

US Army Corps of Engineers® Engineer Research and Development Center



Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR)

Preliminary Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for Drainage and Erosion Control at McMurdo Station

Rosa Affleck and Meredith Carr

December 2014



The U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) solves the nation's toughest engineering and environmental challenges. ERDC develops

innovative solutions in civil and military engineering, geospatial sciences, water resources, and environmental sciences for the Army, the Department of Defense, civilian agencies, and our nation's public good. Find out more at <u>www.erdc.usace.army.mil</u>.

To search for other technical reports published by ERDC, visit the ERDC online library at http://acwc.sdp.sirsi.net/client/default.

Preliminary Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for Drainage and Erosion Control at McMurdo Station

Rosa Affleck and Meredith Carr

Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290

Final Report

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics Arlington, VA 22230

Under Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) EP-ANT-13-04, "McMurdo Drainage Design Solutions"

Abstract

During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually warms up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff. This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air temperature and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants into to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintenance of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.

DISCLAIMER: The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. All product names and trademarks cited are the property of their respective owners. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

Contents

Ab	stract		II
IIIı	strations .		v
Pre	eface		vi
Ac	ronyms an	d Abbreviations	vii
Un	it Convers	ion Factors	viii
1	Purpose	and Applicability	1
2	Fxecutiv	e Summary	3
		akaraund	ر
	2.1 Da	culta cummary for the Afflock at all studies	
		Source Summary for the American et al. Studies	o o
	2.2.1	Showment runon distribution	8
	2.2.2	Plutant concentration in runoff	10
	2.2.3 2.3 Mit	ronulant concentration in runon	21 1 <i>1</i>
	2.3 11		+1
	2.3.1	Culvert remediation	14 15
	2.3.2	Sediment ponds	10 25
	2.3.3	Sediments and pollutants	20
	2.3.5	Snow dump locations	20
3	Practice	s and Procedures	28
	3.1 Sn	ow dumping	28
	3.1.1	General best management practices (during winter months)	
	3.1.2	Removal areas	
	3.1.3	Disposal locations	
	3.2 Dra	ainage channels	29
	3.2.1	General best management practices (during summer months before snow	00
	2 2 2 2	melung occurs)	29
	3.2.2 3.2 Ma	Show cleaning in channels and drainage dicches	29 20
	3.3 We		
	$3.4 \ 00$	Constal bast management prostings	±3
	3.4.1	Quivert winter maintenance	31 20
	3.4.2 35 FLA		3∠ ຊາ
	3.5 110	Temporany waire (installation and removal)	∠دعد دد
	350.1	Rock weir installation procedures	∠کع د د
	3.3.2 3.5.2	Wooden weir installation procedure	33 21
	36 50	diment nonds	34 36
	0.0 00		

	3.7	Ground modification and new construction (site work, slope, and landscaping)	36
4	Way	Forward	38
Re	ferend	ces	39
Ap	pendi	x A: Wooden Weir Material Assembly and Preparation	40
Re	port D	ocumentation Page	

Illustrations

Figures

1	McMurdo Station watershed and sub-basin boundaries (after Affleck et al. 2012a)	5
2	Average maximum and minimum daily temperatures, 1973–2008 (after Affleck et al. 2012a)	7
3	Maximum summer temperatures at McMurdo, several years of record (after Affleck et al. 2014a). The black line at 0 °C is the melting point	7
4	Net accumulated thawing degree days when average daily air temperatures are above 0°C, for three summers at McMurdo (after Affleck et al. 2014a)	8
5	McMurdo Station drainage system	9
6	Flow recorded during the 2010–2011 season at location S2B in channel 2BU from Fig. 5 (after Affleck et al. 2014a)	10
7	Lag time between peak temperature and flow related to Δ_{max} ATDD _{net} with the 95% confident limits based on 1.96 times the standard error of the regression (black solid line)	12
8	Concentration of heavy metals during flow events at channel locations S1, S2C S3A, S6, and S7 (after Affleck et al. 2014b). The blue line across the y-axis indicates the chronic limits for saltwater	13
9	Existing culvert locations	24
10	Proposed sediment basin locations	25
11	Snow dump locations	29
12	Instruction for the Peak Flow Prediction Worksheet	30
13	Input table for the Peak Flow Prediction Worksheet	31
14	Output table for the Peak Flow Prediction Worksheet	31
15	Standard design for a rock check dam (not drawn to scale)	34
16	Standard design for wooden check dam or similar approach (not drawn to scale)	35

Tables

1	Summary of warming events and their characteristics, 2009–2011	11
2	Recommended weirs on selected reaches	15
3	Existing qualitative culvert characteristics, conditions, and recommendations for mitigation. Colors are preliminary conditional coding: orange indicates that the culvert requires immediate attention; yellow indicates that the culver should be	
	under consideration for attention	16
4	Recommended design ranges for sediment ponds	26

Preface

This study was conducted for the National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Polar Programs (PLR), under Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) EP-ANT-13-04, "McMurdo Drainage Design Solutions." The technical monitor was George L. Blaisdell, Chief Program Manager, NSF-PLR, U.S. Antarctic Program.

This report was prepared by Rosa Affleck (Force Projection and Sustainment Branch, Dr. Edel Cortez, Chief) and Dr. Meredith Carr (Remote Sensing/GIS and Water Resources Branch, Timothy Pangburn, Chief), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL)

The authors acknowledge Renee Melendy, Force Projection and Sustainment Branch, CRREL, for her office administrative and logistical support on this project.

Emily Moynihan provided our editing support. Terry Melendy and Lynette Barna (Force Projection and Sustainment Branch, CRREL) provided technical reviews.

At the time of publication, Janet Hardy was the program manager for EPOLAR; Dr. Lindamae Peck was Acting Chief of the Research and Engineering Division. The Deputy Director of ERDC-CRREL was Dr. Lance Hansen, and the Director was Dr. Robert Davis.

COL Jeffrey R. Eckstein was the Commander of ERDC, and Dr. Jeffery P. Holland was the Director.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CRREL	Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory
EPOLAR	Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics and Research
ERDC	U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center
NSF	National Science Foundation
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
РАН	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PLR	Division of Polar Programs
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SPAWAR	Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command
WQB	Winter Quarters Bay

Unit Conversion Factors

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain				
cubic feet	0.02831685	cubic meters				
degrees (angle)	0.01745329	radians				
feet	0.3048	meters				
inches	0.0254	meters				

1 Purpose and Applicability

Current practice by operations and maintenance (O&M) staff at McMurdo Station, Antarctica, to mitigate and minimize erosion is conducted without guidance nor a cohesive standard operating procedure (SOP). The current practice uses a reactive approach, using heavy equipment to widen ditches, to divert excess runoff to other areas, and to place temporary berms to contain the flow. This reactive approach may work temporarily and create fewer infrastructure disruptions; however, it is insufficient to prevent significant sediments (soil fines) and pollutants from running into Winter Quarters Bay (WQB) and McMurdo Sound.

The purpose of document is to establish steps and outline processes for an SOP necessary

- to reduce the erosion of material (soils or fines) by snowmelt runoff,
- to control flow velocity in channels during extreme runoff events, and
- to adopt applicable and effective engineering solutions and maintenance practices.

The processes and steps highlighted are intended for implementation by operational staff (part of the Antarctic Support Contract) and decision makers (the National Science Foundation) with the goal of incorporating various best management practices and using operational attention as proactive approaches to managing summertime runoff at McMurdo. Although staff members are experienced and knowledgeable regarding the timing for operation and maintenance of the drainage systems at the Station, this document emphasizes other factors that may help to predict significant discharge.

This SOP was developed based on our data measurements and analyses, documented by Affleck (2013) and Affleck et al. (2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c) at the Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), Hanover, NH.

This SOP document is organized as follows:

- The *Executive Summary*, Section 2, highlights the background, summary, and recommendations compiled from the studies and analyses of snowmelt runoff and its impacts on drainage at McMurdo Station (Affleck 2013; Affleck et al. 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c).
- The *Practices and Procedures*, Section 3, addresses the procedural processes and steps for operation and maintenance of the drainage system.

