

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> April 2012		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Viewgraph		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> April 2012- June 2012	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Supercritical cold flow facility, experiment design and modeling for the study of fluidic Mixing				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> In-House	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>  Robert Bernstein, Nils Sedano, Ray Walsh, Pat Sgarlatta				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b> 33SP0795	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQRC 10 E. Saturn Blvd. Edwards AFB CA 93524-7680				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQR 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b> <b>AFRL-RZ-ED-VG-2012-226</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Distribution A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. PA#12489					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> Conference paper for the AIAA GROUND TEST CONFERENCE, New Orleans, Louisiana in 25-28 June 2012.					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> Project Themis is an in-house program within the Liquid Engines Branch of the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL). It focuses on investigation of liquid oxygen (LOX)/hydrocarbon high pressure combustion devices through subscale experimentation in combustion and inert conditions, theory development, and modeling and simulation (M&S). The Themis program has two goals: to minimize component risk and to mature new technologies that can be transitioned to future engine systems. The first helps AFRL technology demonstrators reduce risk by improving the fundamental understanding of these systems. The second focuses on the future by identifying new configurations, technologies, and materials for transition into future systems. As part of a set of Themis experiments, a facility is being activated to test the effect of supercritical conditions on the mixing of fluids in a jet-in-crossflow (JICF) configuration. This research serves as risk reduction for AFRL programs. The experiment will simulate the geometry and high pressure conditions of a liquid rocket engine (LRE) component in a non-combustion environment using inert fluids. The experiment is designed to be modular and can accommodate various injection concepts. In the current experiment, radial jets of liquid nitrogen (LN <sub>2</sub> ) will be introduced into a freestream flow mixture of argon and helium at supercritical pressure. These fluids will simulate dense LOX injected into a flow of low density combusted gases. The simulant fluids have been selected to achieve large density and momentum ratios. This configuration is designed to mature the understanding of the mixing process of variable density jets in a supercritical state. This paper will describe the facility configuration, modeling of the facility using Sinda-Fluent and the challenges of activating a mothballed facility. It will also describe the experimental set-up, instrumentation and test matrix for the experiment.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>  SAR	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>  22	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> Nils Sedano
<b>a. REPORT</b>  Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b>  Unclassified	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b>  Unclassified			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NO</b> (include area code) 661-275-5972



# Project Themis Supercritical Cold Flow Facility, Experiment Design and Modeling for the Study of Fluidic Mixing

28th AIAA Aerodynamic  
Measurement Technology, Ground Testing, and Flight Testing  
Conference

Robert Bernstein  
Nils Sedano  
AFRL/RZSE

Ray Walsh  
Pat Sgarlata




Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Cold Flow Mixing Study



<b>Project Themis:</b>	In-house research program in AFRL Liquid Rocket Engine Branch
<b>Cold Flow Study:</b>	One of several major efforts of in-house program
<b>Purpose:</b>	<b>Elucidate the effects of high pressure and large density ratios on fluidic mixing phenomenon</b>
<b>Reason:</b>	<b>RISK</b> Air Force programs designing LREs that operate in these poorly understood regimes using M&S
<b>Objective:</b>	Provide experimental data that does not exist in scientific community → M&S Validation
<b>Challenge:</b>	Accessibility to data in extreme LRE environments
<b>Approach:</b>	Simulate key aspects of thermodynamic conditions in an accessible/inert environment





# Experiment Motivation




## Provide Experimental Data base for Validation of M&S:

- **Supercritical fluid behavior**
- **Variable density Jets**

• Current design heavily reliant on computer M&S:

- **Advantage:** Single point hardware design unlike “design-build-test-fail” approach
- **RISK:** Current M&S tools not adequately validated in extreme LRE environment



- **Mixing issues critical to LRE's**
  - Fluid Temperature Gradients
  - Fluid Density Gradients
- **Performance and material survival**

• Why Fluidic Mixing?

