Program Progress Report CDRL A002

Pilot-in-the-loop Method Development

2012 Basic and Applied Research in Sea-Based Aviation

ONR #BAA12-SN-0028

REPORT No. 9/C576

PERIOD 20 May 2014 to 20 June 2014

Prepared by Combustion Research and Flow Technology, Inc. (CRAFT Tech) 6210 Keller's Church Rd. Pipersville, PA 18947 Phone: 215/766-1520; Fax: 215/766-1524 Attn: J. Shipman Email: shipman@craft-tech.com

20 June 2014

Prepared for Naval Air Warfare Center AD 48110 Shaw Road, Code 4.3.2.1 Patuxent River, MD 20670 Arlington, VA 22203-1995 Attn: Dr. Susan Polsky, Code 4.3.2.1 Phone: 301.342.8575 Email: susan.polsky@navy.mil

Prepared under Contract Number: N00014-13-C-0456 PERIOD: 20 September 2013 to 20 September 2016

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Distribution Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE SEP 2014		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 20-05-2014	RED I to 20-06-2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
Pilot-in-the-loop Method Development				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Combustion Research and Flow Technology, Inc. (CRAFT Tech),6210 Keller's Church Rd.,Pipersville,PA,18947					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	DTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 6	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

Pilot-in-the-loop Method Development

2012 Basic and Applied Research in Sea-Based Aviation ONR #BAA12-SN-0028

PERIOD 20 May 2014 to 20 June 2014

1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

The goal of this project is to integrate novel numerical modeling and computer hardware approaches to compute the non-linear aerodynamic coupling between the ship and aircraft in such a way that execution times are at real-time speeds, allowing for pilot-in-the-loop CFD to be integrated in the piloted flight simulation environment. To achieve the speed gains required, three areas will be targeted for implementation into the CFD simulation framework: (1) numerical algorithms, (2) novel domain boundaries, and (3) Graphical Processing Unit (GPU) hardware. A framework will be established to link the CFD with realtime simulations. A building block approach will be employed to first demonstrate non-realtime integration of the CFD simulation framework with helicopter flight dynamic models, then realtime execution for a minimum fidelity airwake/aircraft simulation, then build to higher fidelity realtime simulations.

1.1 Project Technical Objectives

The project involves the following seven tasks to accomplish the technical objectives of the project:

Task 1: Implement modular implicit/explicit solver

Task 2: Apply structured numerics

Task 3: Apply subdomain with immersed boundary

Task 4: Implement higher order explicit solver for GPU execution

Task 5: Integrate with the GENHEL-PSU flight dynamics model

Task 6: Demonstrate flight simulation in the PSU Rotorcraft Simulation Facility

Task 7: Demonstrate flight Simulation in NAVAIR Manned Flight Simulator

2 WORK SUMMARY

During this reporting period, solver development was performed in two areas: (1) the incompressible formulation employed by the CRUNCH CFD code for CFD airwake simulations was implemented in the structured CRAFT CFD solver (which previously only included a fully compressible formulation) to improve the structured airwake solutions, and (2) an approach has been developed for integrating both the CRAFT CFD and CRUNCH CFD solvers within a common simulation framework (this will make possible combining solver speedups from tasks 1 to 4 in the future).

2.1 Incorporation of Preconditioned Incompressible Formulation for Structured Solver

Wake calculations needed for conducting landing simulations on naval ships requires flowfield simulations at low Mach numbers. CRUNCH CFD has modeled such simulations using an incompressible variant of the preconditioning formulation to the Navier-Stokes equations. Specifically, this has enabled larger time-stepping and improved numerical stability, particularly in regions of sharp geometric discontinuities. The incompressible formulation was incorporated into the structured CRAFT CFD flow solver based on these improved numerical stability observations. This will also permit better flowfield handshaking consistency between CRAFT CFD and CRUNCH CFD for dual solver operations, explained in the next section. Unit problem checkout studies (simple block structured LHA airwake grid, Figure 1) using CRAFT CFD have indicated time-steps consistent with those taken by CRUNCH CFD. Additionally, a 20% runtime acceleration per iteration over the standard preconditioning formulation has been achieved.



Figure 1: Snapshot of example LHA airwake solution computed by CRAFT CFD with the preconditioned incompressible formulation.

