CETN-V-17 1/83



Coastal Engineering Technical Note

COASTAL PIER CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS ON A MID-ATLANTIC BARRIER ISLAND

<u>PROBLEM</u>: The Corps of Engineers constructs and issues permits for the construction of coastal structures such as groins, jetties, and piers in the coastal zone. Potential impacts on local beach fauna by the construction and presence of these structures need to be determined to aid coastal planners in designing and evaluating such structures. This note provides information on pier construction impacts on local beach fauna communities on a mid-Atlantic barrier island.

BACKGROUND: The Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) constructed an 1,800-foot pier at its Field Research Facility at Duck, North Carolina in 1975 (Figure 1). This pier is a reinforced concrete structure with 3-foot diameter steel piles spaced at 40-foot intervals.

Prior to construction of the pier, CERC inventoried the macrobenthic animals (those larger than 0.5 millimeters) and measured physical parameters within and outside the areas influenced by pier construction (Matta, 1977). The physical and biological environment of the study area was found to be typical of a high-energy sand beach along an exposed ocean coast.





Location of the CERC Field Research Facility

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of th , 1215 Jefferson Davis	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE JAN 1983		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-1983	RED 3 to 00-00-1983	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Coastal Pier Construction Impacts on a Mid-Atlantic Barrier Island				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT	NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE),Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory,3909 Halls Ferry Road,Vicksburg,MS,39180				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	5. SUBJECT TERMS 5. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 3	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18 To assess the impacts of the pier on the macrobenthic population, CERC did a followup study five years after pier construction using the same transects, stations, and other methodology used by Matta (Diaz and DeAlteris, 1982).

This latter study showed that the physical sedimentary environment at the sites surveyed had not changed. Changes, however, occurred in the nearshore bathymetry near the pier. While temperature and salinity were different for pre- and post-construction years, they were within the range of natural variation for this coastal region.

Macrobenthic populations between pre- and post-construction periods were similar (Table 1). In 1976, 20 benthic species were identified; whereas, in 1981, 19 were identified.

Ταλοη	1976	1983
Polychaeta		
Scolelepie squamata		•
Spiophanes bombur	+	
Nephiye buccra	+	+
Magelona rosea	+	+
Sigambra sp.		+
Microphthalmus sczelkowii	+	
Travicia carnea	•	
Glycera dibranchiata	+	+
Eteone heteropoda	+	
Hesionid		+
Phylodocid		+
Mollusca		·
Donar spp.	•	+
Ensie sp.	+	
Anadara ovalie	+	
Acteon sp.		+
Epitonium sp.		+
Amphipoda		
Haustorids	•	+
Amphiporeia virginiana	•	•
Bathyporeia quoddyensie	•	+
Corophidd		+
Jassa falcala	+	
Decapoda		
Bnerita talpoida	•	+
Ovalipes ocellatus	•	+
Pagarue longioarpus	•	
lsopoda		
Edotea sp.		+
Cumacea	•	•
tysidacea	•	•

Table 1. Taxonomic Comparison between 1976 and 1981 Macrobenthic Species Collected from the Ocean Beach <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>: The major physical change resulting from pier construction was scour beneath the seaward end of the pier. This was believed to be caused by the erosive effects of turbulent eddies around the pier legs. Sediment grain sizes between pre- and post-construction periods were similar at the sites sampled.

Macrobenthic communities were statistically similar between study periods with the exception of some uncommon species which were not found in both periods. Macrobenthic animals formed a single community throughout the study area and showed signs of seasonal migration offshore.

Abundance of species between years was also within natural populations variations and were considered to be similar. In 1981, there was no relationship observed between the proximity of sample sites to the pier and the abundance and diversity of species found. Any affects the pier may have had on the macrobenthic animals and their environment were not detectable in this high energy environment. It is unlikely that even more extensive sampling would identify changes associated with pier construction in this dynamic environment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: For further information contact E. J. Pullen (WESER-C) (601) 634-3650

REFERENCES:

- DIAZ, R.J. and DeALTERIS, J.T., "Long-Term Changes in Beach Fauna at Duck, North Carolina," CERC Contract Report DACW72-81-C-0002, Aug 1982.
- MATTA, J.F., "Beach Fauna Study of the CERC Field Research Facility, North Carolina," MR 77-6, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, VA, Apr 1977.