TV) of the femur calculated by microCT did not differ significantly between PRO- and VEH-treated mice, and ultimate force (Fu), stiffness (S), toughness (U) measured from three-point bending tests also did not differ significantly between groups. Histomorphometric assays also revealed no differences in bone formation or resorption in response to PRO treatment. These data suggest that while developmental perturbation of myostatin signaling through either gene knockout or transgenic inhibition may alter both muscle and bone mass in mice, pharmacological inhibition of myostatin in aged mice has a more pronounced effect on skeletal muscle than on bone.

Keywords

sarcopenia; osteoporosis; fractures; anabolic therapy

1. Introduction

Globally, the size of the aging population is increasing rapidly, and as a corollary the prevalence of age-related musculoskeletal disorders such as osteoarthritis, sarcopenia, and

^{*}Address for correspondence and reprints: Mark W. Hamrick, Department of Cellular Biology & Anatomy, Laney Walker Blvd. CB2915, Georgia Regents University (formerly Georgia Health Sciences University), Augusta, GA 30912 USA, PH: 706-721-1958, FAX: 706-721-6120, mhamrick@gru.edu.

osteoporosis is also increasing (Sanchez-Riera et al., 2010). A primary contributor to the morbidity and mortality associated with aging is an increased frequency of falls, and falls are often accompanied by bone fractures. Indeed, falls are the primary factor in more than 90% of bone fractures (Jarvinen et al., 2008). In many cases bone fractures limit subsequent capacity for normal daily activities, ambulation, and independence, ultimately leading to assisted living situations which can be financially burdensome. The disability following a fall and fracture also contributes directly to an increase in comoribities such as respiratory infections, which in turn contribute to greater overall age-related mortality (Bertram et al., 2011).

The growth, development, and aging of muscle and bone are closely linked. Pediatric gains in bone mass are normally preceded by gains in muscle mass, and loss of muscle mass with age typically precedes peak rates of loss in bone density (Hamrick et al., 2010a). The close coupling of muscle and bone across the lifespan therefore suggests that changes in one tissue may be mechanistically linked with changes in another. Indeed, there are multiple mechanisms linking the two tissues such as mechanical loading, muscle-derived trophic factors (myokines), as well as systemic factors such as sex steroids and growth factors that have anabolic effects on both muscle and bone. The functional and perhaps molecular integration between the two tissues therefore suggests that therapeutic strategies targeting one particular tissue may have positive effects on the other, or that certain pharmacologic approaches (e.g., androgen-receptor modulators, vitamin D receptor agonists) could positively impact both tissues at once (Hamrick 2010, 2011, 2012).

Given the very close linkages between muscle and bone referenced above, we sought to test the hypothesis that pharmacologic inhibition of myostatin (GDF-8) could increase both muscle and bone mass in an aged animal model. Our rationale for pursuing this hypothesis is based on several key observations. The first is that mice lacking myostatin show increased muscle mass as well as increased bone density in the spine, limb, and jaw (Elkasrawy et al., 2010). The second is that recent studies have demonstrated that a myostatin antibody (LeBrasseur et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2010) and a decoy myostatin receptor (Chiu et al., 2013) can increase muscle mass in aged mice. The decoy receptor (ActR-IIB) was also found to increase bone formation and bone density (Chiu et al., 2013). These findings suggest that therapeutic modulation of myostatin in vivo may be an effective strategy for preserving muscle and bone mass with age, and so we employed a mouse model to evaluate this hypothesis. Specifically, we have previously shown that C57BL6 mice lose significant muscle mass, bone density, and bone strength with age, such that mice 24 months of age show a marked decrease in these measures compared to mice at 12 months of age (Hamrick et al., 2006a). This study utilizes myostatin propeptide treatment in aged (24 months) C57BL6 mice as an in vivo model for assessing the potential effects of myostatin inhibition on age associated muscle and bone loss. The propeptide fragment is utilized here because it has previously been shown to enhance muscle and bone repair in vivo, and binds the active myostatin ligand with high affinity (Bogdanovich et al., 2005; Hamrick et al, 2010b).

