### Analysis of the Acoustic Response of a Railroad Bridge

Mihan H. McKenna<sup>2</sup>, Sergei Yushanov<sup>1</sup>, Kyle Koppenhoefer<sup>\*1</sup>, and Jason McKenna<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>AltaSim Technologies, LLC, <sup>2</sup>U.S. Army Engineering Research and Development Center \*Corresponding author: 130 East Wilson Bridge Road, Columbus, OH 43085, kyle@altasimtechnologies.com

Abstract: Aging infrastructure (e.g., railroad bridges) requires frequent inspections to assess their structural integrity. However, the large amount of existing infrastructure, and the distance between these structures present significant challenges to inspectors. A simple, method to monitor the structural integrity of infrastructure is needed. Acoustics-based technologies represent a simple, and relatively inexpensive, technique to monitor the integrity of a structure. To develop these techniques, designers must understand the frequencies and sound pressure levels that develop from a typical bridge structure. Infrasound is acoustic energy whose frequency is below that of human perception. Large infrastructure, such as bridges. emits such signals at their natural or driven frequencies of vibration, providing an indication of the structural condition. The feasibility of this type of monitoring was recently evaluated during an in-service load test of a single through-truss railroad bridge at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO, in conjunction with local infrasound monitoring.

**Keywords:** Acoustic, structural integrity, infrasound.

### 1. Introduction

Infrasound is low frequency sound waves between 0.1 to 20 Hz. Since typical human hearing ranges from 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz, humans do not hear infrasound. There are many sources of infrasound including volcanoes, earthquakes, bolides (meteors), man-made explosions, mining explosions, atmospheric explosions, surf, missiles, rockets, weather systems and even animal vocalizations [1].

In order for up-going infrasonic energy to be observed at Earths surface, it must reach an area of higher sound velocity than at the point of origin. If this occurs the energy turns and then returns to the surface of the earth. Temperature strongly affects the effective sound speed. Thus, the temperature variation through the atmosphere determines if infrasound energy returns to Earths surface. Figure 1 shows the sample effective sound speed profile with the regions of the atmosphere labeled.

Large man-made structures, such as bridges, have been reported to generate infrasound [3,4]. However, little research has been done into the diagnostic possibilities in the data recorded near these structures; Donn [3] was unable to discern the source driver for the infrasound generated by the bridge. It is likely that these infrasound signals contain information about the natural modes of vibration of the infrastructure. Thus, infrasound monitoring of structures may provide a future methodology for assessing the condition of significant infrastructure. Given the complexity of developing this assessment methodology, computational modeling can provide valuable insight into how infrasound develops and propagates. The development of an acoustic source model of a structure provides an important first step for developing this modeling methodology.

The development effort described in this paper seeks to produce a model of the Ft. Leonard Wood railroad bridge that will be an infrasound source for a larger atmospheric model.



Figure 1. Idealized atmospheric structure [2].

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the col maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing VA 22202-4302. Respondents sho does not display a currently valid (	lection of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	o average 1 hour per response, inclu ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Infor ay other provision of law, no person	ding the time for reviewing inst regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports shall be subject to a penalty for	ructions, searching exis or any other aspect of th s, 1215 Jefferson Davis failing to comply with	ting data sources, gathering and is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington a collection of information if it	
1. REPORT DATE OCT 2009		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2009	RED to 00-00-2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER	
Analysis of the Acc	oustic Response of a	Railroad Bridge		5b. GRANT NUM	1BER	
				5c. PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER	
5. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER				JMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMB	ER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANI AltaSim Technolog Road,Columbus,O	ZATION NAME(S) AND AE gies, LLC,130 East V H,43085	DDRESS(ES) Wilson Bridge		8. PERFORMINO REPORT NUMB	6 ORGANIZATION ER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/M	ONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the 20	otes 009 COMSOL Confe	erence Boston, 8-10	Oct, Boston, MA			
14. ABSTRACT Aging infrastructu integrity. However present significant infrastructure is no technique to monit the frequencies and acoustic energy wh emits such signals structural conditio load test of a single infrasound monito	re (e.g., railroad bri , the large amount of challenges to inspec- eeded. Acoustics-bas or the integrity of a d sound pressure lev lose frequency is bel at their natural or d n. The feasibility of e through-truss railr ring.	dges) requires freque of existing infrastruc- etors. A simple, methed sed technologies rep- structure. To develor vels that develop from ow that of human p- riven frequencies of this type of monitor road bridge at Ft. Le	ient inspections t eture, and the dis- nod to monitor th resent a simple, a op these techniqu m a typical bridg erception. Large vibration, provid- ring was recently conard Wood, Mo	o assess their tance betwee te structural i and relatively tes, designers e structure. I infrastructur ding an indic evaluated du O, in conjunc	structural n these structures integrity of inexpensive, must understand infrasound is re, such as bridges, ation of the ring an in-service tion with local	
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	TTY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF ABSTRACT OF PAGES RESPONSIBLE PE			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	17		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