The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintenance of in-town roads and the drainage system at McMurdo Station. The processes and steps referred to in this document (Section 3) will require validation to incorporate lessons learned and to promote the best practices.

2 Executive Summary

This section summarizes field measurements, data analyses, and modeling studies. More detailed information for this section can be found in Affleck (2013) and Affleck et al. (2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c).

2.1 Background

During austral summer, runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. Melting of snow and glacier ice is the primary driver of this runoff (Affleck et al. 2012a). The major drainage paths at McMurdo Station are typically filled with snow and ice during the winter months. To accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff as the temperature gradually rises, O&M staff manually clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels by using manpower and heavy equipment. The drainage system at McMurdo Station was not holistically or appropriately designed, and it seemed to be an afterthought when the Station was developed. Snowmelt runoff passes through the Station via a system of drainage ditches, gullies, and culverts. The major flow paths are welldefined, earthen ditches that cross under the existing roads via culverts (Affleck et al. 2012b). Ice accumulates in culverts; and to accommodate major runoff, O&M removes ice from a couple of major culverts by using controlled blasting (using explosives) and flushing the ice with high water pressure. A few culverts have heat trace system installed to eliminate ice accumulation, but not all of them function properly. Some culverts are rusted while others are under-designed. Most of these drainage channels have steep sides or embankment slopes and steep in-channel gradients, causing an increased runoff velocity and channel embankment instability. Ultimately, the snowmelt runoff discharges directly into WQB and McMurdo Sound at several outlets.

The McMurdo Station watershed is one of the southernmost basins that annually experiences active water flow (Figure 1). The watershed is divided into six basins. Three major sub-basins (1, 2, and 3) are located north of the Station and are largely covered with a perennial snow and glacial cover. The other three sub-basins (5, 6, and 7) are relatively small. Subbasin 1 drains the area from the west along Hut Point Ridge and Arrival Heights, then along the road, and down Hut Point Road. Sub-basin 2 has the largest area and encompasses the majority of the snowfield and the depression above Gasoline Alley. Sub-basin 3 includes the area north of the Main Road, then adjacent to Crater Hill, loops around a portion of the snowfield, and continues on the east at the T-Site. Snowmelt runoff from sub-basins 2 and 3 merges downstream into WQB. Sub-basin 5 drains the area around the dorm, along the road towards the bay, and below the Water Treatment Plant. Sub-basin 6 is composed of the area south of the dorms and Main Road, along the road to the Chalet, and down to the road along the bay. Sub-basin 7 is the area south of the fuel tanks, around Observation Hill, and below the Helo Pad.

The soil at McMurdo is permeable and derived from weathered volcanic rocks that are primarily gravel with minimal fines (i.e., sand and silts). The soil has no organic content. Subsurface temperature measurements by Affleck et al. (2012a) found that a permeable active layer exists between 15.2 and 30.5 cm (6 and 12 in.), underlain with cemented-ice materials (i.e., permafrost). Affleck et al. (2012a) found that lateral flows from ice melting in the subsurface (i.e., active layer) occurred above and along the impermeable frozen soil layer.

Soil freeze-thaw action disrupts soil structures, displaces soils particles, and creates voids both in seasonally frozen and permafrost areas, especially when the soil strata do not have organic support or cohesion. The phenomenon weakens the soils structure and leads to erosion and instability, typically in banks and slopes (Gatto 1995; Rollings and Rollings 1996). Bank soils can be highly erodible and unstable during the melting season, owing to excessive pore water pressure and disrupted soil structures, creating mass failures. At McMurdo, a 2009–2010 study (Affleck et al. 2012a) observed soil water piping (i.e., water flows through the coarsegrained permeable soil strata, discharging along the bank face). This was caused by excess pore water pressure. Soil piping removes soil particles from their in-situ position, leaving voids in the subsurface and weakening it as a result.

Frozen soils cannot be compacted satisfactorily, especially those with high ice content (Andersland and Ladanyi 1994). Ice just below the surface and partly in the subsurface melts during warm months. Because of ground variations, this condition creates depressions or low spots that cause meltwater to pond. This situation is common in some areas at McMurdo Station and can be found near or around buildings. In some cases, these areas are hard to get into with equipment because of utility pipes and other obstructions.



Figure 1. McMurdo Station watershed and sub-basin boundaries (after Affleck et al. 2012a).

Snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is caused by a truly dynamic processes in which the flow fluatuates diurnally in response to solar and tempetature input. The Affleck et al. (2014a) study developed a discharge curve rating for each channel by using the combined flow data measurements and establishing an estimate of the continuous flow along the major channels for the entire austral summer of 2010–2011. The Affleck et al.

(2014a) study quantified the frequency and probability distribution of the flow to determine the probability of occurrence of certain levels of discharge; the information provided the statistical distribution of flow to characterize the occurrence of certain levels of discharge. Lastly, Affleck et al. (2014a) related the timing of the runoff and peak flow to the maximum temperature and cloud cover. The cloud cover was used to calculate the percent clearness for infering the solar input. Based on a threshold clearness criterion and an observed correlation between the change in accumulated thawing degree days and peak temperatures, the lag time for when the peak discharge occurs can be estimated from observed climate data. Peak discharge appears to occur from 4 to 14 days after a peak temperature (Affleck et al. 2014a). These climate data predicting when peak discharges will occur are critical for operation, maintenance, and planning purposes.

The drainage channels intersect areas where vehicles are parked and also pass through a fuel pump location. When flow occurs, and especially during these high flow events, soil (particularly soil fines) and contaminants from day-to-day activities or from previous fuel spills move through these drainage paths and out to McMurdo Sound. The actual movement of pollutants through these drainage paths showed that the runoff contained significant concentration of contaminants (Affleck et al. 2014b). Pollutants analyzed included heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), total hydrocarbons, and volatile organic compounds. Sources of these contaminants are likely from areas where significant operational or day-to-day activities are performed, including cargo storage, equipment and materials storage pads, roads, and parking spaces. Given that the snowmelt runoff contained significant concentration of heavy metals and certain PAHs, prevention and mitigation are crucial for reducing contamination at McMurdo Station.

The daily air-temperature fluctuations at McMurdo depict several warming events occurring during the summer months (Figure 2). The warming and cooling trends observed in the 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 austral summers reflected these historical trends in temperature variations (Figure 3 shows maximum daily temperature). Warming events can occur as early as the first week of November (see summer 2010–2011) but are most common and have the most significant impact on flow in December and January.



Figure 2. Average maximum and minimum daily temperatures, 1973–2008 (after Affleck et al. 2012a).

Figure 3. Maximum summer temperatures at McMurdo, several years of record (after Affleck et al. 2014a). The black line at 0°C is the melting point.



Figure 4 shows the net accumulated thawing degree-days (*ATDD_{net}*), or the cumulative number of degree-days when the average daily air temperatures are above 0°C. Each time the *ATDD_{net}* rises, it indicates a warm spell; and the magnitude of the warm spell is indicated by the amplitude of the rise. The data show that 2009–2010 was a much cooler summer but that 2007–2008 and 2010–2011 were very similar to 2009–2010 with a strong warm spell in mid-December (about 15°C-days and 1.5 weeks long) and a shorter, smaller warm spell in mid-January (about 7°C-days and 3–4 days long) (Figure 4).





2.2 Results summary for the Affleck et al. studies

2.2.1 Snowmelt runoff distribution

The snowmelt runoff from sub-basin 2 discharges to the channel on Gasoline Alley while the snowmelt runoff from sub-basin 3 drains into roadside ditches with flow that is routed down along the Main Road (Figure 5). The runoff from sub-basins 2 and 3 merges into the channel labeled 2BU and continues along 2BD in Figure 5. The critical channels at McMurdo Station with significant runoff include S2C (along the Gasoline Alley, labeled as 2CD and 2CU in Figure 5, runoff from sub-basin 2) and S3A (the channel along the Main Road), which merge into S2B (channel labeled 2BU, runoff from sub-basin 3).