2. Materials & Methods

2.1 Production and validation of myostatin propeptide

The myostatin propeptide-Fc fusion protein was produced in CHO cells as described previously (Jiang et al., 2004). To measure the activity of myostatin and the efficacy of the myostatin propeptide a luciferase reporter gene assay was developed where the vector pGL3(CAGA)12 – neo was stably transfected into A204 (human rhabdomyosarcoma) cells. Addition of myostatin to the A204 CAGA cells, and the binding of myostatin to its receptors, initiates the Smad signal transduction pathway and activates the luciferase reporter gene. The level of activation is proportional to the luciferase activity and the linear

portion of the activity curve is in the ng/ml range (Fig. 1), which is what is expected for a protein in the TGF β superfamily. The addition of the myostatin propeptide prevents the binding of myostatin to its receptors, and the IC50 for the propeptide is approximately 2.0 nM (Fig. 1).

2.2 Animals and treatment for aging study

C57BL6 mice were purchased from the aged rodent colony at the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health (USA) at 22 months of age and delivered to Georgia Regents University, Augusta GA. Animals were allowed to acclimate for one week and were maintained at the Laboratory Animal Service Facility of Georgia Regents University. An earlier dose-response study was used to evaluate the efficacy of a myostatin propeptide in vivo (Hamrick et al., 2010b). Adult mice (5-6 mo.) were treated with the propeptide at 0, 10, 20, or 50 mg/kg at day 0, 5, and 10 and then sacrificed one week after the last treatment. Those data showed that propeptide treatment increased fore- and hindlimb muscle mass by 10% at the 10 mg/kg dose and increased muscle mass by more than 15% at the 20 mg/kg dose, but the 50 mg/kg dose did not increase muscle mass beyond the increase observed in the 20 mg/kg group (Hamrick et al., 2010b). The 20 mg/kg dose was therefore used in this study. Mice were divided into two treatment groups: a vehicle group (VEH; n=14) and a myostatin propeptide group (PRO; n=15). Mice received i.p. injections every five days for 25 days with a dosage of 20 mg/kg body weight at a volume of 0.2 ml. Myostatin propertide [4.48mg/ml] was obtained from Pfizer Inc (Cambridge, MA, USA). Mice were given calcein i.p. injections to label actively mineralizing bone surfaces four days and 24 hours prior to sacrifice.

2.3 Tissue collection

Animals were euthanized by CO_2 overdose and thoracotomy following procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Georgia Regents University. The extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and soleus (SOL) muscles from one limb were dissected out, cut in half and embedded in OCT for cryostat sectioning and muscle fiber size measurements. The tibialis anterior from one limb was dissected out, weighed, snap frozen and homogenized for RT-PCR of the following myogenic markers: myostatin, Mafbx, Murf 1, MHC, and IGF-1. The right tibias were disarticulated and fixed in 70% ethanol for μ CT and plastic sectioning while the left tibias were stored damp at minus 20°C for biomechanical testing followed by RT-PCR for bone formation and osteoblast differentiation markers Runx2, Osx and BMP-2. The femora were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, decalcified and embedded in paraffin for sectioning and stained for osteoclasts (TRAP kit from Sigma 386A-1KT) and osteoblasts (Celestine blue/van Geison's).

2.4 Bone Histomorphometry

Osteoblast and osteoclast counts were performed as previously described (Wenger et al., 2010) on 4–5 μ m sections after the specimens were decalcified in 4% EDTA for 1 week, dehydrated, cleared in xylene, then embedded in paraffin. Osteoblasts were counted on sections stained using von Giessen, and osteoclasts counted on sections stained for tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) activity. Standardized peripheral locations from the metaphysis were measured in a fixed region of interest. Mineralizing surfaces were measured from calcein-labeled, undecalcified bone sections. Tibias fixed in 70% ethanol were dehydrated and embedded in methyl methacrylate and sectioned in the horizontal (transverse) plane. Sections were viewed using a Zeiss Axioplan2 fluorescent microscope and captured using a SPOT® digital camera to image labeled bone surfaces. Forming surface was calculated as the percentage of non-eroded, single-labeled surface/total surface × 100 (MS/BS).

2.5 Biomechanical testing and micro-computed tomography (uCT)

Left tibias were stored damp at -20° C before being allowed to thaw at room temperature in PBS for 1 hr. Specimens were tested in three-point bending using a Vitrodyne V1000 Material Testing system as described previously (Hamrick et al., 2006b, 2008). Tibias were mounted antero-posteriorly on stainless steel fixtures 5mm apart, approximately 2.5 mm either side of center. Testing was linear displacement control with a displacement speed of 10 μ m/sec using a Transducer Techniques 5 kg load cell. Structural, or extrinsic, properties including ultimate force (Fu; height of curve) and stiffness (S; slope of curve) were calculated from load-displacement curves. MicroCT images of the right tibia were scanned using Bruker Skyscan1174 compact micro-CT (Belgium), software version 1.5 (build 9) using NRecon version 1.6.4.8 for reconstructed images.