Figure 2. Bridge 0.3 on Ft. Leonard Wood

## 2. Modeling Methodologies – COMSOL Multiphysics

Developing the acoustic source model for a bridge requires two physics; structural vibration and acoustic wave propagation. Given the two physics in this source model, COMSOL Multiphysics provides an ideal platform for developing this source model.

For structural vibration, the railroad bridge at Ft. Leonard Wood, as shown in Figure 2, can be represented using beam elements. Thus, the use of three-dimensional beams requires that the Solid Mechanics Module provided the computational capabilities to calculate the structural vibration of the bridge. The calculations were conducted using an eigenfrequency analysis application mode.

First, a model was constructed to calculate the natural frequencies and mode shapes of the bridge. The modal analysis is based on the data collected during the load rating portion of the field experimentation. Beam elements provided the basis for modeling the structure in this model. Section properties were assisted to each beam element as specified in Table 1

Figure 3 shows the location of each section specified in Table 1 **Error! Reference source not found.** Figure 4 shows the finite element model constructed in COMSOL. The model is constrained by pins at both ends of the bridge.

With the vibrational characteristics of the bridge defined, a second model to calculate the acoustic response of the bridge was developed. For the acoustic analysis, the pressure acoustics application mode from the acoustics module was used. Although COMSOL does support meshing of lines, these "beam" elements represent a poor choice for the acoustic model due to their lack of free surfaces that produce acoustic waves as the structure interacts with the air. To include the effects of the surface area of the beams, the acoustic model represents the beams as hollow rectangles that encompass the outer parameter of the beam. These equivalent sections produce the acoustic response of the bridge during vibration.

With the acoustic-structure interaction developed for the bridge, the next step was to include the surrounding terrain for the bridge. Ten meter National Elevation Dataset data was used for the topography profile, provided by the USGS. Figure 5 shows the local topology for a 500 m square that centers on the bridge. The white region that runs across the square is the river bed over which the bridge is built.



Figure 3. Elevation and plan view of bridge at Ft. Leonard Wood showing section names provided in Table 1.



Figure 4. Finite element model constructed using COMSOL Multiphysics.

Table 1. Section properties for Bridge							
		Torsional					
	Area	Constant	Moment of	Moment of			
Section	(in^2)	(in^4)	Inertia 3-3 (in^4)	Inertia 2-2 (in^4)			
1	24.125	16.806	88.599	1655.393			
2	48.832	13.058	2593.331	2024.503			
3	6.125	0.131	53.253	255.188			
4	21.938	6.769	177.817	122.856			
5	21.000	13.852	85.750	891.188			
6	24.125	16.806	88.599	1655.393			
7	42.000	27.705	171.500	2124.938			
8	16.422	0.924	338.938	617.545			
9	12.250	1.725	224.724	36.162			
10	42.000	27.705	171.500	2124.938			
11	7.250	0.331	30.432	355.182			
12	7.250	0.149	36.120	181.882			
13	6.750	0.143	149.038	36.047			
Stringer	35.500	8.763	8019.458	98.490			
Angular	3.875	0.081	2.876	58.416			
Floor Beam	46.500	14.785	15351.375	166.219			



Figure 5. Local topology for a 500 m square centered on the bridge.