Figure 5. McMurdo Station drainage system.

Snowmelt runoff has been observed as it starts to seep out of the surface and appear in the lower channels as early as the third week of November. Flow measurements were conducted during two austral summers: 2009-2010 (Affleck et al. 2012a) and 2010–2011 (Affleck et al. 2014). In general, the continuous flow rate in these critical channels depicted large diurnal variations. Based on the continuous runoff data during the summer of 2010–2011, the measurable runoff data started on 11 December; and then it fluctuated and subsided for days (Figure 6). These patterns continued with varying flow peaks throughout the season. The maximum flow rate at S2C (along Gasoline Alley, channel 2CD in Figure 5) of 0.44 m³/s occurred on 22 December 2010. The estimated maximum flow at S2B (in channel 2BU in Figure 5) of 1.03 m³/s occurred on 27 December 2010 (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Flow recorded during the 2010–2011 season at location S2B in channel 2BU from Fig. 5 (after Affleck et al. 2014a).

2.2.2 Flow variations and timing

An extreme runoff event occurred at McMurdo Station in mid-December 2007 with very limited warning or time to respond and resulted in significant erosion (Affleck et al. 2014a). When this incident occurred, operations and maintenance staff took a reactive approach to mitigate and minimize erosion by using heavy equipment to open and widen ditches, to reroute or divert excess runoff to other areas, and to place temporary berms to contain the flow. This reactive approach has been the main O&M procedure for responding to extreme events. Although runoff events as extreme as December 2007 do not occur often and have not been quantitatively recorded and probability analysis conducted from flow measurements during the austral summer of 2010–2011 indicated that flows greater than 0.33 m^3 /s occur less than 5% of the time (Affleck et al. 2014a), events of this magnitude even only 5% of the time can cause significant damage that is easier prevented than repaired.

Knowing when runoff events occur in the summer is critical for proactive operation and maintenance of the drainage system. Affleck et al. (2014a) used air temperature and cloud cover as indicators to quantify the lag time and to identify when significant flow occurred. Indicators for air temperature were used based on the date when peak maximum temperature occurred, the start date when the temperature was above freezing for greater than 3 consecutive days, and the corresponding maximum change (Δ_{max}) in $ATDD_{net}$ (in °C-days). The indicator for daily cloud cover is expressed in terms of clearness to represent the solar input. Clearness was evaluated as 100% minus the reported cloudiness (%) and used the maximum clearness over the first three days above freezing. Lag time is the indicator used to represent the time period between peak temperature and peak flow (in days).

Using the indicators approach, Affleck et al. (2014a) investigated data from two Austral summers: 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 (Table 1). A plot of lag time versus change in $ATDD_{net}$ suggests an exponential trend between the severity of the warm up (Figure 7) expressed as $\Delta_{max} ATDD_{net}$ (°C-days) over three days around the peak temperatures and the lag time until peak flow occurs. The results provide a set of conditions such that peak flow can be expected about 10 days after a peak temperature and clearness exceeding 25%. For estimating the number of days until peak flow, the $\Delta_{max} ATDD_{net}$ can be input into Figure 7 to establish an approximation for the lag time.

Austral Summer	Date of Peak Temp	Max Temperature (°C)	Max Clearness over 3 Days	<i>∆_{max} ATDD_{net}</i> (°C-days)	Date of Peak Discharge <i>Q</i>	Magnitude of Peak <i>Q</i> (m ³ /s)	Δ Peak Temp to Peak <i>Q</i> (Days)
2009-2010	11/25	1.50	59%	1.5	12/9	4.89	14
	12/8	5.72	45%	7.0	12/17	3.41	9
	12/19	2.89	28%	2.9	1/2	1.87	14
	1/2	3.78	30%	3.8	1/11	1.29	9
	1/11	2.28	67%	3.8	1/23	2.87	12
2010-2011	11/10	1.39	64%	2.0	11/21	0.27	11
	12/10	7.50	72%	10.8	12/14	0.18	4
	12/13	4.11	56%	6.9	12/24	0.82	11
	12/18	2.78	45%	4.3	12/27	1.03	9
	12/22	3.00	33%	5.9	1/2	0.24	11
	12/27	4.61	56%	7.5	1/6	0.55	10
	1/18	4.72	45%	9.2	1/24	0.54	6
		min	28%	1.5			4
		max	72%	10.8			14
		mean	51%	5.5			10

Table 1. Summary of warming events and their characteristics, 2009–2011.

Q = Discharge

We have developed a spreadsheet to estimate when the peak discharge could occur by using the climate data available at McMurdo Station from SPAWAR (Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, <u>www.spawar.navy.mil</u>) or through Antarctic Meteorological Research Center (<u>http://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu</u>). Populating the spreadsheet is discussed in Section 3.3.





2.2.3 Pollutant concentration in runoff

To understand what types of analytes were present in the runoff and diverted into WQB, we used the limits for water quality to quantify pollutant concentrations. Five times during the 2010–2011 austral summer, water samples from the runoff were collected in locations S1, S2C S3A, S6, and S7 (Figure 1). These water samples were sent to a laboratory in New Zealand that analyzed them for pollutants, including heavy metals, PAHs, total hydrocarbons, and volatile organic compounds (Affleck et al. 2014b). The results characterized the concentration levels in the runoff at various locations during the first flush, peak flow of the first flush, and sequential major peak flow events of the season. Heavy metals were present in the water in all of the channels throughout the sampling events, and the concentrations for heavy metals were elevated during the first flush when flow began and during the first significant flow. The analytes of most concern at McMurdo Station included cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel,

and zinc. Also, the concentrations for selected PAHs, such as acenaphthene, fluoranthene, fluorine, phenanthrene, and pyrene, were elevated during the first peak flow but diminished in the subsequent peak flows later in the season.





Using the limits from USEPA (2013) and Nagpal (1995) for acute and chronic exposure for aquatic life, Affleck et al. (2014b) found that cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc exceeded the chronic limit for aquatic water quality (saltwater) in several of the sampling locations (Figure 8). When these analytes are compared to their respective chronic limits for aquatic water quality, copper and nickel were 90 and 36 times their chronic limits during the first flush; Pb was measured at 15 and 10 times its chronic limit during the first flow and the first peak flow, respectively.

2.3 Mitigation recommendations

Given the variability of the snowmelt runoff with extreme flow rates and the significant concentration of pollutants in the runoff, one way to mitigate erosion is by implementing preventive approaches, such as best management practices or erosion control systems. These systems are often built to trap sediment and to control or attenuate flow in the receiving channels before the runoff exits into WQB at McMurdo Sound.

2.3.1 Flow control weirs

Affleck et al. (2014b) recommended porous weirs at all the locations indicated in Figure 5 (except 2BD). The porous weirs being proposed are rock check dams and wooden dams detailed below in the *Practices and Procedures* section. These weirs were designed for a given depth such that corresponding stresses met the allowable velocity and stable slope criteria. Affleck et al. (2014b) also examined the trapping efficiency as a function of porosity. Table 2 shows the recommended types of weirs at each drainage path based on site conditions and erosion criteria. Each of these flow control weir types has advantages and disadvantages and will be adapted for the unique conditions from freeze–thaw cycles experienced at McMurdo. These flow control weirs are designed so that they will be installed in the beginning of the runoff season and removed at the end of each season to allow for other O&M activities during the remainder of the year.

Weir Location	Reach (m)	Length (m)	Typical Cross Section (m)	Number of Rock Weirs	Number of Wooden Weirs	Number of GeoRidges
W2BU	158-170	12	159.08	-	1	-
W2BD	44-100	66	78.799	-	-	-
W2CU	365-435	70	390.52	2	2	
W2CD	220-180	40	195.218	2	-	-
WЗA	200-235	35	208.94	-	-	1
W3B	465-400	65	420.478	-	1	-
W3C	1070-965	105	992.807	1	1	-
W6	70-35	35	40.984	-	-	2-3

Table 2. Recommended weirs on selected reaches

2.3.2 Culvert remediation

Table 3 details the Affleck et al. (2014b) study on culvert recommendations specific for particular locations (Figure 9), indicating the size, and information on its conditional capacity as of 2010–2011 information. Further work needs to determine the appropriate culvert replacement type (e.g., metal or plastic or concrete, etc.) and to try to standardize culverts around the Station. Table 3. Existing qualitative culvert characteristics, conditions, and recommendations for mitigation. Colors are preliminary conditional coding: orange indicates that the culvert requires immediate attention; yellow indicates that the culver should be under consideration for attention.

