Army Research Laboratory



## Performance Comparison of Top and Bottom Contact Gallium Arsenide (GaAs) Solar Cell

by Naresh C Das

**ARL-TR-7054** 

September 2014

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

### NOTICES

### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

# **Army Research Laboratory**

Adelphi, MD 20783-1138

**ARL-TR-7054** 

September 2014

## Performance Comparison of Top and Bottom Contact Gallium Arsenide (GaAs) Solar Cell

Naresh C Das Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

	REPORT DO	OCUMENTAT	ION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>					
1. REPORT DATE (	DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
September 2014		Final			01/02/2014-07/15/2014
4. TITLE AND SUBT	ITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Performance Comparison of Top and Bottom Contact Gallium Arsenide (GaAs)					
Solar Cell					5b. GRANT NUMBER
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Naresh C Das					
INALESII C DAS					5e. TASK NUMBER
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING O	RGANIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	6)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
U.S. Army Rese	arch Laboratory		- /		REPORT NUMBER
ATTN RDRL	SEE-M				ARL-TR-7054
2800 Powder M	ill Road				
Adelphi, MD 20	0783-1138				
9. SPONSORING/M	ONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDR	ESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
Approved for p	avaicabici i State	ibution unlimited			
Approved for pr	ione release, disu	ibution unmitted			
13. SUPPLEMENTA	RY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
We fabricated si (Ge)/gold (Au)/i side on a n-type GaAs epi layer o	ingle-junction sola nickel (Ni)/Au me GaAs substrate. V compared to the b	ar cell on epitaxia etal contact from t We observed 10–1 ottom side n- type	lly grown n-type he top side on a 5% increase in s GaAs substrate.	gallium arsenid highly doped n-1 olar cell power . Solar cell fill fa	e (GaAs) substrate. We used a germanium type epitaxial layer as well as the bottom when the top contact is used for the n-type actor, sheet, and shunt resistances are same
for both the top contact from a h	and bottom conta- ighly doped top <i>n</i>	ct type devices. W e epitaxial layer ra	Ve conclude that ther than a botto	to achieve highe m n-type GaAs	er power, it is advantageous to use an n-type substrate.
15. SUBJECT TERM	IS				
Gallium arsenid	e, GaAs solar cell	, efficiency, top c	ontact, anti refle	ction coating	
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Naresh C Das
A. Report	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	PAGES 14	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU		(301) 394-5500
			1	1	1 ' '

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

### Contents

Lis	ists of Figures	
Lis	t of Tables	iv
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Experimental	1
3.	Results and Discussion	3
4.	Conclusions	5
5.	References	6
Lis	t of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	7
Dis	tribution List	8

## **Lists of Figures**

Fig. 1	Cross-sectional view of GaAs solar cell	.2
Fig. 2	Photograph of the processed solar cell	.3
Fig. 3	Dark current of both the top and bottom n-type contact solar cells	.3
Fig. 4	Current vs. voltage of solar cells with AM1.0 solar cell irradiation	.4
Fig. 5	Power versus voltage for top and bottom contact solar cells	.5

### List of Tables

### 1. Introduction

The performance of state-of-the-art III-V gallium arsenide (GaAs) solar cells is excellent. Due to their direct bandgap electronic structure, they are able to absorb 97% of the AM1 radiation in a thickness of 2 µm. Another important application of GaAs solar cells is in the concentrated solar power area with an irradiation intensity of more than 1000 suns. The Spire GaAs solar cell with 27.6% at 255 suns has the highest efficiency reported for a single-junction solar cell operating under concentrated light.<sup>1</sup> Many attempts have been made to improve the GaAs solar cell performance by using a single double-layer anti-reflection coating (ARC) as well as surface engineering including nanostructure formation.<sup>2</sup> Yu et al. achieved a 28% enhancement in solar cell power by using indium tin oxide nano columns on GaAs solar cells by oblique-angle deposition.<sup>3</sup> Most of the reported results use GaAs cells with bottom contact metal deposited by blanket deposition on the bottom surface of the GaAs substrate. This method is easy and the most cost-effective way of solar cell fabrication. Though very few papers reported GaAs solar cell with a top n-type contact,<sup>4</sup> to the best of our knowledge, no one has made a comparative study of solar cell performance with a bottom n-type contact and a top n-type contact on highly doped epitaxial layer structures.