Figure 6. Local topology for a hemispherical region with radius of 500 m around the bridge.

To incorporate the terrain into the acoustic model shown in Figure 5, a hemisphere with a radius of 500 m was mapped onto the available topological data, as shown in Figure 6. This model provides the acoustic response of the bridge as it interacts with the local topology. These models assume the sound reflects perfectly off the topography without any impedance.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The eigenfrequency analysis conducted in COMSOL shows a resonant frequency of the bridge at 2 Hz that should produce significant acoustic energy. Thus, the acoustic analyses focus on the 2 Hz vibration.

By using the simplified geometric model of the bridge, the distribution of acoustic energy from a 2 Hz vibration of the bridge can be calculated. Figure 7 shows the distribution of sound pressure level developed from the vibrating bridge model as it interacts with the local topography. Figure 8 shows the sound pressure distribution for the same 2 Hz bridge vibration as it reflects off a planar surface. The effect of local topographic details is clearly shown by comparing the sound pressure levels along a circumferential arc in Figure 9. These results clearly show a small perturbation of the sound pressure levels due to the local topography.



Figure 7. Sound pressure distribution developed from bridge as it interacts with topography.



Figure 8. Sound pressure distribution developed from bridge as it interacts with a planar topography.

### 7. Conclusions

This work shows the use of COMSOL Multiphysics to predict the vibrational modes of a complex structure and the use of these vibrational modes as an acoustic source.

Initial source modeling indicates that the bridge functions as a directional source, with energy propagating along the river bed, perpendicular to the direction of traffic with minimal effect of topography. Further study will include synthesis of the meteorological data from the multiple onsite stations to create a four-dimensional, timedependent atmospheric space.



actual and planar topography for the arc indicated in the figure.

### 8. References

1. Bedard, A. J. and T. M. Georges. Atmospheric Infrasound. *Physics Today*. March, (2000). pp 32-37.

2. McKenna M., B. Stump, S. Hayek, J. McKenna, and T. Stanton. Tele-infrasonic studies of hard-rock mining explosions. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. **Volume 122, Issue 1**, pp. 97-106. (2007) <u>doi:</u> 10.1121/1.2741375

3. Donn, W. Atmospheric Infrasound Radiated by Bridges. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. Vol. 56, No. 5. (1974)

4. Kobayashi, Y. Infrasound generated by a highway bridge. *Butsuri-Tansa* Vol. 52, No. 1. pp 54-60. (1999) (in Japanese)





Presented at the COMSOL Conference 2009 Boston

# Analysis of the Acoustic Response of a Rail Road Bridge

Dr. Mihan McKenna\* (ERDC) Dr. Sergei Yushanov (AltaSim) Dr. Kyle Koppenhoefer\*\* (AltaSim) Dr. Jason McKenna (ERDC)

> COMSOL Conference Boston, MA October 8-10, 2009

\*\*corresponding author: kyle@altasimtechnologies.com
\*project lead: mihan.h.mckenna@usace.army.mil





## **Research Objective**

1. To experimentally verify that infrasound can monitor the fundamental modes of motion for a Pratt-Truss bridge at ~30 km standoff.

2. To develop a new finite element representation to numerically predict how structures can couple into the atmosphere and propagate infrasound energy at tactical standoff distance. **Problem:** Remote assessment of infrastructure for reconnaissance or battle damage has historically depended upon satellite imagery or information revealed by boots on the ground.

**Solution:** Use infrasound acoustics in combination with seismic, meteorological and audible acoustic methods to determine fundamental modes of movement for bridges without line of site or direct involvement by personnel

### Seismic-Infrasound-Acoustic-Meteorological Array





ERDO







# What is infrasound?

- Low frequency acoustics (0.01 20 Hz) created by:
  - Volcanoes
  - Earthquakes
  - Bolides (meteors)
  - Explosions in the atmosphere
  - Sub-surface explosions
  - Surf
  - Missiles
  - Rockets
  - Weather systems
  - Animal vocalizations
  - Urban Noise\*



Structure of the Atmosphere

## **PREMISE:**

## Structures generate coupled low-frequency acoustics as fundamental modes of motion

What is the physics behind these signatures?