HW/D = headwater/diameter (or headwater to culvert diameter ratio); V = velocity.

								Quantitative Criteria						
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	lce Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
1	Pier Rd	2 and 3	70%	1 m (36 in.) 11.4 m corrugated metal	questionable	ice buildup is common; rust on the bottom	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		replace or repair, lower inlet, dig in inlet, and place rocks to stabilize and lower slope
2	Hut Point Road	2 and 3	66%	1.2 m (48 in.) 12.6 m steel box with wooden frame top	reasonable	ice buildup is common; blasted during 2009– 2010 season due to ice blockage; blasting requires road closure and blast protection mat; rust on the metal	V		V	V				consider replacement with circular pipe, dig in or lower inlet to slow velocities and reduce energy, stabilize inlet and outlet with rock
3	Gasoline Alley	3	29%	0.9 m (35 in.) 15.8 m box	poor	prone to ice build-up; ice blocked flow in 2009– 2010; utility pipes in the inlet required manual ice and snow clearing; outlet can be cleared by backhoe; sides are caving, bottom is covered with ice and soil, and top is stable	V		V	V				consider replacement with circular pipe, dig in or lower inlet to slow velocities and reduce energy, stabilize inlet and outlet with rock

								(Quanti	tative	Criteria	à	-	
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	Ice Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
4		3	26%	0.61 m (24 in.) 11.5 m corrugated metal	moderate	heat trace emplaced; ice on bottom of culvert; bottom covered with ice and soil	\checkmark	V	V	V				increase diameter to increase capacity and to lower velocities; increase cover by placing the culvert lower or raising roadway
5		3	2.2%	1 m (36 in.) 16.5 m corrugated metal	working	heat trace emplaced; utility pipes across outlet required manual ice and snow clearing; bottom covered with ice and soil		V	N	V			V	increase roadway height to provide more cover; riprap protection at inlet and outlet; increase roughness to reduce downstream velocity
6	short cut to Bldg 140	3	2%	0.61 m (24 in.) 4.4 m corrugated metal	moderate	inlet and outlet clearing of the snow and ice build-up can be conducted using heavy equipment; outlet is pinched			V	V			V	add riprap protection at inlet and outlet; increase roughness to reduce downstream velocity
7	road to Bldg 140	3	1.7%	0.61 m (24 in.) 17.7 m corrugated metal	good	heat trace through the bottom of the culvert			V					add riprap protection upstream, or dig out some to slow inlet velocity
8		3	1%	1 m (36 in.) 18 m corrugated metal	poor	ice and snow build-up occurs in the culvert; heat trace runs the length of culvert; bottom weir aged, likely from heavy equipment; inlet wooden barriers require repair			V				V	add riprap protection upstream, or dig out some to slow inlet velocity; dig out downstream to provide smoother transition and let increase in downstream velocity

								(Quanti	tative	Criteria	а		
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	lce Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
9		3	0.8%	0.61 m (24 in.) 12.1 m corrugated metal	moderate	prone to snow and ice build-up; clearing can be conducted with heavy equipment								
10	across Heavy Shop parking lot to the ditch along Bldg 175	3B	15%	1 m (36 in.) 34.2 m corrugated metal	moderate	snow clearing for the inlet and outlet can be conducted with heavy equipment						\checkmark		reduce slope of culvert to reduce velocities and prevent scour and abrasion; provide inlet and outlet scour protection
11		3В	15%	0.61 m (24 in.) 12.3 m steel box	poor	snow and ice clearing is required at both inlet and outlet to permit flow; bottom has eroded and soil bottom is eroded, water flows underneath	V		V	V				replace or repair with larger culvert (currently undersized); smooth inlet and outlet conditions to a gentler slope and protect with rock or riprap.

						Quantitative Criteria								
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	Ice Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	> 25% increase in Downstream Velocity	Possible Solutions
12		ЗВ	1%	0.61 m (24 in.) 10.3 m steel box;	poor	ice accumulation occurs due to runoff being trapped; banks of the inlet and outlet of the culvert have eroded and failed; soil and ice are blocking the drainage path		V	V	V				repair or replace, repair banks and provide wooden or riprap protection; modify slopes to match or more smoothly transition to bed slope; increase roadway elevation or emplace replacement culvert deeper to ensure minimum cover
13	recessed behind the bollards	3D	21%	0.61 m (24 in.) 9.4 m corrugated metal	moderate	prone to snow and ice build-up; snow clearing for the inlet and outlet can be conducted with heavy equipment			V	\checkmark				provide scour protection at inlet and outlet
14	recessed behind the bollards	3D	7.2%	1 m (36 in.) 9.2 m corrugated metal	moderate	snow and ice buildup occurs and can be cleared with heavy equipment; debris from wood chips trapped in culvert			V	V				provide scour protection at inlet and outlet
15	flush with the bollards	3D	89%	1 m (36 in.) 5.5 m corrugated metal	moderate	snow and ice buildup occurs and can be cleared with heavy equipment		\checkmark						increase roadway height to provide the minimum cover and to protect structural stability

									Quanti	tative	Criteria	à		
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	Ice Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
16	buried beneath the catwalk between the Chalet and Crary Lab	6	0%	0.61 m (24 in.) 9.2 m corrugated metal	poor	drainage problems with severe ice accumulation; utility pipes crossing below the culvert outlet required manual clearing; inlet and outlet usually obstructed and buried by eroded soil; snow and ice buildup; at a tight location, inlet and outlet unmarked		V						appears that structural stability is compromised; recommend replacing or reshaping; consider heat trace; provide channel scour protection in channel upstream; increase cover in thin areas; reduce rock cover in areas that may be endangering structural stability due to weight
17	loading dock of Science Support Center (Bldg 4)			~0.3 m (12 in.) unknown insulated pipe	unknown	snow and ice build-up can be severe in this location due to snow drifting; snowmelt tends to accumulate upstream of the culvert inlet and creates ponding in the area								smooth elevation in ponding area; consider berm to reduce drifting; modify snow dump locations
18	from NW corner of the Power Plan to off the hill			0.61 m (24 in.) unknown corrugated metal	unknown	clearing the inlet and outlet of the culvert is difficult because they are located in a tight location								modify snow dump locations

									Quanti	tative	Criteria	à		
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	Ice Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
19	metal catwalk towards the Waste Water Treatment Plant			0.61 m (24 in.) unknown corrugated metal	unknown	clearing the inlet and outlet is difficult because they are located in a tight spot; tight location								modify snow dump locations
20	between the generator and off the hill on S of Waste Water Treatment Plant			0.61 m (24 in.) unknown corrugated metal	unknown	clearing the inlet and outlet is difficult because they are located in a tight spot; tight location								modify snow dump locations
21	the road by the VXE6 sea ice transition and below the Helo Pad			0.3 m (12 in.) unknown metal	poor	historically clogged; snowmelt ponds in the inlet causing water to overflow across the road								replace with a larger culvert to increase capacity or increase slope of culvert to move water more quickly through system and abrade accumulated sediments and ice preventing inlet ponding; consider placement of heat trace