2.6 PCR and Western blotting

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol and cDNA was synthesized using Quantitect reverse transcription kit (catalog no. 205310; Qiagen). Expression was analyzed quantitatively by means of the Quantitect SYBR Green PCR kit (catalog no. 204143, Qiagen), and QuantiTect Primer Assays. We used specific primers provided by QuantiTect Primer Assays for Myostatin, Murf1, MaFbx, MHC, IGF-1, Runx2, Osx, BMP-2 and 18S, GAPDH and β-actin (internal controls; Table 1). Half of each extensor digitorum longus muscle was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for Western blotting. Each muscle was placed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and subjected to homogenization using Fisherbrand Tissuemiser® rotary homogenizer until large pieces of muscle were no longer visible. Samples were subjected to two freeze-thaw cycles to disrupt the plasma membrane then centrifuged briefly. Protein concentrations were measured using a commercial BCA reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL) to ensure equal loading. 30 μ g of proteins from whole tissue lysates were mixed 1:1 with 2× sample buffer and then applied to 4-20 % polyacrylamide gels. Samples were electrophoretically separated and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The membranes were incubated with specific primary antibodies MURF1 (Abcam cat. 77577) or MAFbx (Santa Cruz Biotech cat. 166806) and then incubated with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz, CA). After the incubation, the membranes were washed three times for 15 min each with $1 \times$ TTBS solution and then incubated with 1 ml of chemiluminescence reagent (Invitrogen). The protein bands were visualized using X-ray films (Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Single-factor ANOVA with treatment group as the factor was used to for pairwise comparisons of morphometric and histomorphometric parameters. For analysis of gene expression data, the control genes of 18S and Actin were averaged to obtain an average control gene for muscle tissue while GAPDH was used as the control gene for bone. Difference in control gene Ct expression between GDF-8 and vehicle was assessed using a two-sample t-test. Delta Ct values for each treatment group were calculated as $\Delta Ct = CtTarget$ gene – CtControl gene. The difference in ΔCt expression between GDF-8 and vehicle was assessed using a two-sample t-test. The magnitude of the difference between the groups was estimated using deltadelta Ct values for each target gene and these were calculated as $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta CtGDF-8 - \Delta Ctvehicle and fold change was calculated as 2 to the power <math>-\Delta\Delta Ct$. SAS® version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) was used for all analyses and alpha=0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1 Myostatin propeptide increases muscle mass and fiber size in aged mice

Body weight of the vehicle- and propeptide-treated animals was similar at the end of the study (Fig 2A). Each treatment group did, however, lose some weight over the treatment period but this was less dramatic for the treated animals, such that their decrease in body weight from day 0 to day 25 was significantly less than that of the vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 2B). Muscle mass of the tibialis anterior was significantly increased in the treated mice, both absolutely (Fig. 3A) and relative to body weight (Fig. 3B). Fiber size of the predominantly fast-twitch extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscle was also significantly increased by more than 15% in the treated mice (Fig. 3C,D), whereas the increase in muscle fiber size in the predominantly slow-twitch soleus (SOL) muscle was also increased significantly (Fig. 3E) but by a lesser magnitude (~5%). Propeptide treatment produced a slight but non-significant increase in the expression of myostatin itself, as well as expression of myosin heavy chain and IGF-1 (Fig. 4A). Surprisingly, expression of the ubiquitin ligases Murf1 and Mafbx was significantly increased with propeptide treatment (Fig. 4A), and the PCR data were further validated by Western blot (Fig. 4B).

3.2 Myostatin inhibitor does not alter bone formation or bone strength in aged mice

MicroCT data from the tibia show that bone mineral density is actually slightly higher (3%) in the tibias of vehicle-treated mice (Table 2), but other parameters such as bone volume relative to total volume, trabecular number, and trabecular thickness are similar between the two groups (Table 2). Likewise, three-point bending tests of tibias show that ultimate force, stiffness, and toughness (energy to fracture) are also similar between the vehicle- and propeptide-treated mice (Table 2). Bone histomorphometry data reveal that osteoblast and osteoclast numbers do not differ between the experimental groups (Table 3). Fluorochrome labeling showed double-labels in only three mice from each group, and so single-labeled surfaces were compared. Actively mineralizing surfaces were also similar between the two groups of mice (Table 3). Gene expression data show no significant differences in the expression of osteogenic genes Osx or Runx2 with propeptide treatment, however the expression of BMP-2 is increased in animals receiving the propeptide (Fig. 4C).