How far do they propagate? Under what conditions?

What can you measure/assess about the structure given the acoustic information?



(In)

In: IML infrasound gauge

1 Hz: 1 Hz seismometer

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center

## **Geophysical Data Collection**

- 3 arrays: seismic, infrasound, acoustic and meteorological sensors (SIAM arrays). Infrasound gauges produced for this project by Intermountain Laboratories (IML)
- 5 balloon launches for weather data at receiver array
- Network of on-post met monitoring sites.
- 2 75-ton engines, 8 flat cars



ERDO

### Array deployed at target bridge single truss (In) To Ft.Wood To Public Line In: IML infrasound gauge 3 Mic 3M: 3 component audible mic array 1Hz: 1 Hz triax seismometer W: portable weather station (ln)SM: Strong Motion Sensor 30 m aperture :3-component 10 Hz geophone 3 Mic: 3 component audible mic array Weather: portable weather station 2 standoff arrays







Effective

Ray Trace: Raypath, Backgnd: Effect, Sound Speed along G.C. Path (m/s), Az: 214.34 deg



## **Propagation Modeling**

- Data analysis searching for the bridge signature initially focused on the time window from 4 AM to 8 AM local time.
- Numerical modeling of the Radiosonde data predicted only one successful arrival (at 6AM local time).















50 m above

4 beams

10 cm

4.7 m

plate

92

88

level 86 84

ad punos

# Modal Analysis: COMSOL

- Key components :
  - Required a simplified source to limit computational costs
  - Accurate representation of the acoustic energy emitted



- Beam/truss elements can be represented as point sources
- Geometry of beams important for acoustic response
- no single area dominates acoustics

4.7 m

# Infrasound Bridge Signal

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center

•Bridge signal was seen at both remote arrays.

TASIM

•fK (frequency-wave number) analysis results correspond with bridge azimuth and infrasound passband/phase velocity.

 Includes fundamental mode frequencies of interest (2, 6 and 13hz) - extremely low amplitude signal and difficult to tease from the background noise.











# **Model Development**



Sec.1	Sec.4	Sec_7	s Sec10	Sec7 a	Sec.d a	Sec.1 19
Stringer						
Stringer						
Stringer						
Stringer						
Sec.1	Sec. 6	Sec_7	Sec_10	Sec 7	Sec_6	Sec_L

Figure 1. Elevation and plan view of bridge at Ft. Leonard Wood showing section names corresponding to the model produced in the load rating.



Figure 3. Equivalent section used for acoustic analysis.



Figure 2. Finite element model constructed using COMSOL Multiphysics.



Figure 4. Acoustic Assumtion. Comparison of sound pressure levels from detailed model of I-beam and rectangle that encompasses outer dimensions of I-beam. Inner area around section has been removed to show details of far-field solution.







## Far-Field Radiation Pattern: Infrasound Source



The acoustical radiation pattern at 50 m can be a representative infrasound source to insert into propagation modeling packages. At 500 m, the source is affected by topography (top left), though in this case, the residual topography effect is small but asymmetric (above).

Flat Earth





# Conclusions

- The bridge was observed using infrasound arrays from approximately 20 km, verifying the COMSOL modal modeling.
- Initial source modeling indicates that the bridge functions as a directional source, with energy propagating along the river bed, perpendicular to the direction of traffic, affecting visibility under varying meteorological conditions, though with minimal effect of topography.
  - Though the topographic contribution to propagation was minimal for this scenario, more extreme topography in more geophysically complex areas would likely have more impact on the representative source.
- The use of infrasound to monitor structures deserves further study. While a rail bridge was selected for this test case, large dams, cables that suspend cable-cars, and vehicle bridges should be considered for future work.
- If SIAM arrays could be emplaced in areas of interest, continuous monitoring could give indication into the change in structural health of a target, though the changes due to wear or active damage would need to be great enough to affect the fundamental modes of the structure.
- This persistent surveillance technology could be applied to civil cases as well, however, the authors emphasize this is not a 'silver-bullet' approach to domestic structural health monitoring or homeland security applications.