							Quantitative Criteri			Criteria	à	-		
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	lce Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
22	southeast edge of the Helo Pad	7	0.6%	0.3 m (12 in.) 78.2 m metal	moderate	heat trace; inflow end was covered in fines and under a standing pool of water (2009–2010)	N		V	\checkmark				provide scour protection at inlet and outlet; build up area downstream of outlet to modulate increased downstream velocities; undersized, so increase size and roughness (slows velocities) if scheduled for replacement
23	Gasoline Alley across from Fleet Ops Pad 2	2	21%	1 m (36 in.) 6.5 m corrugated metal	working	ice and soil obstruction on the bottom; could be a problem in accommodating the runoff during an extreme event; bottom covered with ice and soil	N		V	N		V		provide scour protection at inlet and outlet; dig in area upstream to slow velocities and provide relief during extreme events; undersized, so consider multiple culverts if replaced
24	Hut Point Rd	1	7.2%	0.61 m (24 in.) ~17.5 m corrugated metal	moderate	high pressure water used in 2009–2010 to remove large buildups of ice				\checkmark				provide scour protection at outlet
25	Ice Pier Road	1	89%	0.61 m (24 in.) 17.5 m corrugated metal	moderate		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				undersized; increase cover to minimum, provide scour protection at inlet and outlet

								Quantitative Criteria						
Culvert	Location/Crossing Description	Sub-Basins Conveyed	Runoff Conveyed	Dimensions: Diameter Length Type	Physical Condition	Ice Conditions and Signs of Physical Decay	Below Capacity, HW/D > 1	Under-designed Cover < 0.45	Scour Likely Upstream, V > 1.6	Scour Likely Downstream, V > 1.6	Likely Clogging, V < 1	Moderate Abrasion V > 3	 25% increase in Downstream Velocity 	Possible Solutions
26	backside of Dorms 203, not marked		4.3%	0.61 m (24 in.) unknown corrugated metal	good	newly installed in 2009– 2010								
27	Arrival Heights Road near the Soil Cooker			0.61 m (24 in.) unknown corrugated metal	poor	clogged easily; undersized								increase size or increase slope to increase flows and reduce clogging; provide protection from increased velocities
#		2	4.3%	1 m (36 in.) 12 m corrugated metal	unknown			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		V		provide scour protection at inlet and outlet; modulate in culver velocities to prevent abrasion by smoothing slope at inlet or providing ponding areas upstream; increase cover to minimum

Figure 9. Existing culvert locations.



2.3.3 Sediment ponds

We highly recommend implementing and constructing sediment ponds (Figure 10) to contain the snowmelt and to slow down the flow in drainage channels. The sediment ponds for sub-basins 1, 2, and 3 were designed as oversized water collection basins with a surface overflow outlet. We recommend investigating the ability to excavate out a larger sediment pond at site 1 and reviewing methods to maintain a pond at that site. Potential sites near 3C should be further explored through borings or small excavations. Table 4 summarizes the recommended dimension ranges for the sediment ponds, and orientation in Figure 9 may varying depending on the topographic and surface information discovered at each location.



Figure 10. Proposed sediment basin locations.

Design Parameters	Units	Pond 1	Pond 2C	Pond 3C
Lpond	m	55-107	122-229	91-213
Wpond	m	24-32	46-152	46-91
Dsettling	m	3.4-6.9	1.8-5.3	0.7-3.
Dstorage	m	1.7-3.7	0.7-2.7	0.8-1.5
D _{total}	m	6.8-12.4	4.5-9.7	3.2-6.2
Doverflow	m	0.9	0.9	0.6
Woverflow	m	4.6	3.	4.6
Tretention	hr	40	40	40
Qout	m³/s	0.052	0.328	0.074
Velout	cm/s	0.627	5.877	1.984
Volstorage/Volin		216%-233%	678%-735%	219%-530%
Volstorage+settling/Volin		652%-713%	2048%-2804%	655%-1007%
Qout/Qin		16.8%-18.4%	16.8%-260%	16.8%-18.4%
Velout/Velin		1.18%	1.6%	0.48%
$L_{pond} = \text{Pond length}$	$D_{overflow} = O_{V}$	verflow depth Velout =	= outflow velocity Vc	bl _{settling} = Settling volume

Table 4. Recommended design ranges for sediment ponds.

 $D_{setting} = Settling depth$ $D_{storage} = Storage depth$ $D_{total} = Total depth$

 Q_{out} = outflow discharge Q_{in} = inflow discharge $T_{retention}$ = Retention Time

Velocit = outflow velocity Vel_{in} = inflow velocity Vol_{out} = outflow volume Vol_{in} = inflow volume $Vol_{settling}$ = Settling volume $Vol_{storage}$ = Storage Volume W_{pond} = Pond width $W_{overflow}$ = overflow width

2.3.4 Sediments and pollutants

During the 2010–2011 austral summer, 89% of runoff (estimated total volume of 267,700 m³ of water) discharged into WQB (Affleck et al. 2014a). From the calculations based on sediment transport in flow, almost 99.5% of the basin's sediment discharges into WQB (Affleck et al. 2014c). This can be decreased by installing the settling ponds and flow control weirs and by rerouting to the new flow paths.

Given that the snowmelt runoff contained significant concentrations of heavy metals and certain PAHs, prevention and mitigation are crucial for reducing contamination at McMurdo Station. Human factors, such as awareness, caution, improved chemical handling, and environmentally friendly practices, can have an important role in reducing contamination. Engineering methods, such as best management practices or erosion control systems (sediment ponds and weirs) (Affleck et al. 2014b and 2014c), can also mitigate further contamination. Given the proper implementation in this unique environment, these systems can improve water quality and can reduce pollutant discharges by allowing these elevated level of contaminations to degrade with time.

2.3.5 Snow dump locations

McMurdo Station is in operation all year. Although the operation is limited in the winter, snow clearing is necessary when significant snow fall occurs. Around the Station, snow is normally cleared from roads, pathways and pads, and around the buildings for pedestrian and vehicle access. The snow pile locations are critical as they affect the snowmelt and the hydrology of the watershed when temperatures warm in the summer. The key is to identify the appropriate snow dump locations where the resulting meltwater will not contribute to the runoff conveyed through McMurdo Station. In particular, snow dumps should not be placed at locations where the resulting runoff would intersect areas of known soil contamination. Results from this assessment will be incorporated into the SOP for best practice to mitigate drainage and sediment erosion issues.

Figure 11 shows the two recommended locations for snow disposal. The more snow is disposed of away from the Station, the less runoff will impact the drainage system and discharge into WQB. Based on the topo map and the 2009–2010 drainage field study (Affleck et al. 2012a), the following are the preferred snow dump locations:

- On the sea ice in McMurdo Sound as long as the snow is clean (no soils)
- The south side of the Pass (behind Ob Hill and towards Scott Base) for the rest of the snow

Upon melting, this snow would not flow through town but down directly into the sound.

3 Practices and Procedures

This SOP applies to the current drainage system (without any alteration at the Station). We suggest that staff involved in implementing this SOP note procedures and practices that work for them and any methods or ideas that improve on these preliminary recommendations, particularly as relates to efficiency and safety.

3.1 Snow dumping

3.1.1 General best management practices (during winter months)

- a. Snow must NOT be temporarily stored or piled up on the drainage system or in areas that will obstruct the drainage.
- b. Snow must NOT be place at locations where the resulting melt will drain to or through contaminated areas.
- c. Snow must NOT be placed along channels or in ditches.
- d. Snow must NOT be pushed on the ditches while clearing the roads.

3.1.2 Removal areas

Snow is to be cleared from roads, pathways and pads, and around buildings where pedestrian and vehicle access is needed.

3.1.3 Disposal locations

- a. Disposal is allowed in only the designated areas shown below in Figure 11.
- b. Careful attentions should be paid to whether the snow is clean enough to dispose of in a certain location.
- c. Snow dumped on the sea ice in McMurdo Sound must be clean (no soils).

d. Other snow must be placed on the south side of the Pass (behind Ob Hill and towards Scott Base).



Figure 11. Snow dump locations.

3.2 Drainage channels

3.2.1 General best management practices (during summer months before snow melting occurs)

- a. Snow must NOT be placed along channels or in ditches.
- b. Snow must NOT be pushed on the ditches while clearing the roads.
- c. Vehicles must NOT drive along the channels or cross the channels.

3.2.2 Snow clearing in channels and drainage ditches

- a. Start in late October to mid-November.
- b. Clear the ditches and culvert starting from the bottom of the Station and working uphill.