4. Discussion

Pharmacological inhibition of myostatin has, to date, been pursued using a variety of in vivo approaches. These include utilization of myostatin-specific antibodies (Bogdanovich et al., 2002; Wagner et al., 2008; LeBrasseur et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2010), a decoy myostatin receptor (ActRIIB-Fc; Lee et al., 2005; Bialek et al. 2008; Borgstein et al., 2009; Chiu et al., 2013), and myostatin propeptide (Bogdanovich et al., 2005; Hamrick et al., 2010b). Published data now exist in which each of these therapies has been evaluated in aged rodents, so that some comparison of the different approaches can be undertaken. Our data using a myostatin propeptide are consistent with data from studies using myostatin antibodies, where these myostatin inhibitors were found to have significant, positive effects on muscle mass, fiber size, and muscle force production. Specifically, LeBrasseur et al. (2009) used a slightly higher dose (25 mg/kg) than we used in our study (20 mg/kg), but also used weekly injections of a myostatin inhibitor (PF-354 antibody) over a period of 4 weeks in mice 24 months of age. They too found a moderate (<10%) in muscle mass and a significant increase in muscle mass relative to body weight (+12-17%), as we did for the tibialis anterior muscle relative to body weight (+~15%). Murphy et al. (2010), like LeBrasseur et al. (2009), used weekly doses of the PF-354 antibody but used a lower dose (10 mg/kg) for a longer treatment period—14 weeks of treatment starting in mice aged 18 months. These authors found increases in overall muscle mass (<10%) in the gastrocnemius

and quadriceps of the aged mice following 14 weeks of treatment, and a significant increase in (+12%) in muscle fiber cross-sectional area of the tibialis anterior muscle, that were similar in magnitude to the changes we observed with propeptide treatment. Together, these studies using myostatin antibodies and our study using the myostatin propeptide show similar increases in muscle fiber size and muscle mass using either a low dose (10 mg/kg) over a longer (14 week) treatment period, or a higher dose (20–25 mg/kg) over a shorter treatment period (4 weeks).

Data from in vivo studies using either the myostatin antibody or the propeptide differ in two important ways from those utilizing the decoy myostatin receptor (ActRIIB-Fc). First, a 10 mg/kg dose of ActRIIB-Fc administered twice weekly for four weeks increased tibialis anterior mass by 30% and quadriceps mass by 25% (Chiu et al., 2013). These increases in muscle mass are much greater than those observed with either the myostatin antibody or propeptide, which as noted above generated increases in total muscle mass of <10%. It is possible that these differences could be due to the more frequent administration of the ActRIIB-Fc, but the ActRIIB-Fc dose is much lower than that used in either our study or the study by LeBrasseur et al. (2009), suggesting that the ActRIIB-Fc is a more potent molecule for increasing muscle mass in aged mice. The reason for the greater potency of the ActRIIB-Fc for increasing muscle mass is likely because this molecule can bind several ligands in addition to myostatin, including activin, BMP-3, BMP-7, BMP-9, BMP-10, and GDF-11 (Souza et al., 2008). Some of these molecules, such as activin, are also likely to play a role in regulating muscle mass, which is further indicated by the fact that ActRIB-Fc treatment can increase muscle mass in mice that lack myostatin altogether (Lee et al., 2005). The second way in which our data differ from those using ActRIIB-Fc is related to the effects on bone. ActRIIB-Fc treatment was previously documented to increase bone formation and bone mass in young, growing mice (Bialek et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2008), and the data from Chiu et al. (2013) are consistent with this earlier report in showing that ActRIIB-Fc increases bone density and serum markers of bone formation in aged mice after just 30 days of treatment. In contrast, our data revealed no bone effects with myostatin propeptide treatment. These data may indicate that, as proposed by Chiu et al. (2013), the anabolic effects of ActRIIB-Fc on bone are due to antagonizing effects on ligands other than myostatin, such as various BMPs or activin.