- Intrusive mixing devices require frequent inspection

• Approach:

- Zone 1: Combustion region → Low density axial freestream flow
- Zone 2: Injection/mixing region → Secondary high density radial injection



# Validation



## Supercritical conditions and large density ratios are a significant departure from most JICF studies

- No previous need to investigate the effects of these conditions
- Typical studies have not explored regimes of supercritical pressures and large density ratios
- Pressure and Density: key to the veracity of M&S

	Pressure (psia)	Temperature (R)	Density Ratio
LRE Components	>>Supercritical	>>Supercritical	<<1
Typical Gas Turbine Study	Ambient	Ambient	1


## Cold flow experiment is a compromise between:

- 1) Realistic environment of the combustion device
- 2) Lab bench study

- Intrusive measurements in combustion devices limited by instrumentation survivability
- Non-intrusive techniques not sophisticated enough to extract comprehensive information
- Lab scale cannot achieve combination of Pressure and Re# on required scale



# Exploring Relevant Regime





# Cold Flow Experiment and Facility Constraints



## Factors considered in the cold flow experiment and facility configuration:

- 1) Maximizing operating pressure
- 2) Operating at supercritical conditions
- 3) Capability of wide ranges of jet-to-gas density and momentum ratios
- 4) Adoption of preferably safe, non-toxic fluids
- 5) Repeatable and reproducible flow conditions at low cost
- 6) Availability and cost of simulant fluids
- 7) Cold flow hardware to allows configuration change and accommodates diagnostics

**Initial Cold Flow Simulant Fluid Candidates**

Simulant Fluids	Pressure (psia)	Phase	Jet Temp (°R)	Freestream Temp (°R)	Jet Density (lb/ft³)	Freestream Density (lb/ft³)	Density Ratio
CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	535	47.1	4.89	9.6
CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	400	1480	73.2	1.72	42.6
CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	535	47.1	3.15	15.0
CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	400	830	73.2	1.81	40.4
RP-1/N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	535	50.4	4.89	10.3
RP-1/N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	2030	47.1	1.26	37.4
R12/N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	535	84.2	4.89	17.2
R12/N <sub>2</sub>	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	1210	84.2	2.10	40.2
CO <sub>2</sub> /He	1000	liquid/supercritical	535	535	47.1	0.68	69.7
N <sub>2</sub> /He	1000	supercritical/supercritical	248	535	27.1	0.68	40.1



# Simulant Fluid Selection



- **Sample density ratios from the candidate fluid list were generated**

- using nitrogen as diluent species and helium as freestream species could achieve a wide range of density ratios
- Both nitrogen and helium satisfy safety, toxicity, and availability concerns

- **Chilled nitrogen gas can achieve desired density ratio range**

- **Issues of using chilled nitrogen gas**

- Filling a vessel with cold nitrogen gas at prescribed and repeatable conditions complicates operations
- Variation of the nitrogen properties during expulsion presents flow control and experimental difficulties;
- For high density ratio case, the nitrogen is close to the critical point possibly resulting in two phase flow during expulsion

- **Solution to problem is use of liquid nitrogen versus cold gas**

- Storage, flow control, and phase control of LN<sub>2</sub> is straightforward
- only density ratio that can be achieved is ~74 which is well above the range of interest.

- **Second approach: mix helium with a second high molecular weight gas**

- yielding freestream gas density variations which could tolerate liquid nitrogen as the diluent
- Two safe and inert candidate gases were identified: neon and argon

- **Argon was selected because cost of neon is prohibitive**


Freestream Species	Helium/Neon Mixture		Helium/Argon Mixture	
	Cold Flow	P = 1000 psia	Cold Flow	P = 1000 psia
Temperature, R	535	535	535	535
Helium/Second Gas Tcrit, R	9/80	9/80	9/71	9/71
Helium/Second Gas Pcrit, psia	33/395	33/395	33/705	33/705
State	supercritical	supercritical	supercritical	supercritical
Pure Helium/Second Gas Density, lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	0.676/3.40	0.676/3.40	0.676/7.22	0.676/7.22
Jet Species	Liquid Nitrogen		Liquid Nitrogen	
	Temperature, R	150	Temperature, R	150
Tcrit, R	227	227	227	227
Pcrit, psia	493	493	493	493
State	supercritical	supercritical	supercritical	supercritical
Density, lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	49.80	49.80	49.80	49.80
Initial Density Ratio, $\rho_{jet}/\rho_{gas}$	Liquid Nitrogen		Liquid Nitrogen	
	Minimum (pure Second gas)	14.6	Maximum (pure Helium)	6.9
	73.7	73.7	73.7	73.7

**Density ratio ranges for helium/neon and helium/argon mixtures**

**Fluid selection was a balance between requirements and cost**



# Initial Cold Flow Schematic




Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.