- c. Maintain original side and bed slopes during the clearing efforts.
- d. Shape the channels to minimize disturbance and to maintain the original shape as a scour and erosion control best practice.
- e. Tools include
 - (1) available or appropriate heavy equipment in accessible areas and
 - (2) manual clearing (shoveling) in tight places.
- f. Place cleared snow and ice in appropriate snow dumping location according to Section 3.1.3.

3.3 Weather monitoring (during winter months)

- a. Monitoring weather is important for determining when to prepare the site for high flow events.
- b. CRREL developed a spreadsheet using the climate data available at McMurdo Station to estimate when the peak discharge could occur. Directions are listed in the spreadsheet as shown in Figure 12.
- c. At the beginning of the season, prepare a new page in the spreadsheet for this water year (November–March).
- d. On a regular basis, download from SPAWAR (or Antarctic Meteorological Research Center) daily peak temperatures and average cloud cover.
- e. Input that data into the spreadsheet, monitor indicated likely high flow event dates, and take recommended measures to prepare (see Figures 12 to 14).

Directions										
type in water year in column B1										
Add temperature, Clearness to table blow (in yellow, will be automated eventually)										
Expected Peak Flow will appear in table in green for past dates, red for within 7 days of today or in future										
Add Observed Peak Q dates to table below (in yellow) for comparision - matches will show in top table in blue										

Figure 12.	Instruction for t	he Peak Flow	Prediction	Worksheet.
I IGUIO TEI			1 I Galocion	110110000

Date	Max	Clearness	Date Of	Max	T _{max}	Local	Max Clearness	Peak Temp	ATDD	Δ ATDD
	Temp	%	Q Peak	Clearness	> 0.25 °C	Maxima	> 25%	Event	°C - days	
	°C		Observed	3 days		of T _{max} ?	3 days	Number		3 days
11/1/2013	(11.00)		11/1/2013						0.000	
11/2/2013	(11.00)	24%							0.000	
11/3/2013	(11.00)	24%		24%					0.000	
11/4/2013	(12.00)	21%		60%	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000
11/5/2013	(14.00)	60%		60%	0	0	1	0	0.000	0.000
11/6/2013	(17.00)	44%		60%	0	0	1	0	0.000	0.000
11/7/2013	(15.00)	46%		46%	0	0	1	0	0.000	0.000
11/8/2013	(6.00)	9%		46%	0	1	0	0	0.000	0.000
11/9/2013	(8.00)	3%		9%	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000
11/10/2013	(10.00)	8%		11%	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000
11/11/2013	(13.00)	11%		19%	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000
11/12/2013	(8.00)	19%		19%	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000

Figure 13. Input table for the Peak Flow Prediction Worksheet.

Figure 14. Output table for the Peak Flow Prediction Worksheet.

	Peak Temp Date	Max Temp	Clear- ness	∆ ATDD 3days>0	Predicte	ed Lag Days	Expected Peak Flow Date	Range	Match to an o	observed Pe	ak Q?
										closest	days out of
		°C	%		Average	Range			Q _{peak} Date	date	range
1	11/21/2013	-4.00	18%	0.00	16.5	12.8 - 20.1	12/7/2013	(12/03 - 12/12)	-	11/1/2013	-32
2	11/24/2013	-2.00	13%	0.00	16.5	12.8 - 20.1	12/10/2013	(12/06 - 12/15)	-	11/1/2013	-35
3	12/11/2013	0.00	91%	1.00	14.9	11.2 - 18.6	12/25/2013	(12/22 - 12/30)	-	11/1/2013	-51
4	12/18/2013	3.00	76%	3.00	12.2	8.5 - 15.8	12/30/2013	(12/26 - 01/03)	-	11/1/2013	-55
5	12/25/2013	-2.00	35%	0.00	16.5	12.8 - 20.1	1/10/2014	(01/06 - 01/15)	-	11/1/2013	-66
6	12/27/2013	2.00	50%	4.00	11.0	7.3 - 14.7	1/7/2014	(01/03 - 01/11)	-	11/1/2013	-63
7	1/2/2014	6.00	90%	11.00	5.4	1.8 - 9.1	1/7/2014	(01/03 - 01/12)	-	11/1/2013	-63
8	1/7/2014	2.00	42%	5.00	9.9	6.3 - 13.6	1/16/2014	(01/13 - 01/21)	-	11/1/2013	-73
9	1/13/2014	3.00	30%	5.00	9.9	6.3 - 13.6	1/22/2014	(01/19 - 01/27)	-	11/1/2013	-79
10	1/17/2014	1.00	66%	2.00	13.5	9.8 - 17.1	1/30/2014	(01/26 - 02/04)	-	11/1/2013	-86
11	1/21/2014	1.00	5864%	2.00	13.5	9.8 - 17.1	2/3/2014	(01/30 - 02/08)	_	11/1/2013	-90
						-			-		

3.4 Culverts

3.4.1 General best management practices

- a. Use rocks, such as rip rap (rock with a diameter less than or equal to 10 cm [4 in.]), for energy dissipation at the inlet and outlets of culverts where scour will likely occur.
- b. Raise roads or dig new culverts where the road cover is less than 0.45 m (Culverts 5, 9, 11, 12, 24, and 25).
- c. Frequently replace or reduce culvert velocities where moderate culvert abrasion is likely (Culvert 1).

- d. Increase the size of under-capacity culverts when they are replaced (Culverts 24, 25, and 27) with culverts that are durable in cold climates.
- e. For slow velocity culverts that may lead to clogging, increase culvert velocity by raising the upstream channel invert (Culvert 11 and 15).
- f. When an excessive increase in downstream velocity exists (specifically at Culverts 1 and 16), increase roughness by building up the upstream bed.

3.4.2 Culvert winter maintenance

- a. Finish digging out culvert inlets and outlets by mid-November.
- b. Remove the ice or debris in culverts, especially along major drainage (along the Main Road and Gasoline Alley) before the first week of December. Manual clearing may be required, especially in tight areas with intercepting utilities.
- c. Pay special attention to these culverts: 1–4, 6, 8, 11–13, 16, 17–22, 24, 25, and 27. Some of these culverts are undersized, vulnerable for ice build-up, and in poor conditions. Replacing these culverts with culverts that are durable in cold climates, highlighted in Table 3, is necessary.
- d. Remove ice in culverts by ice chipping or by a regular acceptable blasting method. A more efficient way of ice removal is by using heat injection or by properly installing a heat trace system.
- e. In early February, to minimize snow and ice build-up inside the culvert, plug the ends of the culverts with an actual cap (as opposed to snow) before winter hits.

3.5 Flow controls

3.5.1 Temporary weirs (installation and removal)

a. To filter sediment and to attenuate or control the flow, per recommendations in Table 2, install weirs along the channels highlighted in red circles (which are along 2BU, 2CD, 2CU, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6) in Figure 5.

- b. By the end of the first week of December, install flow controls in the designated channels after the channels are cleared of snow and ice.
- c. Remove flow controls by the end of the peak runoff season, around the end of January or the first week of February.

3.5.2 Rock weir installation procedures

- a. To provide support and to further slow down the flow through the weir, dig a 10–15 cm (4–6 in.) deep channel out of the ground beneath where the weir will be (Figure 15).
- b. Place a geotextile fabric sheet under the actual dam structure; and place another sheet over the dam, covering from the toe to the heel of the dam, enclosing the rock pile materials. This fabric will help keep the shape of the dam and filter out sediment.
 - (1) Extend the edges of the fabric at least 15–18 cm (6–7 in.) beyond the actual structure and bury it in 10 cm (4 in.) deep holes, refilling them with gravel or rock.
- c. Place a flow net of wood in the 1.4 m (4.5 ft) deep channel.
 - (1) Nail together edge to edge two 5×20 cm (or specifically U.S. standard 2×8 in.) boards.
 - (2) Drill holes into the wood for through flow.
 - (3) Cover the holes with geotextile.
 - (4) Place it in the channel prepared in an earlier step.
- d. Place rock.
 - Use loose rock 15–30 cm (6–12 in.) in diameter, free of fines and sands, well graded, and underlain with a geotextile to reduce seepage.
 - (2) Place the rock so the center of the dam is approximately 46–60 cm (18–24 in.) high at the center of the channel.
 - (3) Ensure the middle of the dam is at least 15 cm (6 in.) lower than the height of the wall on the sides.
 - (4) The height of each side will vary from site to site to ensure that they are at least 15 cm (6 in.) higher than the center. The purpose of this is to direct the flow of water inward towards the middle of the dam and not outward along the banks, which would erode the stream banks.