2.2 MPMD Approach for integrating Structured and Unstructured Solver Capabilities

Fast-running and accurate ship wake flowfield computations are challenged by the need for very large computational domain meshes and need to resolve deck boundary layer, bluff body regions, and impact of superstructure geometry on flowfield unsteadiness in regions of aircraft landing. While unstructured tetrahedral meshes are ideal for efficiently meshing complex geometries, such as ship masts and antennae, large mesh densities are needed to ensure good cell quality and minimize grid dissipation due to grid skewness. Conversely, hexahedral cells are best suited for resolving deck surface regions and boundary layers. Hexahedral regions are often constructed using structured mesh generators. Computational overhead and implicit per-iteration runtime costs are generally significantly less for structured solvers.

Given the challenge of providing ship wake unsteadiness in real-time to support pilot-in-the-loop simulations for carrier landing simulations, there is a need to combine the computational efficiency of structured meshes with the geometric flexibility of unstructured meshes. To assist in meeting this objective a Multiple-Process / Multiple-Domain (MPMD) approach has been adopted to combine modeling capabilities of CRAFT CFD and CRUNCH CFD within a common simulation framework. Specifically, a generalized library has been developed to permit data transfers at specified boundaries between independently running executables of these two flow solvers. Inter-communicators within the MPI library are established during initialization to determine which processors from each solver must exchange data with the corresponding solvers on the adjacent domain assigned to another solver. Once specialized inter-solver boundary conditions are written within each solver for handling the data transferred from another solver, a generalized MPI runtime library establishes a message passing link between the two solvers. This eliminates the need for one solver to become essentially a dependent subroutine within another solver and so maintains code modeling flexibility and upgradability. This allows the ship wake runtime requirements and calculations to benefit by combining the modeling experiences from each solver without needing to perform extensive, costly, and error-prone source code integration prior to simulation. At present this technique will be demonstrated using CRUNCH CFD and CRAFT CFD, but this approach is sufficiently generalized to permit interfacing with other flow solvers and interdisciplinary models as well.

An example of how such an approach could be utilized is shown in , where the nearfield structured grid surrounding the ship (CRAFT CFD solver) communicates with an unstructured farfield domain (CRUNCH CFD solver) via the inter-solver boundary condition highlighted with the red dashed line. Such an approach will be important later in the program when solver improvements targeting both the structured and unstructured solvers can be integrated to a single simulation framework.



Figure 2: Schematic of MPMD approach.

3 TECHNICAL/COST STATUS AND PROBLEM AREAS

No technical or financial problems have been encountered.

4 MEETING AND/OR TRAVEL

N/A

5 CONTRACT SCHEDULE

The program is proceeding as planned.

6 PLANNED ACTIVITIES FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

During the next reporting period a structured multi-block grid for the LHD ship geometry will be obtained and comparison simulations will be initiated to complete a verification of the structured solver improvements and applicability of the structured numeric to airwake simulations.

Report Distribution per CDRLs for Contract No. N00014-13-C-0456

ADDRESSEE Program Officer: Mr. John Kinzer ONR Code 351 Email: john.kinzer@navy.mil	DODAAC CODE N00014	UNCLASSIFIED/ UNLIMITED 1	UNCLASSIFIED/ UNLIMITED 1
Program Officer: Ms. Susan Polsky NAVAIR 4.3.2.1 Email: <u>susan.polsky@navy.mil</u>	N00024	1	1
Administrative Contracting Officer* S3915A	S3915A	1	1
Director, Naval Research Lab Attn: Code 5596 4555 Overlook Ave. SW Washington, D.C. 20375-5320 Email: <u>reports@library.nrl.navy.mil</u>	N00173	1	1
Defense Technical Information Center 8725 John J. Kingman Rd, STE 0944 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218 Email: <u>tr@dtic.mil</u>	HJ4701	1	1

*Send only a copy of the transmittal letter to the Administrative Contracting Officer, do not send actual report to the Administrative Contracting Officer.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSIONS OF TECHNICAL REPORTS IS PREFERRED AND ENCOURAGED. ELECTONIC SUBMISSION SHOULD BE SENT TO THE EMAIL ADDRESSES PROVIDED IN THE ABOVE TABLE, HOWEVER PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

- Only Unlimited/Unclassified document copies may be submitted by email.
- Unclassified/Limited has restricted distribution and a classified document (whether in its entirety or partially) is to be distributed in accordance with classified material handling procedures.
- Electronic submission to DIRECTOR, NAVAL RESEARCH LAB, shall be unclassified./unlimited reports and 30 pages or less. If unclassified and more than 30 pages, hardcopies of reports must be mailed.
- Electronic submission to DTIC shall be unclassified/unlimited reports.