Previous work in our lab showed that myostatin can inhibit the proliferation of aged bone marrow stromal cells (Bowser et al., 2013), that bone marrow stromal cells from mice lacking myostatin show increased proliferation (Elkasrawy et al., 2011), and that myostatin can inhibit chondrogenesis in vivo and in vitro (Elksrawy et al., 2012). These data may at least in part explain the increased fracture callus size following osteotomy in mice lacking myostatin (Kellum et al., 2009), and increased fracture callus bone volume in mice treated with myostatin propeptide following osteotomy (Hamrick et al., 2010). That is, myostatin seems to play a key role in musculoskeletal injury repair, one in which myostatin secretion from muscle is elevated following muscle damage, and then mediates the repair response in adjacent bone by modulating progenitor cell proliferation (Elkawrawy et al., 2012). On the other hand, myostatin appears to have a more limited role in mature, intact bone. This is indicated by the fact that myostatin itself is not expressed at a significant level by osteoblasts (Digirolamo et al., 2011), and that myostatin inhibition via propeptide treatment in adult mice does not significantly alter osteoblast number, mineralizing surfaces, or bone strength (Tables 1 and 2). Thus, therapeutic targeting of myostatin specifically via antibody or propeptide treatment may have clinical application in the context of improving muscle mass alone, or improving the healing of muscle and bone following injury, but is not likely to have a significant impact on bone formation in the intact, aged animal. In contrast, the decoy myostatin receptor (ActRIIB-Fc) appears capable of increasing muscle mass, bone formation, and bone strength in aged rodents, suggesting that this molecule may have

potential clinical use for age-associated loss of both muscle and bone in the form of sarcopenia and osteoporosis.

Muscle and bone are closely associated spatially and in terms of structure and function during growth, development, and aging. Muscle in particular has been considered a driving force for bone modeling and remodeling, in that muscle is the primary source of mechanical stimuli for bone and bone tissue is thought to adapt its gross structure in response to musclederived stimuli. Thus, targeting muscle therapeutically is thought to be one approach for improving bone health, simply by enhancing the mechanical relationship between muscle and bone. On the other hand, a large portion of osteoporotic fractures do not occur in individuals with low bone density as measured by two-dimensional densitometry, and so fall prevention alone may be another strategy for reducing falls and fall-associated morbidity and mortality in the elderly (Jarvinen et al., 2008). Behavioral interventions such as resistance exercise or nutritional interventions such as vitamin D supplementation (Girgis et al., 2013) may improve muscle strength and/or neuromuscular control and proprioception, perhaps reducing fall risk. The extent to which myostatin inhibition may augment such strategies remains relatively unexplored. Mice are relatively small in body weight and their bones are capable of withstanding loads many times their own body mass--for example it takes more than 2 kg of force to fracture the tibia of a 32 g mouse (Fig. 1, Table 2). Thus, increases in muscle mass in these small mammals may not significantly alter the mechanical environment of their bones. Additional studies in patient populations are needed to determine the extent to which therapeutic targeting of muscle alone via a myostatin antibody or propeptide, perhaps in conjunction with an exercise regimen, could reduce the incidence of bone fractures versus a molecule such as ActRIIB-Fc, that may potentially increase the mass and strength of both muscle and bone.

5. Conclusions

We tested the hypothesis that in vivo inhibition of myostatin using an injectable myostatin propeptide (GDF8 propeptide-Fc) would increase both muscle mass and bone density in aged (24 mo) mice. Our goal was to evaluate this potential therapeutic for its capacity to increase both muscle and bone mass in the setting of age-associated sarcopenia and osteoporosis. Mice were injected weekly (20 mg/kg body weight) with recombinant myostatin propeptide-Fc (PRO) or vehicle (VEH; saline) for four weeks. The data show that PRO treatment significantly increases muscle fiber size and muscle mass, both absolutely and relative to body weight. In contrast bone volume, bone strength, and histomorphometric parameters of bone formation and bone resorption were unchanged with PRO treatment. Our findings are consistent with previous studies utilizing a myostatin antibody in aged mice showing that targeting myostatin increases muscle fiber size and mass; however, our data differ from work utilizing a decoy myostatin receptor (ActRIIB-Fc) to inhibit myostatin function in that ActRIIB-Fc appears particularly effective at increasing bone density and bone formation whereas the propeptide does not. The anabolic effects of ActRIIB-Fc on aged bone are likely due to the ability of this molecule to antagonize other ligands besides myostatin, such as activin or bone morphogenetic proteins. Clinical trials evaluating the potential of these molecules to prevent falls and fractures are needed to determine the optimal approaches for reducing musculoskeletal diseases and complications in the elderly.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, Department of the Army (CDMRP093619) and the National Institute on Aging (P01 AG036675).