- (5) Keep the decline from the edges to the middle as gradual as possible.
- (6) Prevent the slope of the upstream and downstream sides of the dam from being steeper than 0.5.
- e. Dig sumps upstream of the check dam to provide a larger basin for water to collect in. The sumps should be 0.3 m (1 ft) deep and 1.2 m (4 ft) long, spanning across the whole stream bed. The downstream edge of each sump should be at least 1 m (3 ft) upstream from the front edge of the dam.



Figure 15. Standard design for a rock check dam (not drawn to scale).

3.5.3 Wooden weir installation procedure

Material for the wooden weir consists of U.S. 2×8 in. boards that are connected to each other by bracket sleeves (Figure 16). The Appendix details material preparation.

- a. Install each schedule 40 steel post approximately 100 cm (42 in.) away from each other horizontally and in as straight of a line as possible.
 - (1) Dig the posts into the ground approximately 46–60 cm (18–24 in.) deep.
 - (2) They will stay in place throughout the whole year.

- b. Install wooden bracket sleeves over the steel posts.
- c. Install center and bank planks into a 15 cm (6 in.) wide channel in the brackets.
 - The boards are designed to have small drilled holes to allow and control water flow, providing a level of porosity through the weir. (The hole distributions for the top are 10 cm [4 in.] apart along the center of the board, and bottom planks have doubled the number of holes spaced at 10 cm [4 in.] apart.)
 - (2) Orient the planks so the geotextile screen side faces upstream.
 - (3) If a plank comes into the channel at an angle, use a 5 × 10 cm piece of wood (U.S. 2 × 4 in. board), or other pieces of wood, to fill in the gaps in the channel to make contact between the planks and bracket. This wood can be nailed or screwed into the bracket to make sure that all the pieces are secure and do not come loose.
 - (4) Due to the variation in the shape of the channels, the wooden planks may not fit flat against the ground. To fix this, build a small triangular wall of rocks or gravel on the upstream side of the weir. This formation will be approximately 15 cm (6 in.) tall and will span the entire weir.
 - (5) Similar to the rock catch dam, the center planks should be at least 15 cm (6 in.) lower than the edge of the planks and brackets on each side of the dam.
 - (6) If this height difference is not achieved, it may be possible to slide a third set of planks into the brackets along the banks of the channel.



Figure 16. Standard design for wooden check dam or similar approach (not drawn to scale).

Note: The lengths of the planks may vary depending on the size and shape of the drainage channel.

3.6 Sediment ponds

- a. Before mid-November, perform an annual inspection for sediment deposition, side-slope erosion, and damage to the outfall.
- b. To check the conditions, inspect the sediment ponds after each high flow event.
- c. Remove sediment deposits when they reach one-half the height of the outfall.
 - (1) Do not deposit the sediment downstream from the embankment, adjacent to a stream, or in a floodplain.
 - (2) Clean out ponds every 1–2 years.

3.7 Ground modification and new construction (site work, slope, and landscaping)

- a. Before placing or remodeling buildings, site preparation is imperative. This includes earthwork, slope stability, and many other geotechnical considerations.
- b. Ensure that proper design and improvements are incorporated so that there will be minimal disruption upstream and downstream for drainage.
- c. Minimize trap areas for snow and ice buildup that impedes drainage. This is critical due to the topography and the environmental uniqueness.
- d. To convey runoff and melt water away from existing and new structures, it is important to properly design and grade areas near buildings.
- e. Limit erosion, flooding, and excessive sediment deposition.
- f. Maintain the recommended side slope in channels and embankments at McMurdo Station at 2:1 (horizontal:vertical) or 27° slope for loose gravel with sand materials.
- g. Stabilize steep banks by using riprap, gabion, or geocomposite lining with gravel.

- h. To ensure proper soil compaction with ice content and appropriate bank design, specifically the side slope for frozen soils, follow correct construction practices.
- i. Satisfactorily compact soils at the Station even at low temperatures and low moisture content. The required frozen density may be equal to the maximum unfrozen density with low moisture content. These areas require thorough surveying, geotechnical engineering, and maintenance attention, including proper grading, landscaping, and compaction of ice-rich soils.

4 Way Forward

We anticipate that this SOP will evolve as staff members involved in implementation of the SOP improve practices as necessary to reduce the erosion of material (soils or fines) by the snowmelt runoff, to control the flow in channels during extreme runoff events, and to adopt applicable engineering and maintenance practices.

Future development at McMurdo Station is likely to occur over time to increase operational efficiency, function, and reliability and to reduce the footprint. Potential improvements to the drainage systems are also likely to occur when future developments are implemented, thus drainage should be incorporated into future plan and development. Though this SOP is written broadly, revision may be necessary if new elements are added that do not fit within the specified procedures.

The sources of contamination should be dealt with on a case by case basis to eliminate the causes of pollution. Eliminating the source is the most efficient way of reducing the effects of pollution migration. Dealing with the problem downstream at the final destinations will be more costly and labor intensive for operations.

References

- Affleck, R. 2013. *Preliminary Site Preparation Assessment and Proposed Earthwork for Phases 1–5.* ERDC/CRREL LR-13-3. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Affleck, R. T., C. Vuyovich, M. Knuth, and S. Daly. 2012a. Drainage Assessment and Flow Monitoring at McMurdo Station during Austral Summer. ERDC/CRREL TR-12-3. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Research Engineering and Development Center.
- Affleck, R., M. Knuth, and S. Arcone. 2012b. Snow and Climatic Characterization Influencing Snowmelt at McMurdo Station. In *Proceedings of the ASCE 15th International Specialty Conference on Cold Regions Engineering, 19–22 August* 2012, Quebec City, Canada. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Affleck, R., M. Carr, and B. West. 2013. Modeling and Designing Control Flow Systems for McMurdo Station Drainage Channels. In *Proceedings of the ASCE 10th International Symposium on Cold Regions Development (ISCORD 2013), 2–5 June, Anchorage, AK*, 528–539.
- Affleck, R. T., M. Carr, M. Knuth, L. Elliot, C. Chan, and M. Diamond. 2014a. Runoff Characterization and Variations at McMurdo Station, Antarctica. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-6. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- Affleck, R. T., M. Carr, L. Elliot, C. Chan and M. Knuth. 2014b. *Pollutant Concentration in Runoff at McMurdo Station, Antarctica*. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-15. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Research Engineering and Development Center.
- Affleck, R., M. Carr, and B. West. 2014c. Flow Control and Design Assessment for Drainage System at McMurdo Station, Antarctica. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-26. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Research Engineering and Development Center.
- Andersland, O. B., and B. Ladanyi. 1994. *An Introduction to Frozen Ground Engineering*. Chapman and Hall.
- Gatto, L. W. 1995. Soil *freeze-thaw effects on bank erodibility and stability*. Special Report 95-24. Hanover, NH: U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.
- Nagpal, N. K. 1995. Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). British Columbia, Canada: Water Quality Branch, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks. http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/library/documents/Bib71052.pdf.
- Rollings, M., and R. Rollings. 1996. *Geotechnical materials in construction*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- USEPA. 2013. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <u>http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/current/index.cfm</u>.