# Test Article




**Test Article Assembly Comprised of 4 sections:**



**Sealing Package for Modular Sleeve**




**Flow path in Manifold**



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Test Article Instrumentation






# Test Matrix




{Port Geometry} - {# of Ports} - {Aspect Ratio} - {Momentum Flux} - {Run Number}

Test ID	Subtest	Run	# of Injection Ports	Momentum Flux Ratio (J)	Aspect Ratio	Diameter (in)	Argon/Helium Pressure (PSIA) & Temp (R)	$\text{LN}_2$ Pressure (PSIA) & Temp (R)
C-4-1-10-1	1	1	4	10	1	0.519	1,000/530	1,000/150
C-4-1-10-2	1	2	4	10	1	0.519	1,000/530	1,000/150
C-4-1-20-1	2	1	4	20	1	0.436	1,000/530	1,000/150
C-4-1-20-2	2	2	4	20	1	0.436	1,000/530	1,000/150
C-4-1-30-1	3	1	4	30	1	0.394	1,000/530	1,000/150
C-4-1-30-2	3	2	4	30	1	0.394	1,000/530	1,000/150

## Modular Sleeve



## Aspect Ratio of Jet Ports




Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Facility





Argon Tank and Facility GN<sub>2</sub>




AFRL Test Area Selection Criteria:

- 1) High pressure/high volume tankage
- 2) High pressure/large diameter piping
- 3) Existing flow control components
- 4) Remote facility control (PLC)
- 5) High pressure GN2 supply
- 6) High speed DAQ




Test cell, LN<sub>2</sub> Tank, Test article



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# Facility Fluid Networks




Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Support Hardware



- Liquid nitrogen stored in low pressure vacuum jacketed storage tank located in the LOX bunker
- Originally used to store LOX
- Used to replenish the LN<sub>2</sub> run tank as test series dictates.
- Components are rated to handle colder temperatures of LN<sub>2</sub>




Gas booster system for charging Argon and Helium Tanks

- Recover residual bank trailer fluids
- Charge up to 6000 psi
- Short transfer times
- Dry air seal package




# Design and Off-Design Baseline Analysis



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Sinda/Fluent Analysis



Tee Where Helium and Argon Run Lines Meet



Argon Helium

Tubing

Sec. Length: 17.5 ft

OD: 1 1/2"

ID: 1.126"




- Model consists of three separate fluid sub-models for the LN<sub>2</sub>, helium and argon systems

- Models are carried from the storage tank to the mixer

- The objectives of the model are as follows:

- Simulate the steady state operating conditions of the mixing experiment
- Estimate the Cv's of valves
- Perform transient modeling
- Assess issues with pressurization of GN<sub>2</sub> over LN<sub>2</sub>
- During shakedown tests the model can be used to help estimate the target values to reset regulators
- This should minimize the number of shakedown runs that are necessary.



Sinda/Fluent model LN<sub>2</sub> run tank ullage temperature predictions

Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Summary







- Project Themis cold flow mixing study scheduled to begin late Summer 2012
- Current efforts:
  - Revamping of outdated control room software
  - Calibration of facility pressure transducers
  - Installation of the test article
  - Back pressure orifice fabrication
- Test capability provide ongoing means to extract experimental data from high pressure regimes
- Allow greater understanding of the physical mechanisms that govern the complex interactions associated with fluidic mixing
- Data will fill a void in scientific community database with respect to the effects of supercritical conditions and density disparities on fluid flows
- Data to be used to validate M&S programs used to design hardware that operates in these regimes



# Back-up Slides




# Kulite Wall Mount



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.




# Back Pressure Orifice Assembly





Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



# Back Pressure Orifice Assembly



- Expected Discharge Coefficient: **0.598**
- From ISO-5167:  $C_d(R_{eff}, D)$
- $D = 1.08''$
- Sensitivity: 100 psi per 0.050"
- Thrust: 859 lbf



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.