References

- Bertram M, Norman R, Kemp L, Vos T. Review of the long-term disability associated with hip fractures. Inj Prev. 2011; 17:365–70. [PubMed: 21486987]
- Bialek P, Parkington J, Warner L, St Andre M, Jian L, Gavin D, Wallace C, Zhang J, Yan G, Root A, Seeherman H, Yaworsky P. Mice treated with a myostatin/GDF-8 decoy receptor, ActRIB-Fc, exhibit a tremendous increase in bone mass. Bone. 2008; 42:S46.
- Bogdanovich S, Krag T, Barton ER, Morris LD, Whittemore LA, Ahima RS, Khurana T. Functional improvement of dystrophic muscle by myostatin blockade. Nature. 2002; 420:418–21. [PubMed: 12459784]
- Bogdanovich S, Perkins K, Krag T, Whittemore L, Khurana T. Myostatin-propeptide mediated amelioration of dystrophic pathophysiology. FASEB J. 2005; 19:543–549. [PubMed: 15791004]
- Borgstein N, Condon C, Yang Y, Wilson D, Haltom E, Lachey J, Seehra J, Sherman M. Preliminary results from single subcutaneous administration of ACE-031, a form of the soluble activin typeII B receptor, in healthy postmenopausal volunteers. Neuromusc Disorders. 2009; 19:546.
- Bowser M, Herberg S, Arounleut P, Shi X, Fulzele S, Hill WD, Isales CM, Hamrick MW. Effects of the activin A-myostatin-follistatin system on aging bone and muscle progenitor cells. Exp Gerontol. 2013; 48:290–97. [PubMed: 23178301]
- Chiu CS, Peekhaus N, Weber H, Adamski S, Murray EM, Zhang HZ, Zhao JZ, Ernst R, Lineberger J, Huang L, Hampton R, Arnold BA, Vitelli S, Hamuro L, Wang WR, Wei N, Dillon GM, Miao J, Alves SE, Glantschnig H, Wang F, Wilkinson HA. Increased Muscle Force Production and Bone Mineral Density in ActRIIB-Fc-Treated Mature Rodents. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013 Mar 22. Epub ahead of print.
- Digirolamo D, Singhal V, Clemens T, Lee S-J. Systemic administration of soluble activin receptors produces differential anabolic effects in muscle and bone in mice. J Bone Miner Res suppl. 2011:1167.
- Elkasrawy MN, Hamrick MW. Myostatin (GDF-8) as a key factor linking muscle mass and bone structure. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2010; 10:56–63. [PubMed: 20190380]
- Elkasrawy MN, Fulzele S, Bowser M, Wenger K, Hamrick MW. Myostatin (GDF-8) inhibits chondrogenesis and chondrocyte proliferation in vitro by suppressing Sox-9 expression. Growth Factors. 2011; 29:253–62. [PubMed: 21756198]
- Elkasrawy M, Immel D, Wen X, Liu X, Liang L, Hamrick MW. Immunolocalization of myostatin (GDF-8) following musculoskeletal injury and the effects of exogenous myostatin on muscle and bone healing. J Histochem Cytochem. 2012; 60:22–30. [PubMed: 22205678]
- Girgis CM, Clifton-Bligh R, Hamrick MW, Holick MF, Gunton JE. The roles of vitamin D in skeletal muscle: form, function and metabolism. Endocrine Reviews. 2013; 34:33–83. [PubMed: 23169676]
- Hamrick MW, Ding KH, Pennington C, Chao YJ, Wu YD, Howard B, Immel D, Borlongan C, McNeil PL, Bollag WB, Curl WW, Yu J, Isales CM. Age-related loss of muscle mass and bone strength in mice is associated with a decline in physical activity and serum leptin. Bone. 2006a; 39:845–853. [PubMed: 16750436]
- Hamrick MW, Samaddar T, Pennington C, McCormick J. Increased muscle mass with myostatin deficiency improves gains in bone strength with exercise. J Bone Miner Res. 2006b; 21:477–483. [PubMed: 16491296]
- Hamrick MW, Ding KH, Ponnala S, Ferrari SL, Isales CM. Caloric restriction decreases cortical bone mass but spares trabecular bone in the mouse skeleton: implications for the regulation of bone mass by body weight. J Bone Miner Res. 2008; 23:870–879. [PubMed: 18435579]
- Hamrick MW. Invited Perspective: Myostatin (GDF8) as a therapeutic target for the prevention of osteoporotic fractures. IBMS BoneKey. 2010; 7:8–17.
- Hamrick MW, McNeil PL, Patterson SL. Role of muscle-derived growth factors in bone formation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2010a; 10:64–70. [PubMed: 20190381]
- Hamrick MW, Arounleut P, Kellum E, Cain M, Immel D, Liang L. Recombinant myostatin (GDF-8) propeptide enhances the repair and regeneration of both muscle and bone in a model of deep penetrant musculoskeletal injury. J Trauma. 2010b; 69:579–83. [PubMed: 20173658]