Appendix A: Wooden Weir Material Assembly and Preparation

- a. Gather and cut to size the following materials:
 - (1) Spruce 2×8 in. rough sawn planks
 - Six 40 in. long planks
 - Two 3 ft long planks
 - Three 16 in. long planks
 - (2) 2 in. diameter Schedule 40 steel posts
 - Four 4 ft long posts
 - (3) Twenty 12 in. Lag bolts
 - (4) Tencate Mirafi Woven Monofilament FW402 geotextile fabric
 - One 22×36 in. sheet
 - Two 28×40 in. sheets
 - (5) Staples and nails for securing the fabric to the wood
 - (6) 5-7 ft³ of rock for triangular ramp at the upstream base of the dam
- b. Drill holes in the top and bottom planks.
- c. Staple or nail geotextile fabric to what will be the upstream side of the weir.

The enough basis of a discussion is animated a sense of the account and the sense of the account of the	RF		CUMENTATION	PAGE		Form Approved					
me den needed, and compaleng and resoluting the contraction of information. Service of the object of the contraction of information of inform	Public reporting burden for this c	collection of information is	estimated to average 1 hour per respon-	se, including the time for re-	viewing instructions,	searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining					
1. REPORT DATE (DDAM-YYY) 2. REPORT TYPE 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) December 2014 Technical Report/Final 5. CONTRACT NUMBER Preliminary Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for Drainage and Erosion Control at McMurdo Station 5. CONTRACT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5. FASK NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) 8. PEROPTING ORGANIZATION RENUMBER V.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) 8. PEROSTING ORGANIZATION RENUMBER V.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 7. Lynne Road Hanover, NH 03735-1290 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY S. PONSORIMG / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) <td>the data needed, and completing reducing this burden to Departm VA 22202-4302. Respondents display a currently valid OMB cor</td> <td>g and reviewing this colle ent of Defense, Washing should be aware that no htrol number. PLEASE D</td> <td>ction of information. Send comments re ton Headquarters Services, Directorate f twithstanding any other provision of law, IO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE</td> <td>garding this burden estimat or Information Operations a no person shall be subject ABOVE ADDRESS.</td> <td>e or any other aspect and Reports (0704-01 to any penalty for fai</td> <td>t of this collection of information, including suggestions for 88), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, ling to comply with a collection of information if it does not</td>	the data needed, and completing reducing this burden to Departm VA 22202-4302. Respondents display a currently valid OMB cor	g and reviewing this colle ent of Defense, Washing should be aware that no htrol number. PLEASE D	ction of information. Send comments re ton Headquarters Services, Directorate f twithstanding any other provision of law, IO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE	garding this burden estimat or Information Operations a no person shall be subject ABOVE ADDRESS.	e or any other aspect and Reports (0704-01 to any penalty for fai	t of this collection of information, including suggestions for 88), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, ling to comply with a collection of information if it does not					
4. TTLE AND SUBTILE 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER Preliminary Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for Drainage and Erosion Control at McMurdo Station 5b. GRANT NUMBER 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(G) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5e. TASK NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) 0. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME(G) AND ADDRESS(ES) N.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03735-1290 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONY S. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONY National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONY Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually wup, McMurdo Statif clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage echannels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually	1. REPORT DATE (DD-1 December 2014	ММ-ҮҮҮҮ)	2. REPORT TYPE Technical Report/Final		3.	DATES COVERED (From - To)					
Preliminary Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for Drainage and Erosion Control at McMurdo Station 5b. GRANT NUMBER 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(\$) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER Rosa Affleck and Meredith Carr 5e. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RE Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RE U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 7. Lyne Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290 10. SPONSORIMO/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYI National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 7. JULYNE ROAD 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(\$) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 4. MeSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintemorf motoffine sedimere summations in the drainage channels to ac	4. TITLE AND SUBTITL	E 1. 1.G.			5a	I. CONTRACT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S) 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5e. TASK NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 7.1 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REMOMENT 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S ACRONY Artiarging for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 11. SPONSORMONITOR'S REPORT 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ASTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually wup, McMurdo stati clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff multiplus sediment that is washed astor averia depending on the air temperature gradually wup, McMurdo stati clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff multiplus sediment that is washed astor and trans or operation and maintering or operations, Logistics and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ASTRACT During the austral su	Erosion Control a	at McMurdo Stan	dard Operating Procedur	e for Drainage a	nd 5t	D. GRANT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER Rosa Affleck and Meredith Carr 5e. TASK NUMBER EP-ANT-13-04 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REMUTER NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REMUTER NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REMUTER National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYI NSF-PLR 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ASTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up. McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff and many other factors. In addition, the runoff molity fluctuation throughout the austral season and Varias depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff molity content is uspredict to the schannels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintens of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.					50	:. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
Rosa Affleck and Meredith Carr 5. TASK NUMBER EP-ANT-13-04 F. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 6. WORK UNIT NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RE NUMBER 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONVI NSF-PLR National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics Arlington, VA 22230 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONVI NSF-PLR 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONVI NUMBER(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up. McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt rung This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and variable. As the temperature gradually w up. McMurdo staff clears. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintene of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices. <td>6. AUTHOR(S)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>I. PROJECT NUMBER</td>	6. AUTHOR(S)				50	I. PROJECT NUMBER					
5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics Artirington, VA 22230 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and varias/lee. As the temperature gradually w up. McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage schannels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runo This epheremaral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and mainten of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practi	Rosa Affleck and	Meredith Carr			56	e. TASK NUMBER EP-ANT-13-04					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 72 Lyme Road ERDC/CRREL SR-14-3 Hanover, NH 03755-1290 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONY NSF-PLR Artington, VA 22230 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. NSF-PLR 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually wup, McMurdo statif clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintent of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.					5f	WORK UNIT NUMBER					
Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) ERDC/CRREL SR-14-3 U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) 2 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-1290 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYI 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYI National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, NSF-PLR Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Arlington, VA 22230 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runof This ophemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and mainteen of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	7. PERFORMING ORGA	NIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYI National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, NSF-PLR Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Arlington, VA 22230 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) NUMBER(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually wup, McMurdo staff Clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintent of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	Cold Regions Researc U.S. Army Engineer I 72 Lyme Road Hanover, NH 03755-	ERDC/CRREL SR-14-3									
National Science Foundation, Division of Polar Programs, Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics NSF-PLR Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics NSF-PLR 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) NMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. NSF-PLR 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runo This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and mainten of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	9. SPONSORING / MON	IITORING AGENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(F	S)	10	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)					
Antarctic Infrastructure and Logistics Arlington, VA 22230 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runo This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintend of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	National Science Four	ndation, Divisior	n of Polar Programs,		NSF-PLR						
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runof This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintent of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	Antarctic Infrastructur Arlington, VA 22230	re and Logistics			11	. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runof This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintener of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	Approved for public r	elease; distributi	on is unlimited.								
Engineering for Polar Operations, Logistics, and Research (EPOLAR) 14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runof This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintena of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	13. SUPPLEMENTARY	NOTES									
14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually w up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runo This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air tempera and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintena of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.	Engineering for Polar	Operations, Log	istics, and Research (EPOL	LAR)							
	14. ABSTRACT During the austral summer, the snowmelt runoff at McMurdo Station is quite unique and variable. As the temperature gradually warms up, McMurdo staff clears winter snow and ice accumulation in the drainage channels to accommodate the incoming snowmelt runoff. This ephemeral flow is observed as diurnal daily fluctuation throughout the austral season and varies depending on the air temperature and many other factors. In addition, the runoff mobilizes sediment that is washed into these channels and transports contaminants into to Winter Quarters Bay and McMurdo Sound. The overall goal of this document is to provide guidance for operation and maintenance of in-town roads and the drainage system. The processes and steps referred to in this document require further verification to incorporate lessons learned and to promote appropriate best practices.										
15. SUBJECT TERMS Erosion Slope stability	15. SUBJECT TERMS		Erosion		Slop	e stability					
Drainage Runoff Snowmelt	Drainage		Runoff Sediment ponds		Snov Weit	vmelt					
In OLAR Sectiment points Weins 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	16. SECURITY CLASSI	FICATION OF:	Seament polids	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON					
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (incl	a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	1		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include					
Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified SAR 51 area code)	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	51	area code)					