Here we compare solar cell performance with either a top or bottom n-type contact. We found that there is about 10–15% increase in solar energy harvesting power when a top n-type contact is used compared to a bottom n-type contact device.

#### 2. Experimental

The single-junction GaAs solar cell p- on n-type structure is fabricated using the molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) technique. Table 1 shows the details of the epitaxial structure used to fabricate the solar cell. After initial cleaning, a lightly doped 200-Å n-type GaAs layer is deposited at 590 °C. Following the first deposition, the temperature is lowered to 580 °C and the rest of the layers are deposited as shown in Table 1. Solar cell device fabrication starts with etching of the mesa region using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) etching with boron trichloride (BCl<sub>3</sub>) gas until the bottom n-type GaAs layer is reached. We use RF1 and RF2 powers of 150 and 500 W, respectively. A 30-s chemical etch (hydrochloric acid [HCl]: water [H<sub>2</sub>O]-1:1) is used to remove any oxide prior to the ICP etch. We carefully performed the etching experiment, so that we would not over etch and go beyond the n+ bottom layer. For the p-type contact metal, we created a titanium (Ti)/platinum (Pt)/gold (Au) (300/500/2500 Å) three-layer deposition process using an electron beam evaporation tool. Following the creation of the double-layer ARC with silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>)/silicon nitride (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) and a window opening, we used a germanium (Ge)/Au/

nickel (Ni)/Au (300/500/200/2500 Å) four-layer metal film for the n-contact on both the top and bottom layers. We used a 60-s rapid thermal anneal (RTA) process at 380 °C to anneal the contacts.

Material Composition	Doping level (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Thickness (Å)	Comment; Function; Repeats
GaAs	P = 2E19	200	$T_{sub} = 580 \ ^{\circ}C$
Al <sub>0.8</sub> Ga <sub>0.2</sub> As	P = 5E18	300	$T_{sub} = 580 \ ^{\circ}C$
GaAs Emitter	P = 5E18	4,000	$T_{sub} = 580 \ ^{\circ}C$
GaAs	Undoped	10,000	$T_{sub} = 580 ^{\circ}\text{C}$
GaAs Base	N = 2E17	20,000	$T_{sub} = 580 \ ^{\circ}C$
Al <sub>0.2</sub> Ga <sub>0.8</sub> As	N = 5E18	300	$T_{sub} = 580 \ ^{\circ}C$
GaAs	N = 5E18	2,000	$T_{sub} = 595 \ ^{\circ}C$
GaAs substrate	N +		

Table 1GaAs single-junction solar cell structure

Figure 1 shows the cross-sectional view of the solar cell. As seen in the figure, we can have access to apply bias to the n-type contact either through the top epitaxial layer or the bottom n-type substrate. The top n-type contact connects to the heavily doped n-layer, whereas the bottom contact connects to the lightly doped GaAs substrate material. The top n-type contact has a ring structure all around the p-type mesa region. As also seen in Fig. 1, the p-type metal has a grid pattern so that the photo-generated carriers can be collected with minimum drift from the origin of their creation.



Fig. 1 Cross-sectional view of GaAs solar cell

A photograph of part of the processed chip is shown in Fig. 2. Here we show the top and bottom contact pads that we use on the probe station to test the solar cell's performance. Since the device has ARC, the top mesa surface exhibits a black color. The gap between the edge of the mesa region and the n-type metal pad is 20  $\mu$ m. Similarly, the gap between the p-type metal and the edge of the mesa region is 10  $\mu$ m.



Fig. 2 Photograph of the processed solar cell

#### 3. Results and Discussion

In Fig. 3, we show the dark current versus voltage of the top and bottom contact devices. The dark and illuminated current versus voltage (I-V) measurements were taken using an Agilent HP 4156 C parametric analyzer. The dark current is plotted in the logarithmic scale. We didn't see any appreciable difference for dark currents between the two types of devices. Hence, the number of defects responsible for dark current for both types of configurations is the same. Also in the subthreshold region of the device's operation (0.5 to 1.0 V), the slope of the current for both devices is the same. Hence, the sheet and shunt resistances of the devices are the same.