- Hamrick MW. A role for myokines in muscle-bone interactions. Ex Sports Sci Revs. 2011; 39:43–47.
- Hamrick MW. The skeletal muscle secretome: an emerging player in muscle-bone crosstalk. Nature Bonekey. 2012; 60:1–5.
- Jarvinen T, Sievanen H, Khan K, Heinonen A, Kannus P. Shifting the focus in fracture prevention from osteoporosis to falls. BMJ. 2008; 336:124–126. [PubMed: 18202065]
- Jiang MS, Liang LF, Wang S, Ratovitski T, Holmstrom J, Barker C, Stotish R. Characterization and identification of the inhibitory domain of GDF-8 propeptide. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004; 315:525–31. [PubMed: 14975732]
- Kellum E, Starr H, Arounleut P, Immel D, Fulzele S, Wenger K, Hamrick MW. Myostatin (GDF-8) deficiency increases fracture callus size, Sox-5 expression, and callus bone volume. Bone. 2009; 44:17–23. [PubMed: 18852073]
- LeBrasseur NK, Schelhorn TM, Bernardo BL, Cosgrove PG, Loria PM, Brown TA. Myostatin inhibition enhances the effects of exercise on performance and metabolic outcomes in aged mice. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2009; 64:940–8. [PubMed: 19483181]
- Lee SJ, Reed LA, Davies MV, Girgenrath S, Goad ME, Tomkinson KN, Wright JF, Barker C, Ehrmantraut G, Holmstrom J, Trowell B, Gertz B, Jiang MS, Sebald SM, Matzuk M, Li E, Liang LF, Quattlebaum E, Stotish RL, Wolfman NL. Regulation of muscle growth by multiple ligands signaling through activin type II receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:18117–22. [PubMed: 16330774]
- Murphy K, Koopman R, Naim T, Leger B, Trieu J, Ibebunjo C, Lynch GS. Antibody-directed myostatin inhibition in 21-mo-old mice reveals novel roles for myostatin signaling in skeletal muscle structure and function. FASEB J. 2010; 24:4433–42. [PubMed: 20624929]
- Sànchez-Riera L, Wilson N, Kamalaraj N, Nolla JM, Kok C, Li Y, Macara M, Norman R, Chen JS, Smith EU, Sambrook PN, Hernández CS, Woolf A, March L. Osteoporosis and fragility fractures. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2010; 24:793–810. [PubMed: 21665127]
- Souza TA, Chen X, Guo Y, Sava P, Zhang J, Hill JJ, Yaworsky PJ, Qiu Y. Proteomic identification and functional validation of activins and bone morphogenetic protein 11 as candidate novel muscle mass regulators. Mol Endocrinol. 2008; 22:2689–702. [PubMed: 18927237]
- Wagner KR, Fleckenstein JL, Amato AA, Barohn RJ, Bushby K, et al. A phase I/II trial of MYO-029 in adult subjects with muscular dystrophy. Ann Neurol. 2008; 63:561–71. [PubMed: 18335515]
- Wenger K, Fulzele S, Immel D, Chao Y, Freeman D, Elsalanty M, Powell B, Hamrick MW, Isales CM, Yu J. Effect of whole body vibration on bone properties in aging mice. Bone. 2010; 47:746– 55. [PubMed: 20638490]



Figure 1.