Fig. 3 Dark current of both the top and bottom n-type contact solar cells

The current versus voltage for both the top and bottom contact devices at AM1.5G is shown in Fig. 4. An unfiltered Newport solar simulator provided broadband illumination with an equivalent AM1.5G illumination intensity of approximately 1.0 sun (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). We tested

these devices using a probe station. The short circuit current ( $I_{sc}$ ) for the top contact device is 26.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is very close to the world record  $I_{sc}$  number for a GaAs single-junction device. We observed about a 13% increase in the short circuit current for the top contact device compared to the bottom contact device. The open circuit voltages ( $V_{oc}$ ) for both the configurations have same value of 0.82 V. As also seen in Fig. 4, the subthreshold slope of the *I-V* curves is the same for both devices, showing that the sheet resistances of these devices are the same.



Fig. 4 Current vs. voltage of solar cells with AM1.0 solar cell irradiation

The solar cell power versus voltage is shown in Fig. 5. The data in Fig. 4, in the voltage range of 0 to  $V_{oc}$ , are used to plot the power versus voltage curves in Fig. 5. We observed about an 11% increase in peak power for the top contact devices compared to the bottom contact devices. This is a significant improvement in solar power harvesting capability with a minimal process change. The solar cell external power conversion efficiencies of the bottom and top contact devices are 14.8% and 16.5%, respectively. However, these numbers are well below state-of-the-art GaAs solar cell efficiency values, so we have more room for improvement in the fabrication process and material growth of our devices. The result is nonetheless very encouraging, as we received these significant device performances in our first attempt. The design of the epitaxial layer thickness, doping, and the p-contact layer grid patterns are a few parameters that we will try to optimize to improve the overall solar cell efficiency.



Fig. 5 Power versus voltage for top and bottom contact solar cells

We presented here our findings on solar cell performance with top and bottom contact configurations for an n-type contact in a solar cell device. From Figs. 4 and 5, we found out that the slopes of the *I-V* curves were the same for both types of device. Hence, we conclude that the series resistances of the solar cells for both configurations are the same. We believe the difference in the short circuit currents, as seen in Fig. 4, may be due to the higher number of carriers available for the top contact devices as compared to the bottom contact devices due to the high n-type doping in the epitaxial layer as compared to the doping of an n-type GaAs substrate. We believe the measurements of carrier lifetime and density in the epitaxial layer will be more rewarding.

#### 4. Conclusions

We reported a 10–15% increase in GaAs solar cell harvesting power when the device used a top n-type contact configuration rather than a bottom n-type contact configuration. Our observed short circuit current of 26.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> is very close to the state-of-the-art  $I_{sc}$  value for GaAs single-junction solar cell devices. Since most of the solar cell production runs use a bottom n-type contact configuration, our results will be useful in redesigning the solar cell structure to produce devices featuring a top contact configuration to harvest additional power.

### 5. References

- 1. Green MA, et al. Solar cell efficiency tables (version 16). Prog. Photovolt. 2000;8:377–383.
- 2. Yeh L-K et al. Adv. Energy Mater. 2011;1:506–510.
- 3. Yu P, Chang CH, Chiu CH, Yang CS, Yu JC, Kuo HC, Hsu SH, Chang YC. Adv. Mater.2009;21:1618.
- 4. Subramanian G. et al. Appl. Phys. Left. 1991;58(22):2541.

# List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

ARC	anti-reflection coating
Au	gold
BCl <sub>3</sub>	boron trichloride
GaAs	gallium arsenide
Ge	germanium
H <sub>2</sub> O	water
HC1	hydrochloric acid
ICP	inductively coupled plasma
I-V	current versus voltage
MBE	molecular beam epitaxy
Ni	nickel
Pt	platinum
RTA	rapid thermal anneal
$Si_3N_4$	silicon nitride
SiO <sub>2</sub>	silicon dioxide
Ti	titanium

- 1 DEFENSE TECH INFO CTR (PDF) ATTN DTIC OCA
- 2 US ARMY RSRCH LABORATORY (PDF) ATTN IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT ATTN RDRL CIO LL TECHL LIB
- 1 GOVT PRNTG OFC
- (PDF) ATTN A MALHOTRA
- 1 US ARMY RSRCH LAB
- (PDF) ATTN RDRL SEE M N DAS