Myostatin-induced luciferase activity declines significantly with increasing concentration of myostatin propeptide. The pGL3(CAGA)12 – neo reporter vector contains 12 CAGA boxes previously reported to be TGF- β -responsive elements (Dennler et al. (1998) EMBO J. 17:3091–3100), a neo resistance gene, and the basic luciferase reporter plasmid pGL3 (Promega Corporation).







Body mass (A) and change in body weight (B) for animals treated with either saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for over four weeks.









Figure 3.

Muscle parameters for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for a period of four weeks. (A) Tibialis anterior mass, (B) tibialis anterior mass relative to body weight, (C) extensor digitorum longus fiber diameter (EDL), (D) alpha-laminin stained cryostat sections of the EDL, (E) soleus muscle fiber diameter.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript







Exp Gerontol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 February 20.

в

Figure 4.

Real-time PCR data for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO) weekly for a period of four weeks showing increased expression of Murf1 and Mafbx in PRO-treated mice (A), Western blot showing similar increases in Murf1 and Mafbx with PRO-treatmend (B), and increased expression of BMP-2 in mice treated with propeptide (C). *P<.05.

Table 1

Nucleotide sequences of mouse primers used for RT-PCR

Gene	Primer	Reference/Accession Number
GAPDH	CAT GGC CTC CAA GGA GTA AGA GAG GGA GAT GCT CAG TGT TGG	M32599
18S	AGT GCG GGT CAT AAG CTT GC GGG CCT CAC TAA AC CAT CCA	V00851
β-actin	GTT TGA GAC CTT CAA CAC CCC GTG GCC ATC TCC TGC TCG AAG TC	Meredith et al 2011 [*]
Mstn	ACT GGA CCT CTC GAT AGA ACA CTC ACT TAG TGC TGT GTG TGT GGA GAT	NM_010834 2
IGF-1	CAG ACA GGA GCC CAG GAA AG AAG TGC CGT ATC CCA GAG GA	NM_184052
MHC	ACA GTC AGA GGT GTG ACTC AGC CG CCG ACT TGC GGA GGA AAG GTG C	NM_001099635
Murf1	GGAGCAGCTGGAAAAGTCCACC AGCTGCTTGGCACTTGAGAGGA	NM_001039048.2
Mafbx	CAGCTTCGTGAGCGACCTC GGCAGTCGAGAAGTCCAGTC	NM_026346
BMP-2	TGT TTG GCC TGA AGC AGA GA TGA GTG CCT GCG GTA CAG AT	NM_007553 2
RUNX-2	GGA AAG GCA CTG ACT GAC CTA ACA AAT TCT AAG CTT GGG AGG A	NM_009820
Osx	ACT ACC CAC CCT TCC CTC AC ACT AGG CAG GCA GTC AGA CG	AY803733

* Meredith ME, Harrison FE, May JM. Differential regulation of the ascorbic acid transporter SVCT2 during development and in response to ascorbic acid depletion. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2011 Nov 4;414(4):737–42.

Table 2

microCT and biomechanical testing of the proximal tibia for mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO; 20 mg/kg).

Parameter	VEH (n=14)	PRO (n=15)	p value
BMD	1.43±0.06	1.38±0.05	.01
BV/TV	6.67±2.37	6.14±2.16	.24
Tb. Th	0.11±0.02	0.11±0.01	.47
Tb. N	$0.59{\pm}0.14$	0.54 ± 0.16	.23
Fu (kg)	2.21±.40	$2.18 \pm .34$.39
U (kg/um ²)	740.6±417 5	670 3±309	.31
S (g/um)	4.6±2.0	4.7±2.0	.44

BMD=bone mineral density, BV/TV=bone volume relative to total volume, Tb.Th=trabecular thickness, Tb.N=trabecular number, Fu=ultimate force, U=energy-to-fracture, S=stiffness.

Table 3

Bone histomorphometry data for the distal femur of mice treated with saline (VEH) or myostatin propeptide (PRO; 20 mg/kg).

Parameter	VEH (n=15)	PRO (n=14)	p value
N.Ob/BS	27.26±17.49	25.09±9.31	.14
MS/BS	0.41±0.17	0.43±0.13	.34
N.Oc/BS	6.33±2.61	6.18±3.82	.38

N.Ob/BS=osteoblast number per bone surface, MS/BS=mineralizing surface (single-label) relative to bone surface, N.Oc/BS=osteoclast number per bone surface.